Analysing repeated measurements whilst accounting for derivative tracking, varying within-subject variance and autocorrelation: the xtiou command

R.A. Hughes*¹, M.G. Kenward², J.A.C. Sterne¹, K. Tilling¹

¹School of Social and Community Medicine University of Bristol

²Luton, London

* Funded by the Medical Research Council

Introduction

- The linear mixed effects model (Laird and Ware, 1982) is commonly used to model biomarker trajectories
- Linear mixed effects (LME) model for subject i

$$Y_i = X_i \beta + Z_i u_i + e_i$$

- fixed effects: β
- random effects: $u_i \sim N(0, G)$
- measurement errors: $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$
- *u_i* and *e_i* are independent
- LME model assumes:
 - within subject errors are independent
 - variance of within subject errors is constant

Integrated Ornstein Uhlenbeck process

- Taylor et al (1994) proposed LME model with added Integrated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (IOU) process
 - Linear Mixed Effects IOU (LME IOU) model
- IOU process quantifies the degree of derivative tracking
 - tendency of measurements to maintain the same trajectory
 - estimated from the data
- IOU process indexed by α and τ
 - small α and τ : strong derivative tracking
 - large α and τ : weak derivative tracking
- Special case: $\alpha \to \infty$ with τ/α held constant
 - scaled Brownian Motion (BM) process
 - BM process indexed by ϕ
 - Linear Mixed Effects BM (LME BM) model

Different degrees of derivative tracking



Linear mixed effects IOU (or BM) model

• LME IOU (or BM) model for subject i

$$\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{w}_i + \mathbf{e}_i$$

- w_i is independent of u_i and e_i
- $w_i \sim N(0, H_i)$

IOU covariance function at time points s and t

$$\frac{\tau^2}{2\alpha^3} [2\alpha \min(s, t) + \exp(-\alpha s) + \exp(-\alpha t) - 1 - \exp(-\alpha \mid t - s \mid)]$$

BM covariance function at time points s and t

 ϕ s if s $\leq t$

• LME IOU (or BM) model also allows for:

- correlated within subject error
- variance of within subject errors can change over time

Estimation of the LME IOU (or BM) model

- Estimate variance parameters
 - components of random effects covariance matrix G
 - IOU parameters α and τ (or BM parameter ϕ)
 - measurement error variance σ^2
- REestricted Maximum Likelihood (REML)
 - Profile REML function with respect to σ^2
- Log-Cholesky parameterization for G
 - To ensure resulting estimate is positive semi-definite
- Optimization using Newton-Raphson type algorithms
 - Mata function optimize
- Wolfinger et al (1994)'s method to efficiently calculate log-likelihood and its 1st and 2nd derivatives
- Implemented in MATA

The xtiou command

- Fits the linear mixed effects IOU model
 - option to fit the linear mixed effects BM model
- Shares features of a Stata regression command
 - supports factor notation ([U] 11.4.3 Factor variables)
 - supports maximization options ([R] maximize)
 - returns results in e()
 - supports estimates
- predict generates predictions under the fitted model:
 - fixed portion linear prediction
 - standard error of the fixed portion linear prediction
 - fitted values
 - residuals (response minus fitted values)

Default syntax of xtiou

xtiou depvar [indepvars] [if] [in], id(levelvar) time(timevar) [other_options]

- Data required to be in long format
 - subjects at level-2
 - measurements at level-1
- Required options
 - id(levelvar) identifies subjects
 - time(*timevar*) defines the time variable for the measurements
- By default:
 - includes a constant term in the fixed portion
 - includes only a random intercept
 - includes an IOU process

Options for model structure

- reffects(varlist) defines the random-effects of the model
 - assumes an unstructured covariance matrix
 - factor variables not allowed
- brownian specifies a scaled Brownian Motion process
 - fits a LME BM model

Option for the starting values

- By default starting values derived assuming strong derivative tracking
 - fits linear mixed effects model using mixed
 - EM estimates used as starting values for random-effects covariance matrix and measurement error variance
 - IOU or BM parameters set to small positive values
- svdataderived derives starting values making no assumptions about derivative tracking
 - including IOU or Brownian Motion parameters
 - derived from variances and covariances of the observed measurements across subjects
 - assumes random effects includes either a random intercept and/or a random linear slope

