CRTREES: AN IMPLEMENTATION OF CLASSIFICATION AND REGRESSION TREES (CART) & RANDOM FORESTS IN STATA

Ricardo Mora

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Madrid, October 2019

・ロ・ ・ 四・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・ ・

Outline



2 Algorithms









< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Introduction

Decision trees

- Decision tree-structured models are predictive models that use tree-like diagrams
 - Classification trees: the target variable takes a finite set of values
 - Regression trees: the target variable takes real numbers

Decision trees

- Decision tree-structured models are predictive models that use tree-like diagrams
 - Classification trees: the target variable takes a finite set of values
 - Regression trees: the target variable takes real numbers
- Each branch in the tree represents a sample split criterion

Decision trees

- Decision tree-structured models are predictive models that use tree-like diagrams
 - Classification trees: the target variable takes a finite set of values
 - Regression trees: the target variable takes real numbers
- Each branch in the tree represents a sample split criterion
- Several Approaches:
 - Chi-square automated interaction detection, CHAID (Kass 1980; Biggs et al. 1991)
 - Classification and Regression Trees, CART (Breiman et al. 1984)
 - Random Forests (Breiman 2001; Scornet et al. 2015)

A simple tree structure



5/52



• CART objective is to estimate a binary tree structure

CART

- CART objective is to estimate a binary tree structure
- It performs three algorithms:
 - *Tree-growing*: step-optimal recursive partition (LS on 50 cells with at most two terminal nodes $\approx 6 \times 10^{14}$ models)
 - Tree-pruning & Obtaining the honest tree

CART

- CART objective is to estimate a binary tree structure
- It performs three algorithms:
 - *Tree-growing*: step-optimal recursive partition (LS on 50 cells with at most two terminal nodes $\approx 6 \times 10^{14}$ models)
 - Tree-pruning & Obtaining the honest tree
- The last two algorithms attempt to minimize *overfitting* (growing trees with no external validity)
 - test sample
 - cross-validation, bootstrap

CART

- CART objective is to estimate a binary tree structure
- It performs three algorithms:
 - *Tree-growing*: step-optimal recursive partition (LS on 50 cells with at most two terminal nodes $\approx 6 \times 10^{14}$ models)
 - Tree-pruning & Obtaining the honest tree
- The last two algorithms attempt to minimize *overfitting* (growing trees with no external validity)
 - test sample
 - cross-validation, bootstrap
- In Stata, modules <chaid> perform CHAID and <cart> performs CART analysis for failure time data

Random Forests

Random forests is an *ensemble learning* method to generate predictions using tree structures

Random Forests

- Random forests is an *ensemble learning* method to generate predictions using tree structures
- *Ensemble learning* method: use of many strategically generated models
 - First step: create multitude of (presumably over-fitted) trees with tree-growing algorithm
 - The multitude of trees are obtained by random sampling (bagging) and by random choice of splitting variables
 - Second step: case predictions are built using modes (in classification) and averages (in regression)

Random Forests

- Random forests is an *ensemble learning* method to generate predictions using tree structures
- *Ensemble learning* method: use of many strategically generated models
 - First step: create multitude of (presumably over-fitted) trees with tree-growing algorithm
 - The multitude of trees are obtained by random sampling (bagging) and by random choice of splitting variables
 - Second step: case predictions are built using modes (in classification) and averages (in regression)
- In Stata, <sctree> is a Stata wrapper for the R functions "tree()", "randomForest()", and "gbm()"
 - Classification tree with optimal pruning, bagging, boosting, and random forests

Algorithms

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

• Requires a so-called training or learning sample

- Requires a so-called training or learning sample
- At iteration *i* with tree structure T_i consider all terminal nodes $t^*(T_i)$
 - Classification: Let *i*(*T_i*) be an overall impurity measure (using the gini or entropy index)
 - Regression: Let *i*(*T_i*) be the residual sum of squares in all terminal nodes
 - The best split at iteration *i* identifies the terminal node and split criterion that maximizes *i*(*T_i*) - *i*(*T_{i+1}*)

- Requires a so-called training or learning sample
- At iteration *i* with tree structure T_i consider all terminal nodes $t^*(T_i)$
 - Classification: Let *i*(*T_i*) be an overall impurity measure (using the gini or entropy index)
 - Regression: Let *i*(*T_i*) be the residual sum of squares in all terminal nodes
 - The best split at iteration *i* identifies the terminal node and split criterion that maximizes *i*(*T_i*) *i*(*T_{i+1}*)
- *Recursive partitioning* ends with the largest possible tree, T_{MAX} where there are no nodes to split or the number of observations reach a lower limit (splitting rule)

• In a trivial setting, the result is equivalent to dividing the sample into all possible cells and computing within-cell least squares

- In a trivial setting, the result is equivalent to dividing the sample into all possible cells and computing within-cell least squares
- Overfitting: T_{MAX} will usually be too complex in the sense that it has no external validity and some terminal nodes should be aggregated
 - Besides, a more simplified structure will normally lead to more accurate estimates since the number of observations in each terminal node grows as aggregation takes place

- In a trivial setting, the result is equivalent to dividing the sample into all possible cells and computing within-cell least squares
- Overfitting: *T_{MAX}* will usually be too complex in the sense that it has no external validity and some terminal nodes should be aggregated
 - Besides, a more simplified structure will normally lead to more accurate estimates since the number of observations in each terminal node grows as aggregation takes place
- However, if aggregation goes too far, aggregation bias becomes a serious problem

 In order to avoid overfitting, CART identifies a sequence of nested trees that results from recursive aggregation of nodes from T_{MAX} with a clustering procedure

- In order to avoid overfitting, CART identifies a sequence of nested trees that results from recursive aggregation of nodes from T_{MAX} with a clustering procedure
- For a given value α , let $R(\alpha, T) = R(T) + \alpha |T|$ where |T| denotes the number of terminal nodes, or complexity, of tree *T* and R(T) is the MSE in regression or the misclassification rate in classification

- In order to avoid overfitting, CART identifies a sequence of nested trees that results from recursive aggregation of nodes from T_{MAX} with a clustering procedure
- For a given value α , let $R(\alpha, T) = R(T) + \alpha |T|$ where |T| denotes the number of terminal nodes, or complexity, of tree *T* and R(T) is the MSE in regression or the misclassification rate in classification
- The optimal tree for a given α, T (α), minimizes R (α, T) within the set of subtrees of T_{MAX}
 - T (α) belongs to a much broader set than the sequence of trees obtained in the growing algorithm

- In order to avoid overfitting, CART identifies a sequence of nested trees that results from recursive aggregation of nodes from T_{MAX} with a clustering procedure
- For a given value α , let $R(\alpha, T) = R(T) + \alpha |T|$ where |T| denotes the number of terminal nodes, or complexity, of tree *T* and R(T) is the MSE in regression or the misclassification rate in classification
- The optimal tree for a given α, T (α), minimizes R (α, T) within the set of subtrees of T_{MAX}
 - T (α) belongs to a much broader set than the sequence of trees obtained in the growing algorithm
- *Pruning* identifies a sequence of real positive numbers $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_M\}$ such that $\alpha_j < \alpha_{j+1}$ and $T_{MAX} \equiv T(\alpha_0) \succ T(\alpha_1) \succ T(\alpha_2) \succ ... \succ \{\text{root}\}$

Honest tree (CART)

• Out of the sequence of optimal trees, $\{T(\alpha_j)\}_j$, T_{MAX} has lowest R(T) in the learning sample by construction and $R(\cdot)$ increases with α

Honest tree (CART)

