Title

example 28g — One-parameter logistic IRT (Rasch) model

Description

Remarks and examples

les References

Also see

Description

To demonstrate a one-parameter logistic IRT (Rasch) model, we use the following data:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/gsem_cfa (Fictional math abilities data)
```

. summarize

Max	Min	Std. Dev.	Mean	Obs	Variable
20	1	5.772056	10.5	500	school
100000	71	29081.41	50681.71	500	id
1	0	.5004647	.506	500	q1
1	0	.4891242	.394	500	q2
1	0	.4993423	.534	500	q3
1	0	.4946852	.424	500	q4
1	0	.5004006	.49	500	q5
1	0	.4961212	.434	500	q 6
1	0	.5001002	.52	500	q7
1	0	.5004647	.494	500	q8
5	1	1.607561	2.946	500	att1
5	1	1.561465	2.948	500	att2
5	1	1.640666	2.84	500	att3
5	1	1.566783	2.91	500	att4
5	1	1.581013	3.086	500	att5
93	55	5.948653	75.548	500	test1
94	65	4.976786	80.556	500	test2
94	50	6.677874	75.572	500	test3
96	43	8.845587	74.078	500	test4

. notes

_dta:

- 1. Fictional data on math ability and attitudes of 500 students from 20 schools.
- 2. Variables q1-q8 are incorrect/correct (0/1) on individual math questions.
- Variables att1-att5 are items from a Likert scale measuring each student's attitude toward math.
- 4. Variables test1-test4 are test scores from tests of four different aspects of mathematical abilities. Range of scores: 0-100.

These data record results from a fictional instrument measuring mathematical ability. Variables q1 through q8 are the items from the instrument.

For discussions of Rasch models, IRT models, and their extensions, see Embretson and Reise (2000), van der Linden and Hambleton (1997), Skrondal and Rabe-Hesketh (2004), Andrich (1988), Bond and Fox (2007), and Fischer and Molenaar (1995). Although not demonstrated in this example, many of the extensions discussed in these books can be fit with gsem as well.

See Item-response theory (IRT) models in [SEM] intro 5 for background.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

1-PL IRT model with unconstrained variance 1-PL IRT model with variance constrained to 1 Obtaining item–characteristic curves Fitting the model with the Builder

1-PL IRT model with unconstrained variance

Mechanically speaking, one-parameter logistic (1-PL) IRT models are similar to the probit measurement model we demonstrated in [SEM] example 27g. The differences are that we will use logit rather than probit and that we will place various constraints on the logit model to obtain results that will allow us to judge the difficulty of the individual questions.

The model we wish to fit is



In the 1-PL model, we place constraints that all coefficients, the factor loadings, are equal to 1. The negative of the intercept for each question will then represent the difficulty of the question:

```
. gsem (MathAb -> (q1-q8)@1), logit
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:
               \log likelihood = -2750.3114
Iteration 1:
               \log likelihood = -2749.3709
Iteration 2:
               \log likelihood = -2749.3708
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:
               log likelihood = -2653.2353
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:
               log likelihood = -2653.2353
Iteration 1:
               log likelihood = -2651.2171
Iteration 2:
               log likelihood = -2650.9117
Iteration 3:
               \log likelihood = -2650.9116
                                                                             500
Generalized structural equation model
                                                   Number of obs
                                                                   =
Log likelihood = -2650.9116
 (1)
       [q1]MathAb = 1
 (2)
       [q2]MathAb = 1
 (3)
       [q3]MathAb = 1
 (4)
       [q4]MathAb = 1
 (5)
       [q5]MathAb = 1
 (6)
       [q6]MathAb = 1
 (7)
       [q7]MathAb = 1
 (8)
       [q8]MathAb = 1
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
q1 <- MathAb _cons	1 .0293252	(constrained .1047674	1) 0.28	0.780	1760152	.2346656
q2 <- MathAb _cons	1 5025012	(constrained .1068768	1) -4.70	0.000	7119759	2930264
q3 <- MathAb _cons	1 .1607425	(constrained .104967	1) 1.53	0.126	044989	.3664739
q4 <- MathAb _cons	1 3574951	(constrained).105835	1) -3.38	0.001	564928	1500623
q5 <- MathAb _cons	1 0456599	(constrained .1047812	1) -0.44	0.663	2510274	. 1597075
q6 <- MathAb _cons	1 3097521	(constrained .1055691	1) -2.93	0.003	5166637	1028404
q7 <- MathAb _cons	1 .09497	(constrained .1048315	1) 0.91	0.365	1104959	. 300436
q8 <- MathAb _cons	1 0269104	(constrained .1047691	1) -0.26	0.797	232254	. 1784332
var(MathAb)	.7929701	.1025406			.6154407	1.02171

Notes:

- 1. We had to use gsem and not sem to fit this model because the response variables were 0/1 and not continuous and because we wanted to use logit and not a continuous model.
- 2. To place the constraints that all coefficients are equal to 1, in the diagram we placed 1s along the path from the underlying latent factor MathAb to each of the questions. In the command language, we added @1 to our command:

gsem (MathAb -> (q1-q8)@1), logit

Had we omitted the Q1, we would have obtained coefficients about how well each question measured math ability.

There are several ways we could have asked that the model above be fit. They include the following:

gsem (MathAb -> q1@1 q2@1 q3@1 q4@1 q5@1 q6@1 q7@1 q8@1), logit gsem (MathAb -> (q1 q2 q3 q4 q5 q6 q7 q8)@1), logit gsem (MathAb -> (q1-q8)@1), logit

Similarly, for the shorthand logit, we could have typed family(bernoulli) link(logit).

3. The negative of the reported intercept represents the difficulty of the item. The most difficult is q_2 , and the least difficult is q_3 .

1-PL IRT model with variance constrained to 1

The goal of the 1-PL model is in fact to constrain the loadings to be equal. In the previous model, that was achieved by constraining them to be 1 and letting the variance of the latent variable float. An alternative with perhaps easier-to-interpret results would constrain the variance of the latent variable to be 1—giving it a standard-normal interpretation—and constrain the loadings to be merely equal:

```
. gsem (MathAb -> (q1-q8)@b), logit var(MathAb@1)
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:
                \log likelihood = -2750.3114
Iteration 1:
                \log likelihood = -2749.3709
Iteration 2:
                \log likelihood = -2749.3708
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:
                \log likelihood = -2645.8536
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:
                \log likelihood = -2656.1973
Iteration 1:
                \log likelihood = -2650.9139
Iteration 2:
                \log likelihood = -2650.9116
                log likelihood = -2650.9116
Iteration 3:
Generalized structural equation model
                                                     Number of obs
                                                                                500
                                                                      =
Log likelihood = -2650.9116
 (1)
       [q1]MathAb - [q8]MathAb = 0
 (2)
       [q2]MathAb - [q8]MathAb = 0
 (3)
       [q3]MathAb - [q8]MathAb = 0
       [q4]MathAb - [q8]MathAb = 0
 (4)
       [q5]MathAb - [q8]MathAb = 0
 (5)
 (6)
       [q6]MathAb - [q8]MathAb = 0
       [q7]MathAb - [q8]MathAb = 0
 (7)
 (8)
       [var(MathAb)]_cons = 1
                              Std. Err.
                                                              [95% Conf. Interval]
                     Coef.
                                                   P>|z|
                                              7
q1 <-
      MathAb
                  .8904887
                              .0575755
                                          15.47
                                                   0.000
                                                              .7776429
                                                                           1.003335
                  .0293253
                              .1047674
                                           0.28
                                                   0.780
                                                             -.1760151
                                                                           .2346657
       _cons
q2 <-
      MathAb
                  .8904887
                              .0575755
                                          15.47
                                                   0.000
                                                              .7776429
                                                                           1.003335
                                          -4.70
                                                   0.000
                                                                          -.2930264
       _cons
                 -.5025011
                              .1068768
                                                             -.7119758
q3 <-
                                          15.47
                                                   0.000
      MathAb
                  .8904887
                              .0575755
                                                              .7776429
                                                                           1.003335
                  .1607425
                               .104967
                                            1.53
                                                   0.126
                                                              -.044989
                                                                            .366474
       _cons
q4 <-
                              .0575755
                                                   0.000
      MathAb
                  .8904887
                                          15.47
                                                              .7776429
                                                                           1.003335
       _cons
                 -.3574951
                               .105835
                                          -3.38
                                                   0.001
                                                             -.5649279
                                                                          -.1500622
q5 <-
      MathAb
                  .8904887
                              .0575755
                                          15.47
                                                   0.000
                                                              .7776429
                                                                           1.003335
       _cons
                 -.0456599
                              .1047812
                                          -0.44
                                                   0.663
                                                             -.2510273
                                                                           .1597076
q6 <-
                  .8904887
                              .0575755
                                                   0.000
      MathAb
                                          15.47
                                                              .7776429
                                                                           1.003335
       _cons
                  -.309752
                              .1055691
                                          -2.93
                                                   0.003
                                                             -.5166637
                                                                          -.1028403
q7 <-
                  .8904887
                              .0575755
                                          15.47
                                                   0.000
                                                              .7776429
                                                                           1.003335
      MathAb
                                                                            .300436
       _cons
```

.0949701 .1048315 0.91 0.365 -.1104959 0.000 MathAb .8904887 .0575755 15.47 .7776429 1.003335 _cons -.0269103 .1047691 -0.26 0.797 -.232254.1784333 var(MathAb) 1 (constrained)

q8 <-

Notes:

- 1. The log-likelihood values of both models is -2650.9116. The models are equivalent.
- 2. Intercepts are unchanged.

Obtaining item-characteristic curves

Item–characteristic curves graph the conditional probability of a particular response given the latent trait. In our case, this simply amounts to graphing the probability of a correct answer against math ability. After estimation, we can obtain the predicted probabilities of a correct answer by typing

. predict pr*, pr (using 7 quadrature points)

We can obtain the predicted value of the latent variable by typing

. predict ability, latent(MathAb)
(using 7 quadrature points)

and thus we can obtain the item-characteristic curves for all eight questions by typing

. twoway line pr1 pr2 pr3 pr4 pr5 pr6 pr7 pr8 ability, sort xlabel(-1.5(.5)1.5)



A less busy graph might show merely the most difficult and least difficult questions:

. twoway line pr2 pr3 ability, sort xlabel(-1.5(.5)1.5)



The slopes of each curve are identical because we have constrained them to be identical. Thus we just see the shift between difficulties with the lower items having higher levels of difficulty.

Fitting the model with the Builder

Use the diagram in 1-PL IRT model with unconstrained variance above for reference.

1. Open the dataset.

In the Command window, type

. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/gsem_cfa

2. Open a new Builder diagram.

Select menu item Statistics > SEM (structural equation modeling) > Model building and estimation.

- 3. Put the Builder in gsem mode by clicking on the sem button.
- 4. Create the measurement component for MathAb.

Select the Add Measurement Component tool, ³⁹, and then click in the diagram about one-third of the way down from the top and slightly left of the center.

In the resulting dialog box,

- a. change the Latent variable name to MathAb;
- b. select q1, q2, q3, q4, q5, q6, q7, and q8 by using the Measurement variables control;
- c. check Make measurements generalized;
- d. select Bernoulli, Logit in the Family/Link control;
- e. select Down in the Measurement direction control;
- f. click on OK.

If you wish, move the component by clicking on any variable and dragging it.

- 5. Constrain all path coefficients to 1.
 - a. Choose the Select tool, **b**.
 - b. Click on the path from MathAb to q1. In the Contextual Toolbar, type 1 in the β box and press *Enter*.
 - c. Repeat this process to add the 1 constraint on the paths from MathAb to each of the other measurement variables.
- 6. Estimate.

Click on the **Estimate** button, [16], in the Standard Toolbar, and then click on **OK** in the resulting *GSEM estimation options* dialog box.

- 7. To fit the model in *1-PL IRT model with variance constrained to 1*, change the constraints in the diagram created above.
 - a. From the SEM Builder menu, select Estimation > Clear Estimates to clear results from the previous model.
 - b. Choose the Select tool, **b**.
 - c. Click on the path from MathAb to q1. In the Contextual Toolbar, type b in the $\square \beta$ box and press *Enter*.
 - d. Repeat this process to add the b constraint on the paths from MathAb to each of the other measurement variables.
 - e. With \mathbb{N} , click on the oval for MathAb. In the Contextual Toolbar, type 1 in the $\mathbb{A}\sigma^2$ box and press *Enter*.
- 8. Estimate again.

Click on the **Estimate** button, [10], in the Standard Toolbar, and then click on **OK** in the resulting *GSEM estimation options* dialog box.

You can open a completed diagram in the Builder for the first model by typing

. webgetsem gsem_irt1

You can open a completed diagram in the Builder for the second model by typing

. webgetsem gsem_irt2

References

Andrich, D. 1988. Rasch Models for Measurement. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

- Bond, T. G., and C. M. Fox. 2007. Applying the Rasch Model: Fundamental Measurement in the Human Sciences. 2nd ed. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Embretson, S. E., and S. P. Reise. 2000. Item Response Theory for Psychologists. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Fischer, G. H., and I. W. Molenaar, ed. 1995. Rasch Models: Foundations, Recent Developments, and Applications. New York: Springer.
- Rasch, G. 1960. Probabilistic Models for Some Intelligence and Attainment Tests. Copenhagen: Danish Institute of Educational Research.
 - —. 1980. Probabilistic Models for Some Intelligence and Attainment Tests (Expanded ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Skrondal, A., and S. Rabe-Hesketh. 2004. Generalized Latent Variable Modeling: Multilevel, Longitudinal, and Structural Equation Models. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.

van der Linden, W. J., and R. K. Hambleton, ed. 1997. Handbook of Modern Item Response Theory. New York: Springer.

Also see

- [SEM] example 27g Single-factor measurement model (generalized response)
- [SEM] example 29g Two-parameter logistic IRT model
- [SEM] gsem Generalized structural equation model estimation command
- [SEM] predict after gsem Generalized linear predictions, etc.
- [SEM] intro 5 Tour of models