Stata Implementation of the Non-Parametric Spatial Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent Covariance Matrix Estimator

P. Wilner Jeanty

The Kinder Institute for Urban Research and Hobby Center for the Study of Texas

Rice University

STATA CONFERENCE SAN DIEGO

July 26-27, 2012

イロト イポト イヨト イヨ

Outline

1 Introduction

2 Econometric Framework

- Conley Procedure
- KP Procedure

3 Implementation

- Stata Routines
- The spcgmm Command
- The sphac Command

4 Demonstration

- Data Description
- Estimation

5 Summary and Next Steps

<ロト </p>

Introduction

Background

- Researchers using geo-referenced data often need to contend with three critical issues:
 - Spatial correlation
 - Heteroskedasticity
 - Endogeneity
- These issues have been addressed from an econometric theory viewpoint (see for example, Conley, 1999; Kelejian and Prucha, 2007, 2010; Arraiz et al., 2010).
- However, they have often been overlooked in empirical applications.
- One reason is that estimators dealing with these conundrums are not always accessible.
- The purpose of this talk is to introduce two new user-written commands to implement the non-parametric spatial heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent (SHAC) estimator of the variance covariance matrix in a spatial context.
- The SHAC estimator is robust against potential misspecification of the disturbance terms and allows for unknown forms of heteroskedasticity and correlation across spatial units.
- Heteroskedasticity is likely to arise when spatial units differ in size or in other structural features.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The Model

Model considered

$$y = X\beta + \gamma Y + \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

or more compactly

$$y = Z\delta + \varepsilon \tag{2}$$

イロン イ団ン イヨン イヨン

with Z = [X, Y] and $\delta = [\beta', \gamma']'$. Let H be an $n \times k_h$ matrix of instruments. The spatial covariance estimator in Conley (1999) is an application of Hansens (1982) generalized method of moments estimator (GMM) to spatial error autocorrelation. This estimator involves minimizing a quadratic form in the sample moment conditions, where the covariance matrix is obtained in non-parametric form a la Newey and West (1984). Specifically, the spatial covariances are estimated from weighted averages of sample covariances for pairs of observations that are within a given distance band from each other. Note that this approach requires covariance stationarity, which is only satisfied for a restricted set of spatial processes (e.g., it does not apply to spatial autoregressive (SAR) error models).

The GM Estimator

GM estimator

Based on the k_h -dimensional vector of instruments H, consider the following unconditional moment restrictions:

$$E_N[\psi(G_i,\delta)] = 0 \tag{3}$$

where E_N is the unconditional expectation operator over individuals and $\psi(G_i, \delta) = H'_i(y_i - Z_i\delta)$. Corresponding to (3), the GMM estimator $\hat{\delta}$ for δ is the argument that minimizes

$$Q_{N}(\delta) = \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi(G_{i}, \delta) \right\}' \Psi_{N} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \psi(G_{i}, \delta) \right\}$$
(4)

where Ψ_N is a positive definite matrix. The solution for the minimization problem in (4) is given by:

$$\hat{\delta}_{GMM} = \left(Z' H \Psi_N H' Z \right)^{-1} \left(Z' H \Psi_N H' y \right) \tag{5}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Let $\Psi_N = \hat{\Omega}^{-1}$. Provided that a consistent estimate $\hat{\Omega}$ of Ω can be obtained, the GMM estimator is efficient. In the spatial context, Conley (1999) suggests a procedure consistent with the Barlett window estimator proposed by Newey and West (1984).

Conley's SHAC Estimator

SHAC estimator

In particular, a consistent estimate $\hat{\Omega}$ of Ω to obtain standard errors robust to spatial autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity is given by:

$$\hat{\Omega} = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{K}(d_{ij}) \psi\left(G_{i}, \tilde{\delta}\right) \psi\left(G_{i}, \tilde{\delta}\right)'$$
(6)

where $\tilde{\delta}$ is an estimate obtained in a first stage estimation such as two stage least squares and $K(d_{ij})$ is a weighting matrix. To ensure that $\hat{\Omega}$ is consistent and positive definite, the weighting matrix $K(d_{ij})$ is defined as the product of Barlett Kernels in two dimensions (North/South, East/West):