- Out of the sequence of optimal trees, $\{T(\alpha_j)\}_j$, T_{MAX} has lowest R(T) in the learning sample by construction and $R(\cdot)$ increases with α
- The *honest tree* algorithm chooses the simplest tree that minimizes

 $R(T) + s \times SE(R(T)), \qquad s \ge 0$

- With partitioning into a learning and a test sample, R(T) and SE (R(T)) are obtained using the test sample
- With *V*-fold cross validation the sample is randomly partitioned *V* times into a learning and a test sample. For each α_j , R(T) and SE (R(T)) are obtained through averaging of results in the *V* partitions
- With the bootstrap under regression, s > 0 and SE (R (T_{MAX})) is obtained using the bootstrap

T_{MAX}: 5 terminal nodes



13/52

T₁: Node 2 becomes terminal



14/52

T₂: Node 1 becomes terminal



T₂: Node 1 becomes terminal

1

The sequence of optimal trees is

$$\{T_{MAX}, T_1, T_2 \equiv \{\mathsf{root}\}\}$$

with $|T_{MAX}| = 5$, $|T_1| = 4$, $|T_2| = 1$

T₂: Node 1 becomes terminal

• The sequence of optimal trees is

$$\{T_{MAX}, T_1, T_2 \equiv \{\mathsf{root}\}\}$$

with $|T_{MAX}| = 5$, $|T_1| = 4$, $|T_2| = 1$

 Using a test sample, among the three we would choose the tree that gives a smaller R^{ts} (T) + s × SE (R^{ts} (T))

CART properties

 Some basic results for recursive partitioning can be found in (Breiman et al. 1984, ch.12)

CART properties

- Some basic results for recursive partitioning can be found in (Breiman et al. 1984, ch.12)
- Consistency requires an ever more dense sample at all n-dimensional balls of the input space
CART properties

- Some basic results for recursive partitioning can be found in (Breiman et al. 1984, ch.12)
- Consistency requires an ever more dense sample at all n-dimensional balls of the input space
- Cost-complexity minimization together with test-sample R(·) should help this condition is not to strong

CART properties

- Some basic results for recursive partitioning can be found in (Breiman et al. 1984, ch.12)
- Consistency requires an ever more dense sample at all n-dimensional balls of the input space
- Cost-complexity minimization together with test-sample R(·) should help this condition is not to strong
- For small samples correlation in splitting variables
 - induces instability in the tree topology
 - interpretation of the contribution of each splitting variable is problematic

- Bagging is an ensemble method that reduces the problem of overfitting by trading off large bias in each model considered with higher accuracy and less bias by aggregating results from all models considered
 - **b**ootstrapping to generate a multitude of models
 - aggregating to make a final prediction (mode in classification; average in regression)

- Bagging is an ensemble method that reduces the problem of overfitting by trading off large bias in each model considered with higher accuracy and less bias by aggregating results from all models considered
 - **b**ootstrapping to generate a multitude of models
 - aggregating to make a final prediction (mode in classification; average in regression)
- Two methods to simultaneously obtain alternative models:
 - Sampling observations
 - Sampling splitting variables

- Bagging is an ensemble method that reduces the problem of overfitting by trading off large bias in each model considered with higher accuracy and less bias by aggregating results from all models considered
 - **b**ootstrapping to generate a multitude of models
 - aggregating to make a final prediction (mode in classification; average in regression)
- Two methods to simultaneously obtain alternative models:
 - Sampling observations
 - Sampling splitting variables
- Focus in Random Forests is on prediction, not interpretation

Large sample properties

- Breiman et al. (1984): Consistency in recursive splitting algorithms
- Sexton and Laake (2009): Jackknife standard error estimator in bagged ensemble
- Mentch and Hooker (2014): Asymptotic sampling distribution in Random Forests
- Efron (2014): Estimators for standard errors for the predictions in bagged Random Forests (Infinitesimal Jackknife and the Jackknife-after-Bootstrap)
- Scornet et al. (2015): First consistency result for the original Breiman (2001) algorithm in the context of regression models

crtrees

The crtrees ado

crtrees depvar varlist [if] [in], options

- *depvar*: output variable (discrete in classification)
- *varlist*: splitting variables (binary, ordinal, or cardinal)
- the command implements both CART and Random Forests in classification and regression problems
- by default, the command performs Regression Trees (CART in a regression problem) with a constant in each terminal node using a test sample with 50 percent the original sample size, and the 0 SE rule for estimating the honest tree

Model Options

- <u>rforests</u>: performs growing the tree and bagging. By default, crtrees performs CART
- <u>class</u>ification: performs classification trees
- <u>gen</u>erate (*newvar*): new variable name for model predictions. This is required when options st_code and/or rforests are used
- <u>boot</u>straps(#): only available for regression trees (to obtain SE(*T_{MAX}*)) and for rforests (for bagging)
- seed(#), stop(#): seed for random number generator and stopping rule for growing the tree

Options for regression problems (both in CART and Random Forests)

• <u>regressors</u> (*varlist*): controls in terminal nodes. A regression line is estimated in each terminal node

• <u>nocon</u>stant: regression line does not include the constant

• **level (#)**: sets confidence level for regression output display when test sample is used (this option is available with CART)

Options for classification problems (both in CART and Random Forests)

- impurity(string): impurity measure, either "gini" or "entropy"
- priors(string): Stata matrix with prior class probabilities
 (learning sample frequencies by default)
- <u>costs(string)</u>: name of Stata matrix with costs of misclassification. By default, they are 0 in diagonal and 1 elsewhere
- detail: displays additional statistics for terminal nodes

CART options

- **lss**ize(#): proportion of the learning sample (default is 0.5)
- <u>ts</u>ample(*newvar*): identifies test sample observations (e(sample) includes also the learning sample)
- <u>vcv</u> (#): sets V-fold cross validation parameter
- <u>rule</u>(#): SE rule to identify honest tree
- tree: text representation of estimated tree
- <u>st_code</u>: Stata code to generate tree predictions

Random Forests options

- <u>rsplit</u>ting(#): relative size for subsample splitting variables (default is 0.33)
- rsampling(#): relative subsample size (default is 1, with replacement; otherwise, without replacement)
- **oob**: out-of-bag misclassification costs using observations not included in their bootstrap sample (default is using all observations)
- <u>ij</u>: standard errors using Infinitessimal Jacknife (the nonparametric delta method); only available with regression problems; default is jackknife-after-bootstrap
- **save**<u>trees</u>(<u>string</u>): name of file to save mata matrices from multitude of trees
 - this is required to run predict after crtrees with rforests option. No automatic replacement of existing file is allowed. If unspecified, crtrees, will save in the current working directory the file matatrees 25/52

crtrees_p

After crtrees, we can use predict to obtain model predictions in the same or alternative samples

- the model predictions are computed using the honest tree under CART, the average prediction of all trees from *bagging* with rforest in a regression problem, or the most popular vote from all trees from *bagging* with rforests in a classification problem
- with rforest in a regression problem, it also creates a new variable with the standard error of the prediction using all trees from *bagging*
- with rforests in a classification problem, it also creates a new variable containing the bootstrap misclassification cost (by default, the probability of misclassification) using all trees from *bagging*

ヘロト ヘポト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Examples with auto data

Regression trees without controls

- regression trees with sample partition, learning sample 0.5 and 2 SE rule
- the seed is required to ensure replicability because partitioning the sample is random

