# stcrreg postestimation — Postestimation tools for stcrreg

Postestimation commands	Postestimation commands predict		Remarks and examples			
Methods and formulas	References	Also see				

# **Postestimation commands**

The following postestimation command is of special interest after stcrreg:

Command	Description
stcurve	plot the cumulative subhazard and cumulative incidence functions

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:

Command	Description
contrast	contrasts and ANOVA-style joint tests of parameters
estat ic	Akaike's, consistent Akaike's, corrected Akaike's, and Schwarz's Bayesian infor- mation criteria (AIC, CAIC, AICc, and BIC, respectively)
estat summarize	summary statistics for the estimation sample
estat vce	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE)
estimates	cataloging estimation results
etable	table of estimation results
hausman	Hausman's specification test
lincom	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear combinations of parameters
margins	marginal means, predictive margins, marginal effects, and average marginal effects
marginsplot	graph the results from margins (profile plots, interaction plots, etc.)
nlcom	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear combinations of parameters
predict	subhazard ratios, linear predictions and their SEs, etc.
predictnl	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for generalized predictions
pwcompare	pairwise comparisons of parameters
test	Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses
testnl	Wald tests of nonlinear hypotheses

# predict

### **Description for predict**

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as subhazard ratios, linear predictions, standard errors, baseline cumulative incidence and subhazard functions, Kaplan–Meier survivor curves, pseudolikelihood scores, efficient score and Schoenfeld residuals, and DFBETA measures of influence.

### Menu for predict

Statistics > Postestimation

## Syntax for predict

```
predict [type] newvar [if] [in] [, sv_statistic nooffset]
```

predict [type] { stub\* | newvarlist } [if ] [in ], mv\_statistic [partial]

sv_statistic	Description					
Main						
shr	predicted subhazard ratio, also known as the relative subhazard; the default					
xb	linear prediction $\mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$					
stdp	standard error of the linear prediction; SE( $\mathbf{x}_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ )					
*basecif	baseline cumulative incidence function (CIF)					
* <u>basecsh</u> azard	baseline cumulative subhazard function					
* <u>kmc</u> ensor Kaplan-Meier survivor curve for the censoring distribution						
mv_statistic	Description					
Main						
* <u>sco</u> res	pseudolikelihood scores					
*esr	efficient score residuals					
* <u>dfb</u> eta	DFBETA measures of influence					
* <u>sch</u> oenfeld	Schoenfeld residuals					

Unstarred statistics are available both in and out of sample; type predict ... if e(sample) ... if wanted only for the estimation sample. Starred statistics are calculated only for the estimation sample, even when

if e(sample) is not specified.

nooffset is allowed only with unstarred statistics.

## **Options for predict**

#### Main

- shr, the default, calculates the relative subhazard (subhazard ratio), that is, the exponentiated linear prediction,  $\exp(\mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ .
- xb calculates the linear prediction from the fitted model. That is, you fit the model by estimating a set of parameters,  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$ , and the linear prediction is  $\hat{\beta}_1 x_{1j} + \hat{\beta}_2 x_{2j} + \cdots + \hat{\beta}_k x_{kj}$ , often written in matrix notation as  $\mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .

The  $x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \ldots, x_{kj}$  used in the calculation are obtained from the data currently in memory and need not correspond to the data on the independent variables used in estimating  $\beta$ .

- stdp calculates the standard error of the prediction, that is, the standard error of  $\mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ .
- basecif calculates the baseline CIF. This is the CIF of the subdistribution for the cause-specific failure process.
- basecshazard calculates the baseline cumulative subhazard function. This is the cumulative hazard function of the subdistribution for the cause-specific failure process.
- kmcensor calculates the Kaplan-Meier survivor function for the censoring distribution. These estimates are used to weight within risk pools observations that have experienced a competing event. As such, these values are not predictions or diagnostics in the strict sense, but are provided for those who wish to reproduce the pseudolikelihood calculations performed by stcrreg; see [ST] stcrreg.
- nooffset is allowed only with shr, xb, and stdp, and is relevant only if you specified offset (*varname*) for stcrreg. It modifies the calculations made by predict so that they ignore the offset variable; the linear prediction is treated as  $\mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  rather than  $\mathbf{x}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \text{offset}_i$ .
- scores calculates the pseudolikelihood scores for each regressor in the model. These scores are components of the robust estimate of variance. For multiple-record data, by default only one score per subject is calculated and it is placed on the last record for the subject.

