

Econometrics strikes back: GMM and two-way fixed effects

StataCorp LLC

October 12, 2022

- Growing interest in estimation and inference of average treatment effects on the treated
 - Inference: What standard errors should I use
 - Is the tool I am using the correct one to obtain the parameter of interest

- Growing interest in estimation and inference of average treatment effects on the treated
 - Inference: What standard errors should I use
 - Is the tool I am using the correct one to obtain the parameter of interest
- Treatment effect heterogeneity
- Malign two-way fixed effects

The Plan

- Two-way fixed effects allows for desired heterogeneity
 - Known tool with desirable properties

The Plan

- Two-way fixed effects allows for desired heterogeneity
 - Known tool with desirable properties
- How the proposed estimators and standard errors can be obtained using GMM
- Illustrate how we can use `gmm` to fit two sets of estimators
 - Show some programming tips/tricks for `gmm`
 - Show some other programming tools in Stata
- Illustrate how the modeling, not the tool, is the problem

Basic Concepts: Econometric Theory

Framework: Common intervention period

- Notation based on Wooldridge (2021)
- Time: $1 \dots T$
- Intervention: $d \in \{0, 1\}$
- Intervention: at time q .
 - Pre-intervention $t = 1, \dots, q - 1$
 - Intervention $t = q, \dots, T$
- Potential outcome $y_t(d)$
 - $y_t(1)$ under the intervention
 - $y_t(0)$ without the intervention
- Treatment effect at time the $te_t = y_t(1) - y_t(0)$
- Average treatment effect on the treated at time t is $\tau_t \equiv E[y_t(1) - y_t(0) | d = 1]$

Framework: Common intervention period

- The outcome is $y_t = y_t(0) + d[y_t(1) - y_t(0)]$

Framework: Common intervention period

- The outcome is $y_t = y_t(0) + d[y_t(1) - y_t(0)]$

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_t|d) &= E[y_t(0)|d] + dE(te_t|d) \\ &= E[y_t(0)|d] + d[(1-d)E(te_t|d=0) + dE(te_t|d=1)] \\ &= E[y_t(0)|d] + d\tau_t \end{aligned}$$

Framework: Common intervention period $E[y_t(0)|d]$

- The potential outcome of not receiving treatment is

$$\begin{aligned}y_t(0) &= y_1(0) + (y_t(0) - y_1(0)) \\ &= y_1(0) + g_t(0)\end{aligned}$$

- Common trends assumption: $E[g_t(0)|d] = E[g_t(0)] \equiv \theta_t$

Framework: Common intervention period $E[y_t(0)|d]$

- The potential outcome of not receiving treatment is

$$\begin{aligned}y_t(0) &= y_1(0) + (y_t(0) - y_1(0)) \\ &= y_1(0) + g_t(0)\end{aligned}$$

- Common trends assumption: $E[g_t(0)|d] = E[g_t(0)] \equiv \theta_t$
- Because d is binary $E[y_1(0)|d] = \lambda + \zeta d$

Framework: Common intervention period $E[y_t(0)|d]$

- The potential outcome of not receiving treatment is

$$\begin{aligned}y_t(0) &= y_1(0) + (y_t(0) - y_1(0)) \\ &= y_1(0) + g_t(0)\end{aligned}$$

- Common trends assumption: $E[g_t(0)|d] = E[g_t(0)] \equiv \theta_t$
- Because d is binary $E[y_1(0)|d] = \lambda + \zeta d$
- Therefore $E[y_t(0)|d] = \lambda + \zeta d + \theta_t$

$$\begin{aligned}E(y_t|d) &= E[y_t(0)|d] + d\tau_t \\ &= \lambda + \theta_t + \zeta d + d\tau_t\end{aligned}$$