Regression trees without controls (cont'd)

```
Regression Trees with learning and test samples (SE rule: 2)
Learning Sample
                                             Test Sample
|T*|
            = 2
Number of obs = 37
                                             Number of obs = 37
                                                        = 0.3769
R-squared = 0.5330
                                             R-squared
Avg Dep Var = 6205.378
                                             Avg Dep Var = 6125.135
Root MSE = 2133.378
                                             Root MSE = 2287.073
Terminal node results.
Node 2:
    Characteristics:
       1760<=weight<=3740
       2.24<=gear ratio<=3.89
    Number of obs = 32
    Average = 5329.125
    Std.Err. = 329.8
Node 3:
    Characteristics:
       3830<=weight<=4840
      149<=length<=233
       2.19<=gear_ratio<=3.81
    Number of obs =
    Average = 11813.4
    Std.Err. = 1582
```

Regression trees with controls

• variable weight is both splitting variable and control

- growing the tree stops when the regression cannot be computed or when the number of observations is smaller or equal to 5
- new variable y_hat includes predictions

Regression trees with controls (cont'd)

| Regression Tre | ees with lear | ning and tes | t samples | (SE rul | le: 1) | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|---------|------------|--------------|
| Learning Samp | le = 2 | | | Test | : Sample | |
| Number of obs | = 44 | | | Numk | per of obs | = 30 |
| R-squared | = 0.5814 | | | R-sc | quared | = 0.4423 |
| Avg Dep Var | = 6175.091 | | | Avg | Dep Var | = 6150.833 |
| Root MSE | = 2008.796 | | | Root | MSE | = 2258.638 |
| Terminal node | results: | | | | | |
| Node 2: Characte | ristics: | | | | | |
| 14/<=. | rengtn<=233 | | | | | |
| 2 19< | guu eqear ratio/=' | 3 81 | | | | |
| Number of | f obs = | 29 | | | | |
| Humber 0. | 2 000 | 2.5 | | R-sc | quared | = 0.4900 |
| price | Coef. | Std. Err. | Z | ₽> z | [95% Coni | f. Interval] |
| weight | 3.185787 | .6643858 | 4.80 | 0.000 | 1.883614 | 4.487959 |
| _const | -4520.597 | 2219.4 | -2.04 | 0.042 | -8870.54 | -170.653 |
| Node 3. | | | | | | |
| Characte | rietice. | | | | | |
| forei | rn==1 | | | | | |
| 2.24< | =αear ratio<= | 3.89 | | | | |
| Number o: | f obs = | 15 | | | | |
| | | | | R-sc | quared | = 0.7650 |
| price | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | P> z | [95% Coni | f. Interval] |
| weight | 5.277319 | .607164 | 8.69 | 0.000 | 4.0873 | 6.467339 |
| _const | -5702.361 | 1452.715 | -3.93 | 0.000 | -8549.629 | -2855.092 |
| | 1 | | | | | |

31/52

Classification trees with V-fold cross-validation

crtrees foreign price trunk, class stop(10) vcv(20)
 seed(12345) detail tree rule(0.5)

- en each partition, 100/20=5 percent of the sample is test sample
- additional information is presented in the terminal nodes
- tree text representation is displayed

Examples

Classification trees with V-fold cross-validation (cont'd)

```
Classification Trees with V-fold Cross Validation (SE rule: .5)
Impurity measure: Gini
Sample
                                                      V-fold cross validation
Number of obs = 74
                                                  v
                                                                  =
             = 3
|T*|
              = 0.1622
B(T+)
                                                  R(T*)
                                                                       0.2472
                                                  SE(R(T*))
                                                                       0.1104
                                                                  =
Text representation of tree:
At node 1 if trunk <= 15.5 go to node 2 else go to node 3
At node 2 if price <= 5006.5 go to node 4 else go to node 5
Terminal node results.
Node 3.
     Characteristics:
        16<=trunk<=23
     Class predictor =
     r(t)
                          0 065
                    =
    Number of obs =
                             31
     Pr(foreign=0) =
                          0.935
     Pr(foreign=1) =
                          0.065
Node 4:
    Characteristics:
        3291<=price<=4934
        5<=trunk<=15
     Class predictor =
                              0
     r(t)
                    =
                          0.259
    Number of obs
                  =
    Pr(foreign=0) =
                          0.741
    Pr(foreign=1) =
                          0.259
Node 5:
     Characteristics:
        5079<=price<=15906
        5<=trunk<=15
     Class predictor =
     r(t)
                   =
                          0.188
    Number of obs
                           16
                   =
     Pr(foreign=0)
                    =
                          0.188
     Pr(foreign=1)
                   =
                          0.812
```