Option for the IOU process

- iou(ioutype) specifies the parameterization of the IOU process used during estimation
- where ioutype is

ioutype	Description
at	alpha and tau, the default
ao	alpha and omega $= (tau \div alpha)^2$
et	eta = $ln(alpha)$ and tau
eo	eta = ln(alpha) and omega = $(tau \div alpha)^2$
it	iota = alpha ^{-2} and tau
eo	iota = alpha ⁻² and omega = $(tau \div alpha)^2$

Changing IOU parameterization may improve convergence

Options for maximization

- By default uses modified Newton-Raphson algorithm
- algorithm(algorithm_spec) specifies one or more optimization algorithms
 - Newton-Raphson algorithm
 - Fisher-Scoring algorithm
 - Average-Information algorithm
- Includes maximize options ([R] maximize) common to Stata regression commands
 - iterate(#), nolog, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, difficult

Example

- Simulated data based on characteristics of a HIV cohort study (UK CHIC study 2004)
- Patient's CD4 cell counts measured every 3 months
- CD4 cell counts used to monitor a patient's:
 - response to therapy
 - HIV disease progression
- Patient characteristics
 - sex
 - age at start of therapy
 - ethnicity (white, black African, other)
 - risk for HIV infection (homosexual, heterosexual, other)
 - pre-therapy CD4 cell count group (0 to 99, 100 to 199, 200 to 349 and \geq 350 cells/mm³)

Simulated Data

- Unbalanced data of 1000 patients with up to 5 years of follow-up
- Patient characteristics simulated under general location model
 - categorical variables: multinomial distribution
 - continuous given categorical variables: Normal distribution
- Simulated repeated CD4 counts (natural log scale) under LME BM model
 - population In CD4 trajectory: fractional polynomial with powers 0 and 0.5
 - patient characteristics included as fixed effects
 - intercept and fractional powers included as random effects
 - BM process

• Fit LMEs with differing variance structures

• Fit LMEs with differing variance structures: ri: random intercept

rfp: random intercept and fractional polynomial powers

- Fit LMEs with differing variance structures: ri: random intercept
 - rfp: random intercept and fractional polynomial powers

riiou: random intercept and IOU process

ribm: random intercept and BM process

- Fit LMEs with differing variance structures:
 ri: random intercept
 - rfp: random intercept and fractional polynomial powers
 - riiou: random intercept and IOU process
 - ribm: random intercept and BM process
 - rfpiou: random intercept and fractional polynomial powers, and IOU process
 - **rfpbm**: random intercept and fractional polynomial powers, and BM process

- Fit LMEs with differing variance structures: ri: random intercept
 - rfp: random intercept and fractional polynomial powers
 - riiou: random intercept and IOU process
 - ribm: random intercept and BM process
 - rfpiou: random intercept and fractional polynomial powers, and IOU process
 - **rfpbm**: random intercept and fractional polynomial powers, and BM process
- All models have the same, correct mean structure
- Compare model fit and accuracy of patient-level predictions

Random intercept IOU model

Fit the LME IOU model

xtiou lncd4 time_ln time_05 age sex i.risk ///
i.ethnicity ib2.baselinecd4, id(patid) time(time) svdata

Post estimation

estimates store riiou_model
predict riiou_fit, fitted
predict riiou_res, residuals

Number of obs	=	15526
Number of groups	=	1000
Obs per group : min	=	2
avg	=	15.5
max	=	26

Linear mixed IOU REML regression

lncd4	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P > z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
time_ln time_05 age sex	.1232436 .1 .077378 .1 0000926 .1 .0923211 .1	0223509 0500194 0014625 0441723	5.51 1.55 -0.06 2.09	0.000 0.122 0.950 0.037	.0794366 0206582 002959 .0057449	.1670506 .1754142 .0027738 .1788972
risk heterosexual other risk	1314315	0452229 0555603	-2.91 -2.53	0.004	2200668 2492443	0427961 0314519
cons	4.151499 .0	0803116	51.69	0.000	3.994091	4.308907
Variance p	parameters	Estima	te Std.	Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Random-effects	: Var(_cons)	.13206	98 .008	30314	.1172301	.148788
IOU-effects:	alpha tau	.94033	15 .110 62 .040)5896)9801	.7467442	1.184105
Var(N	Measure. Err.)	.07473	82 .001	1132	.0725879	.0769522

