

**SIMPLIFIED STANDARD ERRORS FOR MULTI-STAGE  
REGRESSION-BASED ESTIMATORS**

**Joseph V. Terza  
Department of Economics  
University of North Carolina at Greensboro  
Greensboro, NC 27402-6165  
Phone: (336) 334-4892  
E-mail: [jvterza@uncg.edu](mailto:jvterza@uncg.edu)**

**(July, 2012)**

**Please cite:**

**Terza, J.V. (2012a): “Correct Standard Errors for Multi-Stage Regression-Based Estimators: A Practitioner’s Guide with Illustrations,” Unpublished manuscript, Department of Economics, University of North Carolina at Greensboro.**

## Motivation

- Focus here is on two-stage optimization estimators (2SOE)
- Asymptotic theory for 2SOE (correct standard errors) available for many years
  - Both stages are maximum likelihood estimators (MLE)

**Murphy, K.M., and Topel, R.H. (1985): "Estimation and Inference in Two-Step Econometric Models," *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 3, 370-379.**

- More general cases

**Newey, W.K. and McFadden, D. (1994): Large Sample Estimation and Hypothesis Testing, *Handbook of Econometrics*, Engle, R.F., and McFadden, D.L., Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B.V., 2111-2245, Chapter 36.**

**White, H. (1994): *Estimation, Inference and Specification Analysis*, New York: Cambridge University Press.**

## Motivation (cont'd)

### -- Textbook treatments of the subject

Cameron, A.C. and Trivedi, P.K. (2005): *Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications*," New York: Cambridge University Press.

Greene (2008): *Econometric Analysis, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition*, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson, Prentice-Hall.

Wooldridge, J.M. (2010): *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.* Cambridge.

-- Nonetheless, applied researchers often implement resampling methods or ignore the two-stage nature of the estimator and report the uncorrected outputs from packaged statistical software.

## Motivation (cont'd)

- With a view toward easy software implementation (in Stata), we offer the practitioner a simplification of the textbook asymptotic covariance matrix formulations (and their estimators – standard errors) for the most commonly encountered versions of the 2SOE -- those involving MLE or the nonlinear least squares (NLS) method in either stage.
- We cast the discussion in the context of regression models involving endogeneity – a sampling problem whose solution often requires a 2SOE.

## Motivation (cont'd)

-- In the paper -- we detail our simplified covariance specifications (standard errors) for three very useful estimators in applied contexts involving endogeneity:

1) The two-stage residual inclusion (2SRI) estimator suggested by Terza et al.

(2008) for nonlinear models with endogenous regressors

Terza, J., Basu, A. and Rathouz, P. (2008): “Two-Stage Residual Inclusion Estimation: Addressing Endogeneity in Health Econometric Modeling,” *Journal of Health Economics*, 27, 531-543.

2) The two-stage sample selection estimator (2SSS) developed by Terza (2009)

for nonlinear models with endogenous sample selection

Terza, J.V. (2009): “Parametric Nonlinear Regression with Endogenous Switching,” *Econometric Reviews*, 28, 555-580.

## Motivation (cont'd)

and

3) Causal incremental and marginal effects estimators proposed by Terza (2012b).

Terza, J.V. (2012b): "Health Policy Analysis via Nonlinear Regression Methods: Estimation and Inference from a Potential Outcomes Perspective, Unpublished manuscript, Department of Economics, University of North Carolina at Greensboro.

-- In this presentation we will discuss (1) and (2) – 2SRI and Causal Effects

-- We will detail the analytics and Stata code for our simplified standard error formulae for both of these and give an illustrative example of the latter.

## 2SOE and Their Asymptotic Standard Errors

-- The parameter vector of interest is partitioned as  $\omega' = [\delta' \ \gamma']$  and estimated in two-stages:

-- First, an estimate of  $\delta$  is obtained as the optimizer of an appropriately specified first-stage objective function

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q_1(\delta, V_i) \tag{1}$$

where  $V_i$  denotes the relevant subvector of the observable data for the  $i$ th sample individual ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ); e.g., if the first-stage implements the nonlinear least squares (NLS) method

$$q_1(\delta, V_i) = -(\mathbf{X}_{pi} - \mathbf{W}_i\delta)^2$$

## 2SOE and Their Asymptotic Standard Errors (cont'd)

-- Next, an estimate of  $\gamma$  is obtained as the optimizer of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q(\hat{\delta}, \gamma, \mathbf{Z}_i) \tag{2}$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}_i$  is the full vector of observable data, and  $\hat{\delta}$  denotes the first-stage estimate of  $\delta$ ; e.g., if the second-stage is MLE

$$q(\hat{\delta}, \gamma, \mathbf{Z}_i) = \ln f(\mathbf{Y}_i | \mathbf{X}_{pi}, \mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\delta}, \gamma)$$

with  $f(\mathbf{Y}_i | \mathbf{X}_{pi}, \mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\delta}, \gamma)$  being the relevant conditional density of the dependent variable  $\mathbf{Y}_i$ .