Framework: Common intervention period

- $E(y_t|d) = \lambda + \theta_t + \zeta d + d\tau_t$

Framework: Common intervention period

- $E(y_t|d) = \lambda + \theta_t + \zeta d + d\tau_t$
- We have an estimating equation within the potential outcomes framework
- We rely on common trends assumption for identification
- The estimating equation allows for time-varying treatment effects
- We can use our regression methods to estimate the parameters

Framework: Common intervention period

- $E(y_t|d) = \lambda + \theta_t + \zeta d + d\tau_t$
- We have an estimating equation within the potential outcomes framework
- We rely on common trends assumption for identification
- The estimating equation allows for time-varying treatment effects
- We can use our regression methods to estimate the parameters
- Following a similar argument we can make the effect change with covariates
- We can use `margins` or `gmm` to obtain the objects of interest

Framework: Staggered intervention

- Intervention occurs at different times $r \in \{q, q + 1, \dots, T\}$
- Potential outcome $y_t(r)$ with never treated at $y_t(\infty)$
- $te_t(r) = y_t(r) - y_t(\infty)$ and $\tau_{rt} = E[te_t(r) | d_r = 1]$
- Common trends
 $E[y_t(\infty) - y_1(\infty) | d_q, \dots, d_T] = E[y_t(\infty) - y_1(\infty)] \equiv \theta_t$

Framework: Staggered intervention

- $y_t = y_t(\infty) + d_q[y_t(q) - y_t(\infty)] + \dots + d_T[y_t(T) - y_t(\infty)]$

Framework: Staggered intervention

- $y_t = y_t(\infty) + d_q[y_t(q) - y_t(\infty)] + \dots + d_T[y_t(T) - y_t(\infty)]$

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_t|\mathbf{d}) &= E[y_t(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] + d_q E[te_t(q)|\mathbf{d}] + \dots + d_T E[te_t(T)|\mathbf{d}] \\ &= E[y_t(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] + d_q E[te_t(q)|d_q = 1] + \dots + \\ &\quad d_T E[te_t(T)|d_T = 1] \end{aligned}$$

- Using common trends and $y_t(\infty) = y_1(\infty) + g_t(\infty)$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_t(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] &= E[y_1(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] + E[g_t(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] \\ &= \eta + \lambda_q d_q + \dots + \lambda_T d_T + \theta_t \end{aligned}$$

Framework: Staggered intervention

- $y_t = y_t(\infty) + d_q[y_t(q) - y_t(\infty)] + \dots + d_T[y_t(T) - y_t(\infty)]$

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_t|\mathbf{d}) &= E[y_t(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] + d_q E[te_t(q)|\mathbf{d}] + \dots + d_T E[te_t(T)|\mathbf{d}] \\ &= E[y_t(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] + d_q E[te_t(q)|d_q = 1] + \dots + \\ &\quad d_T E[te_t(T)|d_T = 1] \end{aligned}$$

- Using common trends and $y_t(\infty) = y_1(\infty) + g_t(\infty)$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} E[y_t(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] &= E[y_1(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] + E[g_t(\infty)|\mathbf{d}] \\ &= \eta + \lambda_q d_q + \dots + \lambda_T d_T + \theta_t \end{aligned}$$

- Our estimating equation can then be written as

$$E(y_t|\mathbf{d}) = \eta + \theta_t + \lambda_q d_q + \dots + \lambda_T d_t + \tau_{qt} d_q + \dots + \tau_{Tt} d_T$$

Framework: Staggered intervention

- Although treatment timing differs we reach analogous conclusions

Framework: Staggered intervention

- Although treatment timing differs we reach analogous conclusions
- Our potential outcome understanding holds
- Our concept of common trends as an indentifying assumption holds
- We can use regression tools to obtain the parameters of interest

Staggered intervention: Callaway and Sant'Anna

- Treatment effects are estimated for each treatment cohort at different points in time
- Reduce the problem to multiple two period problems
- Fits into potential outcome framework
- Similar identifying assumptions
- They propose three estimators: IPW, RA, and AIPW