Adding the partial option will produce partial scores, one for each record within subject; see partial below. Partial pseudolikelihood scores are the additive contributions to a subject's overall pseudolikelihood score. In single-record data, the partial pseudolikelihood scores are the pseudolikelihood scores.

One score variable is created for each regressor in the model; the first new variable corresponds to the first regressor, the second to the second, and so on.

esr calculates the efficient score residuals for each regressor in the model. Efficient score residuals are diagnostic measures equivalent to pseudolikelihood scores, with the exception that efficient score residuals treat the censoring distribution (that used for weighting) as known rather than estimated. For multiple-record data, by default only one score per subject is calculated and it is placed on the last record for the subject.

Adding the partial option will produce partial efficient score residuals, one for each record within subject; see partial below. Partial efficient score residuals are the additive contributions to a subject's overall efficient score residual. In single-record data, the partial efficient scores are the efficient scores.

One efficient score variable is created for each regressor in the model; the first new variable corresponds to the first regressor, the second to the second, and so on. dfbeta calculates the DFBETA measures of influence for each regressor of in the model. The DFBETA value for a subject estimates the change in the regressor's coefficient due to deletion of that subject. For multiple-record data, by default only one value per subject is calculated and it is placed on the last record for the subject.

Adding the partial option will produce partial DFBETAs, one for each record within subject; see partial below. Partial DFBETAs are interpreted as effects due to deletion of individual records rather than deletion of individual subjects. In single-record data, the partial DFBETAs are the DFBETAs.

One DFBETA variable is created for each regressor in the model; the first new variable corresponds to the first regressor, the second to the second, and so on.

schoenfeld calculates the Schoenfeld-like residuals. Schoenfeld-like residuals are diagnostic measures analogous to Schoenfeld residuals in Cox regression. They compare a failed observation's covariate values to the (weighted) average covariate values for all of those at risk at the time of failure. Schoenfeld-like residuals are calculated only for those observations that end in failure; missing values are produced otherwise.

One Schoenfeld residual variable is created for each regressor in the model; the first new variable corresponds to the first regressor, the second to the second, and so on.

Note: The easiest way to use the preceding four options is, for example,

. predict double *stub*\*, scores

where *stub* is a short name of your choosing. Stata then creates variables *stub*1, *stub*2, etc. You may also specify each variable name explicitly, in which case there must be as many (and no more) variables specified as there are regressors in the model.

partial is relevant only for multiple-record data and is valid with scores, esr, and dfbeta. Specifying partial will produce "partial" versions of these statistics, where one value is calculated for each record instead of one for each subject. The subjects are determined by the id() option to stset.

Specify partial if you wish to perform diagnostics on individual records rather than on individual subjects. For example, a partial DFBETA would be interpreted as the effect on a coefficient due to deletion of one record, rather than the effect due to deletion of all records for a given subject.

# margins

# **Description for margins**

margins estimates margins of response for subhazard ratios and linear predictions.

## Menu for margins

Statistics > Postestimation

# Syntax for margins

margins [margi	inlist ] [, options]
margins [margi	inlist], predict(statistic) [predict(statistic)] [options]
statistic	Description
shr	predicted subhazard ratio, also known as the relative subhazard; the default
xb	linear prediction $\mathbf{x}_{i} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$
stdp	not allowed with margins
basecif	not allowed with margins
<u>basecsh</u> azard	not allowed with margins
<u>kmc</u> ensor	not allowed with margins
<u>sco</u> res	not allowed with margins
esr	not allowed with margins
<u>dfb</u> eta	not allowed with margins
<u>sch</u> oenfeld	not allowed with margins

Statistics not allowed with margins are functions of stochastic quantities other than e(b).

For the full syntax, see [R] margins.

# **Remarks and examples**

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Baseline functions Null models Measures of influence

# **Baseline functions**

### Example 1: Cervical cancer study

In example 1 of [ST] **stcrreg**, we fit a proportional subhazards model on data from a cervical cancer study.

