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In this paper, we consider the creation of a Stata time–series routine to compute rolling or moving–window regression estimates. Although Stata contains a command to compute statistics for subsamples— tabstat—it cannot be coerced to deal with overlapping subsamples. That is, tabstat works like any Stata command prefixed with by:, so that if one defines each twelve months of a monthly series as one element of a by–group, tabstat will handle the task of computing annual statistics very nicely. But it will not deal with computing statistics from a sequence of by–groups that are formed by a “moving window” with, e.g., eleven months overlap. By the same token, one may indeed compute regression estimates for non–overlapping subsamples via official Stata’s statsby; but that command is not capable of dealing with overlapping subsamples, as that would correspond to the same observation being a member of several by–groups.

The challenge in devising a rolling or moving–window regression routine is not in the necessary computations, nor even in the programming: it lies in providing a user interface that will allow the researcher to specify, in some comprehensible form, what she would like calculated for each crank of the window. The new routine rollreg [findit rollreg] provides that functionality with logic borrowed heavily from a RATS routine originally authored by Simon van Norden at the Bank of Canada (and available from the web–based SSC archive in that format).

The first concern with a moving–window estimation routine: how should the window be designed? One obvious scheme would mimic Baum and Cox’ mvsumm and mvcorr routines [moving summarize and moving correlation: findit mvsumm and findit mvcorr], and allow for a window of fixed width that is to be passed through the sample, one period at a time: the move(≠) option. (One could imagine something like a 12–month window that is to be advanced to end–of–quarter months, but that could be achieved by merely discarding the intermediate window estimates). But there are also applications in which an “expanding
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window” is desired: that is, starting with the first \( \tau \) periods, compute a set of estimates that consider observations 1 \( \ldots (\tau + 1) \), 1 \( \ldots (\tau + 2) \), and so on. This sort of window corresponds to the notion of the information set available to an economic agent at a point in time (and to the scheme used to generate instruments in a dynamic panel data model (cf. \texttt{xtabond}). Thus, \texttt{rollreg} also offers that functionality via its \texttt{add(\tau)} option. For completeness, the routine also offers the \texttt{drop(\tau)} option, which implements a window that initially takes into account the last \( \tau \) periods, and then expands the window back toward the beginning of the sample. This sort of moving–window estimate is useful in considering the usefulness of past information in generating an \textit{ex ante} forecast, using a greater or lesser amount of that information in the computation. One of these three options must be provided when executing \texttt{rollreg}.

A further choice need be made: a moving–window regression will generate sequences of results corresponding to each estimation period. From the design standpoint, should those sequences be stored in columns of a matrix (which perhaps make them easier to present in tabular format) or as additional variables in the current dataset (which perhaps make them easier to include in computations, or in graphical presentations à la \texttt{tsgraph} or \texttt{tsline})? The latter, on balance, seems handier, and is implemented in \texttt{rollreg} via the mandatory \texttt{stub(string)} option, which specifies that new variables should be created with names beginning with \textit{string}. As an illustration:

* rollreg_X.do 24jun2004 CFBaum
* Program illustrating use of rollreg
webuse wpi1, clear
  g t2 = t^2
rollreg D.wpi t t2, move(24) stub(wpiM) graph(summary)
rollreg D.wpi t t2, add(24) stub(wpiA) graph(summary)
rollreg D2.wpi LD.wpi LD2.wpi t, move(48) stub(wpiM2) robust graph(full)

It might be useful to note that one of the features of this routine—the ability to compute a moving correlation between two series, or a moving autocorrelation for a single series—can actually be handled quite nicely by the aforementioned \texttt{mvcorr} routine of Baum and Cox. This routine allows one to compute a moving–window correlation between two series: useful in finance, where the computation of an optimal hedge ratio involves the computation of just such a correlation—for instance, between spot and futures prices of a particular commodity. And since \texttt{mvcorr} requires \texttt{tsset}, and thus supports time–series operators, it will allow the computation of moving autocorrelations: e.g., \texttt{mvcorr invest L.invest, win(5) gen(acf) end} will specify that the first sample autocorrelation of an investment series should be computed from a five–period window, aligned with the last period of the window (via option \texttt{end}) and placed in the new variable \texttt{acf}. Like \texttt{mvsumm}, it will operate automatically on each time series of a panel. As an example of its use:

* mvcorr_X.do 24jun2004 CFBaum
* Program illustrating use of mvcorr
webuse grunfeld, clear
drop if company>4
mvcorr invest mvalue, win(5) gen(rho)
forv i=1/4 {
  tsline rho if company==`i', nodraw ti("Firm `i'") name(comp`i',replace)
  local g "`g' comp`i''
}
graph combine `g', ti("Investment vs Market Value: Moving Correlations by Firm")