- Remember: $E [te_t(r)|d_r = 1] \equiv \tau_{rt}$
- The IPW estimator in Callaway and Sant'Anna is given by:

- Remember: $E [te_t(r)|d_r = 1] \equiv \tau_{rt}$
- The IPW estimator in Callaway and Sant'Anna is given by:

$$\tau_{rt} = E \left[\left(\frac{d_r}{E[d_r]} - \frac{\frac{p_r(X)d_\infty}{1-p_r(X)}}{E\left[\frac{p_r(X)d_\infty}{1-p_r(X)}\right]} \right) (Y_t - Y_{r-1}) \right]$$

- Equivalent to teffects ipw using $Y_t - Y_{r-1}$ as the dependent variable
- $p_r(X)$ is an estimate of the probability of belonging to cohort r

$$\tau_{rt} = E \left[\frac{d_r}{E[d_r]} (Y_t - Y_{r-1} - m_{rt}(X)) \right]$$
$$m_{rt}(X) = E[Y_t - Y_{r-1} | X, d_{\infty} = 1]$$

- Equivalent to teffects ra using $Y_t - Y_{r-1}$ as the dependent variable
- $m_{rt}(X)$ is a regression using the never treated observations

$$\tau_{rt} = E \left[\left(\frac{d_r}{E[d_r]} - \frac{\frac{p_r(X)d_\infty}{1-p_r(X)}}{E\left[\frac{p_r(X)d_\infty}{1-p_r(X)}\right]} \right) (Y_t - Y_{r-1} - m_{rt}(X)) \right]$$

- Callaway and Sant'Anna in their implementation have that $p_r(\cdot)$ and $m_{rt}(X)$ use the same covariates

What can we say

- Two-way fixed effects is an adequate tool, if we incorporate the heterogeneity we want to model
- Wooldridge (2021) and Callaway and Sant'Anna (2020) provide estimators that can be framed within GMM and fit using `gmm`
- Wooldridge (2021) and Callaway and Sant'Anna (2020) use methods different than GMM.

What can we say

- Two-way fixed effects is an adequate tool, if we incorporate the heterogeneity we want to model
- Wooldridge (2021) and Callaway and Sant'Anna (2020) provide estimators that can be framed within GMM and fit using `gmm`
- Wooldridge (2021) and Callaway and Sant'Anna (2020) use methods different than GMM.
- `gmm` gives equivalent point estimates but allows a wider array of standard errors
- `gmm` illustrates the costs of allowing for more heterogeneity (hidden in the Callaway and Sant'Anna framework)

Basic Concepts: `gmm` and `margins`

- `gmm` solves moment conditions of the form: $E[Z'e(X, \theta)] = 0$
- $e(X, \theta)$ are residuals for regression and scores of probit or logit likelihoods.
- You specify moments using parenthesis before options and Z using the `instruments()` option
- You could specify `gmm` as a command or create a program (.ado)

margins

- `margins` uses `expression` to obtain effects after estimation command
- Usually the `expression` is a command's default prediction
- Any function of the fitted model parameters is valid (`nlcom`, `lincom`)
- Effects could be population averaged effects or effects at a point

Linear regression and contrasts/marginal effects

```
. sysuse auto, clear  
(1978 automobile data)  
. regress mpg price i.foreign##c.length, vce(robust) noheader
```

mpg	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	t	P> t	[95% conf. interval]	
price	-.0002262	.0001654	-1.37	0.176	-.0005561	.0001037
foreign	15.60087	14.27441	1.09	0.278	-12.87581	44.07754
foreign length	-.1846372	.0257499	-7.17	0.000	-.2360068	-.1332677
foreign# c.length						
Foreign	-.0930218	.0804212	-1.16	0.251	-.2534577	.067414
_cons	57.41443	4.776039	12.02	0.000	47.8865	66.94237

```
. estimates store regress
```


Linear regression and contrasts/marginal effects

```
. margins, dydx(foreign) post vce(unconditional)
Average marginal effects                               Number of obs = 74
Expression: Linear prediction, predict()
dy/dx wrt: 1.foreign
```

	Unconditional					
	dy/dx	std. err.	t	P> t	[95% conf. interval]	
foreign						
Foreign	-1.880953	1.629301	-1.15	0.252	-5.131319	1.369413

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.

```
. estimates store dydx
```

Linear regression and contrasts/marginal effects

```
. estimates restore regress
(results regress are active now)
. margins r.foreign, post vce(unconditional) contrast(nowald)
Contrasts of predictive margins                                Number of obs = 74
Expression: Linear prediction, predict()
```

	Unconditional			
	Contrast	std. err.	[95% conf. interval]	
foreign (Foreign vs Domestic)	-1.880953	1.629301	-5.131319	1.369413

```
. estimates store contrast
```

Linear regression and contrasts/marginal effects

```
. estimates restore regress
(results regress are active now)
. margins, vce(unconditional) at(foreign=0) at(foreign=1) ///
>          contrast(at(r) nowald) post
Contrasts of predictive margins                                Number of obs = 74
Expression: Linear prediction, predict()
1._at: foreign = 0
2._at: foreign = 1
```

	Unconditional			
	Contrast	std. err.	[95% conf. interval]	
_at (2 vs 1)	-1.880953	1.629301	-5.131319	1.369413

```
. estimates store atcontrast
```

Linear regression and contrasts/marginal effects

```
. etable, estimates(dydx contrast atcontrast) column(estimates)
```

	dydx	contrast	atcontrast
Car origin			
Foreign	-1.881		
	(1.629)		
Car origin			
(Foreign vs Domestic)		-1.881	
		(1.629)	
_at			
(2 vs 1)			-1.881
			(1.629)
Number of observations	74		

Linear regression and contrasts/marginal effects

```
. collect remap colname[1.foreign] = colname[r1vs0.foreign]
(13 items remapped in collection ETable)
. collect remap colname[r2vs1._at] = colname[r1vs0.foreign]
(8 items remapped in collection ETable)
. collect layout
Collection: ETable
  Rows: coleq#colname[]#result[_r_b _r_se] result[N]
  Columns: etable_estimates#stars[value]
  Table 1: 4 x 3
```

	dydx	contrast	atcontrast
Car origin			
(Foreign vs Domestic)	-1.881 (1.629)	-1.881 (1.629)	-1.881 (1.629)
Number of observations	74		

Effects using gmm

```
. local xb {b1}*price + {b2}*length + {b3}*1.foreign + {b4}*c.length#1.foreign
. local xb0 {b1}*price + {b2}*length + {b0}
. local xb1 `xb0' + {b3} + {b4}*length
```

Effects using gmm

```
. gmm (mpg: mpg - (`xb` + {b0}))          ///
> (at0: `xb0` - {at0})                    ///
> (dydx: `xb1` - {at0} - {dydx}),        ///
> instruments(mpg: price i.foreign##c.length)  ///
> winitial(unadjusted, independent) onestep iterlogonly
Iteration 0:  GMM criterion Q(b) = 475.49917
Iteration 1:  GMM criterion Q(b) = 2.076e-20
Iteration 2:  GMM criterion Q(b) = 3.729e-28
```

Effects using gmm

```
. gmm
GMM estimation
Number of parameters = 7
Number of moments = 7
Initial weight matrix: Unadjusted          Number of obs = 74
```

