

# Calibrating Survey Weights in Stata

Jeff Pitblado

StataCorp LLC

2018 Nordic and Baltic Stata Users Group Meeting  
Oslo, Norway

# Outline

Motivation

Methods

Syntax

Stata Example

Summary

# Motivation

## Survey data analysis

We collect data from a population of interest so that we can describe the population and make inferences about the population.

## Sampling

The goal of sampling is to collect data that represents the population of interest.

- ▶ If the sample does not reasonably represent the population of interest, then we cannot accurately describe the population or make inferences.

# Motivation

## Weighting

Sampling weights provide a measure of how many individuals a given sampled observation represents in the population.

- ▶ In simple random sampling (SRS), the sampling weight is constant

$$w_i = N/n$$

- ▶  $N$  is the population size
- ▶  $n$  is the sample size
- ▶ Other, more complicated, sampling designs can also be self weighting, but most are not.

# Motivation

## Weighting

Survey methods employ sampling weights in order to describe the population and make inferences about the population.

## Sampling weights

- ▶ Correctly scaled sampling weights are necessary for estimating population totals.
- ▶ Typically provide for consistent and approximately unbiased estimates.
- ▶ Typically provide for more accurate variance estimation when used with the other survey design characteristics.

# Motivation

## Non-response

Failure to observe all the individuals that were selected for the sample.

- ▶ A common cause for some groups to be under-represented and other groups to be over-represented.

## Not all samples are representative

Even complete samples taken from a given sampling design can yield a sample that is not representative of the population.

# Motivation

## Example

Consider a survey design that intends for individuals sampled from group  $g$  to have weight

$$w_{gi} = \frac{N_g}{n_g}$$

- ▶  $N_g$  is the population size for group  $g$
- ▶  $n_g$  is the group's sample size

If we observe  $m_g < n_g$  individuals, then  $w_{gi}$  is smaller than it should be. Group  $g$  is under-represented in the sample.

- ▶ Seems reasonable to adjust  $w_{gi}$  by something that will make them sum to  $N_g$  in the sample.

$$\tilde{w}_{gi} = w_{gi} \frac{n_g}{m_g} = \frac{N_g}{m_g}$$

# Motivation

## Weight adjustment

Weight adjustment tries to give more weight to under-represented groups and less weight to over-represented groups.

- ▶ The idea is to cut down on bias, thus make point estimates more consistent for the things they are estimating.
- ▶ Has been used to force estimation results to be numerically consistent with externally sourced measurements.
- ▶ Tends to result in more efficient point estimates, depending upon the correlation between the analysis variable and the auxiliary information.



# Methods

## Poststratification

Adjust weights so that the poststratum totals agree with “known” values.

- ▶ simple method for weight adjustment
- ▶ requires poststratum identifiers are present in the sample information
  - ▶ single categorical auxiliary variable
- ▶ requires population poststratum totals
- ▶ adjustment is a function of the sampling weights and poststratum totals
- ▶ new feature in Stata 9

# Methods

## Calibration

Adjust the sampling weights to minimize the difference between “known” population totals and their weighted estimates.

- ▶ poststratification is a special case
- ▶ supports multiple categorical auxiliary variables
- ▶ supports count and continuous auxiliary variables
- ▶ adjustment is a function of the sampling weights and auxiliary information
- ▶ new feature in Stata 15
  - ▶ raking-ratio method
  - ▶ general regression method (GREG)

# Syntax

## Familiar work flow

1. Use **svyset** to specify the survey design characteristics.
  - ▶ Sampling units
  - ▶ Sampling and replication weights
  - ▶ Strata
  - ▶ Finite population correction (FPC)
  - ▶ Poststratification, raking-ratio, or GREG
2. Use the **svy**: prefix for estimation.
  - ▶ Calibration is supported by the following variance estimation methods:
    - ▶ Linearization
    - ▶ Balanced repeated replication (BRR)
    - ▶ Bootstrap
    - ▶ Jackknife
    - ▶ Successive difference replication (SDR)

# Syntax

```
svyset psu [weight], options || ...
```

## Poststratification options

- ▶ **poststrata** (*varname*) specifies variable containing the poststratum identifiers
- ▶ **postweight** (*varname*) specifies variable containing the poststratum totals

# Syntax

```
svyset psu [weight], options || ...
```

## Calibration options

- ▶ **rake** (*calspec*) specifies the raking-ratio method
- ▶ **regress** (*calspec*) specifies the GREG method
- ▶ *calspec* has syntax

```
varlist, totals (totals)
```

- ▶ *varlist* contains the list of auxiliary variables and allows factor variables notation
- ▶ *totals* specifies the population totals for each auxiliary variable
  - ▶ *var=#* specify each population total separately
  - ▶ *matname* specify the population totals using a matrix

# Stata Example

## Simulated population

| frame  | count   | index | variable   |
|--------|---------|-------|------------|
| strata | 2       | $h$   | <b>st1</b> |
| PSU    | 1,000   | $i$   | <b>su1</b> |
| SSU    | 100     | $j$   |            |
| total  | 200,000 |       |            |

- ▶ **y** is the measurement of interest
- ▶  $\mu_y$ , the mean of **y**, is the parameter of interest
- ▶ **a** and **b** are continuous auxiliary variables
- ▶ **f** and **g** are categorical auxiliary variables

# Stata Example

## Simulated population

$$\mathbf{a}_{hij} = \mu_a + \nu_{a_{hi}} + \epsilon_{a_{hij}}$$

- ▶  $\nu_{a_{hi}}$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 100)$
- ▶  $\epsilon_{a_{hij}}$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 100)$
- ▶  $\nu$  and  $\epsilon$  are independent
- ▶  $\mathbf{a}$  has intraclass correlation  $\rho_a^2 = .5$
- ▶  $\mu_a = 10$
- ▶ total for  $\mathbf{a}$  is 2,000,000
- ▶  $\mathbf{f}$  categorizes  $\mathbf{a}$  into 4 roughly-equal groups

# Stata Example

## Simulated population

$$\mathbf{b}_{hij} = \mu_b + \nu_{b_{hi}} + \epsilon_{b_{hij}}$$

- ▶  $\nu_{b_{hi}}$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 100)$
- ▶  $\epsilon_{b_{hij}}$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 300)$
- ▶  $\nu$  and  $\epsilon$  are independent
- ▶  $\mathbf{b}$  has intraclass correlation  $\rho_b^2 = .25$
- ▶  $\mu_b = 5$
- ▶ total for  $\mathbf{b}$  is 1,000,000
- ▶  $\mathbf{g}$  categorizes  $\mathbf{b}$  into 2 roughly-equal groups



# Stata Example

## Simulated population

Cell and margin sizes of **f** and **g**:

```
. table f g, row col
```

| f     | g      |         | Total   |
|-------|--------|---------|---------|
|       | 1      | 2       |         |
| 1     | 23,238 | 22,693  | 45,931  |
| 2     | 25,286 | 29,486  | 54,772  |
| 3     | 27,618 | 25,059  | 52,677  |
| 4     | 22,615 | 24,005  | 46,620  |
| Total | 98,757 | 101,243 | 200,000 |

# Stata Example

## Simulated population

$$\mathbf{y}_{hij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mathbf{a}_{hij} + \beta_2 \mathbf{b}_{hij} + \nu_{y_{hi}} + \epsilon_{y_{hij}}$$

- ▶  $\nu_{y_{hi}}$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 100)$
- ▶  $\epsilon_{y_{hij}}$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 100)$
- ▶  $\nu$  and  $\epsilon$  are independent
- ▶  $\mathbf{y}$  has intraclass correlation  $\rho_b^2 = .5$
- ▶  $\beta_0 = 10, \beta_1 = 4, \beta_2 = 2$
- ▶  $\mathbf{y}$  has overall mean

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_y &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \mu_a + \beta_2 \mu_b \\ &= 10 + 4 \times 10 + 2 \times 5 = 60\end{aligned}$$

# Stata Example

## Simulated population

Strength of association between **y**, **a**, and **b**:

```
. correlate y a b  
(obs=200,000)
```

|   | y      | a      | b      |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| y | 1.0000 |        |        |
| a | 0.8012 | 1.0000 |        |
| b | 0.5655 | 0.0017 | 1.0000 |

# Stata Example

## Simulated population

Strength of association between **y**, **f**, and **g**:

```
. correlate y f g  
(obs=200,000)
```

|   | y      | f       | g      |
|---|--------|---------|--------|
| y | 1.0000 |         |        |
| f | 0.5774 | 1.0000  |        |
| g | 0.2560 | -0.0022 | 1.0000 |

# Stata Example

## Sample from the population

Stratified two-stage design:

1. select 20 PSUs within each stratum
2. select 10 individuals within each sampled PSU

With zero non-response, this sampling scheme yielded:

- ▶ 400 sampled individuals
- ▶ constant sampling weights

$$pw = 500$$

Other variables:

- ▶ **w4f** – poststratum weights for **f**
- ▶ **w4g** – poststratum weights for **g**

# Stata Example

## Sample weighted cell totals for f

```
. table f [pw=pw], c(freq min w4f) format(%9.0gc)
```

| f | Freq.  | min(w4f) |
|---|--------|----------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 45,931   |
| 2 | 75,000 | 54,772   |
| 3 | 59,000 | 52,677   |
| 4 | 16,000 | 46,620   |

- ▶ Over-represented: 2
- ▶ Under-represented: 4

# Stata Example

## Work flow

1. Specify the survey design characteristics:

```
svyset su1 [pw=pw], strata(st1) ...
```

2. Estimate the population parameter of interest:

```
svy: mean y
```

# Stata Example

## Postratification

- ▶ Using `f`

```
svyset su1 [pw=pw], strata(st1)    ///  
      poststrata(f) postweight(w4f)
```



# Stata Example

## Raking-ratio using factor variable `f`

- ▶ Without population size, need `bn.`

```
svyset su1 [pw=pw], strata(st1)          ///  
      rake(bn.f, totals(1.f=45931      ///  
                  2.f=54772          ///  
                  3.f=52677          ///  
                  4.f=46620))
```

- ▶ With population size, `i.` is sufficient

```
svyset su1 [pw=pw], strata(st1)          ///  
      rake(i.f, totals(1.f=45931      ///  
                  2.f=54772          ///  
                  3.f=52677          ///  
                  4.f=46620          ///  
                  _cons=200000))
```

# Stata Example

## zero non-response sample, using `f`

| Variable | orig      | post      | rake      | regress   |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| y        | 53.005247 | 62.788326 | 62.788326 | 62.788326 |
|          | 7.4721232 | 5.3039955 | 5.3039955 | 5.3039955 |
| N_pop    | 200,000   | 200,000   | 200,000   | 200,000   |

legend: b/se

- ▶ Reminder:  $\mu_y$  is 60
- ▶ Weight adjustment changed the point estimate.
- ▶ Smaller variance estimates indicate a more efficient mean estimate.

# Stata Example

## Raking-ratio using factor variables **f** and **g**

```
svyset su1 [pw=pw], strata(st1)    ///
    rake(bn.f bn.g,                ///
          totals(1.f=45931         ///
                 2.f=54772         ///
                 3.f=52677         ///
                 4.f=46620         ///
                 1.g=98757         ///
                 2.g=101243) )
```

# Stata Example

## zero non-response sample, using **f** and **g**

| Variable | original               | rake                   | regress                |
|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| y        | 53.005247<br>7.4721232 | 64.435965<br>4.2315801 | 64.079348<br>4.2355881 |
| N_pop    | 200,000                | 200,000                | 200,000                |

legend: b/se

- ▶ Reminder:  $\mu_y$  is 60
- ▶ Distinct mean estimates.
- ▶ Bigger reduction in the variance estimates.

# Stata Example

## Raking-ratio using continuous variable **a**

- ▶ Using **a** without population total

```
svyset su1 [pw=pw], strata(st1)      ///  
      rake(a, totals(a=2000000))
```

- ▶ Using **a** with population total

```
svyset su1 [pw=pw], strata(st1)      ///  
      rake(a, totals(a=2000000      ///  
                  _cons=200000))
```

# Stata Example

zero non-response sample, using **a**

| Variable | orig                   | rake_noc               | rake                   |
|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| y        | 53.005247<br>7.4721232 | 60.855469<br>3.6519173 | 64.083179<br>3.6369672 |
| N_pop    | 200,000                | 218,098                | 200,000                |

legend: b/se

- ▶ Reminder:  $\mu_y$  is 60
- ▶ Distinct mean estimates.
- ▶ Big reduction in the variance estimates.
  - ▶ Recall the strong association between **y** and **a**.

# Stata Example

## Calibration

- ▶ Using **a** and **b**

```
svyset su1 [pw=pw], strata(st1)      ///  
    rake(a b, totals(a=2000000      ///  
           b=1000000                ///  
           _cons=200000))
```

# Stata Example

zero non-response sample, using **a** and **b**

| Variable | orig      | rake      | regress   |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| y        | 53.005247 | 63.553724 | 63.613031 |
|          | 7.4721232 | 1.5635263 | 1.5635551 |
| N_pop    | 200,000   | 200,000   | 200,000   |

legend: b/se

- ▶ Reminder:  $\mu_y$  is 60
- ▶ Distinct mean estimates.
- ▶ Biggest reduction in the variance estimates.



# Summary

- ▶ Calibration weight adjustments are determined by the original sampling weights and auxiliary variables.
- ▶ Expect more efficient estimates for outcomes that have a strong association with the auxiliary variables.
- ▶ Use **svyset** option **rake()** or **regress()**.
  - ▶ Use **bn.** operator for factor variables in *varlist*.
  - ▶ Use **\_cons** to specify the population size in **totals()**.
- ▶ Use **svy:** prefix.
  - ▶ All variance estimation methods support calibration.

# References

Deville, J.-C., and C.-E. Särndal. 1992. Calibration estimators in survey sampling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 87: 376–382.

Deville, J.-C., C.-E. Särndal, and O. Sautory. 1993. Generalized raking procedures in survey sampling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 88: 1013–1020.

# References

Lumley, R., P. A. Shaw, and J. Y. Dai. 2011. Connections between survey calibration estimators and semiparametric models for incomplete data. *International Statistical Review* 79(2): 200–220.

web: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3699889>

Valliant, R. 2002. Variance estimation for the general regression estimator. *Survey Methodology* 28: 103–114.

Valliant, R., and J. Dever. 2018. *Survey Weights: A Step-by-Step Guide to Calculation*. College Station, TX: Stata Press.