Linear	mixed	IOU	REML	regression
--------	-------	-----	------	------------

Number of obs	=	15526
Number of groups	=	1000
Obs per group : min	=	2
avg	=	15.5
max	=	26

lncd4	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P > z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
time_ln time_05 age sex	.1232436 .077378 0000926 .0923211	.0223509 .0500194 .0014625 .0441723	5.51 1.55 -0.06 2.09	0.000 0.122 0.950 0.037	.0794366 0206582 002959 .0057449	.1670506 .1754142 .0027738 .1788972
risk heterosexual other risk	1314315 1403481	.0452229	-2.91 -2.53	0.004 0.012	2200668 2492443	0427961 0314519
_cons	4.151499	.0803116	51.69	0.000	3.994091	4.308907
Variance]	parameters	Estima	ate Std	. Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Random-effects	: Var(_cons) .13206	598 .00	80314	.1172301	.148788
IOU-effects:						
	alph: ta	a .94033 u .48735	315 .11 562 .04	05896 09801	.7467442 .4133049	1.184105 .5746751
Var(1	Measure. Err.) .07473	382 .00	11132	.0725879	.0769522

Number of obs Number of groups	=	15526 1000
Obs per group : min avg max	=	2 15.5 26

lncd4	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	₽ > z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
time_ln time_05 age sex	.1232436 . .077378 . 0000926 . .0923211 .	0223509 0500194 0014625 0441723	5.51 1.55 -0.06 2.09	0.000 0.122 0.950 0.037	.0794366 0206582 002959 .0057449	.1670506 .1754142 .0027738 .1788972
risk heterosexual other risk	1314315 . 1403481 .	0452229 0555603	-2.91 -2.53	0.004 0.012	2200668 2492443	0427961 0314519
_cons	4.151499 .	0803116	51.69	0.000	3.994091	4.308907
Variance P	parameters	Estimat	te Std.	Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Random-effects	: Var(_cons)	.132069	98 .008	0314	.1172301	.148788
IOU-effects:	alpha tau	.940333	15 .110 52 .040	5896 9801	.7467442 .4133049	1.184105 .5746751
Var(I	Measure. Err.)	.074738	32 .001	1132	.0725879	.0769522

Number o Number o	of obs of group:	3	=	15526 1000
Obs per	group :	min	=	2
		avg	=	15.5
		max	=	2.6

lncd4	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P > z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
time_ln time_05 age sex	.1232436 . .077378 . 0000926 . .0923211 .	0223509 0500194 0014625 0441723	5.51 1.55 -0.06 2.09	0.000 0.122 0.950 0.037	.0794366 0206582 002959 .0057449	.1670506 .1754142 .0027738 .1788972
risk heterosexual other risk	1314315 . 1403481 .	0452229 0555603	-2.91 -2.53	0.004 0.012	2200668 2492443	0427961 0314519
_cons	4.151499 .	0803116	51.69	0.000	3.994091	4.308907
Variance	parameters	Estima	te Std.	Err	[95% Conf	Intervall
Pandom_offoata		20021110			[330 00112.	111002 (42)
Kandom-errects	Var(_cons)	.13206	98 .008	0314	.1172301	.148788
IOU-effects:	alpha tau	.94033 .48735	15 .110 62 .040	15896 19801	.74674424133049	1.184105 .5746751
Var()	Measure. Err.)	.07473	82 .001	.1132	.0725879	.0769522

Number of obs Number of groups	= 15526 = 1000
Obs per group : min	= 2
avg	= 15.5
max	= 26

lncd4	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P > z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
time_ln time_05 age sex	.1283745 . .0690668 . 0001694 . .0946172	0226364 0467146 0014558 .044012	5.67 1.48 -0.12 2.15	0.000 0.139 0.907 0.032	.0840079 0224921 0030227 .0083553	.1727412 .1606258 .0026839 .1808791
risk heterosexual other risk	1316994 . 1305444 4 162428	0450399 .05534 0797391	-2.92 -2.36	0.003 0.018	219976 2390088 4.006142	0434228 02208
		Datima				Tut
Variance j	parameters	Estima	te Sta.	Err.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Random-effects	: Var(_cons)	.11107	91 .007	9717	.0965037	.1278559
BM-effects:	phi	.13775	09 .003	8615	.1303865	.1455313
Var()	Measure. Err.)	.05977	21 .001	0262	.0577943	.0618177

Compare model fit

- . estimates stats ///
- > ri_model riiou_model ribm_model ///
- > rfp_model rfpbm_model rfpiou_model
 (output omitted)
- Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)
- Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

Model	AIC	BIC
random intercept only	22481	22589
random intercept & IOU	12371	12493
random intercept & BM	12529	12644
random fractional powers	12793	12938
random fractional powers & IOU	12130	12267
random fractional powers & BM	12128	12258

Compare model fit

- . estimates stats ///
- > ri_model riiou_model ribm_model ///
- > rfp_model rfpbm_model rfpiou_model
 (output omitted)
- Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)
- Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

Model	AIC	BIC
random intercept only	22481	22589
random intercept & IOU	12371	12493
random intercept & BM	12529	12644
random fractional powers	12793	12938
random fractional powers & IOU	12130	12267
random fractional powers & BM	12128	12258

Compare model fit

- . estimates stats ///
- > ri_model riiou_model ribm_model ///
- > rfp_model rfpbm_model rfpiou_model
 (output omitted)
- Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)
- Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)

Model	AIC	BIC
random intercept only	22481	22589
random intercept & IOU	12371	12493
random intercept & BM	12529	12644
random fractional powers	12793	12938
random fractional powers & IOU	12130	12267
random fractional powers & BM	12128	12258

Changes in variance over time



Changes in correlation over time



Comparison of the fitted values

- Average squared difference between predicted and observed measurements
 - Mean Squared Error (MSE)
- Number of predicted measurements within 5% of the observed

Model	MSE	Within 5%
random intercept only	0.1867	5970
random intercept & IOU	0.0597	8844
random intercept & BM	0.0382	10441
random fractional powers	0.0727	8227
random fractional powers & IOU	0.0491	9522
random fractional powers & BM	0.0465	9738

Comparison of the fitted values

- Average squared difference between predicted and observed measurements
 - Mean Squared Error (MSE)
- Number of predicted measurements within 5% of the observed

Model	MSE	Within 5%
random intercept only	0.1867	5970
random intercept & IOU	0.0597	8844
random intercept & BM	0.0382	10441
random fractional powers	0.0727	8227
random fractional powers & IOU	0.0491	9522
random fractional powers & BM	0.0465	9738

Comparison of the fitted values

- Average squared difference between predicted and observed measurements
 - Mean Squared Error (MSE)
- Number of predicted measurements within 5% of the observed

Model	MSE	Within 5%
random intercept only	0.1867	5970
random intercept & IOU	0.0597	8844
random intercept & BM	0.0382	10441
random fractional powers	0.0727	8227
random fractional powers & IOU	0.0491	9522
random fractional powers & BM	0.0465	9738

Discussion

- xtiou fits LME IOU model or LME BM model
- These models allow for
 - autocorrelation
 - changing within subject variance
 - incorporation of derivative tracking
- Options available to solve convergence problems
 - svdataderived
 - iou(ioutype)
 - algorithm(algorithm_spec)
 - difficult
- Accompanying predict command
 - Does not provide BLUPs of random effects nor realizations of IOU (or BM) process
- Hope our command will help statisticians apply the LME IOU model and LME BM model to their data

References

- Laird N and Ware J (1982) Random-Effects Models for Longitudinal Data *Biometrics* **38**: 963-974.
- Taylor JMG, Cumberland WG and Sy PJ (1994) A stochastic model for analysis of longitudinal AIDS data *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 89: 727-736.
- UK Collaborative HIV Cohort Steering Committee (2004) The creation of a large UK based multicentre cohort of HIV-infected individuals: the UK Collaborative HIV Cohort (UK CHIC) Study *HIV Medicine* **5**: 115-124.
- Wolfinger R, Tobias R and Sall J (1994) Computing Gaussian likelihoods and their derivatives for the general linear mixed model SIAM J Sci Comput 15: 1294-1310.