xtfrontier fits stochastic production or cost frontier models for panel data where the disturbance term is a mixture of an inefficiency term and the idiosyncratic error. xtfrontier can fit a time-invariant model, in which the inefficiency term is assumed to have a truncated-normal distribution, or a time-varying decay model, in which the inefficiency term is modeled as a truncated-normal random variable multiplied by a function of time.

xtfrontier expects that the dependent variable and independent variables are on the natural logarithm scale; this transformation must be performed before estimation takes place.

Quick start

Stochastic production frontier regression of $\ln y$ on $\ln x_1$ and $\ln x_2$ with time-invariant inefficiency using xtset data
   xtfrontier $\ln y$ $\ln x_1$ $\ln x_2$, ti

Stochastic cost frontier regression of $\ln y$ on $\ln x_1$ and $\ln x_2$ with time-invariant inefficiency
   xtfrontier $\ln y$ $\ln x_1$ $\ln x_2$, ti cost

Time-varying decay model for production
   xtfrontier $\ln y$ $\ln x_1$ $\ln x_2$, tvd

Menu

Statistics > Longitudinal/panel data > Frontier models
Syntax

**Time-invariant model**

```
xtfrontier  depvar  [indepvars]  [if]  [in]  [weight],  ti  [ti_options]
```

**Time-varying decay model**

```
xtfrontier  depvar  [indepvars]  [if]  [in]  [weight],  tvd  [tvd_options]
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ti_options</strong></th>
<th><strong>Description</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>noconstant</code></td>
<td>suppress constant term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>ti</code></td>
<td>use time-invariant model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>cost</code></td>
<td>fit cost frontier model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>constraints(constraints)</code></td>
<td>apply specified linear constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>vcetype</code></td>
<td><code>vcetype</code> may be <code>oim</code>, <code>bootstrap</code>, or <code>jackknife</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SE**

`vce(vcetype)`

`vcetype` may be `oim`, `bootstrap`, or `jackknife`

**Reporting**

`level(#)`

set confidence level; default is `level(95)`

`noconsreport`

do not display constraints

`display_options`

do not display constraints

control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling

**Maximization**

`maximize_options`

control the maximization process; seldom used

`collinear`

keep collinear variables

`coeflegend`

display legend instead of statistics
**xtfrontier** — Stochastic frontier models for panel data

### tvd_options

**Model**

- `noconstant` suppress constant term
- `tvd` use time-varying decay model
- `cost` fit cost frontier model
- `constraints(constraints)` apply specified linear constraints

**SE**

- `vce(vcetype)` `vcetype` may be `oim`, `bootstrap`, or `jackknife`

**Reporting**

- `level(#)` set confidence level; default is `level(95)`
- `nocnsreport` do not display constraints
- `display_options` control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling

**Maximization**

- `maximize_options` control the maximization process; seldom used
- `collinear` keep collinear variables
- `coeflegend` display legend instead of statistics

---

A panel variable must be specified. For `xtfrontier`, `tvd`, a time variable must also be specified. Use `xtset`; see `[XT] xtset`.

`indepvars` may contain factor variables; see `[U] 11.3 Factor variables`.

`depvar` and `indepvars` may contain time-series operators; see `[U] 11.4 Time-series varlists`.

`by`, `fp`, and `statsby` are allowed; see `[U] 11.10 Prefix commands`.

`fweights` and `iweights` are allowed; see `[U] 11.16 weight`. Weights must be constant within panel.

`collinear` and `coeflegend` do not appear in the dialog box.

See `[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands` for more capabilities of estimation commands.

---

### Options for time-invariant model

- `noconstant`; see `[R] Estimation options`.

- `ti` specifies that the parameters of the time-invariant technical inefficiency model be estimated.

- `cost` specifies that the frontier model be fit in terms of a cost function instead of a production function. By default, `xtfrontier` fits a production frontier model.

- `constraints(constraints)`; see `[R] Estimation options`.

- `vce(vcetype)` specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (`oim`) and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (`bootstrap`, `jackknife`); see `[XT] vce_options`.
Reporting level(#); see [R] Estimation options.
nocnsreport; see [R] Estimation options.

display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nolabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%,fmt), pformat(%,fmt), sformat(%,fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.

Maximization maximize_options: difficult, technique(algorithm_spec) iterate(#), [no]log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), itolerance(#), nrtolerance(#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] Maximize. These options are seldom used.

The following options are available with xfrontier but are not shown in the dialog box:
collinear, coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

Options for time-varying decay model

Model noconstant; see [R] Estimation options.

tvd specifies that the parameters of the time-varying decay model be estimated.
cost specifies that the frontier model be fit in terms of a cost function instead of a production function. By default, xfrontier fits a production frontier model.

constraints(constraints); see [R] Estimation options.

SE

vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim) and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [XT] vce_options.

Reporting level(#); see [R] Estimation options.
nocnsreport; see [R] Estimation options.

display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nolabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%,fmt), pformat(%,fmt), sformat(%,fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.

Maximization maximize_options: difficult, technique(algorithm_spec), iterate(#), [no]log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), itolerance(#), nrtolerance(#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] Maximize. These options are seldom used.
The following options are available with \texttt{xtfrontier} but are not shown in the dialog box: \texttt{collinear}, \texttt{coeflegend}; see \texttt{[R] Estimation options}.

**Remarks and examples**

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

- Introduction
- Time-invariant model
- Time-varying decay model

**Introduction**

Stochastic production frontier models were introduced by Aigner, Lovell, and Schmidt (1977) and Meeusen and van den Broeck (1977). Since then, stochastic frontier models have become a popular subfield in econometrics; see Kumbhakar and Lovell (2000) for an introduction. \texttt{xtfrontier} fits two stochastic frontier models with distinct specifications of the inefficiency term and can fit both production- and cost-frontier models.

Let’s review the nature of the stochastic frontier problem. Suppose that a producer has a production function $f(z_{it}, \beta)$. In a world without error or inefficiency, in time $t$, the $i$th firm would produce

$$q_{it} = f(z_{it}, \beta)$$

A fundamental element of stochastic frontier analysis is that each firm potentially produces less than it might because of a degree of inefficiency. Specifically,

$$q_{it} = f(z_{it}, \beta)\xi_{it}$$

where $\xi_{it}$ is the level of efficiency for firm $i$ at time $t$; $\xi_i$ must be in the interval $(0, 1]$. If $\xi_{it} = 1$, the firm is achieving the optimal output with the technology embodied in the production function $f(z_{it}, \beta)$. When $\xi_{it} < 1$, the firm is not making the most of the inputs $z_{it}$ given the technology embodied in the production function $f(z_{it}, \beta)$. Because the output is assumed to be strictly positive (that is, $q_{it} > 0$), the degree of technical efficiency is assumed to be strictly positive (that is, $\xi_{it} > 0$).

Output is also assumed to be subject to random shocks, implying that

$$q_{it} = f(z_{it}, \beta)\xi_{it}\exp(v_{it})$$

Taking the natural log of both sides yields

$$\ln(q_{it}) = \ln\{f(z_{it}, \beta)\} + \ln(\xi_{it}) + v_{it}$$

Assuming that there are $k$ inputs and that the production function is linear in logs, defining $u_{it} = -\ln(\xi_{it})$ yields

$$\ln(q_{it}) = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j \ln(z_{jit}) + v_{it} - u_{it}$$

(1)

Because $u_{it}$ is subtracted from $\ln(q_{it})$, restricting $u_{it} \geq 0$ implies that $0 < \xi_{it} \leq 1$, as specified above.
Kumbhakar and Lovell (2000) provide a detailed version of this derivation, and they show that performing an analogous derivation in the dual cost function problem allows us to specify the problem as

$$\ln(c_{it}) = \beta_0 + \beta_q \ln(q_{it}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j \ln(p_{jit}) + v_{it} - su_{it}$$

(2)

where \(q_{it}\) is output, the \(z_{jit}\) are input quantities, \(c_{it}\) is cost, the \(p_{jit}\) are input prices, and

\[s = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for production functions} \\
-1, & \text{for cost functions} 
\end{cases}\]

Intuitively, the inefficiency effect is required to lower output or raise expenditure, depending on the specification.

Technical note

The model that xtfrontier actually fits has the form

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j x_{jit} + v_{it} - su_{it}$$

so in the context of the discussion above, \(y_{it} = \ln(q_{it})\) and \(x_{jit} = \ln(z_{jit})\) for a production function; for a cost function, \(y_{it} = \ln(c_{it})\), the \(x_{jit}\) are the \(\ln(p_{jit})\), and \(\ln(q_{it})\). You must perform the natural logarithm transformation of the data before estimation to interpret the estimation results correctly for a stochastic frontier production or cost model. xtfrontier does not perform any transformations on the data.

As shown above, the disturbance term in a stochastic frontier model is assumed to have two components. One component is assumed to have a strictly nonnegative distribution, and the other component is assumed to have a symmetric distribution. In the econometrics literature, the nonnegative component is often referred to as the inefficiency term, and the component with the symmetric distribution as the idiosyncratic error.

Equation (2) is a variant of a panel-data model in which \(v_{it}\) is the idiosyncratic error and \(u_{it}\) is a time-varying panel-level effect. Much of the literature on this model has focused on deriving estimators for different specifications of the \(u_{it}\) term. Kumbhakar and Lovell (2000) provide a survey of this literature.

xtfrontier provides estimators for two different specifications of \(u_{it}\). To facilitate the discussion, let \(N^+(\mu, \sigma^2)\) denote the truncated-normal distribution, which is truncated at zero with mean \(\mu\) and variance \(\sigma^2\), and let \(\sim iid\) stand for independent and identically distributed.

Consider the simplest specification in which the inefficiency term \(u_{it}\) is a time-invariant truncated-normal random variable. In the time-invariant model, \(u_{it} = u_i, u_i \sim iid N^+(\mu, \sigma^2_u), v_{it} \sim iid N(0, \sigma^2_v)\), and \(u_i\) and \(v_{it}\) are distributed independently of each other and the covariates in the model. Specifying the \(ti\) option causes xtfrontier to estimate the parameters of this model.

In the Battese–Coelli (1992) parameterization of time effects, the inefficiency term is modeled as a truncated-normal random variable multiplied by a specific function of time. In the time-varying decay specification,

$$u_{it} = \exp\{-\eta(t - T_i)\} u_i$$
where \( T_i \) is the last period in the \( i \)th panel, \( \eta \) is the decay parameter, \( u_i \sim N^+(\mu, \sigma^2_u) \), \( v_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_v) \), and \( u_i \) and \( v_{it} \) are distributed independently of each other and the covariates in the model. Specifying the \texttt{tvd} option causes \texttt{xtfrontier} to estimate the parameters of this model.

### Time-invariant model

**Example 1**

\texttt{xtfrontier}, \texttt{ti} provides maximum likelihood estimates for the parameters of the time-invariant decay model. In this model, the inefficiency effects are modeled as \( u_{it} = u_i, u_i \sim N^+(\mu, \sigma^2_u), v_{it} \sim N(0, \sigma^2_v) \), and \( u_i \) and \( v_{it} \) are distributed independently of each other and the covariates in the model. In this example, firms produce a product called a widget, using a constant-returns-to-scale technology. We have 948 observations—91 firms, with 6–14 observations per firm. Our dataset contains variables representing the quantity of widgets produced, the number of machine hours used in production, the number of labor hours used in production, and three additional variables that are the natural logarithm transformations of the three aforementioned variables.

We fit a time-invariant model using the transformed variables:

```stata
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/xtfrontier1
. xtfrontier lnwidgets lnmachines lnworkers, ti
```

|                | Coef.  | Std. Err. | z     | P>|z| | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-------|------|----------------------|
| lnwidgets      |        |           |       |      |                      |
| lnmachines     | .2904551| .0164219  | 17.69 | 0.000 | .2582688 .3226415   |
| lnworkers      | .2943333| .0154352  | 19.07 | 0.000 | .2640808 .3245858   |
| _cons          | 3.030983| .1441022  | 21.03 | 0.000 | 2.748548 3.313418   |
| /mu            | 1.125667| .6479217  | 1.74  | 0.082 | -.144236 2.39557    |
| /lnsigma2      | 1.421979| .2672745  | 5.32  | 0.000 | .898131 1.945828    |
| /lgtgamma      | 1.138685| .3562642  | 3.20  | 0.001 | .4404204 1.83695    |
| sigma2         | 4.145318| 1.107938  | 3.69  | 0.000 | 2.850011 6.999424   |
| gamma          | .7574382| .0654548  | 11.52 | 0.000 | .6083592 .8625876   |
| sigma_u2       | 3.139822| 1.107235  | 2.89  | 0.004 | .9696821 5.309962   |
| sigma_v2       | 1.008496| .0484143  | 10.00 | 0.000 | .9106055 1.100386   |

In addition to the coefficients, the output reports estimates for the parameters \( \sigma^2_v, \sigma^2_u, \gamma, \sigma^2_s \). \( \sigma^2_v \) is the estimate of \( \sigma^2_v \), \( \sigma^2_u \) is the estimate of \( \sigma^2_u \), and \( \gamma = \sigma^2_u/\sigma^2_s \). \( \sigma^2_s = \sigma^2_v + \sigma^2_u \). Because \( \gamma \) must be between 0 and 1, the optimization is parameterized in terms of the logit of \( \gamma \), and
this estimate is reported as $\text{lgtgamma}$. Because $\sigma_S^2$ must be positive, the optimization is parameterized in terms of $\ln(\sigma_S^2)$, and this estimate is reported as $\text{lnsigma2}$. Finally, $\mu$ is the estimate of $\mu$.

### Technical note

Our simulation results indicate that this estimator requires relatively large samples to achieve any reasonable degree of precision in the estimates of $\mu$ and $\sigma_u^2$.

### Time-varying decay model

$\text{xtfrontier, tvd}$ provides maximum likelihood estimates for the parameters of the time-varying decay model. In this model, the inefficiency effects are modeled as

$$ u_{it} = \exp\{-\eta(t - T_i)\} \, u_i $$

where $u_i \, \text{iid} \sim N^+(\mu, \sigma_u^2)$.

When $\eta > 0$, the degree of inefficiency decreases over time; when $\eta < 0$, the degree of inefficiency increases over time. Because $t = T_i$ in the last period, the last period for firm $i$ contains the base level of inefficiency for that firm. If $\eta > 0$, the level of inefficiency decays toward the base level. If $\eta < 0$, the level of inefficiency increases to the base level.

### Example 2

When $\eta = 0$, the time-varying decay model reduces to the time-invariant model. The following example illustrates this property and demonstrates how to specify constraints and starting values in these models.

Let’s begin by fitting the time-varying decay model on the same data that were used in the previous example for the time-invariant model.
. xfrontier lnwidgets lnmachines lnworkers, tvd

Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -1551.3798 (not concave)
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -1502.2637
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -1476.3093 (not concave)
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -1472.9845
Iteration 4:  log likelihood = -1472.5365
Iteration 5:  log likelihood = -1472.529
Iteration 6:  log likelihood = -1472.5289

Time-varying decay inefficiency model

Number of obs = 948
Group variable: id
Number of groups = 91
Time variable: t
Obs per group:
  min  = 6
  avg  = 10.4
  max  = 14

Wald chi2(2) = 661.93
Log likelihood = -1472.5289  Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

| Coef.  | Std. Err. | z    | P>|z|    | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|--------|-----------|------|--------|----------------------|
| lnwidgets | .2907555  | .0164376 | 17.69  | 0.000 | .2585384 .3229725 |
| lnmachines | .2942412  | .0154373 | 19.06  | 0.000 | .2639846 .3244978 |
| lnworkers  | 3.028939  | .1436046 | 21.09  | 0.000 | 2.74748 3.310399 |
| _cons     | 1.110831  | .6452809 | 1.72   | 0.085 | -.1538967 2.375558 |
| /eta      | .0016764  | .00425  | 0.39   | 0.693 | -.0066535 .0100064 |
| /lnsigma2 | 1.410723  | .2679485 | 5.26   | 0.000 | .885554 1.935893 |
| /lgtgamma | 1.123982  | .3584243 | 3.14   | 0.002 | .4214828 1.82648 |
| sigma2    | 4.098919  | 1.098299 | 2.424327 | 6.930228 |
| gamma     | .7547265  | .0683495 | .603838 | .8613419 |
| sigma_u2  | 3.093563  | 1.097606 | .9422943 | 5.244832 |
| sigma_v2  | 1.005356  | .0484079 | .9104785 | 1.100234 |

The estimate of $\eta$ is close to zero, and the other estimates are not too far from those of the time-invariant model.

We can use `constraint` to constrain $\eta = 0$ and obtain the same results produced by the time-invariant model. Although there is only one statistical equation to be estimated in this model, the model fits five of Stata’s [R] `ml` equations; see [R] `ml` or Gould, Pitblado, and Poi (2010). The equation names can be seen by listing the matrix of estimated coefficients.

. matrix list e(b)
e(b)[1,7]

The estimate of $\eta$ is close to zero, and the other estimates are not too far from those of the time-invariant model. Although there is only one statistical equation to be estimated in this model, the model fits five of Stata’s [R] `ml` equations; see [R] `ml` or Gould, Pitblado, and Poi (2010). The equation names can be seen by listing the matrix of estimated coefficients.

To constrain a parameter to a particular value in any equation, except the first equation, you must specify both the equation name and the parameter name by using the syntax

```
constraint # [eqname]_b[varname] = value
```

where `eqname` is the equation name, `varname` is the name of the variable in a linear equation, and `coefficient` refers to any parameter that has been estimated. More elaborate specifications with
expressions are possible; see the example with constant returns to scale below, and see \[R\] constraint for general reference.

Suppose that we impose the constraint $\eta = 0$; we get the same results as those reported above for the time-invariant model, except for some minute differences attributable to an alternate convergence path in the optimization.

```
. constraint 1 [eta]_cons = 0
. xfrontier lnwidgets lnmachines lnworkers, tvd constraints(1)
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -1540.7124 (not concave)
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -1515.7726
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -1473.0162
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -1472.9223
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -1472.6254
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -1472.607
Iteration 6: log likelihood = -1472.6069
Time-varying decay inefficiency model
Group variable: id
Obs per group:
   min = 6
   avg = 10.4
   max = 14
Wald chi2(2) = 661.76
Log likelihood = -1472.6069
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
( 1) [eta]_cons = 0
```

|                | Coef.  | Std. Err. | z     | P>|z| | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----|----------------------|
| lnmachines     | .2904551 | .0164219 | 17.69 | 0.000 | .2582688 - .3226414 |
| lnworkers      | .2943332 | .0154352 | 19.07 | 0.000 | .2640807 - .3245857 |
| _cons          | 3.030963 | .1440995 | 21.03 | 0.000 | 2.748534 - 3.313393 |
| /mu            | 1.125507 | .6480444 | 1.74  | 0.082 | -.144639 - 2.39565 |
| /eta (omitted) |        |          |       |       |                      |
| /lnsigma2      | 1.422039 | .2673128 | 5.32  | 0.000 | .8981155 - 1.945962 |
| /lgtgamma      | 1.138764 | .3563076 | 3.20  | 0.001 | .4404135 - 1.837114 |
| sigma2         | 4.145565 | 1.108162 |       |       | 2.454972 - 7.000366 |
| gamma          | .7574526 | .0654602 |       |       | .6083575 - .862607 |
| sigma_u2       | 3.140068 | 1.107459 |       |       | .9694878 - 5.310649 |
| sigma_v2       | 1.005496 | .0484143 |       |       | .9106057 - 1.100386 |
Stored results

_xtfrontier_ stores the following in _e()_: 

Scalars
- _e(N)_ : number of observations
- _e(N_g)_ : number of groups
- _e(k)_ : number of parameters
- _e(k_eq)_ : number of equations in _e(b)_
- _e(k_eq_model)_ : number of equations in overall model test
- _e(k_dv)_ : number of dependent variables
- _e(df_m)_ : model degrees of freedom
- _e(ll)_ : log likelihood
- _e(g_min)_ : minimum number of observations per group
- _e(g_avg)_ : average number of observations per group
- _e(g_max)_ : maximum number of observations per group
- _e(sigma2)_ : sigma2
- _e(gamma)_ : gamma
- _e(Tcon)_ : 1 if panels balanced, 0 otherwise
- _e(sigma_u)_ : standard deviation of technical inefficiency
- _e(sigma_v)_ : standard deviation of random error
- _e(chi2)_ : $\chi^2$
- _e(p)_ : p-value for model test
- _e(rank)_ : rank of _e(V)_
- _e(ic)_ : number of iterations
- _e(rc)_ : return code
- _e(converged)_ : 1 if converged, 0 otherwise

Macros
- _e(cmd)_ : _xtfrontier_
- _e(cmdline)_ : command as typed
- _e(depvar)_ : name of dependent variable
- _e(ivar)_ : variable denoting groups
- _e(tvar)_ : variable denoting time within groups
- _e(function)_ : production or cost
- _e(model)_ : ti, after time-invariant model; tvd, after time-varying decay model
- _e(wtype)_ : weight type
- _e(wexp)_ : weight expression
- _e(title)_ : title in estimation output
- _e(chi2type)_ : Wald; type of model $\chi^2$ test
- _e(vce)_ : vcetype specified in vce()
- _e(opt)_ : type of optimization
- _e(which)_ : max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
- _e(ml_method)_ : type of ml method
- _e(user)_ : name of likelihood-evaluator program
- _e(properties)_ : b V
- _e(predict)_ : program used to implement predict
- _e(asbalanced)_ : factor variables fvset as asbalanced
- _e(asobserved)_ : factor variables fvset as asobserved

Matrices
- _e(b)_ : coefficient vector
- _e(Cns)_ : constraints matrix
- _e(ilog)_ : iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
- _e(gradient)_ : gradient vector
- _e(V)_ : variance–covariance matrix of the estimators

Functions
- _e(sample)_ : marks estimation sample
In addition to the above, the following is stored in r():

Matrices
\( r(\text{table}) \)
matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, \( p \)-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in \( r() \) are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

**Methods and formulas**

`xtfrontier` fits stochastic frontier models for panel data that can be expressed as

\[
y_{it} = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_j x_{jit} + v_{it} - s u_{it}
\]

where \( y_{it} \) is the natural logarithm of output, the \( x_{jit} \) are the natural logarithm of the input quantities for the production efficiency problem, \( y_{it} \) is the natural logarithm of costs, the \( x_{it} \) are the natural logarithm of input prices for the cost efficiency problem, and

\[
s = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{for production functions} \\
-1, & \text{for cost functions} 
\end{cases}
\]

For the time-varying decay model, the log-likelihood function is derived as

\[
\ln L = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} T_i \right) \left\{ \ln(2\pi) + \ln(\sigma_S^2) \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (T_i - 1) \ln(1 - \gamma) \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \left\{ 1 + \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T_i} \eta_{it}^2 - 1 \right) \gamma \right\} - N \ln \left\{ 1 - \Phi(-\tilde{z}) \right\} - \frac{1}{2} N \tilde{z}^2 \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \left\{ 1 - \Phi(-z_i^*) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i^{*2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{T_i} \frac{\epsilon_{it}^2}{(1 - \gamma) \sigma_S^2}
\]

where \( \sigma_S = (\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2)^{1/2}, \gamma = \sigma_u^2/\sigma_S^2, \epsilon_{it} = y_{it} - x_{it} \beta, \eta_{it} = \exp(-\eta(t - T_i)), \tilde{z} = \mu/(\gamma\sigma_S^{1/2}), \Phi() \) is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution, and

\[
z_i^* = \frac{\mu (1 - \gamma) - s \gamma \sum_{t=1}^{T_i} \eta_{it} \epsilon_{it}}{\gamma (1 - \gamma) \sigma_S^2 \left\{ 1 + \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T_i} \eta_{it}^2 - 1 \right) \gamma \right\}^{1/2}}
\]

Maximizing the above log likelihood estimates the coefficients \( \eta, \mu, \sigma_v, \) and \( \sigma_u. \)
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