Automatic generation of Stata code

crtrees foreign price trunk, class stop(10) vcv(20) seed(12345) detail tree rule(0.5) st_code gen(pr_class)

- options generate() and st_code are required
- in the output display, we can find Stata code lines to generate predictions
- this code can be copied and pasted into do files or can be used as guidance to generate code in other software

Examples

Automatic generation of Stata code (cont'd)

Classification Trees with V-fold Cross Validation (SE rule: .5) Impurity measure: Gini Sample V-fold cross validation Number of obs - 74 |T + | - 3 B(T+) = 0.16220.2472 SE(R(T*)) 0.1104 -Text representation of tree: At node 1 if trunk <= 15.5 go to node 2 else go to node 3 At node 2 if price <= 5006.5 go to node 4 else go to node 5 Terminal node results: Node 3: Characteristics: 16<-trunk<-23 Class predictor --Number of obs -Pr(foreign=0) = Pr(foreign=1) = 0.065 Node 4: Characteristics: 3291<-price<-4934 5<-trunk<-15 Class predictor --Number of obs -27 Pr(foreign=0) = 0.741 Pr(foreign=1) = 0.259 Node 5. Characteristics. 5079<-price<-15906 5<-trunk<-15 Class predictor r(t) 0.188 Number of obs -16 0.188 Pr(foreign=0) = Pr(foreign=1) = 0.812 // Stata code to generate predictions generate pr class-. replace pr class=0 if 3291<=price & price<=15906 & 16<=trunk & trunk<=23 replace pr class=0 if 3291<-price & price<-4934 & 5<-trunk & trunk<-15 replace pr class=1 if 5079<-price & price<-15906 & 5<-trunk & trunk<-15 // end of Stata code to generate predictions

Random forests with regression

crtrees price trunk weight, rforests regressors(weight)
 generate(p_hat) bootstraps(500)

- Random Forests requires options rforests, generate, and bootstraps
- subsampling and random selection of splitting variables is controlled with options rsampling and rsplitting

Random forests with regression (cont'd)

| Random Forests | s: Regression | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Bootstrap repl | lications (550) | | | | |
| 100 | 200 | 300 40 | 00 | 00 | |
| | | | | | |
| Dep. Variable Splitting Vari Regressors = Bootstraps = | = price iables = trunk weight 550 | weight | | | |
| - | | | Number of | obs = | 74 |
| | | | R-squared | = | 0.6079 |
| | | | Model root | SS = | 19649 |
| | | | Residual r | oot SS = | 16098 |
| | | | Total root | SS = | 25201 |
| Variable | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
| p_hat p_hat_se | 74 74 | 5954.731 2418.164 | 2299.715 -23 3974.634 3 | 284.176 46.2865 | 12357.13 31753.67 |
| Jacknife-after | r-Bootstrap Sta | ndard Errors | 5 | | |

(Note: computing time: 4.62 seconds)

predict

Under CART, the model uses the honest tree:

- . crtrees price trunk weight length, seed(12345)
- predict price_hat
- Under Random Forest, crtrees creates mata matrix file where all trees in the forest are stored (by default this matrix is named matatrees and saved in the working directory)
 - . !rm -f mytrees
 - . crtrees price trunk weight length foreign gear_ratio ///
 in 1/50,reg(weight foreign) stop(5) lssize(0.6) ///
 generate(p_hat) seed(12345) rsplitting(.4) rforests ///
 bootstraps(500) ij savetrees("mytrees")
 - . predict p_hat2 p_hat_sd in 51/1, opentrees("mytrees")

predict (cont'd)

| Random Forests | : Regression | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------|--|
| Bootstrap repl | lications (500 |) | | | | |
| 100 | 200 | 300 40 | 0 500 | | | |
| 1 | 1 1 | | | 500 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Dep. Variable | = price | | | | | |
| Splitting Vari | lables = trunk | weight lengt | in foreign g | ear_ratio | | |
| Regressors = | weight foreig | n | | | | |
| Bootstraps = | 500 | | | | | |
| | | | Number o | i obs = | 50 | |
| | | | R-square | d = | 0.7851 | |
| | | | Model ro | ot SS = | 19466 | |
| | | | Residual | root SS = | 7141 | |
| | | | Total ro | ot SS = | 21968 | |
| Variable | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max | |
| p_hat | 50 | 6149.417 | 2780.845 | 3613.405 | 13514.61 | |
| p_hat_se | 50 | 787.3381 | 680.1503 | 153.0508 | 3034.261 | |
| Infinitessimal | l Jacknife Sta | ndard Errors | | | | |

| Variable | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|----------|-----|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| p_hat2 | 24 | 4114.012 | 389.0997 | 3049.175 | 4691.118 |
| p_hat_sd | 24 | 1722.967 | 1096.124 | 571.7336 | 4666.17 |

Simulations

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ○ < ○ 40/52

Simulation 1: Regression Trees with constant



 $s_1 \in \{2, 4, 6, 8\}, s_2 \in \{3, 6, 9, 12\}, s_3 = 0.9 \times s_1$

crtrees y s1 s2 s3, stop(5) rule(2)

Regression Trees with learning and test samples (SE rule: 2)

Learning Sample |T*| = 3 Number of obs = 524R-squared = 0.5294 Avg Dep Var = 0.637 Root MSE = 1.034 Terminal node results: Node 3: Characteristics. 6<=s1<=8 Number of obs = 255 Average = 1.638653 Std.Err. = .06302 Node 4. Characteristics. 2<=s1<=4 s2==3 Number of obs = 60 Average = -1.600958 Std.Err. = .1316 Node 5: Characteristics: 2<=s1<=4 6<=s2<=12 Number of obs = 209 Average = .0571202 Std.Err. = .06808

 Number of obs
 =
 476

 R-squared
 =
 0.6102

 Avg Dep Var
 =
 0.654

 Root MSE
 =
 0.972

Test Sample

Simulation 2: RT with regression line



crtrees y s1 s2, reg(x1) stop(5)

Regression Trees with learning and test samples (SE rule: 2)

| Learning Samp | Test Sample | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Number of obs R-squared Avg Dep Var Root MSE | - 504 - 0.6420 - 0.620 - 0.987 | | | Numb R-sq Avg Root | er of obs puared Dep Var . MSE | - - (| 496 0.5200 0.690 1.030 |
| Terminal node | results: | | | | | | |
| Node 3: Characte: 6<=s1- | ristics: <=8 | | | | | | |
| Number o: | f obs = | 248 | | R-sq | puared | - 0 | .0121 |
| У | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | P> z | [95% Con | f. Inte | rval] |
| x _const | .117363 1.758492 | .0700327 | 1.68 27.31 | 0.094 | 0198986 1.632307 | .25 | 46246 |
| Node 4: Character 2<=s1 s2=-3 Number o: | ristics: <-4 f obs - | 76 | | | | | |
| | | | | R-sq | puared | - (| .5551 |
| У | Coef. | Std. Err. | Z | P> z | [95% Con | f. Inte | rval] |
| x _const | 1.087398 -1.529997 | .1084246 | 10.03 -13.06 | 0.000 | .8748901 -1.759632 | 1.2 | 99907 |
| | | | | | | _ | |
| Node 5: Character 2<=s1- 6<=s2- Number o: | ristics: <-4 <-12 f obs - | 180 | | P=00 | mared | | 0150 |
| Node 5: Characte: 2<=s1- 6<=s2- Number o: | ristics: <-4 <-12 f obs = | 180 | | R-sq | puared | - (| 0.0150 |
| Node 5: Character 2<=s1- 6<=s2- Number or y | ristics: <=4 f obs = Coef. | 180 Std. Err. | z | R-sq P> z | puared [95% Con | - (f. Inte | 0.0150 erval] |