$$\mathcal{K}(d_{ij}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (1 - d_{ij}^H/C_H)(1 - d_{ij}^V/C_V) & \text{if } d_{ij}^H < C_H \text{ and } d_{ij}^V < C_V \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$
(7)

where d_{ij}^H and d_{ij}^V represent the horizontal and vertical distances, respectively, between areal units *i* and *j*, and *C_H* and *C_V* represent the horizontal and vertical distance cutoffs beyond which no spatial correlation is assumed. The weights decline linearly from 1 to 0, ensuring the positive definiteness of $\hat{\Omega}$. Zero weights, thereby zero spatial autocovariances, result when one of the coordinates exceeds the distance cutoff. For more details, see Conley (1999). Once $\hat{\Omega}$ is obtained, the asymptotic variance-covariance of the parameter estimates can be derived.

Jeanty (Rice)

Spatial Econometric Model

KP's model

The framework considered by Kelejian and Prucha (2007, hereafter KP) aims to accommodate spatial processes generated by Cliff-Ord type models. Inherent in these models are local nonstationarity and heteroskedasticity. Consider the following model:

$$y = X\beta + \lambda Wy + \gamma Y + \varepsilon \tag{8}$$

Equation (8) can be written in a compact form as

$$y = Z\delta + \varepsilon \tag{9}$$

with Z = [X, Wy, Y] and $\delta = [\beta', \lambda, \gamma']'$.

In Kelejian and Prucha (2007) approach, the disturbance terms are assumed to follow a general spatial process of the form:

$$c = R\xi \tag{10}$$

イロン イ団ン イヨン イヨン

where ξ is a vector of i.i.d. (0, 1) innovations and R is an $n \times n$ non stochastic matrix whose elements are unknown and whose rows and column sums are uniformly bounded in absolute value.

KP's SHAC Estimator

SHAC estimation

As in Conley's case, the instrumental variable (IV) estimator of the parameters in equation (9) relies on a set of moment conditions of the form

$$EH'\varepsilon = 0$$
 (11)

イロン イ団ン イヨン イヨン

The asymptotic distribution of the IV estimator will require the variance covariance matrix of the moment conditions defined by:

$$\Psi = VC(n^{-1/2}H'\varepsilon) = n^{-1}H'\Sigma H$$
(12)

where $\Sigma = RR'$ denotes the unknown variance covariance matrix of ξ . Let $\hat{\varepsilon} = y - Z\hat{\delta}_{S2SLS}$ and $\hat{\Psi}$ an estimate of Ψ . Kelejian and Prucha (2007) show that the (r, s) elements of $\hat{\Psi}$ can be consistently estimated by:

$$\hat{\Psi}_{r,s} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{ir} h_{js} \hat{\varepsilon}_i \hat{\varepsilon}_j \mathcal{K}(d_{ij}^*/d)$$
(13)

where the subscripts refer to the elements of the matrix of instruments H, d_{ij}^* is the distance between areal units *i* and *j*, K() is a kernel function with the usual properties, *d* is the bandwidth or critical distance such that $K(d_{ii}^*/d) = 0$ for $d_{ii}^* \ge d$, and $\hat{\varepsilon}$ a vector of estimated residuals.

Asymptotic Distribution of $\hat{\delta}_{S2SLS}$

VC of parameter estimates

The choice of the bandwidth is more important than that of the kernel function (Cameron and Trivedi, 2005). In fact, so long as K() is bounded, symmetric, real, and continuous, the kernel choice is immaterial (Mittelhammer et al., 2000). The bandwidth and the Kernel function place limits on the number of sample covariances. The bandwidth can be assumed either fixed or variable. With $\hat{\Psi}$ available, the asymptotic variance covariance matrix of the spatial two-stage least squares estimates is given by:

$$\hat{\Phi} = n^2 (\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1} Z' H (H'H)^{-1} \hat{\Psi} (H'H)^{-1} H' Z (\hat{Z}'\hat{Z})^{-1}$$
(14)

As a result, small sample inference concerning $\hat{\delta}_{S2SLS}$ can be based on the approximation $\hat{\delta}_{S2SLS} \sim N(\delta, n^{-1}\hat{\Phi})$.