	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
/b1	-.0002262	.0001597	-1.42	0.157	-.0005392	.0000867
/b2	-.1846372	.0248647	-7.43	0.000	-.2333711	-.1359034
/b3	15.60087	13.78374	1.13	0.258	-11.41477	42.6165
/b4	-.0930218	.0776567	-1.20	0.231	-.2452262	.0591826
/b0	57.41443	4.611861	12.45	0.000	48.37535	66.45351
/at0	21.32035	.7128755	29.91	0.000	19.92314	22.71756
/dydx	-1.880953	1.573294	-1.20	0.232	-4.964552	1.202647

```
Instruments for equation mpg: price 0b.foreign 1.foreign length
    0b.foreign#co.length 1.foreign#c.length _cons
Instruments for equation at0: _cons
Instruments for equation dydx: _cons
```


`gmm` with a program evaluator

- You can also write an evaluator for `gmm`
- Flexibility vs. complexity
- What you would do if you were writing a routine

Evaluator

```
. *! version 1.0.0 25jun2022
. program _twfe_gmm_fr
1.     version 17
2.     syntax varlist if, at(name)           ///
>         [                                 ///
>         *                                 ///
>         ]
3.
.     tokenize `varlist'
4. end
```

Evaluator: specifying equations and linear combinations

```
. *! version 1.0.0 25jun2022
. program _twfe_gmm_fr
1.     version 17
2.     syntax varlist if, at(name)           ///
>         [                                 ///
>         y(string)                        ///
>         *                                 ///
>         ]
3.
.     tokenize `varlist'
4.
.     tempvar breg bpom bdydx
5.     tempname beta
6.
.     local reg `1'
7.     local pom0 `2'
8.     local dydx `3'
9.
.     quietly matrix score double `breg' = `at' `if', eq(#1)
10.    quietly matrix score double `bpom' = `at' `if', eq(#2)
11.    quietly matrix score double `bdydx' = `at' `if', eq(#3)
12.
.     quietly replace `reg' = `y' - `breg' `if'
13.    quietly replace `pom0' = `breg' - `bpom' `if'
14.    quietly replace `dydx' = `breg' - `bpom' - `bdydx' `if'
15. end
```

Tricking gmm to do at()

```
. quietly regress mpg price i.foreign##c.length, vce(robust) noheader
. matrix beta = e(b)
. _fv_term_info 0b.foreign 1.foreign, individuals fvrestripe matrix(beta)
. ret list
scalars:
      r(tsops) = 0
      r(k_terms) = 2
macros:
      r(individuals) : "price __000002 __000003 length"
      r(varlist) : "0b.foreign 1.foreign"
      r(type2) : "variable"
      r(type1) : "variable"
matrices:
      r(mean2) : 1 x 1
      r(mean1) : 1 x 1
```

Tricking gmm to do at()

```
. mat list beta
beta[1,7]

```

	price	__000002	__000003	length	co.length#
y1	-.00022623	0	15.600867	-.18463724	0
	c.length#				
	c.__000003	_cons			
y1	-.09302183	57.414433			

Tricking gmm to do at()

```
. program _twfe_gmm_fr, sortpreserve
1.     version 17
2.     syntax varlist if, at(name)           ///
>     [                                     ///
>     y(string)                             ///
>     atlist(string)                        ///
>     *                                       ///
>     ]
3.     * OMMITTING OUTPUT
.     quietly replace `reg' = `y' - `breg'   `if'
4.     // Forming at() objects
.     local k: colsof `at'
5.     matrix `beta' = `at'[1, 1..`k'-2]
6.     _fv_term_info `atlist', individuals fvrestripe matrix(`beta')
7.     local f0: word 2 of `r(individuals)'
8.     local f1: word 3 of `r(individuals)'
9.     replace `f0' = 1
10.    replace `f1' = 0
11.    matrix score double `xb0' = `beta' `if'
12.    quietly replace `pom0' = `xb0' - `bpom' `if'
13.    quietly replace `f0' = 0
14.    quietly replace `f1' = 1
15.    matrix score double `xb1' = `beta' `if'
16.    quietly replace `dydx' = `xb1' - `bpom' - `bdydx' `if'
17. end
```