Simulation 3: Classification trees



 $\textbf{Class} \in \left\{0,1\right\}, s_1 \in \left\{2,4,6,8\right\}, s_2 \in \left\{3,6,9,12\right\}$

crtrees Class s1 s2, class

Classification Trees with learning and test samples (SE rule: 1) Impurity measure: Gini Learning Sample Test Sample Number of obs = 526 Number of obs = 474 |T*| = 3 R(T*) = 0.1958R(T*) = 0.2229 SE(R(T*)) = 0.0191 Terminal node results: Node 3. Characteristics: 6<=91<=8 Class predictor = 0 r(t) = 0.097 Number of obs = 277 Node 4. Characteristics. 2<=s1<=4 3<=92<=6 Class predictor = r(t) 0.289 = Number of obs = 121 Node 5. Characteristics: 2<=91<=4 9<=s2<=12 Class predictor = r(t) = 0 320 Number of obs = 128

Simulation 4: Classification trees with 3 classes



Class $\in \{1, 2, 3\}, s_1 \in \{2, 4, 6, 8\}, s_2 \in \{3, 6, 9, 12\}$
crtrees Class s1 s2, class stop(5) rule(0)

```
Classification Trees with learning and test samples (SE rule: 0)
Impurity measure: Gini
Learning Sample
                                               Test Sample
Number of obs = 522
                                               Number of obs =
|T*| = 3
R(T*)
            = 0.1973
                                               R(T*)
                                                            = 0.2038
                                               SE(R(T*))
                                                                  0.0184
                                                             =
Terminal node results:
Node 3.
    Characteristics:
       6<=91<=8
    Class predictor =
                          3
    r(t)
                   =
                         0.112
    Number of obs =
Node 4.
    Characteristics.
       2<=s1<=4
       3<=92<=6
    Class predictor =
    r(t)
                         0.311
                  =
    Number of obs =
                         148
Node 5.
    Characteristics:
       2<=91<=4
       9<=s2<=12
    Class predictor =
                          2
    r(t)
                   =
                         0 234
    Number of obs =
                          124
```

478

Extensions

- combining splitting variables in a single step
- categorical splitting variables
- graphs producing tree representation and sequences of *R*(*T*) estimates
- boosting
- use of random forests for PO-based inference in high-dimensional parameters

Thank you

- Biggs, D., B. De Ville, and E. Suen (1991). A method of choosing multiway partitions for classification and decision trees. *Journal of applied statistics* 18(1), 49–62.
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. Machine learning 45(1), 5-32.
- Breiman, L., J. Friedman, R. Olshen, and C. Stone (1984). *Classification and Regression Trees.* Belmont,CA: Wadsworth.
- Efron, B. (2014). Estimation and accuracy after model selection. Journal of the American Statistical Association 109(507), 991–1007.
- Kass, G. V. (1980). An exploratory technique for investigating large quantities of categorical data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics) 29*(2), 119–127.
- Mentch, L. and G. Hooker (2014). Ensemble trees and clts: Statistical inference for supervised learning. *stat 1050*, 25.
- Scornet, E., G. Biau, J.-P. Vert, et al. (2015). Consistency of random forests. *The Annals of Statistics* 43(4), 1716–1741.

Sexton, J. and P. Laake (2009). Standard errors for bagged and random forest estimators. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis 53*(3), 801–811.