SSIVQREG: QUANTILE SELECTION MODELS WITH ENDOGENOUS REGRESSORS IN STATA

Paul Bingley, Christophe Kolodziejczyk, Nicolai Kristensen

VIVE, The Danish Center for Social Science Research

August 29, 2025

Contact: ckol@vive.dk



OUTLINE

Introduction

Model

ESTIMATION

SSIVQREG

APPLICATIONS

CONCLUSION





MOTIVATION

- Old question in economics: estimate the returns to education
- Several issues
 - Sample selection: decision to work is a choice; earnings/wages are only observed for participants.
 - Endogeneity: education is a choice correlated with unobserved factors driving the individual wages
 - ► Analysis of the wage distribution



BACKGROUND

- Conditional mean: Heckman model and its variations
- ▶ Distribution: Arellano and Bonhomme (2017) (AB) propose estimation methods for quantile selection models.
- ► AB consider the case where covariates are exogenous and suggest one method for the endogenous case.
- ► AB's estimator for the case with **exogenous** covariates has been implemented in Stata with two commands
 - ▶ arhomme (Biewen and Erhardt, 2021)
 - qregsel (Muñoz and Siravegna, 2021)
- ▶ No commands for the endogeneity case





SSIVQREG

We introduce a new Stata command: **SSIVQREG**.

- ▶ Implement estimators for the case of endogenous covariates.
- New estimator based on the smoothing of the estimating equations of the model. Reduce computation time
- ► This estimator can be applied to the case with exogenous covariates.
- ► Computes analytical standard errors derived in AB's article.
- and more



ROADMAP

- Explain the quantile selection model
- Present SSIVQREG
- Show a Monte Carlo simulation exercise
- ▶ Show an application with real data.



QUANTILE SELECTION MODEL WITH ENDOGENOUS REGRESSORS

Consider the following model.

$$Y^* = q(U, E, X), \tag{1}$$

$$D = \mathbf{1} \{ V \leqslant p(Z) \}, \qquad (2)$$

$$Y = Y^* \text{ if } D = 1, \tag{3}$$

 Y^* has a linear quantile form for a given rank τ :

$$q(\tau, E, X) = E\alpha_{\tau} + X\beta_{\tau}$$



QUANTILE SELECTION MODEL WITH ENDOGENOUS REGRESSORS*

- Y is the outcome (wage)
- $ightharpoonup Y^*$ is the latent outcome and is only observed if D=1
- E (education) is a potentially endogenous variable
- X is a set of exogenous variables and U the unobserved ability.
- ▶ *V* is the unobserved resistance to participate
- \triangleright p(Z) is the propensity to participate given observed Z



SELECTION

- ▶ Individuals participate if their propensity (given Z) exceeds V.
- U and V are potentially correlated. Those with a high ability are for example more likely to have a lower resistance to participate
- Modeled with a bivariate copula $C(U, V; \rho)$. ρ is the copula dependency parameter
- Parametric copula: Frank or Gaussian



Moment condition: Rotated quantile

AB (2017) have the following identification result

$$P[Y^* \leqslant q(\tau, E, X) | D = 1, Z] = \frac{C_x(\tau, p(z))}{p(z)}$$
$$= G_x(\tau, p(z))$$

Note: if U and V are independent, then $G_X(\tau, p(z)) = \tau$ and we have the conditional moment for quantile regression. Appendix



IDENTIFICATION

In order to identify the model we need exclusion restrictions.

- ► (At least) one instrument for E
- One instrument for the participation decision D



ESTIMATION I: AB'S ESTIMATOR/PROFILED GMM

AB's estimator consists of three steps

- 1. Estimate the propensity score
- 2. Choose the value of ρ which minimizes the objective function.
 - 2.1 For a fixed value of the dependency parameter estimate IV quantile regressions for a predefined grid of probabilities (e.g. 0.1, 0.2, ..., 0.9).
 - 2.2 and compute the moment condition for the dependency parameter.
 - 2.3 Probabilities are corrected for sample selection.
 - 2.4 The IVQR (Chernozhukov and Hansen, 2008) involves a grid search for the parameter of the endogenous variable.
- 3. (Optional) Estimate more quantiles with the estimated value of the dependency parameter.

ESTIMATION II: SMOOTHING

- Applied by Kaplan and Sun (2017) as an alternative to the IVQR.
- Original problem is nonconvex
 - Use a smoothed version of the moment conditions instead of the original moments.
 - Use the GMM to estimate the parameters of this model
- Requires specifying the smoothing parameter or bandwidth.
- Optimize the objective function with the Gauss-Newton algorithm (with optimize or moptimize)



SSIVQREG'S FEATURES

- Estimates quantile models with or without endogenous regressors.
- Two main estimators: profiled or smoothed GMM
- Computes analytical asymptotic standard errors and allows bootstrapping.
- Additional features: preprocessing (Pereda-Fernández, 2025), one-step estimator, simulated annealing, AMCMC (Baker, 2014).