. use https:// (Hypoxia study	/www.stata-pre y)	ess.com/data,	/r19/hypo	xia			
. stset dftime (output omitted	e, failure(fai !)	ltype == 1)					
. stcrreg ifp (output omitted	tumsize pelno	ode, compete	(failtype	== 2)			
Competing-risks regression				No. ot No. ot	f obs f subjects	= =	109 109
Failure event:	: failtype =	= 1		No. fa	ailed	=	33
Competing event: failtype == 2				No. co	ompeting	=	17
				No. ce	ensored	=	59
				Wald o	chi2(3)	=	33.21
Log pseudolike	elihood = -13	88.5308		Prob 3	> chi2	=	0.0000
t	SHR	Robust std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% co	nf.	intervall
					20070 000		
ifp	1.033206	.0178938	1.89	0.059	.998723	1	1.068879
tumsize	1.297332	.1271191	2.66	0.008	1.07064	6	1.572013
pelnode	.4588123	.1972067	-1.81	0.070	.197593	1	1.065365
-							

After fitting the model, we can predict the baseline cumulative subhazard,  $\overline{H}_{1,0}(t)$ , and the baseline CIF, CIF<sub>1.0</sub>(t):

- . predict bch, basecsh
- . predict bcif, basecif
- . list dftime failtype ifp tumsize pelnode bch bcif in 1/15

	dftime	failtype	ifp	tumsize	pelnode	bch	bcif
1.	6.152	0	8	7	1	.0658792	.063756
2.	8.008	0	8.2	2	1	.0813224	.0781036
З.	.003	1	8.6	10	1	.0260186	.025683
4.	1.073	1	3.3	8	1	.0379107	.0372011
5.	.003	1	18.5	8	0	.0260186	.025683
6.	7.929	0	20	8	1	.0813224	.0781036
7.	8.454	0	21.8	4	1	.0813224	.0781036
8.	7.107	1	31.6	5	1	.0813224	.0781036
9.	8.378	0	16.5	5	1	.0813224	.0781036
10.	8.178	0	31.5	3	1	.0813224	.0781036
11	3 305	0	18 5	1	1	0658792	063756
11. 12	0.030	1	10.0	5	1	0260186	025683
12.	1 35	1	12.0	1	1	051079	0/9796/
10.	1.55	1	10.4 10 E	4	1	.031079	.0497904
14. 15	.003	1	10.5	8	1	.0200186	.025683
19.	.512	2	21	10	0	.0200186	.025683

The baseline functions are for subjects who have zero-valued covariates, which in this example are not representative of the data. If baseline is an extreme departure from the covariate patterns in your data, then we recommend recentering your covariates to avoid numerical overflows when predicting baseline functions; see *Making baseline reasonable* in [ST] **stcox postestimation** for more details.

For our data, baseline is close enough to not cause any numerical problems, but far enough to not be of scientific interest (zero tumor size?). You can transform the baseline functions to those for other covariate patterns according to the relationships

$$\overline{H}_{1}(t) = \exp(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta})\overline{H}_{1,0}(t)$$

and

$$CIF_1(t) = 1 - \exp\{-\exp(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta})H_{1,0}(t)\}$$

but it is rare that you will ever have to do that. stcurve will predict, transform, and graph these functions for you. When you use stcurve, you specify the covariate settings, and any you leave unspecified are set at the mean over the data used in the estimation.



Because they were left unspecified, the cumulative incidence curves are for mean tumor size. If you wish to graph cumulative subhazards instead of CIFs, use stcurve's option cumhaz in place of cif.

4

### Null models

Predicting baseline functions after fitting a null model (one without covariates) yields nonparametric estimates of the cumulative subhazard and the CIF.

#### Example 2: HIV and SI as competing events

In example 4 of [ST] storreg, we analyzed the incidence of appearance of the SI HIV phenotype, where a diagnosis of AIDS is a competing event. We modeled SI incidence in reference to a genetic mutation indicated by the covariate ccr5. We compared two approaches: one that used stcrreg and assumed that the subhazard of SI was proportional with respect to ccr5 versus one that used stcox and assumed that the cause-specific hazards for both SI and AIDS were each proportional with respect to ccr5. For both approaches, we produced cumulative incidence curves for SI comparing those who did not have the mutation (ccr5==0) to those who did (ccr5==1).

To see which approach better fits these data, we now produce cumulative incidence curves that make no model assumption about the effect of ccr5. We do this by fitting null models on the two subsets of the data defined by ccr5 and predicting the baseline CIF for each. Because the models have no covariates, the estimated baseline CIFs are nonparametric estimators.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/hiv_si, clear
(HIV and SI as competing risks)
. stset time, failure(status == 2)
                                                   // SI is the event of interest
 (output omitted)
. stcrreg if !ccr5, compete(status == 1) noshow
                                                   // AIDS is the competing event
Competing-risks regression
                                                   No. of obs
                                                                             259
                                                                    =
                                                   No. of subjects =
                                                                             259
                                                   No. failed
Failure event:
               status == 2
                                                                              84
                                                                    =
Competing event: status == 1
                                                   No. competing
                                                                    =
                                                                             101
                                                   No. censored
                                                                    =
                                                                              74
                                                   Wald chi2(0)
                                                                    =
                                                                             0.00
Log pseudolikelihood = -435.80148
                                                   Prob > chi2
                                                                    =
                             Robust
                                                 P>|z|
                      SHR
                             std. err.
                                                            [95% conf. interval]
          _t
                                            7
. predict cif_si_0, basecif
(65 missing values generated)
. label var cif_si_0 "ccr5=0"
. stcrreg if ccr5, compete(status == 1) noshow
Competing-risks regression
                                                   No. of obs
                                                                              65
                                                                    =
```

				No.	of subject	ts =	65
Failure event: status == 2 Competing event: status == 1				No.	failed	=	23
				No.	competing	=	12
				No.	censored	=	30
				Wald	l chi2(0)	=	0.00
Log pseudolikelihood = -88.306665				Prob	> chi2	=	
+	GUD	Robust		PNI	[05% /	conf	intoruall
_ L	SIIK	stu. ell.	2	F /   Z	[90% C	. 1110.	Incervarj

. predict cif\_si\_1, basecif
(259 missing values generated)
. label var cif si 1 "ccr5=1"

```
. twoway line cif_si* _t if _t<13, connect(J J) sort yscale(range(0 0.5))
 title(SI) ytitle(Cumulative incidence) xtitle(analysis time)
>
                                              SI
              .4
          Cumulative incidence
              .3
                                                                                      ccr5=0
                                                                                      ccr5=1
              .2
              .1
              0
                                     5
                                                         10
                                                                             15
                                          Analysis time
```

After comparing with the graphs produced in [ST] **sterreg**, we find that the nonparametric analysis favors the stcox approach over the stcrreg approach.

#### Technical note

Predicting the baseline CIF after fitting a null model with stcrreg produces a nonparametric CIF estimator that is asymptotically equivalent, but not exactly equal, to an alternate estimator that is often used; see Coviello and Boggess (2004) for the details of that estimator. The estimator used by predict after stcrreg is a competing-risks extension of the Nelson-Aalen estimator (Nelson 1972; Aalen 1978); see Methods and formulas. The other is a competing-risks extension of the Kaplan-Meier (1958) estimator.

In large samples with many failures, the difference is negligible.

#### Measures of influence

With predict after stcrreg, you can obtain pseudolikelihood scores that are used to calculate robust estimates of variance, Schoenfeld residuals that reflect each failure's contribution to the gradient of the log pseudolikelihood, efficient score residuals that represent each subject's (observation's) contribution to the gradient, and DFBETAs that measure the change in coefficients due to deletion of a subject or observation.

4

### Example 3: DFBETAs

Returning to our cervical cancer study, we obtain DFBETAs for each of the three coefficients in the model and graph those for the first with respect to analysis time.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/hypoxia, clear
(Hypoxia study)
. stset dftime, failure(failtype == 1)
 (output omitted)
. stcrreg ifp tumsize pelnode, compete(failtype == 2)
 (output omitted)
. predict df*, dfbeta
. generate obs = n
. twoway scatter df1 dftime, yline(0) mlabel(obs)
              .004
                             95
                                                         • 84
                             •44
              .002
                                                      100
          DFBETA, ifp
                0
                                                                                         • 10
                                                                                 • 20
             -.002
                                                         •87
                                            • 85
             -.004
                                 • 46
             -.006
                                    2
                                                                                       8
                                                                      6
                                                     4
                                 Time from diagnosis to first failure or last follow-up (yrs)
```

predict created the variables df1, df2, and df3, holding DFBETA values for variables ifp, tumsize, and pelnode, respectively. Based on the graph, we see that subject 4 is the most influential on the coefficient for ifp, the first covariate in the model.

4

In the previous example, we had single-record data. If you have data with multiple records per subject, then by default DFBETAs will be calculated at the subject level, with one value representing each subject and measuring the effect of deleting all records for that subject. If you instead want record-level DFBETAs that measure the change due to deleting single records within subjects, add the partial option; see [ST] stcox postestimation for further details.

# Methods and formulas

Continuing the discussion from *Methods and formulas* in [ST] **stcrreg**, the baseline cumulative subhazard function is calculated as

$$\widehat{H}_{1,0}(t) = \sum_{j: t_j \leq t} \frac{\delta_j}{\sum_{\ell \in R_j} w_\ell \pi_{\ell j} \exp(z_\ell)}$$

The baseline CIF is  $\widehat{\mathrm{CIF}}_{1,0}(t)=1-\exp\{-\widehat{H}_{1,0}(t)\}.$ 

The Kaplan-Meier survivor curve for the censoring distribution is

$$\hat{S}_{c}(t) = \prod_{t_{(j)} < t} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\sum_{i} \gamma_{i} I(t_{i} = t_{(j)})}{r(t_{(j)})} \right\}$$

where  $t_{(i)}$  indexes the times at which censorings occur.

Both the pseudolikelihood scores,  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i$ , and the efficient score residuals,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_i$ , are as defined previously. DFBETAs are calculated according to Collett (2015):

DFBETA<sub>i</sub> = 
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}_{i}^{'}$$
Var\* $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ 

where  $Var^*(\hat{\beta})$  is the model-based variance estimator, that is, the inverse of the negative Hessian.

Schoenfeld residuals are  $\mathbf{r}_i = (\hat{r}_{1i}, \dots, \hat{r}_{mi})$  with

$$\hat{r}_{ki} = \delta_i \left( x_{ki} - a_{ki} \right)$$

### References

- Aalen, O. O. 1978. Nonparametric inference for a family of counting processes. *Annals of Statistics* 6: 701–726. https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176344247.
- Cleves, M. A., W. W. Gould, and Y. V. Marchenko. 2016. An Introduction to Survival Analysis Using Stata. Rev. 3rd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Collett, D. 2015. Modelling Survival Data in Medical Research. 3rd ed. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Coviello, V., and M. M. Boggess. 2004. Cumulative incidence estimation in the presence of competing risks. *Stata Journal* 4: 103–112.
- Fyles, A., M. Milosevic, D. Hedley, M. Pintilie, W. Levin, L. Manchul, and R. P. Hill. 2002. Tumor hypoxia has independent predictor impact only in patients with node-negative cervix cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 20: 680–687. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2002.20.3.680.
- Geskus, R. B. 2000. On the inclusion of prevalent cases in HIV/AIDS natural history studies through a markerbased estimate of time since seroconversion. *Statistics in Medicine* 19: 1753–1769. https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0258(20000715)19:13<1753::AID-SIM487>3.0.CO;2-F.
- Kaplan, E. L., and P. Meier. 1958. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. Journal of the American Statistical Association 53: 457–481. https://doi.org/10.2307/2281868.
- Milosevic, M., A. Fyles, D. Hedley, M. Pintilie, W. Levin, L. Manchul, and R. P. Hill. 2001. Interstitial fluid pressure predicts survival in patients with cervix cancer independent of clinical prognostic factors and tumor oxygen measurements. *Cancer Research* 61: 6400–6405.
- Nelson, W. 1972. Theory and applications of hazard plotting for censored failure data. *Technometrics* 14: 945–966. https://doi.org/10.2307/1267144.
- Pintilie, M. 2006. Competing Risks: A Practical Perspective. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Putter, H., M. Fiocco, and R. B. Geskus. 2007. Tutorial in biostatistics: Competing risks and multi-state models. Statistics in Medicine 26: 2389–2430. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.2712.

# Also see

- [ST] stcrreg Competing-risks regression
- [ST] stcurve Plot the survivor or related function after streg, stcox, and more
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, and Mata are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow and NetCourseNow are trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.