イロン イ団ン イヨン イヨン

Implementation Overview

Commands developed

- To implement the aforementioned SHAC estimators, we developed two Mata-based commands, spcgmm and sphac.
- spcgmm is essentially an estimation command.
- Since based on estimated residuals, sphac is a post-estimation command, though behaves as an estimation. command.
- Kelejian and Prucha (2007) allow the researcher to specify multiple distance measures. However, this version of sphac implements the SHAC estimator only in the case of a single distance measure.
- Both fixed and variable bandwidths are allowed.

<ロト </p>

Syntax for spcgmm

Command syntax

spcgmm varlist [if] [in], coord(coordlist) cutoff(numlist) [exog(varlist)
endog(varlist) km level(#) collinear noconstant first]

Remarks

- When options exog() and endog() are not specified, the estimator becomes OLS with SHAC. OLS is a just-identified GMM estimator.
- Only the Barlett kernel is implemented

<ロト </p>

Syntax for sphac

Command syntax

sphac, dmat(*dmatrixname*) dfrom(*Mata*|*Stata*) [kernel(*functionname*) <u>fb</u>andw(#) <u>vb</u>andw(*varname*) <u>noc</u>onst level(#) model(ols|iv|sar|iv - sar)]

メロト メポト メヨト メヨ

Syntax for sphac

Command syntax

sphac, dmat(*dmatrixname*) dfrom(*Mata*|*Stata*) [kernel(*functionname*) <u>fb</u>andw(#) <u>vb</u>andw(*varname*) <u>noc</u>onst level(#) model(ols|iv|sar|iv - sar)]

Kernel functions implemented

- Barlett: K(z) = 1 z
- Epanechnikov: $K(z) = 1 z^2$,
- Triangular: K(z) = 1 z,
- Bisquare: $K(z) = (1 z^2)^2$,
- Parzen: $K(z) = 1 6z^2 + 6|z|^3$ if $z \le 0.5$ and $K(z) = 2(1 |z|)^3$ if $0.5 < z \le 1$.

Syntax for sphac

Command syntax

sphac, dmat(*dmatrixname*) dfrom(*Mata*|*Stata*) [kernel(*functionname*) <u>fb</u>andw(#) <u>vb</u>andw(*varname*) <u>noc</u>onst level(#) model(ols|iv|sar|iv - sar)]

Kernel functions implemented

- Barlett: K(z) = 1 z
- Epanechnikov: $K(z) = 1 z^2$,
- Triangular: K(z) = 1 z,
- Bisquare: $K(z) = (1 z^2)^2$,
- Parzen: $K(z) = 1 6z^2 + 6|z|^3$ if $z \le 0.5$ and $K(z) = 2(1 |z|)^3$ if $0.5 < z \le 1$.

Requirements

- sphac requires a pre-calculated distance matrix and a pre-generated variable holding distance to nearest neighbors when users specify the vband() option. This can be done easily using the user-written command nearstat.
- sphac also uses saved results from estimation commands to perform all calculations. So
 far, it works after the official Stata commands regress and ivregress and after the
 user-written command spivreg.

Jeanty (Rice)

Data

Data description

- Examples use a dataset of 1789 Census tracts for the State of Michigan.
- Variables include:
- Dependent
 - Change in log population 1990 2000 (popch)
- Independent
 - Racial diversity, 2000 (divx) Assumed to be endogenous
 - Log population, 1990 (Inpop9)
 - College graduate, 1990 (bspct9)
 - Median household income, 1990 (lavhhin9)
 - Unemployment rate, 1990 (unemprt9)
 - Employment share in agriculture, 1990 (pctfarm9)
 - . use michigan_tracts, clear
 - . global xvars lnpop9 bspct9 lavhhin9 unemprt9 pctfarm9

<ロト </p>

Data Summary

Data description

	summarize	popch	divx	\$xvars,	separator(0)
--	-----------	-------	------	----------	------------	---	---

