# Estimating the random coefficients logit model of demand using aggregate data

David Vincent

Deloitte Economic Consulting London, UK

davivincent@deloitte.co.uk

September 14, 2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

# Introduction

- Estimation of consumer demand in differentiated product industries plays a central role in applied economic analysis
- The conventional approach is to specify a system of demand functions that correspond to a valid preference ordering, and estimate the parameters using aggregate data
- A popular example is the Almost Ideal Demand System of Deaton(1980), where market shares are linear functions of the logarithm of prices, and real expenditure.
- A major concern in adopting this approach, is the large number of parameters that need to be estimated, even after the restrictions of adding-up homogeneity a symmetry have been imposed
- The dimensionality problem can be solved if preferences are assumed to be separable; however, this places severe restrictions on the degree of substitutability between goods in different sub-groups

# Introduction

- The logit-demand model (McFadden 1973) is another way to address the dimensionality problem, by assuming instead that consumers' have preferences over product characteristics
- Although easy to estimate, this model again imposes strong a-prior restrictions over the patterns of substitutability
- The purpose of this presentation is to discuss the random coefficients logit demand model (Berry Levinhson Pakes 1995)
- This framework accommodates consumer heterogeneity, by allowing taste parameters to vary with individual characteristics and requires market level data for estimation
- The model produces cross price elasticities that are more realistic and allows for the case where prices are endogenous
- It is very popular in the Industrial Organization literature and routinely applied by regulatory authorities, yet these is no official BLP Stata command!

# The Model

- Following Nevo(2005), assume we observe t = 1, ..., T markets consisting of I<sub>t</sub> consumers and J products. For each market, data is available on total quantities sold, prices and product characteristics of all J products
- Markets are assumed to be independent and can be cross-sectional (e.g. different cities) or repeated observations
- let u<sub>ijt</sub> denote the indirect utility that individual *i* experiences in market *t* when consuming product *j*, and assume this depends on a *K* × 1 vector of product characteristics x<sub>jt</sub>, price p<sub>jt</sub> an unobserved component ξ<sub>jt</sub>, and an idiosyncratic error ε<sub>ijt</sub>. If the utility function is quasi-linear utility, then:

$$u_{ijt} = \alpha_i (y_i - p_{jt}) + x'_{ijt} \beta_i + \xi_{jt} + \epsilon_{ijt}$$
(1)

where y<sub>i</sub> is income, β<sub>i</sub> is a K × 1 vector of coefficients and α<sub>i</sub> is the marginal utility of income.

# The Model

- Consumer i also has the choice to buy the outside product j = 0 with normalized utility u<sub>i0t</sub> = α<sub>i</sub>y<sub>i</sub> + ε<sub>i0t</sub>.
- Both β<sub>i</sub> and α<sub>i</sub> and assumed to be linear functions of characteristics D<sub>i</sub> and v<sub>i</sub> of dimensions d × 1 and (K + 1) × 1:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_i \\ \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \alpha_0 \end{pmatrix} + \Pi D_i + Lvi$$
 (2)

- where v<sub>i</sub> ~ iid(0, I<sub>K+1</sub>), D<sub>i</sub> ~ iid(0, Σ<sub>D</sub>), Π is a K + 1 × d matrix of coefficients, and LL' = Σ<sub>ν</sub>
- Although both D<sub>i</sub> and v<sub>i</sub> are unobserved, the distribution of the demographics D<sub>i</sub> including Σ<sub>D</sub> is assumed to be known
- This is not the case for v<sub>i</sub> where a parametric distribution is assumed (e.g. normal)
- ▶ In practice  $F_D(D)$  is the empirical non-parametric distribution

### The Model

▶ Define the set: A<sub>ijt</sub> = {e<sub>it</sub> : u<sub>ijt</sub> > u<sub>ikt</sub>, ∀j ≠ k}, then the probability that individual i selects product j in market t is

$$Pr_{ijt} = \int_{A_{ijt}} dF(\epsilon_{it} \mid D_i, v_i)$$
(3)

Integrating over the unobserved variables D<sub>i</sub> and v<sub>i</sub> yields:

$$Pr_{jt} = \int_{D_i} \int_{v_i} Pr_{ijt} dF(D_i \mid v_i) dF(v_i)$$
(4)

- ▶ where Pr<sub>jt</sub> is the same for all i and can be estimated by the product share s<sub>jt</sub> = <sup>q<sub>jt</sub></sup>/<sub>M<sub>t</sub></sub> where M<sub>t</sub> is the market size
- The error in this approximation is O(I<sub>t</sub><sup>-1/2</sup>) and will be negligible for large I<sub>t</sub> which is often the case

### The Model: Distributional Assumptions

To evaluate the integral in (3) first assume that \(\earlightarrow ijt\) are iidd and have a Type I extreme value distribution. Then:

$$Pr_{ijt} = \frac{\exp(x'_{ijt}\beta_i - \alpha_i p_{jt} + \xi_{jt})}{1 + \sum_k \exp(1 + x'_{ijt}\beta_i - \alpha_i p_{jt} + \xi_{jt})}$$
(5)

- To evaluate (4), it is necessary to specify the distributions of D<sub>i</sub> and v<sub>i</sub>. At one extreme, we could assume Σ<sub>D</sub> = Σ<sub>v</sub> = 0
- Although appealing, consider the price elasticities:

$$e_{jkt} = \begin{cases} -\alpha_0 p_{jt} (1 - s_{jt}) & \text{if } j = k; \\ -\alpha_0 p_{kt} s_{kt} & \text{if } j \neq k. \end{cases}$$

- As shares are often small, the own price elasticities will be proportional to price. This is unrealistic
- Furthermore, the cross price elasticities restrict proportionate increases to be identical for all goods

#### The Model: Distributional Assumptions

When preferences are allowed to differ, the elasticities will be:

$$e_{jkt} = \begin{cases} -\frac{p_{jt}}{s_{jt}} \int \alpha_i Pr_{ijt} (1 - Pr_{ijt}) dF(D_i, v_i) & \text{if } j = k; \\ \frac{p_{kt}}{s_{jt}} \int \alpha_i Pr_{ijt} Pr_{ikt} dF(D_i, v_i) & \text{if } j \neq k. \end{cases}$$

- The price sensitivity is now a probability weighted average, and can differ over products. As such the model allows for flexible substitution patterns
- ► To continue, assume v<sub>i</sub> ~ iidn(0, I<sub>K+1</sub>), let F(D<sub>i</sub>) be the EDF, and denote δ<sub>jt</sub> = x'<sub>jt</sub>β<sub>0</sub> α<sub>0</sub> + ξ<sub>jt</sub> as the mean-utility. Then the integral in (4) can be approximated by simulation:

$$s_{jt} = \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \frac{\exp(\delta_{jt} + [p_{jt}, x'_{jt}](\Pi D_r + Lv_i))}{1 + \sum_k \exp(\delta_{jt} + [p_{kt}, x'_{kt}](\Pi D_r + Lv_i))}$$
(6)

### Estimation

- As prices  $p_{jt}$  may be correlated with error  $\xi_{jt}$ , the parameters  $\beta_0, \alpha_0, L$  and  $\Pi$  are estimated by GMM.
- This is carried out in three steps excluding an initial step
  - 0. Draw R individuals  $v_1, ..., v_R$ , and  $D_1, ..., D_R$  from  $v_i$  an  $D_i$
  - 1. For a given value of  $\Pi, L$ , solve for the vector  $\delta = [\delta_{11}, ..., \delta_{JT}]'$  such that predicted shares using (4) equals observed shares
  - 2. Compute the sample-moment conditions  $T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z_t \xi_t$  where  $Z_t$  is a  $J \times I$  set of instruments, and form the GMM-objective function.
  - 3. Search for the values  $\beta_0, \alpha_0, \Pi, L$  that minimize the objective function in step 4
- ► To simplify the notation, let  $x_{jt}$  contain all variables, assume L is diagonal and define  $\theta = (\theta'_1, \theta'_2)'$ , where:

$$\theta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \beta_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \theta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{vec}(\Pi') \\ \operatorname{diag}(L) \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

For each market t = 1,..., T, we need the J × 1 vector δ<sub>t</sub> such that:

$$s(\delta_t, \theta_2) = s_t \tag{8}$$

- ▶ where s<sub>t</sub> = [s<sub>1t</sub>,..., s<sub>Jt</sub>]'. This system of J equations can be solved using the contraction mapping suggested by BLP.
- For a given vector  $\delta_t^n$ , this involves computing:

$$\delta_t^{n+1} = \delta_t^n + \log s_t - \log \left( s(\delta_t^n, \theta_2) \right) \tag{9}$$

- Iteration continues using (8) and (9) until ||δ<sub>t</sub><sup>n</sup> − δ<sub>t</sub><sup>n−1</sup>|| is below a specified tolerance level.
- In the Stata command blp, iteration is over w<sub>t</sub> = exp(δ<sub>t</sub>) and δ<sub>t</sub> is recovered at convergence. This saves considerable time

Let Z<sub>t</sub> be a J × I matrix of instruments that satisfies
 E[Z'<sub>t</sub>ξ<sub>t</sub>] = 0 and define the GMM-objective function as:

$$Q = \bar{h}'(\theta) W_T \bar{h}(\theta) \tag{10}$$

$$\hat{W} = (T^{-1}\hat{\sigma}_{\xi}^2 Z' Z)^{-1}$$
(11)

If instead the errors are assumed to be correlated over J and heteroskedastic over t, then:

$$\hat{W} = (T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} Z'_{t} \hat{\xi}_{t} \hat{\xi}'_{t} Z_{t})$$
(12)

 Estimation using (12) is carried out from an initial estimate of *θ* based on (11). This is often referred to as the two-step method

The GMM-estimator θ̂ is the vector that minimizes (10), and is the solution to the following first order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \theta_1} = X' Z W Z' \xi = 0$$
(13)

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial \theta_2} = D_{\theta_2} \delta' Z W Z' \xi = 0$$
(14)

• To reduce search-time,  $\theta_1$  can be written as a function of  $\theta_2$ 

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = (X' ZWZ' X)^{-1} X' ZWZ' \delta(\theta_2)$$
(15)

- The search is now limited to θ<sub>2</sub>, but to employ a Newton method, the analytical derivatives D<sub>θ2</sub>δ'<sub>t</sub> are required.
- ▶ By the implicit function theorem applied to  $s(\delta_t(\theta_2), \theta_2) = s_t$ :

$$D_{\theta_2}\delta_t' = -(D_{\delta_t}s_t)^{-1}D_{\theta_2}s_t \tag{16}$$

• The elements inside the matrices of (16) are:

$$D_{\theta_2}\delta'_t = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial s_{1t}}{\partial \delta_{1t}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial s_{1t}}{\partial \delta_{tt}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial s_{ft}}{\partial \delta_{1t}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial s_{ft}}{\partial \delta_{ft}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial s_{1t}}{\partial \sigma_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial s_{1t}}{\partial \sigma_{K_1}}, & \frac{\partial s_{1t}}{\partial \sigma_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial s_{1t}}{\partial \sigma_{K_1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial s_{ft}}{\partial \sigma_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial s_{ft}}{\partial \sigma_{K_1}}, & \frac{\partial s_{ft}}{\partial \sigma_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial s_{ft}}{\partial \sigma_{K_{1d}}} \end{pmatrix}$$

From equation (6), the derivatives are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial s_{jt}}{\partial \delta_{jt}} &= R^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} Pr_{rjt} (1 - Pr_{rjt}) \\ \frac{\partial s_{jt}}{\partial \delta_{mt}} &= R^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} Pr_{rjt} Pr_{rmt} \\ \frac{\partial s_{jt}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} &= R^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} Pr_{rjt} v_{rk} (x_{jtk} - \sum_{m=1}^{J} x_{mtk} s_{rmt}) \\ \frac{\partial s_{jt}}{\partial \pi_{kd}} &= R^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} Pr_{rjt} D_{rd} (x_{jtk} - \sum_{m=1}^{J} x_{mtk} s_{rmt}) \end{aligned}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

# Stata Command: blp

blp depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] (endogvars=instruments), (stochastic<sub>1</sub> = varlist<sub>1</sub>, ., stochastic<sub>K</sub> = varlist<sub>K</sub>) markets(string) draws(#),[vce(string),demofile(string),twostep]

- 1. endogvars specify endogenous variables and instruments
- 2. *stochastic* specify variable with random coefficient and demographic variables (if used)
- 3. markets input the market variable
- 4. draws specify the number of simulations
- 5. *vce* robust if one-step else standard demotfile specify the path and name of the demographics data (optional)
- 6. twostep uses optimal weighting matrix

### Syntax Example

blp s cons x1 x2, stochastic(x1=d1,x2,p) endog(p=p
x12 x22 expx1 p2 z1 z2) demofile(demodata)
markets(mkt) draws(100)

	-					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Mean Utility						
cons	6.5911	1.7905	3.68	0.000	3.081747	10.10048
x1	.21846	.75414	0.29	0.772	-1.259628	1.696563
x2	.95836	.061	15.47	0.000	.8369611	1.079762
р	85106	.04698	-18.11	0.000	943158	7589756
x1						
d1	.57208	.26406	2.17	0.030	.054534	1.089643
SD	.49639	.09599	5.17	0.000	.3082529	.6845402
x2						
SD	.94895	.26694	3.55	0.000	.4257588	1.472146
р						
SD	.26390	.36210	0.73	0.466	445815	.9736282

Random coefficients logit model estimates

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへ⊙

#### Monte Carlo Experiments: DGP

► To exmaine the properties of the estimator, data is generated from the following DGP where J = 25 and T = 30

$$\begin{array}{rcl} u_{ijt} &=& 10 + \beta_{1i} x_{1jt} + \beta_{2i} x_{2jt} + \alpha_i p_{jt} + \xi_{jt} + \epsilon_{ijt} \\ \alpha_i &\sim & \mathcal{N}(-1, 0.5) \\ \beta_{1i} &\sim & \mathcal{N}(1, 1) \\ \beta_{2i} &\sim & \mathcal{N}(1, 1) \\ \epsilon_{ijt} &\sim & EV \\ \begin{pmatrix} x_{1jt} \\ x_{2jt} \end{pmatrix} &\sim & \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{array}{c} 2 & 0.2 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{array}{c} 0.2 & 2 \end{array} \right] \\ p_{jt} &\sim & \mathcal{N}(10, 1) \\ x_{it} &\sim & U(0, 1) \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Monte Carlo Experiments: Parameter Estimates

▶ The following table sets out the mean and standard deviation of the parameter estimates  $\sigma_{\beta_1}$ ,  $\sigma_{\beta_2}$ ,  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  from 50 replications using 500 draws for each.

#### Monte Carlo Results

	X1	X2	Price
True Parameter	1	1	-0.5
Mean	1.046	1.027	-0.538
Standard deviation	0.162	0.146	0.121

Monte Carlo Experiments: Logit Elasticities

The following table sets out the price elasticities from the logit model assuming homogeneous preferences

Logit Price Elasticities

Product	1	2	3	4	5
1	-7.94469	0.139519	0.124729	0.17224	0.049108
2	0.061907	-7.53451	0.124729	0.17224	0.049108
3	0.061907	0.139519	-7.67698	0.17224	0.049108
4	0.061907	0.139519	0.124729	-7.56353	0.049108
5	0.061907	0.139519	0.124729	0.17224	-7.84014

Monte Carlo Experiments: Random Parameter Logit Elasticities

The following table set out the price elasticities from the random-parameters logit model.

Logit Price Elasticities

Product	1	2	3	4	5
1	-4.24647	0.018311	0.001294	0.009764	0.161759
2	0.338069	-1.25824	0.145928	0.108114	0.504705
3	0.167242	1.021352	-0.23844	0.089002	0.247704
4	0.749224	0.449351	0.052852	-0.06635	0.291488
5	1.733515	0.292954	0.020543	0.040708	-0.76139