## **2SOE and Their Asymptotic Standard Errors (cont'd)**

- It is incorrect to ignore the two-stage nature of the estimator and use the “packaged” standard errors from the second-stage.**
- Practitioners often opt for resampling methods like bootstrapping, or in the case of “effect” estimation, the approach suggested by Krinsky, I. and Robb L. (1986, 1990, 1991).**
- A possible reason for this is that the expressions for the correct asymptotic covariance matrix of the generic 2SOE found in textbooks are daunting.**
- In the following, we offer a substantial and legitimate simplification that may make implementation of the correct asymptotic standard error formulations more accessible to practitioners.**

## 2SOE and Their Asymptotic Standard Errors: Some Notation

-- The correct asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\hat{\omega}$  is

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{11} & \mathbf{D}_{12} \\ \mathbf{D}'_{12} & \mathbf{D}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$\mathbf{D}_{11} = \text{AVAR}(\hat{\delta})$  denotes the asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\hat{\delta}$ ,

$\mathbf{D}_{22} = \text{AVAR}(\hat{\gamma})$

$\mathbf{D}_{12}$  is left unspecified for the moment.

-- The devil, of course, is in the “D”-tails.

## 2SOE and Their Asymptotic Standard Errors: More Notation

- $q_1$  is shorthand notation for  $q_1(\delta, V)$  as defined in (1)
- $q$  is shorthand notation for  $q(\delta, \gamma, Z)$  as defined in (2)
- $\nabla_s q$  denotes the gradient of  $q$  with respect to parameter subvector  $s$ . This is a row vector whose typical element is  $\partial q / \partial s_j$ ; the partial derivative of  $q$  with respect to the  $j$ th element of  $s$

## 2SOE and Their Asymptotic Standard Errors: More Notation (cont'd)

--  $\nabla_{st}q$  denotes the Jacobian of  $\nabla_s q$  with respect to  $t$ . This is a matrix whose typical element is  $\partial^2 q / \partial s_j \partial t_m$ ; the cross partial derivative of  $q$  with respect to the  $j$ th element of  $s$  and the  $m$ th element of  $t$  – the row dimension of  $\nabla_{st}q$  corresponds to that of its first subscript and the column dimension to that of its second subscript.

## 2SOE and Their Asymptotic Standard Errors (cont'd)

-- The typical textbook rendition of the “D”-tails is something like the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{D}_{12} &= \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\delta\delta}\mathbf{q}_1]^{-1} \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma}\mathbf{q}'\nabla_{\delta}\mathbf{q}_1]' \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\gamma}\mathbf{q}]^{-1} - \text{AVAR}(\hat{\delta})\mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\delta}\mathbf{q}]' \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\gamma}\mathbf{q}]^{-1} \\
 \mathbf{D}_{22} &= \text{AVAR}(\hat{\gamma}) = \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\gamma}\mathbf{q}]^{-1} \left\{ \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\delta}\mathbf{q}] \text{AVAR}(\hat{\delta})\mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\delta}\mathbf{q}]' \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma}\mathbf{q}'\nabla_{\delta}\mathbf{q}_1] \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\delta\delta}\mathbf{q}]^{-1} \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\delta}\mathbf{q}]' \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\delta}\mathbf{q}] \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\delta\delta}\mathbf{q}]^{-1} \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma}\mathbf{q}'\nabla_{\delta}\mathbf{q}_1]' \right\} \mathbf{E}[\nabla_{\gamma\gamma}\mathbf{q}]^{-1} + \text{AVAR}^*(\hat{\gamma})
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{AVAR}(\hat{\delta})$  is the “packaged” and legitimate asymptotic covariance matrix of the first-stage estimator of  $\hat{\delta}$ , and  $\text{AVAR}^*(\hat{\gamma})$  is “packaged” but incorrect covariance matrix of the second-stage estimator of  $\hat{\gamma}$ .

-- No need to define any of the components of this mess at this point. Just wanted to make a point.

-- We seek simple estimators of  $\mathbf{D}_{12}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{22}$ .

## Simple Standard Error Formulae – MLE

-- In the paper we show that when the second stage estimator is MLE the correct formulations simplify as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{12} = \widetilde{\text{AVAR}}(\hat{\delta}) \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{q}' \nabla_{\delta} \mathbf{q} \right]' \widetilde{\text{AVAR}}^*(\tilde{\gamma})$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{22} = \widetilde{\text{AVAR}}^*(\tilde{\gamma}) \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{q}' \nabla_{\delta} \mathbf{q} \right] \widetilde{\text{AVAR}}(\hat{\delta}) \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{q}' \nabla_{\delta} \mathbf{q} \right]' \widetilde{\text{AVAR}}^*(\tilde{\gamma}) + \widetilde{\text{AVAR}}^*(\tilde{\gamma})$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{q}' \nabla_{\delta} \mathbf{q} \right] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{q}(\hat{\delta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \mathbf{Z}_i)' \nabla_{\delta} \mathbf{q}(\tilde{\gamma}, \mathbf{Z}_i)}{n}$$

$\hat{\delta}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  denote the first and second stage estimators, respectively, and  $\widetilde{\text{AVAR}}(\hat{\delta})$  and  $\widetilde{\text{AVAR}}^*(\tilde{\gamma})$  are the estimated covariance matrices obtained from the first and second stage packaged regression outputs, respectively.

## Simple Standard Error Formulae – NLS

-- When the second stage estimator is NLS the correct formulations simplify as

$$\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{12} = - \widehat{\text{AVAR}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \hat{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma\delta} \mathbf{q} \right]' \hat{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{q} \right]^{-1}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{22} = \hat{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{q} \right]^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma\delta} \mathbf{q} \right] \widehat{\text{AVAR}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}) \hat{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma\delta} \mathbf{q} \right]' \hat{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{q} \right]^{-1} + \widehat{\text{AVAR}}^*(\hat{\gamma})$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma\delta} \mathbf{q} \right] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\gamma\delta} \mathbf{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}, \hat{\gamma}, \mathbf{Z}_i)}{n} \quad \hat{\mathbf{E}} \left[ \nabla_{\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{q} \right] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\gamma\gamma} \mathbf{q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}, \hat{\gamma}, \mathbf{Z}_i)}{n}$$

where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}$  denote the first and second stage estimators, respectively, and

$\widehat{\text{AVAR}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\delta}})$  and  $\text{AVAR}^*(\hat{\gamma})$  are the estimated covariance matrices obtained from the

first and second stage packaged regression outputs, respectively.

## Simple Standard Error Formulae (cont'd)

So, for example, the “t-statistic”  $(\hat{\gamma}_k - \gamma_k) / \sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{22(k)}}$  for the  $k$ th element of  $\gamma$  is asymptotically standard normally distributed and can be used to test the hypothesis that  $\gamma_k = \gamma_k^0$  for  $\gamma_k^0$ , a given null value of  $\gamma_k$ .



## **Example: Two-Stage Residual Inclusion (2SRI)**

- Suppose the researcher is interested in estimating the effect that a policy variable of interest  $X_p$  has on a specified outcome  $Y$ .**
- Moreover, suppose that the data on  $X_p$  is sampled endogenously – i.e. it is correlated with an unobservable variable  $X_u$  that is also correlated with  $Y$  (an unobservable confounder).**

## Example: 2SRI (cont'd)

-- To formalize this, we follow Terza et al. (2008), and assume that

$$\begin{array}{ll} E[Y | X_p, X_o, X_u] = \mu(X_p, X_o, X_u; \beta) & \text{and} \quad X_p = r(W, \alpha) + X_u \\ \text{[outcome regression]} & \text{[auxiliary regression]} \end{array}$$

$X_o$  denotes a vector of observable confounders (variables that are possibly correlated with both  $Y$  and  $X_p$ )

$X_u$  is a scalar comprising the unobservable confounders

$\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are parameters vectors

$$W = [X_o \quad W^+]$$

$W^+$  is an identifying instrumental variable, and

$\mu(\cdot)$  and  $r(\cdot)$  are known functions.

## Example: 2SRI (cont'd)

-- The (pseudo) regression model in this case is

$$Y = \mu(X_p, X_o, X_u; \beta) + e$$

where  $e$  is the random error term, tautologically defined as

$$e = Y - \mu(X_p, X_o, X_u; \beta).$$

-- The  $\beta$  parameters are not directly estimable (e.g. by NLS) due to the presence of the unobservable confounder  $X_u$  -- hence, the “pseudo” modifier.

## Example: 2SRI (cont'd)

The following 2SOE is, however, feasible and consistent.

**First Stage:** Obtain a consistent estimate of  $\alpha$  by applying NLS to the auxiliary regression and compute the residuals as

$$\hat{X}_u = X_p - r(W, \hat{\alpha})$$

where  $\hat{\alpha}$  is the first-stage estimate of  $\alpha$ .

**Second Stage:** Estimate  $\beta$  by applying NLS to

$$Y = \mu(X_p, X_o, \hat{X}_u; \beta) + e^{2SRI}$$

where  $e^{2SRI}$  denotes the regression error term.

### Example: 2SRI (cont'd)

- In order to detail the asymptotic covariance matrix of this 2SRI estimator, we cast it in the framework of the generic 2SOE discussed above with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  playing the roles of  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$ , respectively.
- This version of the 2SRI estimator implements NLS in its second stage.
- Therefore the relevant version of  $q(\hat{\delta}, \hat{\gamma}, Z)$  is

$$q(\hat{\alpha}, \beta, Y, X_p, W) = -\left(Y - \mu(X_p, X_o, \hat{X}_u; \beta)\right)^2.$$

## Multi-Stage Causal Effect Estimators

-- For contexts in which the policy variable of interest ( $X_p$ ) is qualitative (binary),

Rubin (1974, 1977) developed the *potential outcomes framework (POF)* which facilitates clear definition and interpretation of various policy relevant treatment effects.

-- Terza (2012b) extends the POF to encompass contexts in which  $X_p$  is quantitative (discrete or continuous) and planned policy changes in  $X_p$  are incremental or infinitesimal.

## Multi-Stage Causal Effect Estimators (cont'd)

As counterparts to the *average treatment effect* in the POF, Terza (2012b) defines the *average incremental effect* and the *average marginal effect*, respectively, as

$$\text{AIE}(\Delta(X_{p1})) = \text{E}[Y_{X_{p1}+\Delta(X_{p1})}] - \text{E}[Y_{X_{p1}}] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{AME} = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{AIE}(\Delta)}{\Delta}$$

where  $X_{p1}$  denotes the pre-policy version of  $X_p$  (a random variable)

$\Delta(X_{p1})$  denotes the policy mandated exogenous increment to the policy variable

$Y_{X_p^*}$  denotes the potential outcome (a random variable) -- the version of the

outcome that would obtain if the policy variable were exogenously and

counterfactually set at  $X_p^*$ .

## Multi-Stage Causal Effect Estimators (cont'd)

-- Terza (2012b) shows that under primitive regression assumptions (e.g. the outcome and auxiliary models in 2SRI), if we can consistently estimate the parameters of the model (e.g.  $\tau = [\alpha' \ \beta']$  in the above 2SRI setup) and can find an appropriate way to proxy  $X_u$ , AIE and AME can be consistently estimated using

$$\widehat{\text{AIE}}(\Delta(X_{p1i})) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \mu(X_{p1i} + \Delta_i(X_{p1i}), X_{oi}, \hat{X}_{ui}; \hat{\tau}) - \mu(X_{p1i}, X_{oi}, \hat{X}_{ui}; \hat{\tau}) \right\}$$
$$\widehat{\text{AME}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial \mu(X_{p1i}, X_{oi}, \hat{X}_{ui}; \hat{\tau})}{\partial X_{p1i}}$$

where  $\hat{\tau}$  is a consistent estimate of  $\tau$ ,  $\hat{X}_{ui}$  is the proxy value for  $X_u$ , and the  $i$  subscript denotes the  $i$ th observation in a sample of size  $n$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).



## Multi-Stage Causal Effect Estimators (cont'd)

-- We now turn to the asymptotic properties of these estimators.

-- We use the notation “PE” to denote the relevant policy effect [AIE or AME] and

rewrite AME and AIE in generic form as

$$\widehat{\text{PE}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})}{n} \quad \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) \text{ being shorthand for } \text{pe}(X_{p1i}, X_{oi}, \hat{X}_{ui}(\hat{\alpha}, W_i), \hat{\beta})$$

where

$$\text{pe}(X_{p1}, X_o, X_u(\alpha, W), \beta) =$$

$$\mu(X_{p1} + \Delta(X_{p1}), X_o, X_u(\alpha, W), \beta) - \mu(X_{p1}, X_o, X_u(\alpha, W), \beta) \text{ for AIE}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial \mu(X_{p1}, X_o, X_u(\alpha, W), \beta)}{\partial X_{p1}} \text{ for AME}$$

$$\text{and } \hat{X}_{ui}(\hat{\alpha}, W_i) = X_{pi} - r(W_i, \hat{\alpha}).$$

## $\widehat{PE}$ as a 2SOE

-- We can cast  $\widehat{PE}$  as a 2SOE:

-- First stage... consistent estimation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (e.g. via 2SRI).