Var	iable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
	popch	1789	.051171	.2530615	-2.241498	2.489235
	divx	1789	.2667414	.184348	.0283146	.8802574
1	npop9	1789	8.071044	.4616808	4.927254	9.167328
b	spct9	1789	11.97815	10.29886	0	62.67878
lav	hhin9	1789	10.54695	.4205478	8.966855	12.31559
une	mprt9	1789	9.249566	8.310277	0	52.37288
pct	farm9	1789	.9547646	1.264445	0	12.14511

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Racial Diversity: The variable of interest

Aspects of racial diversity

- Racial diversity is assumed to be endogenous due to reverse causation, as migration affects the spatial distribution of the minority populations. Also, political leaders may pursue policies that influence diversity.
- There are pros and cons of racial diversity.
- Opponents vehemently maintain that racial diversity may cause conflict of preferences, racism, and prejudices that are often conducive to counter-productive policies for society as a whole
- Proponents forcefully argue that ethnic diversity propels variety in skills, experiences that lead to innovations and creativity.
- Communities clinging to these views may implement anti or pro-diversity policies that ward off or attract migrants.
- The variable racial diversity, defined as the Theil's entropy index, was calculated using block group level data for four ethnic groups: Hispanic, Non-Hispanic White, Non-Hispanic Black, and Non-Hispanic Asian.

$$divx = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \pi_m ln(1/\pi_m)$$
 (15)

where m indexes the ethnic groups and π_m is the share of the ethnic group m in a census tract.

Spatial Interactions and Spatial Weights

Rationale for spatial interactions

- Growing or declining neighborhoods tend to be located near each other in geographic space because they generally have similar access to transportation, zoning, and topography that supports housing construction.
- Also, economic shocks affecting migration decisions may be transmitted across borders, or a community is attracting migrants simply because its neighbors are doing so.
- As a result, some spillover effects across geographically proximate neighborhoods are expected.
- To get a sense of the spatial distribution of population growth, I constructed a Moran scatterplot by coding:

. splagvar popch, wname(winvsq) wfrom(Mata) moran(popch) plot(popch) (permute popch : splagvar_randper) (output omitted)

The spatial weights matrix winvsq was generated using the user-written command spwmatrix.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Moran Scatterplot for Population Growth

Plot from splagvar



イロン イロン イヨン イ

Model Estimation

Instrumental variables

- Given that both diversity and population growth use population data, it is difficult to find instruments that are correlated with diversity but uncorrelated with shocks to population growth.
- In this exercise, estimations will rely on three constructed instruments.
- A quasi-instrument, q_divx, was generated using the user-written command splagvar as follows
 - . qui splagvar, qvar(divx) qname(q_divx)
- This variable takes on the values of -1, 0, and 1 if the values of divx are in the bottom, middle, and top third respectively (Fingleton and Le Gallo, 2008).
- Two other instruments was constructed by data transformation based on the notion that if the endogenous regressor Y has a skewed distribution, the following transformation of the data may yield valid instruments Lewbel (1997):

$$liv1 = (y_i - \bar{y})(Y_i - \bar{Y})$$

$$liv2 = (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$
(16)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Demonstration of spcgmm

Estimation procedures

• To implement the Conley's procedure, a distance cutoff is needed. Researchers usually use 10 miles when working with Census tract level data (.eg., (Jeanty et al., 2010; Boarnet et al., 2003). We use 8.58 miles implied by distances to first nearest neighbors calculated using the user-written command nearstat. This will be the first model estimated.

nearstat output

. nearstat (intptlat intptlon), near(intptlat intptlon) distv(neardist1) ///
> r(3958.761) des(stat)

Descriptive Statistics for Distance

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std	Min	Max
distance*	3198732	57.20	46.43	0.23	198.75
neardist1**	1789	1.21	1.16	0.23	8.57

*: Distance between each input feature and all near features

**: Distance from each input feature to its first nearest neighbor

Distance (in miles) calculations completed successfully and/or all requests > processed

Jeanty (Rice)

