GENDER WAGE GAPS AND OAXACA DECOMPOSITION: TOOLS TO ACCOUNT FOR INDIRECT EFFECTS

Nick Deschacht

Department of Economics, KU Leuven nick.deschacht@kuleuven.be

2018 BELGIAN STATA USER GROUP MEETING

1. Introduction

- The command meddecomp carries out a mediator decomposition, i.e. a Oaxaca decomposition in the presence of mediation effects.
- Oaxaca decomposition is often used to estimate to what extent gender differences in characteristics can explain the gender wage gap. In the example:
 - 327€ (45%) of the overall wage gap of
 728€ can be explained by gender
 differences in characteristics.
 - 26% can be explained by the fact that men work more hours per week and 4% by the fact that men are more committed.
 - The sum of the contributions in the detailed decomposition equals the total explained part (45%).

	Euro	Pct.
Overall decomposition:		
Wage: men	2736	
Wage: women	2007	
Raw difference	728	100
explained	327	45
unexplained	401	55
Detailed decomposition:		
Working hours	188	26
Age	64	9
Degree	-66	-9
Industry (10 categories)	67	9
Organization size	47	6
Commitment	27	4
Sum of the contributions:		
Total		45
Observations: men	756	
Observations: women	609	

2. a) Oaxaca decomposition



2. a) Oaxaca decomposition

• The contribution of a variable X to the gender wage gap is:

$$(\bar{X}_m - \bar{X}_f)$$
. b^*

where b^* is the estimated effect of X on wages.

E.g. if men have 20 years of experience, women only 18 years and b^* is 20 euro per year, then the part of the gender wage gap explained by the gender difference in experience is (20-18).20 = 40 euro.

- b* can be estimated using the male sample only, the female sample or by pooling men and women. The choice depends on theoretical assumptions regarding discrimination, but pooling has the advantage that the coefficients are estimated using a larger sample (Neumark 1988).
- In Stata this decomposition can be done via the user-written command oaxaca (Jann, 2008). Extensions for nonlinear decomposition have been proposed by Yun (2005, built into oaxaca), Fairlie (fairlie: Jann, 2006) and Bartus (gdecomp).

2. b) Mediation effects

• The effect of a variable X_1 on Y depends on the assumed underlying causal model. In the standard model, the effect of X_1 is the effect holding the other explanatory variables constant.



In the mediator model, the total effect of X₁ is the sum of a direct effect
 (d) and an indirect effect (a.b) through an intermediate variable (the mediator).

E.g. Catherine Hakim (2002) argues that preferences are more important than is often assumed because preferences not only affect wages directly, but also indirectly via working hours (part-time work).

• Stata estimates mediator effects via the commands sem and gsem.

3. The meddecomp command

Syntax

meddecomp depvar indepvars , by(groupvar) med(mediator mediator_indepvars) [options]

- The command essentially performs a Oaxaca decomposition using the total effects (direct + indirect) obtained through a sem model.
 - by specifies the groups.
 - med specifies the mediator and the variable(s) that affect the mediator.
- meddecomp relies on the oaxaca command (Jann, 2008) for point estimations, standard errors and presentation of results.
- Example in Stata:

```
mydofile ×
1
2 use deschacht_wagedata, clear
3
4 meddecomp wage hours commitment_high, by(gender_female) med(hours commitment_high)
5
```

3. The meddecomp command

	(1	(1)		(2)		
	Standard	Standard model		r model		
	Euro	Euro Pct.		Pct.		
Overall decomposition:						
Wage: men	2735.5		2735.5			
Wage: women	2008.6		2008.6			
Raw difference	726.9	100.0	726.9	100.0		
explained	205.3	28.2	205.3	28.2		
unexplained	521.6	71.8	521.6	71.8		
Detailed decomposition:						
Working hours	156.6	21.5	153.5	21.1		
Commitment	48.7	6.7	51.8	7.1		
Sum of the contributions:						
Total		28.2		28.2		
Observations: men	759		759			
Observations: women	611		611			

Compared to the standard model, the mediator decomposition results in the same overall decomposition (the total explained part is the same) but the detailed decomposition (the contribution of each explanatory variable) is adjusted to account for the indirect effects.

4. Command options and limitations

• meddecomp allows the aggregation of subsets of variables as in the oaxaca command.

mydofile* ×
1
2 meddecomp wage hours commitment_high (degree: degree_middle degree_high), by(gender_female) med(hours commitment_high)
3

• Categorical variables can be included using the prefix xi.

Factor variables are not allowed because meddecomp relies on oaxaca and sem.

mydofile 🗙											
1											
2	xi:	meddecomp	wage	hours	commitment	high	i.industry,	by(gender	female)	med(hours	commitment_high)
3					-				_		_

• Example in Stata:

I	mydofi	file X	
Г	1		
	2	xi: meddecomp wage hours age (commitment: commitment middle commitment high) ///	
	3	(degree: degree middle degree high) (industry: i.industry) (occupation: i.occupation),	111
	4	by(gender female) med(hours commitment high)	
	5		

4. Command options and limitations

Options	
linear	Adds decomposition results of a standard causal model (without mediation).
omega	Decomposition using pooled model coefficients excluding groupvar.
adjust	Stores the estimated coefficients and adjusted means so that the mediator decomposition can subsequently be done using oaxaca .

- The default decomposition uses the coefficients from a pooled model to which groupvar is added. Excluding groupvar (omega) is optional. The use of either male or female coefficients is not possible in this version.
- adjust allows the mediator decomposition to be done using oaxaca by adding the options ref(meddecomp) x1(\$adjust).

Example in Stata: results without standard errors (option nose)

```
mydofile ×
1
2 meddecomp wage hours commitment_high, by(gender_female) med(hours commitment_high) adjust
3
4 oaxaca wage hours commitment_high, by(gender_female) ref(meddecomp) x1($adjust) nose
```

• meddecomp only allows one mediator and no more complex pathways than the simple mediator causal model.