-- Second stage...  $\widehat{PE}$  itself is easily shown to be the optimizer of the following

objective function

$$\sum_{i=1}^n q(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, PE, Z_i)$$

where

$$q(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, PE, Z_i) = -\left(\widehat{pe}_i(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) - PE\right)^2$$

$Z_i = [Y_i \quad X_{p1i} \quad W_i]$  and  $[\hat{\alpha}' \quad \hat{\beta}']$  is the first-stage estimator of  $[\alpha' \quad \beta']$ .

## $\widehat{\text{PE}}$ as a 2SOE – Asymptotic Standard Error

-- Because  $\widehat{\text{PE}}$  is virtually NLS, using the above results, its correct standard error is

$$\widehat{\text{a var}}(\widehat{\text{PE}}) = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{[\alpha' \ \beta']} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})}{n} \right) \widehat{\text{AVAR}}([\hat{\alpha}' \ \hat{\beta}']) \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{[\alpha' \ \beta']} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})}{n} \right)' + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) - \widehat{\text{PE}} \right)^2}{n}$$

where

$\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{[\alpha' \ \beta']} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$  denotes  $\nabla_{[\alpha' \ \beta']} \text{pe}(X_{p1}, X_o, X_u(\alpha, W), \beta)$  evaluated at  $X_{pi}, X_{oi}, W_i$ ,

and  $[\hat{\alpha}' \ \hat{\beta}']$  and

$\widehat{\text{AVAR}}([\hat{\alpha}' \ \hat{\beta}'])$  is the estimated asymptotic (2SRI?) covariance matrix of  $[\hat{\alpha}' \ \hat{\beta}']$ .

## $\widehat{PE}$ as a 2SOE – Asymptotic t-stat

-- So, for example, the “t-statistic”  $\sqrt{n}(\widehat{PE} - PE) / \sqrt{\widehat{\text{var}}(\widehat{PE})}$  is asymptotically standard normally distributed and can be used to test the hypothesis that  $PE = PE^0$  for  $PE^0$ , a given null value of PE.

## **Smoking and Birthweight: Parameter Estimation via 2SRI**

**-- Re-estimate model of Mullahy (1997) using 2SRI**

**Mullahy, J. (1997): "Instrumental-Variable Estimation of Count Data Models: Applications to Models of Cigarette Smoking Behavior," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 79, 586-593.**

**Y = infant birthweight in lbs**

**$X_p$  = number of cigarettes smoked per day during pregnancy**

## AIE of Smoking During Pregnancy on Birthweight

- The objective is to evaluate a policy that would bring smoking during pregnancy to zero.
- Pre-policy version of the policy variable:  $X_{p1} = X_p$
- Post-policy version of the policy variable:  $X_{p2} = X_p + \Delta(X_p)$  where  $\Delta(X_p) = -X_p$
- AIE estimator is

$$\widehat{PE} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{pe}_i(\hat{\beta})}{n}$$

$\widehat{pe}_i(\hat{\beta})$  is  $pe(X_{p1}, X_o, \beta)$  evaluated at  $X_{pi}$ ,  $X_{oi}$ , and  $\hat{\beta} [\hat{\alpha}' \quad \hat{\beta}']$ , with

$$pe(X_{p1}, X_o, \beta) = \exp([X_{pi} + \Delta(X_{pi})]\hat{\beta}_p + X_o\hat{\beta}_o) - \exp(X_{pi}\hat{\beta}_p + X_o\hat{\beta}_o)$$

## AIE of Smoking on Birthweight – Asymptotic Standard Error

$$\widehat{\text{a var}}(\widehat{\text{PE}}) = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\beta} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\beta})}{n} \right) \widehat{\text{COV}}_{\text{GMM}} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{\beta} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\beta})}{n} \right)' + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\beta}) - \widehat{\text{PE}} \right)^2}{n}$$

$$\nabla_{\beta} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\beta}) = [\nabla_{\beta_p} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\beta}) \quad \nabla_{\beta_o} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\beta})]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\beta_p} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\beta}) &= \exp([\mathbf{X}_{pi} + \Delta(\mathbf{X}_{pi})] \hat{\beta}_p + \mathbf{X}_{oi} \hat{\beta}_o) [\mathbf{X}_{pi} + \Delta(\mathbf{X}_{pi})] \\ &\quad - \exp(\mathbf{X}_{pi} \hat{\beta}_p + \mathbf{X}_{oi} \hat{\beta}_o) \mathbf{X}_{pi} \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla_{\beta_o} \widehat{\text{pe}}_i(\hat{\beta}) = \left[ \exp([\mathbf{X}_{pi} + \Delta(\mathbf{X}_{pi})] \hat{\beta}_p + \mathbf{X}_{oi} \hat{\beta}_o) - \exp(\mathbf{X}_{pi} \hat{\beta}_p + \mathbf{X}_{oi} \hat{\beta}_o) \right] \mathbf{X}_{oi}$$

and  $\widehat{\text{COV}}_{\text{GMM}}$  is the GMM estimated asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\hat{\beta}^+$ .

## AIE Asymptotic Standard Error -- Practical Notes on Stata Implementation

-- MATA code for calculating the AIE estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_p = \text{BXpGMMC} = \text{BGMMC}[1]$$

$$[\mathcal{X}_p + \Delta(\mathcal{X}_p) : \mathcal{X}_0] = \text{XNULL} = \text{J}(\text{rows}(\text{Xo}), 1, 0), \text{Xo}$$

$$\mathcal{X}_p \hat{\beta}_p + \mathcal{X}_0 \hat{\beta}_0 = \text{XBGMMC} = \text{XC} * \text{BGMMC}$$

$$[\mathcal{X}_p + \Delta(\mathcal{X}_p)] \hat{\beta}_p + \mathcal{X}_0 \hat{\beta}_0 = \text{XBNULLGMMC} = \text{XNULL} * \text{BGMMC}$$

$$\widehat{\text{pe}}(\hat{\beta}) = \text{peAIEGMM} = \exp(\text{XBNULLGMMC}) : -\exp(\text{XBGMMC})$$

$$\widehat{\text{AIE}} = \text{PEAIEGMM} = \text{mean}(\text{peAIEGMM})$$



## Practical Notes on Stata Implementation (cont'd)

-- MATA code for calculating the asymptotic standard error estimate

$$\nabla_{\beta_p} \widehat{pe}(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_0, \hat{\beta}) = \text{ppebpAIEGMM} = \exp(\text{XBNULLGMMC}) : * \text{XpC}$$

$$\nabla_{\beta_0} \widehat{pe}(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_0, \hat{\beta}) = \text{peboAIEGMM} = (\exp(\text{XBNULLGMMC}) : -\exp(\text{XBGMMC})) : * \text{Xo}$$

$$\nabla_{\beta} \widehat{pe}(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_0, \hat{\beta}) = \text{ppebAIEGMM} = \text{ppebpAIEGMM}, \text{peboAIEGMM}$$

$$\widehat{\text{avar}}(\widehat{\text{AIE}}) =$$

$$\text{avarPEAIEGMM} = \text{mean}(\text{ppebAIEGMM} * \text{n} : * \text{COVGMMC}) * \text{mean}(\text{ppebAIEGMM}) ' \\ + \text{mean}((\text{peAIEGMM} : -\text{PEAIEGMM}) : ^2)$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_0$  and  $\nabla_{\beta_0} \widehat{pe}(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_0, \hat{\beta})$  are  $n \times K$  matrices;  $\mathcal{X}_p$ ,  $\Delta(\mathcal{X}_p)$ ,  $\widehat{pe}(\hat{\beta})$  and  $\nabla_{\beta_p} \widehat{pe}(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_0, \hat{\beta}^+)$  are  $n \times 1$  vectors;  $\nabla_{\beta} \widehat{pe}(\mathcal{X}_p, \mathcal{X}_0, \hat{\beta})$  is an  $n \times (K+1)$  matrix;  $\widehat{\text{AIE}}$  and  $\widehat{\text{avar}}(\widehat{\text{AIE}})$  are scalars; and  $K$  is the dimension of  $\mathcal{X}_0$ .

## Results for Smoking and Birthweight Model

### AIE of Eliminating Smoking During Pregnancy w/ Corrected St. Errors

	%smoke-decr	incr-effect	std-err	t-stat	p-value
1					
2					
3	100	.2300237	.0726222	3.167401	.0015381