GMM Estimation

spcgmm output

min output									
. spcgmm popch \$xvars, exog(q_divx liv1 liv2) endog(divx) /// > coord(intptlat intptlon) cutoff(8.58 8.58)									
Spatial 2-Step GMM (Mata version)									
	Nur	nber of obse	rvations	= 1789					
	Cr	it. fnct. te	st of ove	erid. res	trictions =	1.4788842			
	DF=	= 2							
	P-v	value = 0.47	738						
popch	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]			
divx	.1671914	.0403	4.15	0.000	.0881511	.2462317			
lnpop9	1673229	.0350197	-4.78	0.000	236007	0986389			
bspct9	0046652	.001249	-3.74	0.000	0071149	0022155			
lavhhin9	.1943346	.0394714	4.92		.1169195	.2717497			
unemprt9	0070459	.0015382	-4.58	0.000	0100627	0040291			
pctfarm9	.0319771	.0060263	5.31	0.000	.0201577	.0437964			
_cons	6007922	.4340829	-1.38	0.167	-1.452157	.2505729			
Instrumented:	divx								
Instruments:	uments: lnpop9 bspct9								
	lavhhin9 unemprt9								
	pctfarm9 q_divx liv1								
	liv2								
. eststo									
(est2 stored)									
(0502 500160)									

Demonstration of sphac

Estimation procedures

- The demonstration of sphac makes use of the outstanding user-written spivreg command (Drukker et al., 2011), which requires spatial weights in Mata memory.
- A forthcoming updated version of the user-written command spwmatrix has an external option allowing one to store spatial weights as a Mata object residing in Mata memory. For this demonstration, we use two spatial weights, winvsq and wcontig.
- winvsq, an inverse distance squared matrix, was generated by spwmatrix, but wcontig, a contiguity matrix, was created in ArcGIS and imported into Stata by spwmatrix. Both spatial weights matrices were then stored as Mata objects for the estimations.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Demonstration of sphac

Estimation procedures

- Based on Kelejian and Prucha (2007, Assumption 4a), the number of neighbors within the bandwidth is constrained by $I_n = o(n^{1/3})$.
- This yields a threshold number of 12 neighbors. We will use a variable bandwidth corresponding to distance to the 12th nearest neighbor for each observation.
- Next steps consist in calculating distance to the 12th nearest neighbors and in storing the distance matrix to a Mata file.
- Three more models will then be estimated.
- Model 2 allows for spatial interactions and is estimated by spatial two-stage least squares.
- Model 3 is also estimated by spatial 2SLS but with Parzen kernel SHAC standard errors. The Barlett kernel yields similar results up to 3 decimal digits.
- As an alternative to model 3, model 4 allows for heteroskedastic innovations and disturbances that follow a first order autoregressive process:

$$\varepsilon = \rho W + \xi \tag{17}$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• Kelejian and Prucha (2010) argue that model 3 is more robust than model 4.

Jeanty (Rice

Distance to 12 nearest neighbors

nearstat output

. nearstat (intptlat intptlon), near(intptlat intptlon) distv(neardist12) ///
> kth(12) r(3958.761) des(stat) expdist(distmat) expto(Mata)

Descriptive Statistics for Distance

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std	Min	Max
distance*	3198732	57.20	46.43	0.23	198.75
neardist12**	1789	3.57	3.03	1.03	24.42

*: Distance between each input feature and all near features

**: Distance from each input feature to its 12th nearest neighbor

Distance (in miles) calculations completed successfully and/or all requests > processed

Also, distance between input and near features exported to the Mata file: > C:\data\Stata_Conference2012/distmat.

. gen neardist12a=neardist12+0.01 // To guarantee 12 neighbors for each >observation

イロン イ団ン イヨン イヨン

Estimation

Spatial Two-Stage Least Squares

spivreg output

. spivreg popch (divx=q_divx liv1 liv2) \$xvars, id(obsid_n) dlmat(winvsq)								
Spatial autore (GS2SLS estima	Numbe	er of obs =	1789					
popch	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]		
popch								
divx	.1441401	.0295278	4.88	0.000	.0862667	.2020135		
lnpop9	1140855	.0107016	-10.66	0.000	1350603	0931108		
bspct9	0027862	.0007404	-3.76	0.000	0042373	0013351		
lavhhin9	.101096	.0254452	3.97	0.000	.0512244	.1509676		
unemprt9	0046386	.0009612	-4.83	0.000	0065226	0027546		
pctfarm9	.0115774	.0042329	2.74	0.006	.0032812	.0198737		
_cons	0905023	.2806597	-0.32	0.747	6405853	.4595807		
lambda								
_cons	.6388438	.065243	9.79	0.000	.5109698	.7667178		
Instrumented: divx								
Instruments:	q_divx liv1	liv2						
. eststo								
(est2 stored)								

Jeanty (Rice)

Estimation

Spatial Two-Stage Least Squares with SHAC

sphac output

. sphac, dmat(distmat) dfrom(Mata) vbandw(neardist12a) kernel(Parzen) /// > model(iv-sar)

Spatial HAC Standard Errors Kernel = Parzen Bandwidth = Variable

popch	Coef.	SHAC Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	[Interval]
popch divx	.1441401	.029667	4.86	0.000	.085994	.202286
	1140855	.0323895	-3.52	0.000	1775678	0506032
lnpop9						
bspct9	0027862	.000841	-3.31	0.001	0044344	0011379
lavhhin9	.101096	.0314031	3.22	0.001	.0395471	.1626449
unemprt9	0046386	.0011428	-4.06	0.000	0068783	0023988
pctfarm9	.0115774	.0043942	2.63	0.008	.002965	.0201899
_cons	0905023	.3568791	-0.25	0.800	7899723	.6089678
lambda						
_cons	.6388438	.0925785	6.90	0.000	.4573932	.8202944
Instrumented: Instruments:	t9 emprt9 livx liv1					
. eststo (est3 stored)						
						_

Estimation

Generalized Spatial Two-Stage Least Squares

spivreg output

. spivreg popch (divx=q_divx liv1 liv2) \$xvars, id(obsid_n) dlmat(winvsq) /// > elmat(wcontig) het nolog							
Spatial autore (GS2SLS estimation		əl		Numbe	er of obs =	1789	
popch	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval	
popch							
divx	.1624854	.0323197	5.03	0.000	.09914	.225830	
lnpop9	1065138	.0298879	-3.56	0.000	1650931	047934	
bspct9	0027052	.0009132	-2.96	0.003	004495	000915	
lavhhin9	.0908998	.0326279	2.79	0.005	.0269502	.154849	
unemprt9	0043872	.001261	-3.48	0.001	0068588	001915	
pctfarm9	.006457	.0044147	1.46	0.144	0021956	.015109	
_cons	0518782	.3650912	-0.14	0.887	7674437	.663687	
lambda							
_cons	.7343	.0912013	8.05	0.000	.5555487	.913051	
rho							
_cons	.1316497	.0815937	1.61	0.107	028271	.291570	
Instrumented: Instruments:	divx q_divx liv1	liv2					
. eststo (est4 stored)							

Comparison of Results

Regression outputs

Table : Regression Results across Estimation Methods

	GMM W/ SHAC	S2SLS	S2SLS W/ SHAC	GS2SLS HET
Racial div. 2000	0.1672***	0.1441***	0.1441***	0.1625***
	(0.0403)	(0.0295)	(0.0297)	(0.0323)
Log pop. 1990	-0.1673* ^{**}	-0.1141***	-0.1141* ^{**} *	-0.1065* ^{**}
	(0.0350)	(0.0107)	(0.0324)	(0.0299)
Col. grad. 1990	-0.0047* ^{**}	-0.0028***	-0.0028* ^{**} *	-0.0027* ^{**}
-	(0.0012)	(0.0007)	(8000.0)	(0.0009)
Log inc. 1990	0.1943***	0.1011***	0.1011***	0.0909***
Ū.	(0.0395)	(0.0254)	(0.0314)	(0.0326)
Unempl. 1990	-0.0070* ^{**}	-0.0046* ^{**}	-0.0046* ^{**}	-0.0044* ^{**}
	(0.0015)	(0.0010)	(0.0011)	(0.0013)
Agr. jobs 1990	0.0320***	0.0116***	0.0116***	0.0065
	(0.0060)	(0.0042)	(0.0044)	(0.0044)
Intercept	-0.6008	-0.0905	-0.0905	-0.0519
	(0.4341)	(0.2807)	(0.3569)	(0.3651)
lambda		0.6388***	0.6388***	0.7343***
		(0.0652)	(0.0926)	(0.0912)
rho			. ,	0.1316
				(0.0816)
Ν	1789	1789	1789	1789
Standard errors	in parentheses			

* p < .10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Jeanty (Rice)

Final thoughts

Summary and observation

- In this presentation, we illustrate two new user-written commands, spcgmm and sphac.
- We show how three typical econometric issues endogeneity, spatial autocorrelation, and heteroskedasticity facing researchers using geo-referenced data can be addressed in Stata.
- In the contrived examples, we estimated a population growth model with racial diversity as the explanatory variable of interest.
- The results show that, net of economic and demographic factors, racial diversity is positively correlated with population growth.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Final thoughts

Summary and observation

- In this presentation, we illustrate two new user-written commands, spcgmm and sphac.
- We show how three typical econometric issues endogeneity, spatial autocorrelation, and heteroskedasticity facing researchers using geo-referenced data can be addressed in Stata.
- In the contrived examples, we estimated a population growth model with racial diversity as the explanatory variable of interest.
- The results show that, net of economic and demographic factors, racial diversity is positively correlated with population growth.

Limitations and potential improvements

- Implementation of sphac depends on a dense, rather than sparse, distance matrix
- Large sample size may be a problem, though the command work well for US county level data.
- Improvements will depend on the availability of sparse matrix operations in Mata.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Final thoughts

Summary and observation

- In this presentation, we illustrate two new user-written commands, spcgmm and sphac.
- We show how three typical econometric issues endogeneity, spatial autocorrelation, and heteroskedasticity facing researchers using geo-referenced data can be addressed in Stata.
- In the contrived examples, we estimated a population growth model with racial diversity as the explanatory variable of interest.
- The results show that, net of economic and demographic factors, racial diversity is positively correlated with population growth.

Limitations and potential improvements

- Implementation of sphac depends on a dense, rather than sparse, distance matrix
- Large sample size may be a problem, though the command work well for US county level data.
- Improvements will depend on the availability of sparse matrix operations in Mata.

Next steps

- We will write the help files and submit to SSC
- Finally, we will consider extend sphac to make it work after non-linear models.

Jeanty (Rice)

Spatial HAC in Stata

Thank you!!!

メロト メポト メヨト メヨ

References

- Arraiz, I., Drukker, D. M., Kelejian, H. H., and Prucha, I. R. (2010). A spatial cliff-ord-type model with heteroscedastic innovations: Small and large sample results. *Journal of Regional Science*, 50:592–614.
- Boarnet, M., Chalermpong, S., and Geho, E. (2003). Specification issues in models of population and employment growth. *Papers in Regional Science*, 84:21–46.
- Cameron, A. and Trivedi, P. (2005). *Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Conley, T. G. (1999). Gmm estimation with cross sectional dependence. *Journal of Econometrics*, 92:1–45.
- Drukker, D. M., Prucha, I. R., and Raciborski, R. (2011). A command for estimating spatial-autoregressive models with spatial autoregressive disturbances and additional endogenous variables. University of Maryland Working paper.
- Fingleton, B. and Le Gallo, J. (2008). Estimating spatial models with endogenous variables, a spatial lag and spatially dependent disturbances: Finite sample properties. *Papers in Regional Science*, 87(3):319–339.
- Jeanty, P. W., Partridge, M. D., and Irwin, E. (2010). Estimation of a spatial simultaneous equation model of population migration and housing price dynamics. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, pages 343–352.
- Kelejian, H. H. and Prucha, I. R. (2007). Hac estimation in a spatial framework. Journal of Econometrics, 140:131–154.
- Kelejian, H. H. and Prucha, I. R. (2010). Specification and estimation of spatial autoregressive models with autoregressive and heteroscedastic disturbances. *Journal of Econometrics*, 157:53–67.

- Lewbel, A. (1997). Constructing instruments for regressions with measurement error when no additional data are available, with an application to patents and r&d. *Econometrica*, 65:1201–1213.
- Mittelhammer, R., Judge, G., and Miller, D. (2000). *Econometric Foundations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Newey, W. and West, K. (1984). A simple, positive semi-definite, heteroskedastic and autocorrelated consistent covariance matrix. *Econometrica*, 55:703–708.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト