Title stata.com

meprobit — Multilevel mixed-effects probit regression

Syntax Menu Description Options
Remarks and examples Stored results Methods and formulas References
Also see

Syntax

where the syntax of fe_equation is

and the syntax of re_equation is one of the following:

for random coefficients and intercepts

for random effects among the values of a factor variable

levelvar: R. varname

levelvar is a variable identifying the group structure for the random effects at that level or is _all representing one group comprising all observations.

fe_options	Description					
Model						
<u>nocon</u> stant	suppress constant term from the fixed-effects equation					
<pre>offset(varname)</pre>	include varname in model with coefficient constrained to 1					
asis	retain perfect predictor variables					
	Description					
re_options	Description					
Model						
<pre>covariance(vartype) noconstant</pre>	variance-covariance structure of the random effects suppress constant term from the random-effects equation					

options	Description				
Model					
<pre>binomial(varname #)</pre>	set binomial trials if data are in binomial form				
<pre>constraints(constraints)</pre>	apply specified linear constraints				
<u>col</u> linear	keep collinear variables				
SE/Robust					
vce(vcetype)	$vcetype$ may be oim, \underline{r} obust, or \underline{cl} uster $clustvar$				
Reporting					
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)				
<u>nocnsr</u> eport	do not display constraints				
<u>notab</u> le	suppress coefficient table				
noheader	suppress output header				
nogroup	suppress table summarizing groups				
nolrtest	do not perform likelihood-ratio test comparing with probit regression				
display_options	control column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling				
Integration					
<pre>intmethod(intmethod)</pre>	integration method				
<pre>intpoints(#)</pre>	set the number of integration (quadrature) points for all levels; default is intpoints(7)				
Maximization					
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used				
<pre>startvalues(symethod)</pre>	method for obtaining starting values				
startgrid[(<i>gridspec</i>)]	perform a grid search to improve starting values				
<u>noest</u> imate	do not fit the model; show starting values instead				
dnumerical	use numerical derivative techniques				
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics				
vartype	Description				
<u>ind</u> ependent	one unique variance parameter per random effect, all covariances 0; the default unless the R. notation is used				
<u>exc</u> hangeable	equal variances for random effects, and one common pairwise covariance				
<u>id</u> entity	equal variances for random effects, all covariances 0; the default if the R. notation is used				
$\underline{\mathtt{un}}\mathtt{structured}$	all variances and covariances to be distinctly estimated				
<u>fix</u> ed(matname)	user-selected variances and covariances constrained to specified values; the remaining variances and covariances unrestricted				
<pre>pattern(matname)</pre>	user-selected variances and covariances constrained to be equal; the remaining variances and covariances unrestricted				

intmethod	Description
$\underline{\underline{\mathtt{mv}}}$ aghermite	mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default unless a crossed random-effects model is fit
<u>mc</u> aghermite	mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
ghermite	nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
<u>lap</u> lace	Laplacian approximation; the default for crossed random-effects models

indepvars may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

depvar, indepvars, and varlist may contain time-series operators; see [U] 11.4.4 Time-series varlists.

by is allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

startvalues(), startgrid, noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Menu

Statistics > Multilevel mixed-effects models > Probit regression

Description

meprobit fits mixed-effects models for binary or binomial responses. The conditional distribution of the response given the random effects is assumed to be Bernoulli, with success probability determined by the standard normal cumulative distribution function.

Options

Model)

noconstant suppresses the constant (intercept) term and may be specified for the fixed-effects equation and for any or all of the random-effects equations.

offset(varname) specifies that varname be included in the fixed-effects portion of the model with the coefficient constrained to be 1.

asis forces retention of perfect predictor variables and their associated, perfectly predicted observations and may produce instabilities in maximization; see [R] **probit**.

covariance(vartype) specifies the structure of the covariance matrix for the random effects and may be specified for each random-effects equation. vartype is one of the following: independent, exchangeable, identity, unstructured, fixed(matname), or pattern(matname).

covariance(independent) covariance structure allows for a distinct variance for each random effect within a random-effects equation and assumes that all covariances are 0. The default is covariance(independent) unless a crossed random-effects model is fit, in which case the default is covariance(identity).

covariance(exchangeable) structure specifies one common variance for all random effects and one common pairwise covariance.

covariance(identity) is short for "multiple of the identity"; that is, all variances are equal and all covariances are 0.

covariance (unstructured) allows for all variances and covariances to be distinct. If an equation consists of p random-effects terms, the unstructured covariance matrix will have p(p+1)/2 unique parameters.

covariance(fixed(matname)) and covariance(pattern(matname)) covariance structures provide a convenient way to impose constraints on variances and covariances of random effects. Each specification requires a matname that defines the restrictions placed on variances and covariances. Only elements in the lower triangle of matname are used, and row and column names of matname are ignored. A missing value in matname means that a given element is unrestricted. In a fixed(matname) covariance structure, (co)variance (i,j) is constrained to equal the value specified in the i,jth entry of matname. In a pattern(matname) covariance structure, (co)variances (i,j) and (k,l) are constrained to be equal if matname[i,j] = matname[k,l].

binomial(varname | #) specifies that the data are in binomial form; that is, depvar records the number of successes from a series of binomial trials. This number of trials is given either as varname, which allows this number to vary over the observations, or as the constant #. If binomial() is not specified (the default), depvar is treated as Bernoulli, with any nonzero, nonmissing values indicating positive responses.

constraints(constraints), collinear; see [R] estimation options.

SE/Robust

vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived
from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), and
that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar); see [R] vce_option. If vce(robust) is
specified, robust variances are clustered at the highest level in the multilevel model.

Reporting

level(#), nocnsreport, ; see [R] estimation options.

notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.

noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.

nogroup suppresses the display of group summary information (number of groups, average group size, minimum, and maximum) from the output header.

nolrtest prevents meprobit from performing a likelihood-ratio test that compares the mixed-effects probit model with standard (marginal) probit regression. This option may also be specified upon replay to suppress this test from the output.

display_options: noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt), sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Integration

intmethod(intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for the random-effects model.
mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite
performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; ghermite performs nonadaptive
Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and laplace performs the Laplacian approximation, equivalent to mode
curvature adaptive Gaussian quadrature with one integration point.

The default integration method is mvaghermite unless a crossed random-effects model is fit, in which case the default integration method is laplace. The Laplacian approximation has been known to produce biased parameter estimates; however, the bias tends to be more prominent in the estimates of the variance components rather than in the estimates of the fixed effects.

For crossed random-effects models, estimation with more than one quadrature point may be prohibitively intensive even for a small number of levels. For this reason, the integration method

defaults to the Laplacian approximation. You may override this behavior by specifying a different integration method.

intpoints (#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints (7), which means that seven quadrature points are used for each level of random effects. This option is not allowed with intmethod(laplace).

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases as a function of the number of quadrature points raised to a power equaling the dimension of the random-effects specification. In crossed random-effects models and in models with many levels or many random coefficients, this increase can be substantial.

```
Maximization
```

maximize_options: difficult, technique(algorithm_spec), iterate(#), |no|log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), ltolerance(#), <a <u>intolerance</u>(#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for meprobit are listed below.

from() accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with meprobit but are not shown in the dialog box:

startvalues(symethod), startgrid (gridspec), noestimate, and dnumerical; see [ME] meglm.

coeflegend; see [R] estimation options.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

For a general introduction to me commands, see [ME] me.

meprobit is a convenience command for meglm with a probit link and a bernoulli or binomial family; see [ME] meglm.

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Introduction Two-level models Three-level models

Introduction

Mixed-effects probit regression is probit regression containing both fixed effects and random effects. In longitudinal data and panel data, random effects are useful for modeling intracluster correlation; that is, observations in the same cluster are correlated because they share common cluster-level random effects.

Comprehensive treatments of mixed models are provided by, for example, Searle, Casella, and Mc-Culloch (1992); Verbeke and Molenberghs (2000); Raudenbush and Bryk (2002); Demidenko (2004); Hedeker and Gibbons (2006); McCulloch, Searle, and Neuhaus (2008); and Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2012). Guo and Zhao (2000) and Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2012, chap. 10) are good introductory readings on applied multilevel modeling of binary data.

A

meprobit allows for not just one, but many levels of nested clusters of random effects. For example, in a three-level model you can specify random effects for schools and then random effects for classes nested within schools. In this model, the observations (presumably, the students) comprise the first level, the classes comprise the second level, and the schools comprise the third.

However, for simplicity, we here consider the two-level model, where for a series of M independent clusters, and conditional on a set of fixed effects \mathbf{x}_{ij} and a set of random effects \mathbf{u}_{ij} ,

$$Pr(y_{ij} = 1 | \mathbf{x}_{ij}, \mathbf{u}_j) = H(\mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j)$$
(1)

for $j=1,\ldots,M$ clusters, with cluster j consisting of $i=1,\ldots,n_j$ observations. The responses are the binary-valued y_{ij} , and we follow the standard Stata convention of treating $y_{ij}=1$ if $depvar_{ij}\neq 0$ and treating $y_{ij}=0$ otherwise. The $1\times p$ row vector \mathbf{x}_{ij} are the covariates for the fixed effects, analogous to the covariates you would find in a standard probit regression model, with regression coefficients (fixed effects) $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. For notational convenience here and throughout this manual entry, we suppress the dependence of y_{ij} on \mathbf{x}_{ij} .

The $1 \times q$ vector \mathbf{z}_{ij} are the covariates corresponding to the random effects and can be used to represent both random intercepts and random coefficients. For example, in a random-intercept model, \mathbf{z}_{ij} is simply the scalar 1. The random effects \mathbf{u}_j are M realizations from a multivariate normal distribution with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and $q \times q$ variance matrix Σ . The random effects are not directly estimated as model parameters but are instead summarized according to the unique elements of Σ , known as variance components. One special case of (1) places $\mathbf{z}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_{ij}$, so that all covariate effects are essentially random and distributed as multivariate normal with mean β and variance Σ .

Finally, because this is probit regression, $H(\cdot)$ is the standard normal cumulative distribution function, which maps the linear predictor to the probability of a success $(y_{ij} = 1)$ with $H(v) = \Phi(v)$.

Model (1) may also be stated in terms of a latent linear response, where only $y_{ij} = I(y_{ij}^* > 0)$ is observed for the latent

$$y_{ij}^* = \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

The errors ϵ_{ij} are distributed as a standard normal with mean 0 and variance 1 and are independent of \mathbf{u}_j .

Model (1) is an example of a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM), which generalizes the linear mixed-effects (LME) model to non-Gaussian responses. You can fit LMEs in Stata by using mixed and fit GLMMs by using meglm. Because of the relationship between LMEs and GLMMs, there is insight to be gained through examination of the linear mixed model. This is especially true for Stata users because the terminology, syntax, options, and output for fitting these types of models are nearly identical. See [ME] mixed and the references therein, particularly in *Introduction*, for more information.

Log-likelihood calculations for fitting any generalized mixed-effects model require integrating out the random effects. One widely used modern method is to directly estimate the integral required to calculate the log likelihood by Gauss-Hermite quadrature or some variation thereof. Because the log likelihood itself is estimated, this method has the advantage of permitting likelihood-ratio tests for comparing nested models. Also, if done correctly, quadrature approximations can be quite accurate, thus minimizing bias.

meprobit supports three types of Gauss-Hermite quadrature and the Laplacian approximation method; see *Methods and formulas* of [ME] **meglm** for details. The simplest random-effects model you can fit using meprobit is the two-level model with a random intercept,

$$Pr(y_{ij} = 1 | \mathbf{u}_j) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + u_j)$$

This model can also be fit using xtprobit with the re option; see [XT] xtprobit.

Below we present two short examples of mixed-effects probit regression; refer to [ME] melogit for additional examples including crossed random-effects models and to [ME] me and [ME] meglm for examples of other random-effects models.

Two-level models

We begin with a simple application of (1) as a two-level model, because a one-level model, in our terminology, is just standard probit regression; see [R] probit.

Example 1

In example 1 of [ME] melogit, we analyzed a subsample of data from the 1989 Bangladesh fertility survey (Hug and Cleland 1990), which polled 1,934 Bangladeshi women on their use of contraception. The women sampled were from 60 districts, identified by the variable district. Each district contained either urban or rural areas (variable urban) or both. The variable c_use is the binary response, with a value of 1 indicating contraceptive use. Other covariates include mean-centered age and three indicator variables recording number of children. Here we refit that model with meprobit:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/bangladesh
(Bangladesh Fertility Survey, 1989)
. meprobit c_use urban age child* || district:
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:
               log\ likelihood = -1228.8313
Iteration 1:
                log\ likelihood = -1228.2466
Iteration 2:
                log likelihood = -1228.2466
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:
               log\ likelihood = -1237.3973
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:
                log\ likelihood = -1237.3973
                                               (not concave)
                log likelihood = -1221.2111
Iteration 1:
                                              (not concave)
Iteration 2:
                log\ likelihood = -1207.4451
               log\ likelihood = -1206.7002
Iteration 3:
               log\ likelihood = -1206.5346
Iteration 4:
Iteration 5:
                log\ likelihood = -1206.5336
Iteration 6:
                log\ likelihood = -1206.5336
Mixed-effects probit regression
                                                                              1934
                                                  Number of obs
Group variable:
                        district
                                                  Number of groups
                                                                                60
                                                   Obs per group: min =
                                                                                 2
                                                                  avg =
                                                                              32.2
                                                                  max =
                                                                               118
Integration method: mvaghermite
                                                   Integration points =
                                                                                 7
                                                  Wald chi2(5)
                                                                            115.36
                                                                      =
Log likelihood = -1206.5336
                                                  Prob > chi2
                                                                      =
                                                                            0.0000
                             Std. Err.
                                                  P>|z|
                                                             [95% Conf. Interval]
       c_use
                     Coef.
                                             z
       urban
                  .4490191
                              .0727176
                                           6.17
                                                  0.000
                                                             .3064953
                                                                          .5915429
                 -.0162203
                              .0048005
                                          -3.38
                                                  0.001
                                                            -.0256291
                                                                         -.0068114
         age
                                                  0.000
      child1
                   .674377
                              .0947829
                                           7.11
                                                              .488606
                                                                          .8601481
                                                                          1.033589
      child2
                  .8281581
                                           7.90
                                                  0.000
                             .1048136
                                                             .6227272
      child3
                  .8137876
                             .1073951
                                           7.58
                                                  0.000
                                                             .6032972
                                                                          1.024278
                  -1.02799
                             .0870307
                                         -11.81
                                                  0.000
                                                            -1.198567
                                                                         -.8574132
       _cons
district
   var(_cons)
                  .0798719
                               .026886
                                                             .0412921
                                                                          .1544972
```

```
R
```

```
LR test vs. probit regression: chibar2(01) = 43.43 Prob>=chibar2 = 0.0000
```

Comparing the estimates of meprobit with those of melogit, we observe the familiar result where the probit estimates are closer to 0 in absolute value due to the smaller variance of the error term in the probit model. Example 1 of [ME] meprobit postestimation shows that the marginal effect of covariates is nearly the same between the two models.

Unlike a logistic regression, coefficients from a probit regression cannot be interpreted in terms of odds ratios. Most commonly, probit regression coefficients are interpreted in terms of partial effects, as we demonstrate in example 1 of [ME] **meprobit postestimation**. For now, we only note that urban women and women with more children are more likely to use contraceptives and that contraceptive use decreases with age. The estimated variance of the random intercept at the district level, $\hat{\sigma}^2$, is 0.08 with standard error 0.03. The reported likelihood-ratio test shows that there is enough variability between districts to favor a mixed-effects probit regression over an ordinary probit regression; see *Distribution theory for likelihood-ratio test* in [ME] **me** for a discussion of likelihood-ratio testing of variance components.

1

Three-level models

Two-level models extend naturally to models with three or more levels with nested random effects. Below we replicate example 2 of [ME] melogit with meprobit.

Example 2

Rabe-Hesketh, Toulopoulou, and Murray (2001) analyzed data from a study that measured the cognitive ability of patients with schizophrenia compared with their relatives and control subjects. Cognitive ability was measured as the successful completion of the "Tower of London", a computerized task, measured at three levels of difficulty. For all but one of the 226 subjects, there were three measurements (one for each difficulty level). Because patients' relatives were also tested, a family identifier, family, was also recorded.

We fit a probit model with response dtlm, the indicator of cognitive function, and with covariates difficulty and a set of indicator variables for group, with the controls (group==1) being the base category. We also allow for random effects due to families and due to subjects within families.

.0426292

.106364

1.055244

1.190956

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/towerlondon
(Tower of London data)
. meprobit dtlm difficulty i.group || family: || subject:
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:
               log\ likelihood = -317.11238
               log\ likelihood = -314.50535
Iteration 1:
Iteration 2:
               log\ likelihood = -314.50121
Iteration 3:
               log\ likelihood = -314.50121
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:
               log\ likelihood = -326.18533
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:
               log likelihood = -326.18533
                                             (not concave)
Iteration 1:
               log likelihood = -313.16256
                                            (not concave)
Iteration 2:
               log\ likelihood = -308.47528
Iteration 3:
             log likelihood = -305.02228
Iteration 4:
             log likelihood = -304.88972
Iteration 5:
               log likelihood = -304.88845
Iteration 6:
               log likelihood = -304.88845
                                                                            677
Mixed-effects probit regression
                                                 Number of obs
                    No. of
                                 Observations per Group
 Group Variable
                    Groups
                              Minimum
                                         Average
                                                     Maximum
```

Group variable	re groups	HIIIIIIIII	Averag	ge Han	IIIIuiii	
famil subjec	*	2 2	5.	.7	27 3	
Integration me	ethod: mvagher	rmite		Integra	tion points =	= 7
Log likelihood = -304.88845				Wald ch		= 83.28 = 0.0000
dtlm	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	. Interval]
difficulty	9329891	.1037376	-8.99	0.000	-1.136311	7296672
group 2 3	1632243 6220196	.204265	-0.80 -2.73	0.424 0.006	5635763 -1.069015	.2371276 1750244
_cons	8405154	.1597223	-5.26	0.000	-1.153565	5274654
family						

LR test vs. probit regression: chi2(2) = 19.23 Prob > chi2 = 0.0001

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

.1736281

.219331

.2120948

.3559141

Notes:

var(_cons)

var(_cons)

family>
subject

1. This is a three-level model with two random-effects equations, separated by ||. The first is a random intercept (constant only) at the family level, and the second is a random intercept at the subject level. The order in which these are specified (from left to right) is significant—meprobit assumes that subject is nested within family.

2. The information on groups is now displayed as a table, with one row for each upper level. Among other things, we see that we have 226 subjects from 118 families. You can suppress this table with the nogroup or the noheader option, which will suppress the rest of the header as well.

After adjusting for the random-effects structure, the probability of successful completion of the Tower of London decreases dramatically as the level of difficulty increases. Also, schizophrenics (group==3) tended not to perform as well as the control subjects.

4

The above extends to models with more than two levels of nesting in the obvious manner, by adding more random-effects equations, each separated by ||. The order of nesting goes from left to right as the groups go from biggest (highest level) to smallest (lowest level).

Stored results

meprobit stores the following in e():

```
Scalars
                                number of observations
    e(N)
                                number of parameters
    e(k)
    e(k_dv)
                                number of dependent variables
    e(k_eq)
                                number of equations in e(b)
                                number of equations in overall model test
    e(k_eq_model)
                                number of fixed-effects parameters
    e(k_f)
    e(k_r)
                                number of random-effects parameters
    e(k_rs)
                                number of variances
    e(k_rc)
                                number of covariances
    e(df_m)
                                model degrees of freedom
    e(11)
                                log likelihood
    e(N_clust)
                                number of clusters
                                \chi^2
    e(chi2)
    e(p)
                                significance
    e(11_c)
                                log likelihood, comparison model
    e(chi2_c)
                                \chi^2, comparison model
    e(df_c)
                                degrees of freedom, comparison model
    e(p_c)
                                significance, comparison model
    e(rank)
                                rank of e(V)
                                number of iterations
    e(ic)
    e(rc)
                                return code
    e(converged)
                                1 if converged, 0 otherwise
```

```
Macros
    e(cmd)
                               meprobit
    e(cmdline)
                               command as typed
    e(depvar)
                               name of dependent variable
    e(covariates)
                               list of covariates
    e(ivars)
                               grouping variables
                               probit
    e(model)
    e(title)
                               title in estimation output
    e(link)
                               probit
    e(family)
                               bernoulli or binomial
    e(clustvar)
                               name of cluster variable
    e(offset)
                               offset
    e(binomial)
                               binomial number of trials
    e(intmethod)
                               integration method
                               number of integration points
    e(n_quad)
    e(chi2type)
                               Wald; type of model \chi^2
                               vcetype specified in vce()
    e(vce)
    e(vcetype)
                               title used to label Std. Err.
    e(opt)
                               type of optimization
                               max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
    e(which)
    e(ml_method)
                               type of ml method
    e(user)
                               name of likelihood-evaluator program
    e(technique)
                               maximization technique
    e(datasignature)
                               the checksum
    e(datasignaturevars)
                               variables used in calculation of checksum
    e(properties)
    e(estat_cmd)
                               program used to implement estat
    e(predict)
                               program used to implement predict
Matrices
    e(b)
                               coefficient vector
    e(Cns)
                               constraints matrix
    e(ilog)
                               iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
    e(gradient)
                               gradient vector
    e(N_g)
                               group counts
    e(g_min)
                               group-size minimums
    e(g_avg)
                               group-size averages
    e(g_max)
                               group-size maximums
    e(V)
                                variance-covariance matrix of the estimator
    e(V_modelbased)
                               model-based variance
Functions
    e(sample)
                               marks estimation sample
```

Methods and formulas

Model (1) assumes Bernoulli data, a special case of the binomial. Because binomial data are also supported by meprobit (option binomial()), the methods presented below are in terms of the more general binomial mixed-effects model.

For a two-level binomial model, consider the response y_{ij} as the number of successes from a series of r_{ij} Bernoulli trials (replications). For cluster $j, j = 1, \dots, M$, the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{y}_j = (y_{j1}, \dots, y_{jn_j})'$, given a set of cluster-level random effects \mathbf{u}_j , is

$$f(\mathbf{y}_{j}|\mathbf{u}_{j}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \left[{r_{ij} \choose y_{ij}} \left\{ \Phi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}) \right\}^{y_{ij}} \left\{ 1 - \Phi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}) \right\}^{r_{ij} - y_{ij}} \right]$$

$$= \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \left[y_{ij} \log \left\{ \Phi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}) \right\} - (r_{ij} - y_{ij}) \log \left\{ \Phi(-\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}) \right\} + \log {r_{ij} \choose y_{ij}} \right] \right)$$

for $\eta_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j + \text{offset}_{ij}$.

Defining $\mathbf{r}_j = (r_{j1}, \dots, r_{jn_j})'$ and

$$c\left(\mathbf{y}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}} \log \begin{pmatrix} r_{ij} \\ y_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $c(\mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{r}_j)$ does not depend on the model parameters, we can express the above compactly in matrix notation.

$$f(\mathbf{y}_{j}|\mathbf{u}_{j}) = \exp\left[\mathbf{y}_{j}' \log\left\{\Phi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j})\right\} - (\mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{y}_{j})' \log\left\{\Phi(-\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j})\right\} + c\left(\mathbf{y}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right)\right]$$

where η_j is formed by stacking the row vectors η_{ij} . We extend the definitions of $\Phi(\cdot)$, $\log(\cdot)$, and $\exp(\cdot)$ to be vector functions where necessary.

Because the prior distribution of \mathbf{u}_j is multivariate normal with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and $q \times q$ variance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, the likelihood contribution for the jth cluster is obtained by integrating \mathbf{u}_j out of the joint density $f(\mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{u}_j)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{j}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = (2\pi)^{-q/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \int f(\mathbf{y}_{j}|\mathbf{u}_{j}) \exp\left(-\mathbf{u}_{j}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{j}/2\right) d\mathbf{u}_{j}$$

$$= \exp\left\{c\left(\mathbf{y}_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j}\right)\right\} (2\pi)^{-q/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \int \exp\left\{h\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right)\right\} d\mathbf{u}_{j}$$
(2)

where

$$h\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_{j}\right) = \mathbf{y}_{j}' \log \left\{ \Phi(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}) \right\} - (\mathbf{r}_{j} - \mathbf{y}_{j})' \log \left\{ \Phi(-\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}) \right\} - \mathbf{u}_{j}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_{j} / 2$$

and for convenience, in the arguments of $h(\cdot)$ we suppress the dependence on the observable data $(\mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{X}_j, \mathbf{Z}_j)$.

The integration in (2) has no closed form and thus must be approximated meprobit offers four approximation methods: mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature (default unless a crossed random-effects model is fit), mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature, nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature, and Laplacian approximation (default for crossed random-effects models).

The Laplacian approximation is based on a second-order Taylor expansion of $h(\beta, \Sigma, \mathbf{u}_j)$ about the value of \mathbf{u}_j that maximizes it; see *Methods and formulas* in [ME] **meglm** for details.

Gaussian quadrature relies on transforming the multivariate integral in (2) into a set of nested univariate integrals. Each univariate integral can then be evaluated using a form of Gaussian quadrature; see *Methods and formulas* in [ME] **meglm** for details.

The log likelihood for the entire dataset is simply the sum of the contributions of the M individual clusters, namely, $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mathcal{L}_{j}(\beta, \Sigma)$.

Maximization of $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \Sigma)$ is performed with respect to (β, σ^2) , where σ^2 is a vector comprising the unique elements of Σ . Parameter estimates are stored in e(b) as $(\widehat{\beta}, \widehat{\sigma}^2)$, with the corresponding variance—covariance matrix stored in e(V).

References

Demidenko, E. 2004. Mixed Models: Theory and Applications. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Guo, G., and H. Zhao. 2000. Multilevel modeling of binary data. Annual Review of Sociology 26: 441-462.

Hedeker, D., and R. D. Gibbons. 2006. Longitudinal Data Analysis. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Huq, N. M., and J. Cleland. 1990. Bangladesh Fertility Survey 1989 (Main Report). National Institute of Population Research and Training.

McCulloch, C. E., S. R. Searle, and J. M. Neuhaus. 2008. Generalized, Linear, and Mixed Models. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Rabe-Hesketh, S., and A. Skrondal. 2012. Multilevel and Longitudinal Modeling Using Stata. 3rd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.

Rabe-Hesketh, S., T. Toulopoulou, and R. M. Murray. 2001. Multilevel modeling of cognitive function in schizophrenic patients and their first degree relatives. Multivariate Behavioral Research 36: 279-298.

Raudenbush, S. W., and A. S. Bryk. 2002. Hierarchical Linear Models: Applications and Data Analysis Methods. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Searle, S. R., G. Casella, and C. E. McCulloch. 1992. Variance Components. New York: Wiley.

Verbeke, G., and G. Molenberghs. 2000. Linear Mixed Models for Longitudinal Data. New York: Springer.

Also see

[ME] meprobit postestimation — Postestimation tools for meprobit

[ME] mecloglog — Multilevel mixed-effects complementary log-log regression

[ME] **melogit** — Multilevel mixed-effects logistic regression

[ME] me — Introduction to multilevel mixed-effects models

[SEM] **intro** 5 — Tour of models (Multilevel mixed-effects models)

[XT] **xtprobit** — Random-effects and population-averaged probit models

[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands