





Suzie Cro

MRC Clinical Trials Unit at UCL The London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine

MRC CTU @ UCL

Outline

- Reference based multiple imputation; asthma trial
- The mimix command
- Sensitivity analysis example 1; asthma trial
- Sensitivity analysis example 2; peer review study

Example - asthma trial

- Placebo vs. Budesonide for patients with chronic asthma
- Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second (FEV₁) recorded at baseline, 2, 4, 8 and 12 weeks
- Primary outcome: mean treatment group difference at 12 weeks adjusted for baseline
- Only 38/90 Placebo and 72/90 Budesonide were observed at 12 weeks



Busse et al. (1998)

Example - asthma trial

- Any analysis must make an *untestable* assumption about the unobserved data
- Wrong assumption \rightarrow biased treatment estimate
- Primary analysis Missing-at-Random (MAR)
- A set of analyses where the missing data is handled in different ways as compared to the primary analysis should be undertaken



MRC CTU @ UCL









Incomplete data set

MRC CTU @ UCL





MRC CTU @ UCL





Asthma trial - MAR



Asthma trial - Jump to reference



Asthma trial - Copy reference



Asthma trial - Copy increments in reference



Asthma trial - Last mean carried forward



Reference based sensitivity analysis

- Comparison of results under different reference based assumptions allows us to determine the robustness of results
- Interim missing observations may often be imputed under on-treatment MAR, or under one of the outlined assumptions

mimix

- The mimix command conducts multiple imputation under the 5 reference based assumptions
- Optionally allows users to conduct analysis with two inbuilt analysis options; regress or mixed
- Syntax:

mimix depvar treatvar, id(varname) time(varname) [clear <u>cov</u>ariates(varlist)
interim(string) iref(string) method(string) methodvar(varname) mixed
<u>refgroup(string) refgroupvar(varname) regress saving(filename[,replace])
burnbetween(#) burnin(#) m(#) seed(#)]</u>

. describe

Contains obs: vars: size:	data	from asth 732 5 11,712	ma.dta		30 Aug 2016 13:14
variable :	name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
id fev time base treat		int float byte double byte	%8.0g %9.0g %9.0g %12.0g %8.0g	treat1	Patient ID FEV1 (L) Measurement time (weeks) Baseline FEV1 (L) Randomised treatment assignment

Sorted by: id

. label list

treat1:

2 Placebo

3 Active

. list in 37/40, noobs sepby(id)

id	fev	time	base	treat
5030	.85	2	1.14	Placebo
5030	1.51	4	1.14	Placebo
5030		8	1.14	Placebo
5030		12	1.14	Placebo

. mimix fev treat, id(id) time(time) method(mar) covariates(base) regress m(50)

> clear seed(101)

i treat

cons

. mimix fev treat, id(id) time(time) method(mar) covariates(base) regress m(50)
> clear seed(101)
Performing imputation procedure for group 1 of 2...
Performing imputation procedure for group 2 of 2...
Performing regress procedure ...

(naturally coded: Itreat 2 omitted)

			(1,			,
Multiple-imputa		Imputat	ions	=	50		
Linear regressi	on			Number	of obs	=	183
				Average	RVI	=	0.4106
				Largest	FMI	=	0.3495
				Complet	e DF	=	180
DF adjustment:	Small samp	ole		DF:	min	=	91.39
					avg	=	99.15
					max	=	105.79
Model F test:	Equal H	MI		F(2,	149.8)	=	40.69
Within VCE type	: 0	DLS		Prob > 3	F	=	0.0000
fev	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
Itreat 3	.3230728	.1042794	3.10	0.002	.1163	241	.5298215
base	.7240691	.0861441	8.41	0.000	.5531	672	.8949709

2.01

0.048

.0043602

.787637

.1971734

Imputed dataset now loaded in memory Imputed data created in variable fev using mar

.3959986

Ttreat 2-3

. mimix fev treat, id(id) time(time) method(j2r) refgroup(2) interim(mar) ///
> covariates(base) regress m(50) clear seed(101)

. mimix fev treat, id(id) time(time) method(j2r) refgroup(2) interim(mar) ///
> covariates(base) regress m(50) clear seed(101)
Performing imputation procedure for group 1 of 2...
Performing imputation procedure for group 2 of 2...
Performing regress procedure ...

i.treat	(natural]	ly coded;	_Itreat	:_2 om:	itted)		
Multiple-imput		Imputat	ions	=	50		
Linear regress	sion			Number	of obs	=	183
				Average	RVI	=	0.4483
				Largest	FMI	=	0.3510
				Complet	e DF	=	180
DF adjustment:	: Small samp	ple		DF:	min	=	91.07
					avg	=	109.09
					max	=	140.18
Model F test:	Equal H	-MI		F(2,	156.9)	=	32.45
Within VCE typ		Prob >	F	=	0.0000		
fev	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
_Itreat_3	.2261827	.1028346	2.20	0.029	.0228	3754	. 42949
base	.6894261	.0933944	7.38	0.000	.5040	0403	.8748119
_cons	. 4669997	.2112431	2.21	0.030	.0473	3954	.8866041

Imputed dataset now loaded in memory Imputed data created in variable fev using j2r Interim missing data imputed using mar

Specifying the imputation method - 1

Method	Name to specify in method()
Missing at random (MAR)	mar
Jump to reference	j2r
Last mean carried forward	Imcf
Copy increments in reference	cir or ciir
Copy reference	cr

• For j2r, cir or cr also require refgroup() to specify the reference group

Analysis	Treat Est.	Std. Err.	P-value
Primary – MAR	0.323	0.104	0.002
Last mean carried forward	0.296	0.096	0.003
Copy placebo	0.289	0.101	0.005
Copy active	0.251	0.082	0.003
Jump to placebo	0.226	0.103	0.029
Jump to active	0.128	0.095	0.181
Copy increments in placebo	0.281	0.103	0.007
Copy increments in active	0.277	0.082	0.001

Analysis	Treat Est.	Std. Err.	P-value
Primary – MAR	0.323	0.104	0.002
Last mean carried forward	0.296	0.096	0.003
Copy placebo	0.289	0.101	0.005
Copy active	0.251	0.082	0.003
Jump to placebo	0.226	0.103	0.029
Jump to active	0.128	0.095	0.181
Copy increments in placebo	0.281	0.103	0.007
Copy increments in active	0.277	0.082	0.001

mimix - behind the scenes...



- Schroter et al. (2004) performed a single blind RCT among BMJ reviewers to compare:
 - no training
 - self-taught training
- Participants sent a baseline paper to review (paper 1)
- 2-3 months later sent second paper to review
- Quality of review measured by the mean (2 raters) Review Quality Instrument, range 1 to 5

• Quality of baseline review:

	No intervention			Self training		
	n	mean	SD	n	mean	SD
Returned paper 2	162	2.65	0.81	120	2.80	0.62
Did not return paper 2	11	3.02	0.50	46	2.55	0.75

• Quality of baseline review:

	No intervention			Self training		
	n	mean	SD	n	mean	SD
Returned paper 2	162	2.65	0.81	120	2.80	0.62
Did not return paper 2	11	3.02	0.50	46	2.55	0.75

- Primary analysis MAR assumption
- What if participants who did not return paper 2 behaved like the no intervention group?

. describe

Contains data from reviewer.dta obs: 339 vars: 5 size: 6,780			iewer.dta		30 Aug 2016 13:50				
variable n	ame	storage type	display format	value label	variable label				
id inter base resp time		float float float float float	୫9.0g %19.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g	inter1	Reviewer identifier Training package Paper 1 (baseline) mean review quality Paper 2 (response) mean review quality				

Sorted by:

. label list inter1: 0 no-training 1 self-taught

. list in 6, noobs sepby(id)

id	inter	base	resp	time
25	no-training	1.714286	2.928571	2

. mimix resp inter, id(id) time(time) covariates(base) method(mar) m(50) clear seed(23) regress Performing imputation procedure for group 1 of 2... Performing imputation procedure for group 2 of 2...

Performing regress procedure ...

i.inter	_Iinter_()-1	(naturall	y coded;	_Iinter	_0 omi	itted)
Multiple-imput	ation estimat	ces		Imputat	ions	=	50
Linear regress	sion			Number (of obs	=	339
				Average	RVI	=	0.2180
				Largest	FMI	=	0.1840
				Complete	e DF	=	336
DF adjustment:	Small samp	ple		DF:	min	=	230.76
					avg	=	235.32
					max	=	243.00
Model F test:	Equal H	IM ²		F(2,	297.5)	=	35.58
Within VCE type: OLS				Prob > 1	E	=	0.0000
resp	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
_Iinter_1	.2393743	.0702048	3.41	0.001	.1010)545	.377694
base	.3610957	.0477203	7.57	0.000	.2670	0724	.4551189
_cons	1.60063	.1352016	11.84	0.000	1.334	1313	1.866947

Imputed dataset now loaded in memory Imputed data created in variable **resp** using **mar**

. mimix resp inter, id(id) time(time) covariates(base) method(cr) refgroup(0) m(50) clear seed(23)
> regress

50

Performing imputation procedure for group 1 of 2... Performing imputation procedure for group 2 of 2...

Performing regress procedure ...

i.inter ______ Iinter_0-1 (naturally coded; ______ Iinter_0 omitted)
Multiple-imputation estimates _______ Tmputations =

± ±				-			
Linear regression				Number of obs		=	339
				Average	RVI	=	0.1883
				Largest	FMI	=	0.1647
				Complete DF		=	336
DF adjustment: Small sample				DF:	min	=	242.89
					avg	=	252.09
					max	=	258.48
Model F test: Equal FMI Within VCE type: OLS				F(2,	306.6)	=	35.22
				Prob > F		=	0.0000
resp	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
Iinter 1	.171676	.0686811	2.50	0.013	.0364	214	.3069306
base	.3707551	.0471337	7.87	0.000	.2779	121	.4635982
_cons	1.574795	.1331916	11.82	0.000	1.312	516	1.837073
	1						

Imputed dataset now loaded in memory Imputed data created in variable **resp** using **cr**

Analysis	Treat Est.	Std. Err.	P-value
Primary – MAR	0.239	0.070	0.001
Copy no intervention	0.172	0.069	0.013

• The intervention effect is slightly reduced under copy no intervention but it remains statistically significant

Specifying the imputation method - 2

- For individual specific imputation methods use methodvar(*varname*) option
- Where varname defines the imputation method for each individual – must be constant over time
- refgroupvar(*varname*) defines individual specific reference group

Acknowledgements

- Adaptation of a SAS macro written by James Roger
- Thanks to Tim Morris for his comments and editions which helped to improve the programme
- James Carpenter, Mike Kenward







Carpenter JR, Roger JH, Kenward MG, Analysis of Longitudinal Trials with protocol deviation: a framework for relevant accessible assumptions and inference via multiple imputation, *Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics*, 23:1352-1371, 2013.

Cro S, Morris TP, Kenward MG, Carpenter JR, Reference-based sensitivity analysis via multiple imputation for longitudinal trials with protocol deviation, *Stata Journal*, 16:2:443-463, 2016.