SYNTAX

Exogenous case:

```
ssivqreg depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight], select( depvar
[=] [indepvars]) [ quantile(#) nrho(#) copula(string) gmm
rescale ]
```

Endogenous case:

```
ssivqreg depvar (varname= varlist) [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight],
select( depvar [=] [indepvars] ) [ quantile(#) nrho(#)
nalpha(#) copula(string) gmm rescale amcmc ]
```



MONTE CARLO SIMULATION EXERCISE

- We investigate the bias and the computation time of our estimators
- focus on the dependency parameter and a heterogeneous treatment effect.
- We run simulations for different values of the dependency parameter.
- ightharpoonup 10,000 observations. \sim 50 % of selected observations
- ➤ 500 replications (100 for the profiled GMM in the endogenous case)



DATA GENERATION

- Two Data generating processes (DGP): Exogenous/endogenous treatment.
- ► Heterogenous treatment effect E: Uniformly distributed between 0 and 1, Median effect is 0.5.
- Just-identified case: one instrument for the participation equation and one for the treatment effect.
- ► Sample selection: Frank and Gaussian copulas.



RESULTS

- Estimators consistent for the dependency parameter Dependency
- Treatment effect:
 - exogenous cases: consistent TE exogenous
 - endogenous case: higher bias for high dependency and low/higher quantiles TE endogenous
- ► Computation time is considerably reduced when smoothing CPU time



Married women labor supply (Mroz, 1987)

- ▶ Data on married women labor supply in the US (Mroz, 1987)
- ➤ Small dataset used in Wooldrige's textbook (2010) to illustrate Heckman's model (753 obs.)
- Data on wage, education, husband's income, non-labor income and number of children
- Instruments:
 - Wage equation: Use parental education and the husband's education to instrument education
 - Participation equation: Non-labor income, parental education and the husband's education



MROZ DATA - ESTIMATES RETURNS TO EDUCATION

- Estimates returns to education from these data.
- Compare the different models available (QR, IVQR, SSQR, SSIVQR)
- Although the point-estimates of the sample selection models tend to be lower, we cannot reject the absence of sample selection.
- ► Point-estimates to returns to education tend to be lower when instrumenting education, but confidence intervals are larger
- Bear in mind that the sample is quite small.





SUMMARY

- 1. Stata command SSIVQREG
 - Allows to estimate quantile selection models with or without endogenous covariates.
 - Three estimation methods
 - Analytical standard-errors and bootstrap
- 2. Monte Carlo study
 - Estimators seem to perform well except in the endogenous case when the correlation between unobserved variables is high, the bias for the treatment effect is rather high.
 - Smoothing is much faster.
- 3. I have illustrated the use of SSIVQREG with an application with real data.

Thank you!



References I

- ARELLANO, M., AND S. BONHOMME (2017): "Quantile Selection Models With an Application to Understanding Changes in Wage Inequality," *Econometrica*, 85(1), 1–28.
- Baker, M. J. (2014): "Adaptive Markov Chain Monte Carlo Sampling and Estimation in Mata," *The Stata Journal*, 14(3), 623–661.
- BIEWEN, M., AND P. ERHARDT (2021): "arhomme: An implementation of the Arellano and Bonhomme (2017) estimator for quantile regression with selection correction," *The Stata Journal*, 21(3), 602–625.
- CHERNOZHUKOV, V., AND C. HANSEN (2008): "Instrumental variable quantile regression: A robust inference approach," *Journal of Econometrics*, 142(1), 379–398.
- Kaplan, D. M., and Y. Sun (2017): "SMOOTHED ESTIMATING EQUATIONS FOR INSTRUMENTAL VARIABLES QUANTILE REGRESSION," *Econometric Theory*, 33(1), 105–157.





References II

- MROZ, T. A. (1987): "The Sensitivity of an Empirical Model of Married Women's Hours of Work to Economic and Statistical Assumptions," *Econometrica*, 55(4), 765–799.
- Muñoz, E., AND M. SIRAVEGNA (2021): "Implementing quantile selection models in Stata," *The Stata Journal*, 21(4), 952–971.
- Pereda-Fernández, S. (2025): "Fast Algorithms for Quantile Regression with Selection," *Journal of Econometric Methods*, 14(1), 35–47.
- WOOLDRIDGE, J. M. (2010): Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data, vol. 1 of MIT Press Books. The MIT Press.



APPENDIX: MOMENT CONDITIONS

IV quantile regression and sample selection (*L* quantiles):

$$E\left[DZ'\left(1\{y \leq X\beta_{\tau_{I}}\} - G\left(\tau_{I}, ps; \rho\right)\right)\right] = 0$$

$$\sum_{I=1}^{L} E\left[D\varphi\left(\tau_{I}, Z\right)\left(1\{y \leq X\beta_{\tau_{I}}\} - G\left(\tau_{I}, ps; \rho\right)\right)\right] = 0$$





APPENDIX: SMOOTHED MOMENTS

$$E\left[DZ'\left(I\left(-\frac{y-\beta_{\tau_{l}}}{h_{\tau_{l}}}\right)-G\left(\tau_{l},ps;\rho\right)\right)\right]=0$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L}E\left[D\varphi\left(\tau_{l},Z\right)\left(I\left(-\frac{y-\beta_{\tau_{l}}}{h_{\tau_{l}}}\right)-G\left(\tau_{l},ps;\rho\right)\right)\right]=0$$

 $I(\cdot)$ is a smoothing function and h_{τ_l} is a bandwidth.



DGP I: No endogenous covariates

- d is a binary exogenous treatment
- \triangleright u and v are correlated. Gaussian or Frank copula
- \triangleright z_p is the instrument for the participation decision

$$y = \alpha d + x_1 + x_2 + u$$

 $p = 1 (0.5x_1 + 0.5x_2 - 0.5z + 0.5z_p + v > 0)$



DGP II: 1 ENDOGENOUS COVARIATES

- d is endogenous since it is correlated with u
- z is the instrument for d

$$y = \alpha d + x_1 + x_2 + u$$

$$p = 1 (0.5x_1 + 0.5x_2 - 0.5z + 0.5z_p + v > 0)$$

$$d = 1(0.5x_2 + 0.5z + \epsilon > 0)$$

$$\epsilon = 0.5u + 0.25w$$



BIAS DEPENDENCY PARAMETER

	Exogenous		Endogenous		
ho	${\sf Smoothed}$	Profiled	${\sf Smoothed}$	Profiled	
Gaussian					
-0.8	0.004	0.000	0.007	0.002	
-0.5	0.001	-0.001	0.007	0.004	
0.0	0.001	-0.001	0.005	-0.001	
0.5	-0.004	-0.001	-0.005	-0.008	
0.8	-0.005	-0.003	-0.007	-0.002	
Replications	500	500	500	100	

Back to results



BIAS TREATMENT EFFECT - EXOGENOUS

	Smoothed			Profiled		
ho	0.1	.5	0.9	0.1	.5	0.9
Gaussian						
-0.8	0.003	-0.002	-0.010	0.000	-0.001	-0.005
-0.5	0.002	-0.002	-0.007	-0.001	-0.002	-0.003
0.0	0.003	-0.001	-0.002	0.002	-0.000	-0.002
0.5	0.004	0.001	-0.002	0.001	0.000	0.001
0.8	0.003	0.002	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	-0.001
R	500	500	500	500	500	500





BIAS TREATMENT EFFECT - ENDOGENOUS

	Smoothed	Profiled				
ho	0.1	.5	0.9	0.1	.5	0.9
Gaussian						
-0.8	0.004	0.002	-0.153	0.008	0.004	-0.173
-0.5	0.002	0.001	-0.009	0.002	0.002	-0.004
0.0	0.006	0.002	-0.002	0.003	0.000	0.011
0.5	0.014	0.000	-0.006	0.027	0.005	-0.001
8.0	0.081	-0.001	0.001	0.054	0.011	0.005
R	500	500	500	100	100	100





COMPUTATION TIME (IN SECONDS)

	Exogenous			Endogenous		
	${\sf Smoothed}$	Profiled		Smoothed	Profiled	
ho	mean	mean	ratio	mean	mean	ratio
-0.8	2	93	44	5	5592	1040
-0.5	2	66	36	2	3521	2058
0.0	2	98	59	2	5027	3284
0.5	2	65	35	2	3408	2099
0.8	2	95	45	3	3497	1398
Replications	500	500		500	100	

Back to results



MROZ DATA - RESULTS

	QR	SSIVQREG	GMM	IVQR	SSIVQREG	GMM
		exogenous			endogenous	
$ au_{20}$	0.103***	0.0996***	0.0997***	0.0856*	0.0914*	0.0783*
	(4.32)	(3.69)	(3.62)	(2.47)	(2.01)	(2.33)
$ au_{50}$	0.116***	0.114***	0.109***	0.115***	0.111***	0.104***
	(6.78)	(7.28)	(7.01)	(4.75)	(4.74)	(4.91)
$ au_{80}$	0.118***	0.115***	0.116***	0.120***	0.127***	0.112***
	(8.83)	(7.90)	(8.63)	(5.64)	(6.60)	(5.27)
ρ		0.0695	0.118		-0.0695	0.148
		(0.34)	(0.63)		(-0.33)	(0.76)
N	428	753	753	428	753	753

t statistics in parentheses, # points lpha= 200 , # points ho= 100

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001