What have we learned

- Two-way fixed effects is not broken
- Heterogeneous treatment effects fall into our potential outcome framework
- We can think of the problem as a set of estimating equations
- Getting effects can be done via `margins` or `gmm`

Stata Examples

Wooldridge (2021): Using margins

- Staggered treatment and heterogeneity in the covariates
- Key variables:
 - Define a cohort variable
 - Define an observation level indicator of treatment w_{it} (\bar{w})

Data

```
. describe
Contains data from staggered_6.dta
Observations:      3,270
Variables:         7                               24 Jun 2022 08:37
```

Variable name	Storage type	Display format	Value label	Variable label
id	int	%9.0g		cross-sectional identifier
year	int	%9.0g		2011 to 2016
y	float	%9.0g		outcome, levels
w	byte	%9.0g		=1 if treated
x1	byte	%9.0g		time constant control
x2	byte	%9.0g		time constant control
logy	float	%9.0g		outcome variable, natural log

```
Sorted by: id
Note: Dataset has changed since last saved.
```

Cohort

```
. generate double cohort = 0
. bysort id: generate ttimes = year[_n] if w==1
(2,787 missing values generated)
. bysort id: egen cohort0 = min(ttimes)
(2,172 missing values generated)
. replace cohort = cohort0 if cohort0!=.
(1,098 real changes made)
. tab cohort
```

cohort	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
0	2,172	66.42	66.42
2014	804	24.59	91.01
2015	192	5.87	96.88
2016	102	3.12	100.00
Total	3,270	100.00	

Regressors

- Treatment indicator interacted with cohort and year
 - `i.w#2014bn.cohort#2014bn.year`
`i.w#2014bn.cohort#2015bn.year ...`
`i.w#2016bn.cohort#2016bn.year`
- Treatment indicator interacted with cohort and year and covariate `x1`
 - `i.w#2014bn.cohort#2015bn.year#c.x1 ...`
- Interaction and levels of covariates, cohort, and time
 - `(c.x1)##(2014bn.year 2015bn.year 2016bn.year i.cohort)`

regress

```
. qui reg logy i.w#2014bn.cohort#2014bn.year i.w#2014bn.cohort#2015bn.year ///
> i.w#2014bn.cohort#2016bn.year i.w#2015bn.cohort#2015bn.year ///
> i.w#2015bn.cohort#2016bn.year i.w#2016bn.cohort#2016bn.year ///
> i.w#2014bn.cohort#2014bn.year#c.x1 ///
> i.w#2014bn.cohort#2015bn.year#c.x1 ///
> i.w#2014bn.cohort#2016bn.year#c.x1 ///
> i.w#2015bn.cohort#2015bn.year#c.x1 ///
> i.w#2015bn.cohort#2016bn.year#c.x1 ///
> i.w#2016bn.cohort#2016bn.year#c.x1 ///
> (c.x1)##(2012bn.year 2013bn.year 2014bn.year ///
> 2015bn.year 2016bn.year i.cohort), ///
> vce(cluster id)
```

margins to compute heterogeneous effects

```
. quietly generate over = cohort if cohort!=0
. quietly margins 2014.year 2015.year 2016.year,      ///
> dydx(w) over(over) vce(unconditional)          ///
> noestimcheck post
. _coef_table
                                (Std. err. adjusted for 545 clusters in id)
```

	Unconditional					
	Coefficient	std. err.	t	P> t	[95% conf. interval]	
0.w	(base outcome)					
1.w						
over#year						
2014 2014	.1800395	.0224137	8.03	0.000	.1360115	.2240676
2014 2015	.1758216	.0229169	7.67	0.000	.1308052	.2208379
2014 2016	.1849706	.0251249	7.36	0.000	.135617	.2343243
2015 2014	0	(omitted)				
2015 2015	.0978163	.0414103	2.36	0.019	.0164725	.17916
2015 2016	.1327046	.0447888	2.96	0.003	.0447245	.2206847
2016 2014	0	(omitted)				
2016 2015	0	(omitted)				
2016 2016	.092621	.0654263	1.42	0.157	-.0358982	.2211401

gmm to compute heterogeneous effects

```
. gmm
Iteration 0:  EE criterion = 1.498e-24
Iteration 1:  EE criterion = 6.227e-32
Heterogeneous-treatment-effects regression          Number of obs = 3,270
Data type: Repeated cross-sectional
Estimator: Two-way fixed-effects
              (Std. err. adjusted for 545 clusters in id)
```

	ATET	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
ATET						
__cohort# year						
2014 2014	.1800395	.0222936	8.08	0.000	.1363449	.2237342
2014 2015	.1758216	.022794	7.71	0.000	.1311461	.2204971
2014 2016	.1849706	.0249902	7.40	0.000	.1359907	.2339505
2015 2015	.0978163	.0411884	2.37	0.018	.0170885	.1785441
2015 2016	.1327046	.0445487	2.98	0.003	.0453907	.2200185
2016 2016	.092621	.0650757	1.42	0.155	-.034925	.220167
OME1						
__cohort# year						
2014 2014	2.401441	.0765251	31.38	0.000	2.251454	2.551427
OME0						
__cohort# year						
2014 2014	2.221401	.0753911	29.47	0.000	2.073637	2.369165
OME1						
__cohort# year						
2014 2015	2.303018	.0743222	30.99	0.000	2.157349	2.448687
OME0						
__cohort# year						
2014 2015	2.127196	.0753975	28.21	0.000	1.97942	2.274973
OME1						
__cohort# year						

Nonlinear models for heterogeneous effects (maybe)

```
. use did_staggered_6_corner, clear
. generate double cohort = 0
. bysort id: generate ttimes = year[_n] if w==1
(4,786 missing values generated)
. bysort id: egen cohort0 = min(ttimes)
(3,018 missing values generated)
. replace cohort = cohort0 if cohort0!=.
(2,982 real changes made)
```


Nonlinear models for heterogeneous effects (maybe)

```
. qui poisson y i.w#2004bn.cohort#2004bn.year i.w#2004bn.cohort#2005bn.year ///
> i.w#2004bn.cohort#2006bn.year i.w#2005bn.cohort#2005bn.year ///
> i.w#2005bn.cohort#2006bn.year i.w#2006bn.cohort#2006bn.year ///
> i.w#2004bn.cohort#2004bn.year#c.x ///
> i.w#2004bn.cohort#2005bn.year#c.x ///
> i.w#2004bn.cohort#2006bn.year#c.x ///
> i.w#2005bn.cohort#2005bn.year#c.x ///
> i.w#2005bn.cohort#2006bn.year#c.x ///
> i.w#2006bn.cohort#2006bn.year#c.x ///
> (c.x)##(2002bn.year 2003bn.year 2004bn.year 2005bn.year ///
> 2006bn.year i.cohort), ///
> vce(cluster id)
```

Nonlinear models for heterogeneous effects (maybe)

```
. quietly generate over = cohort if cohort!=0
. margins 2004bn.year 2005bn.year 2006bn.year,      ///
>          dydx(w) over(over) noestimcheck vce(unconditional)
note: 3018 observations omitted because of missing values in over() variable.
Average marginal effects                Number of obs   = 6,000
                                         Subpop. no. obs = 2,982

Expression: Predicted number of events, predict()
dy/dx wrt: 1.w
Over:      over
```

(Std. err. adjusted for 1,000 clusters in id)

		Unconditional		z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
		dy/dx	std. err.				
0.w		(base outcome)					
1.w							
over#year							
2004	2004	1.017501	1.033521	0.98	0.325	-1.008164	3.043166
2004	2005	6.00713	2.162626	2.78	0.005	1.76846	10.2458
2004	2006	4.569667	1.369919	3.34	0.001	1.884675	7.254658
2005	2004	0 (omitted)					
2005	2005	7.170127	3.355386	2.14	0.033	.5936913	13.74656
2005	2006	7.185492	2.781751	2.58	0.010	1.73336	12.63762
2006	2004	0 (omitted)					
2006	2005	0 (omitted)					
2006	2006	13.73294	10.32555	1.33	0.184	-6.504777	33.97065

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.

- Obtain group and time cohorts
- Compute effects of interest for each group and time cohort
- Form the moment conditions
- Example for IPW. Remember:

$$\tau_{rt} = E \left[\left(\frac{d_r}{E[d_r]} - \frac{\frac{p_r(X)d_\infty}{1-p_r(X)}}{E\left[\frac{p_r(X)d_\infty}{1-p_r(X)}\right]} \right) (Y_t - Y_{r-1}) \right]$$

Group and time cohorts

```
. // Group and time computation
. generate keep = inlist(year, 2013, 2014) & inlist(cohort, 0, 2014)
. keep if keep
(2,278 observations deleted)
. // Depvar
. bysort id (year): generate double dy = logy[2] - logy[1]
. // Treatment variable
. generate double gt = cohort>0 if dy!=.
```

Getting estimates

```
. // Propensity score
. quietly logit gt x1
. predict double px if e(sample)
(option pr assumed; Pr(gt))
.
. // Normalizing means
. summarize gt if dy!=., meanonly
. local mgt = r(mean)
.
. // Propensity score weight
. generate double pxr = px*(1-gt)/(1-px)
. summarize pxr, meanonly
. local mpxr = r(mean)
```

Getting estimates

```
. // atet  
. generate double atet = (gt/`mgt' - pxr/`mpxr')*dy  
. sum atet
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
atet	992	.1982376	.7244637	-2.467532	3.133791

Getting estimates

```
. csdid logy x1, ivar(id) time(year) gvar(cohort) method(stdipw)
```

Difference-in-difference with Multiple Time Periods

Number of obs = 992

Outcome model : weighted mean

Treatment model: stabilized inverse probability

	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
g2014						
t_2013_2014	.1982376	.0271813	7.29	0.000	.1449632	.251512

Control: Never Treated

See Callaway and Sant'Anna (2021) for details

Full gmm

```
. gmm
Iteration 0:  EE criterion = 2.308e-17
Iteration 1:  EE criterion = 9.533e-32
note: model is exactly identified.
GMM estimation
Number of parameters = 90
Number of moments   = 90
Initial weight matrix: Unadjusted      Number of obs   =      3,270
                                   (Std. err. adjusted for 545 clusters in id)
```

	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
atet1						
_cons	-.0068411	.0263192	-0.26	0.795	-.0584258	.0447435
treat1						
x1	-.0229986	.0472623	-0.49	0.627	-.115631	.0696338
_cons	-.7230127	.5663183	-1.28	0.202	-1.832976	.3869508
gmean1						
_cons	.2701613	.0199381	13.55	0.000	.2310833	.3092393
psmean1						
_cons	.2702069	.0199471	13.55	0.000	.2311112	.3093025
atet2						
_cons	-.0106981	.0280311	-0.38	0.703	-.0656381	.0442419
treat2						
x1	-.0229986	.0472623	-0.49	0.627	-.115631	.0696338
_cons	-.7230127	.5663183	-1.28	0.202	-1.832976	.3869508
gmean2						
_cons	.2701613	.0199381	13.55	0.000	.2310833	.3092393
psmean2						
_cons	.2702069	.0199471	13.55	0.000	.2311112	.3093025
atet3						
_cons	.1982376	.0271813	7.29	0.000	.1449632	.251512

(output omitted)

Conclusion

- Our usual tools `gmm` and `margins` help us understand heterogeneous treatment effects
- `gmm` works in all cases but ...
- Our usual estimators work fine (two-way fixed effects is not a broken toy)
- We looked at some Stata tools (`etable`, `collect`, ...)