Title

spxtregress — Spatial autoregressive models for panel data

Description
Quick start
Menu
Syntax
Options for spxtregress, fe
Options for spxtregress, re
Remarks and examples
Stored results
Methods and formulas
References
Also see

Description

`spxtregress` fits spatial autoregressive (SAR) models, also known as simultaneous autoregressive models, for panel data. The commands `spxtregress, fe` and `spxtregress, re` are extensions of `xtreg, fe` and `xtreg, re` for spatial data; see [XT] **xtreg**.

If you have not read [SP] **Intro 1**–[SP] **Intro 8**, you should do so before using `spxtregress`.

To use `spxtregress`, your data must be Sp data and `xtset`. See [SP] **Intro 3** for instructions on how to prepare your data.

To specify spatial lags, you will need to have one or more spatial weighting matrices. See [SP] **Intro 2** and [SP] **spmatrix** for an explanation of the types of weighting matrices and how to create them.

Quick start

SAR fixed-effects model of `y` on `x1` and `x2` with a spatial lag of `y` specified by the spatial weighting matrix `W`

```
spxtregress y x1 x2, fe dvarlag(W)
```

Add a spatially lagged error term also specified by `W`

```
spxtregress y x1 x2, fe dvarlag(W) errorlag(W)
```

Add spatial lags of covariates `x1` and `x2`

```
spxtregress y x1 x2, fe dvarlag(W) errorlag(W) ivarlag(W: x1 x2)
```

Add an additional spatial lag of the covariates specified by the matrix `M`

```
spxtregress y x1 x2, fe dvarlag(W) errorlag(W) ivarlag(W: x1 x2)    ///
     ivarlag(M: x1 x2)
```

SAR random-effects model

```
spxtregress y x1 x2, re dvarlag(W) errorlag(W) ivarlag(W: x1 x2)    ///
     ivarlag(M: x1 x2)
```

An `re` model with panel effects that follow the same spatial process as the errors using `sarpanel`

```
spxtregress y x1 x2, re sarpanel dvarlag(W) errorlag(W)             ///
     ivarlag(W: x1 x2) ivarlag(M: x1 x2)
```

Menu

Statistics > Spatial autoregressive models

Syntax

Fixed-effects maximum likelihood

spxtregress *depvar* [*indepvars*] [*if*] [*in*], fe [*fe_options*]

Random-effects maximum likelihood

spxtregress *depvar* [*indepvars*] [*if*] [*in*], re [*re_options*]

fe_options	Description
Model	
* fe	use fixed-effects estimator
dvarlag(*spmatname*)	spatially lagged dependent variable
errorlag(*spmatname*)	spatially lagged errors
ivarlag(*spmatname* : *varlist*)	spatially lagged independent variables; repeatable
force	allow estimation when estimation sample is a subset of the sample used to create the spatial weighting matrix
gridsearch(#)	resolution of the initial-value search grid; seldom used
Reporting	
level(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
coeflegend	display legend instead of statistics

re_options	Description
Model	
* re	use random-effects estimator
dvarlag(*spmatname*)	spatially lagged dependent variable
errorlag(*spmatname*)	spatially lagged errors
ivarlag(*spmatname* : *varlist*)	spatially lagged independent variables; repeatable
sarpanel	alternative formulation of the estimator in which the panel effects follow the same spatial process as the errors
noconstant	suppress constant term
force	allow estimation when estimation sample is a subset of the sample used to create the spatial weighting matrix
Reporting	
level(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
coeflegend	display legend instead of statistics

* You must specify either fe or re.

indepvars and *varlist* specified in ivarlag() may contain factor variables; see **[U] 11.4.3 Factor variables**.

collect is allowed; see **[U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands**.

coeflegend does not appear in the dialog box.

See **[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands** for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options for spxtregress, fe

Model

fe requests the fixed-effects regression estimator.

dvarlag(*spmatname*) specifies a spatial weighting matrix that defines a spatial lag of the dependent variable. Only one dvarlag() option may be specified. By default, no spatial lags of the dependent variable are included.

errorlag(*spmatname*) specifies a spatial weighting matrix that defines a spatially lagged error. Only one errorlag() option may be specified. By default, no spatially lagged errors are included.

ivarlag(*spmatname* : *varlist*) specifies a spatial weighting matrix and a list of independent variables that define spatial lags of the variables. This option is repeatable to allow spatial lags created from different matrices. By default, no spatial lags of the independent variables are included.

force requests that estimation be done when the estimation sample is a proper subset of the sample used to create the spatial weighting matrices. The default is to refuse to fit the model. Weighting matrices potentially connect all the spatial units. When the estimation sample is a subset of this space, the spatial connections differ and spillover effects can be altered. In addition, the normalization of the weighting matrix differs from what it would have been had the matrix been normalized over the estimation sample. The better alternative to force is first to understand the spatial space of the estimation sample and, if it is sensible, then create new weighting matrices for it. See [SP] **spmatrix** and *Missing values, dropped observations, and the W matrix* in [SP] **Intro 2**.

`gridsearch(#)` specifies the resolution of the initial-value search grid. The default is `gridsearch(0.1)`. You may specify any number between 0.001 and 0.1 inclusive.

Reporting

`level(#)`; see [R] **Estimation options**.

display_options: `noci`, `nopvalues`, `noomitted`, `vsquish`, `noemptycells`, `baselevels`, `allbaselevels`, `nofvlabel`, `fvwrap(#)`, `fvwrapon(`*style*`)`, `cformat(%`*fmt*`)`, `pformat(%`*fmt*`)`, `sformat(%`*fmt*`)`, and `nolstretch`; see [R] **Estimation options**.

Maximization

maximize_options: `difficult`, `technique(`*algorithm_spec*`)`, `iterate(#)`, [`no`]`log`, `trace`, `gradient`, `showstep`, `hessian`, `showtolerance`, `tolerance(#)`, `ltolerance(#)`, `nrtolerance(#)`, and `nonrtolerance`; see [R] **Maximize**.

The following option is available with `spxtregress, fe` but is not shown in the dialog box:

`coeflegend`; see [R] **Estimation options**.

Options for spxtregress, re

Model

`re` requests the generalized least-squares random-effects estimator.

`dvarlag(`*spmatname*`)` specifies a spatial weighting matrix that defines a spatial lag of the dependent variable. Only one `dvarlag()` option may be specified. By default, no spatial lags of the dependent variable are included.

`errorlag(`*spmatname*`)` specifies a spatial weighting matrix that defines a spatially lagged error. Only one `errorlag()` option may be specified. By default, no spatially lagged errors are included.

`ivarlag(`*spmatname* `:` *varlist*`)` specifies a spatial weighting matrix and a list of independent variables that define spatial lags of the variables. This option is repeatable to allow spatial lags created from different matrices. By default, no spatial lags of the independent variables are included.

`sarpanel` requests an alternative formulation of the estimator in which the panel effects follow the same spatial process as the errors. By default, the panel effects are included in the estimation equation as an additive term, just as they are in the standard nonspatial random-effects model. When `sarpanel` and `errorlag(`*spmatname*`)` are specified, the panel effects also have a spatial autoregressive form based on *spmatname*. If `errorlag()` is not specified with `sarpanel`, the estimator is identical to the estimator when `sarpanel` is not specified. The `sarpanel` estimator was originally developed by Kapoor, Kelejian, and Prucha (2007); see *Methods and formulas*.

`noconstant`; see [R] **Estimation options**.

`force` requests that estimation be done when the estimation sample is a proper subset of the sample used to create the spatial weighting matrices. The default is to refuse to fit the model. This is the same `force` option described for use with `spxtregress, fe`.

Reporting

`level(#)`; see [R] **Estimation options**.

display_options: `noci`, `nopvalues`, `noomitted`, `vsquish`, `noemptycells`, `baselevels`, `allbaselevels`, `nofvlabel`, `fvwrap(#)`, `fvwrapon(`*style*`)`, `cformat(`*%fmt*`)`, `pformat(`*%fmt*`)`, `sformat(`*%fmt*`)`, and `nolstretch`; see [R] **Estimation options**.

Maximization

maximize_options: `difficult`, `technique(`*algorithm_spec*`)`, `iterate(#)`, [`no`]`log`, `trace`, `gradient`, `showstep`, `hessian`, `showtolerance`, `tolerance(#)`, `ltolerance(#)`, `nrtolerance(#)`, and `nonrtolerance`; see [R] **Maximize**.

The following option is available with `spxtregress, re` but is not shown in the dialog box:

`coeflegend`; see [R] **Estimation options**.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

See [SP] **Intro** for an overview of SAR models.

Datasets for Sp panel models contain observations on geographical areas or other units with multiple observations on each unit. See [SP] **Intro 3** for an explanation of how to work with Sp panel data. The data must be `xtset` and must be strongly balanced. There must be a within-panel identifier, a variable indicating time or the equivalent, and the values of this identifier must be the same for every panel. The command `spbalance` will strongly balance datasets that are not strongly balanced. See [SP] **Intro 3**, [SP] **Intro 7**, and [SP] **spbalance**.

Remarks and examples are presented under the following headings:

Sp panel models
The fixed-effects model
The random-effects model
The random-effects model with autoregressive panel effects
Differences among models
Examples

Sp panel models

Both the fixed-effects and the random-effects models for spatial panel data can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{nt} &= \lambda \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{X}_{nt}\beta + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{u}_{nt} \\ \mathbf{u}_{nt} &= \rho \mathbf{M}\, \mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{v}_{nt} \qquad\qquad t = 1, 2, \ldots, T \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{nt} = (y_{1t}, y_{2t}, \ldots, y_{nt})'$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of observations for the dependent variable for time period t with n number of panels; $\mathbf{X}_{nt}$ is a matrix of time-varying regressors; $\mathbf{c}_n$ is a vector of panel-level effects; $\mathbf{u}_{nt}$ is the spatially lagged error; $\mathbf{v}_{nt}$ is a vector of disturbances and is independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) across panels and time with variance σ^2; and $\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ are spatial weighting matrices.

The fixed-effects model

For fixed effects, `spxtregress, fe` implements the quasi–maximum likelihood (QML) estimator in Lee and Yu (2010a) to fit the model. A transformation is used to eliminate the fixed effects from the equations, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{nt} &= \lambda \mathbf{W} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{nt} + \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{nt}\beta + \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} &= \rho \mathbf{M} \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt} \qquad\qquad t = 1, 2, \ldots, T-1 \end{aligned}$$

Panel effects, which are effects that are constant within panels, are conditioned out of the likelihood. Only covariates that vary within panels can be fit with this estimator.

The random-effects model

For random effects, `spxtregress, re` assumes that $\mathbf{c}_n$ in (1) is normal i.i.d. across panels with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2_{\mathbf{c}}$. The output of `spxtregress, re` displays estimates of $\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}$, labeled as `/sigma_u`, and σ, labeled as `/sigma_e`, which is consistent with how `xtreg, re` labels the output.

The random-effects model with autoregressive panel effects

The `sarpanel` option for random-effects models fits a slightly different set of equations from (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{nt} &= \lambda \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{X}_{nt}\beta + \mathbf{u}_{nt} \\ \mathbf{u}_{nt} &= \rho \mathbf{M}\, \mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{v}_{nt}, \qquad\qquad t = 1, 2, \ldots, T \end{aligned}$$

In this variant due to Kapoor, Kelejian, and Prucha (2007), the panel-level effects $\mathbf{c}_n$ are considered a disturbance in the error equation. Because $\mathbf{c}_n$ enters the equation as an additive term next to $\mathbf{v}_{nt}$, the panel-level effects $\mathbf{c}_n$ have the same autoregressive form as the time-level errors $\mathbf{v}_{nt}$.

Differences among models

All three of the models—`fe`, `re`, and `re sarpanel`—are fit using maximum likelihood (ML) estimation. The differences are 1) `fe` removes the panel-level effects from the estimation and no distributional assumptions are made about them; 2) `re` models the panel-level effects as normal i.i.d.; and 3) `re sarpanel` assumes a normal distribution for panel-level effects but with the same autoregressive form as the time-level errors. The `fe` model allows the panel-level effects to be correlated with the observed covariates, whereas the `re` models require that the panel-level effects are independent of the observed covariates. See *Methods and formulas* for details. Also see *Choosing weighting matrices and their normalization* in [SP] **spregress**; the discussion there applies to these three estimation models.

Examples

▷ Example 1: spxtregress, re

We have data on the homicide rate in counties in southern states of the U.S. for the years 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990. homicide_1960_1990.dta contains hrate, the county-level homicide rate per year per 100,000 persons for each of the four years. It also contains ln_population, the logarithm of the county population; ln_pdensity, the logarithm of the population density; and gini, the Gini coefficient for the county, a measure of income inequality where larger values represent more inequality (Gini 1909). The data are an extract of the data originally used by Messner et al. (2000); see Britt (1994) for a literature review of the topic. The 1990 data are used in the examples in [SP] **spregress**.

We used spshape2dta to convert shapefiles into Stata .dta files, and then we merged the data file by county ID with our homicide-rate data. See [SP] **Intro 4**, [SP] **Intro 7**, [SP] **spshape2dta**, and [SP] **spset**.

Because the analysis dataset and the Stata-formatted shapefile must be in our working directory to spset the data, we first save both homicide_1960_1990.dta and homicide_1960_1990_shp.dta to our working directory by using the copy command. We then load the data and type spset to see the Sp settings.

```
. copy https://www.stata-press.com/data/r17/homicide_1960_1990.dta .

. copy https://www.stata-press.com/data/r17/homicide_1960_1990_shp.dta .

. use homicide_1960_1990
(S.Messner et al.(2000), U.S southern county homicide rate in 1960-1990)

. spset

      Sp dataset: homicide_1960_1990.dta
Linked shapefile: homicide_1960_1990_shp.dta
            Data: Cross sectional
 Spatial-unit ID: _ID
     Coordinates: _CX, _CY (planar)
variable _ID does not uniquely identify the observations in the master data
r(459);
```

We get an error! The data have not been xtset, and spxtregress requires it. Our data consist of 1,412 counties, and for each county we have data for four years. Our data look like this:

```
. list _ID year in 1/8, sepby(_ID)
```

	_ID	year
1.	876	1960
2.	876	1970
3.	876	1980
4.	876	1990
5.	921	1960
6.	921	1970
7.	921	1980
8.	921	1990

We type

```
. xtset _ID year

Panel variable: _ID (strongly balanced)
 Time variable: year, 1960 to 1990, but with gaps
         Delta: 1 unit
```

xtset reports that our data are strongly balanced. Each county has data for the same four years. spxtregress requires the data to be strongly balanced. Missing values in our variables could cause the estimation sample to be unbalanced. The Sp panel estimators will complain, and we will have to make the data strongly balanced for the nonmissing values of the variables in our model. If you get a message that your data are not strongly balanced, see [SP] **spbalance**.

After having xtset our data, we type spset to check our Sp settings.

```
. spset

      Sp dataset: homicide_1960_1990.dta
Linked shapefile: homicide_1960_1990_shp.dta
            Data: Panel
 Spatial-unit ID: _ID
         Time ID: year (see xtset)
     Coordinates: _CX, _CY (planar)
```

We first run a nonspatial random-effects model by using xtreg, re and include dummies for the years by using the i.year factor-variable notation.

```
. xtreg hrate ln_population ln_pdensity gini i.year, re

Random-effects GLS regression                   Number of obs     =      5,648
Group variable: _ID                             Number of groups  =      1,412

R-squared:                                      Obs per group:
     Within  = 0.0478                                         min =          4
     Between = 0.1666                                         avg =        4.0
     Overall = 0.0905                                         max =          4

                                                Wald chi2(6)      =     414.32
corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)                      Prob > chi2       =     0.0000

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hrate | Coefficient  Std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
ln_populat~n |   .4394103   .1830599     2.40   0.016     .0806194    .7982012
 ln_pdensity |   .3220698   .1591778     2.02   0.043     .0100872    .6340525
        gini |   34.43792   2.905163    11.85   0.000      28.7439    40.13193
             |
        year |
       1970  |   1.411074   .2579218     5.47   0.000     .9055562    1.916591
       1980  |   1.347822   .2499977     5.39   0.000     .8578352    1.837808
       1990  |   .3668468   .2648395     1.39   0.166    -.1522291    .8859228
             |
       _cons |  -10.07267   1.800932    -5.59   0.000    -13.60243   -6.542908
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
     sigma_u |  3.5995346
     sigma_e |   5.646151
         rho |  .28898083   (fraction of variance due to u_i)
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

We emphasize that you can ignore the spatial aspect of the data and use any of Stata's estimation commands even though the data are spatial. Doing that is often a good idea. It provides a baseline against which you can compare subsequent spatial results.

We are now going to estimate a spatial random-effects model. To do that, we need a spatial weighting matrix. We will create one that puts the same positive weight on contiguous counties and a 0 weight on all other counties—a matrix known as a contiguity matrix. We will use the default spectral normalization for this example. See [SP] **spmatrix create**. When we create the matrix, we must restrict `spmatrix create` to one observation per panel. That is easy to do using an `if` statement:

```
. spmatrix create contiguity W if year == 1990
```

Do not misinterpret the purpose of `if year == 1990`. The matrix created will be appropriate for creating spatial lags for any year, because our map does not change. If two counties share a border in 1990, they share it in the other years too.

We can now fit our model. We include a spatial lag of the dependent variable and a spatially autoregressive error term.

```
. spxtregress hrate ln_population ln_pdensity gini i.year, re dvarlag(W)
> errorlag(W)
  (5648 observations)
  (5648 observations used)
  (data contain 1412 panels (places) )
  (weighting matrix defines 1412 places)
Fitting starting values:
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -13299.332
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -13298.431
Iteration 2:   log likelihood =  -13298.43
Iteration 3:   log likelihood =  -13298.43
Optimizing concentrated log likelihood:
initial:       log likelihood = -18826.009
improve:       log likelihood = -18826.009
rescale:       log likelihood = -18826.009
rescale eq:    log likelihood = -18500.374
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -18500.374  (not concave)
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -18473.617  (not concave)
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -18465.327
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -18433.377
Iteration 4:   log likelihood =  -18356.27
Iteration 5:   log likelihood = -18354.861
Iteration 6:   log likelihood =  -18354.84
Iteration 7:   log likelihood =  -18354.84
Optimizing unconcentrated log likelihood:
Iteration 0:   log likelihood =  -18354.84
Iteration 1:   log likelihood =  -18354.84  (backed up)
```

```
Random-effects spatial regression               Number of obs     =      5,648
Group variable: _ID                             Number of groups  =      1,412
                                                Obs per group     =          4
                                                Wald chi2(7)      =    1421.81
                                                Prob > chi2       =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -1.835e+04                     Pseudo R2         =     0.0911

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hrate | Coefficient  Std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
hrate        |
ln_populat~n |  -.2988717   .1622145    -1.84   0.065    -.6168063     .019063
 ln_pdensity |   .7893218    .138061     5.72   0.000     .5187272    1.059916
        gini |   22.77052   2.604623     8.74   0.000     17.66555    27.87549
             |
        year |
       1970  |   .3977167   .1906034     2.09   0.037      .024141    .7712925
       1980  |   .4033443   .1825721     2.21   0.027     .0455096    .7611789
       1990  |  -.1284625   .1946898    -0.66   0.509    -.5100474    .2531225
             |
       _cons |  -4.182031   1.607558    -2.60   0.009    -7.332787   -1.031275
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
W            |
       hrate |   .5740163   .0249799    22.98   0.000     .5250565    .6229761
     e.hrate |  -.4626345   .0508732    -9.09   0.000    -.5623441   -.3629248
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    /sigma_u |   3.087656   .1046893                      2.889138    3.299815
    /sigma_e |    5.40831   .0661566                      5.280188    5.539542
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Wald test of spatial terms:          chi2(2) = 713.88     Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

`spxtregress, re` first fits an `spxtregress, fe` model to get starting values. Then, it optimizes the concentrated log likelihood and then optimizes the unconcentrated log likelihood. The final log likelihood of the concentrated will always be equal to the optimized log likelihood of the unconcentrated. The unconcentrated starts at the right point, takes a step to check that it is the right point, backs up to this point, and declares convergence as it should.

We can compare estimates of `/sigma_u`, the standard deviation of the panel effects, and `/sigma_e`, the standard deviation of the errors, with those fit by `xtreg, re`. They are similar. We cannot, however, directly compare the coefficient estimates with those of `xtreg, re`. When a spatial lag of the dependent variable is included in the model, covariates have both direct and indirect effects, as explained in example 1 of [SP] **spregress**. To obtain the direct, indirect, and total effects of the covariates, we must use `estat impact`.

Here are the averages of the effects of `gini`:

```
. estat impact gini
progress   :100%
Average impacts                                Number of obs     =      5,648
```

	dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf.	interval]
direct						
gini	24.11439	2.7159	8.88	0.000	18.79133	29.43746
indirect						
gini	22.73745	2.787573	8.16	0.000	17.27391	28.201
total						
gini	46.85185	5.126095	9.14	0.000	36.80489	56.89881

The percentages at the top of the output indicate progress in the estimation process. For large datasets, calculating standard errors of the effects can be time consuming, so `estat impact` reports its progress as it does the computations.

`gini` has significant average direct and average indirect effects on `hrate`, with both being positive. An increase in inequality is associated with an increase in the homicide rate.

We used a contiguity weighting matrix `W` for the spatial lags. Alternatively, we can use a weighting matrix based on the inverse distance between counties. We create this matrix, using again the default spectral normalization:

```
. spmatrix create idistance M if year == 1990
. spmatrix dir
```

Weighting matrix name	N x N	Type	Normalization
M	1412 x 1412	idistance	spectral
W	1412 x 1412	contiguity	spectral

We would like to know if the effects of gini differ over time, so we include an interaction of gini and year in our model, and we use the weighting matrix M that we just created.

```
. spxtregress hrate ln_population ln_pdensity c.gini##i.year, re
> dvarlag(M) errorlag(M)
  (5648 observations)
  (5648 observations used)
  (data contain 1412 panels (places) )
  (weighting matrix defines 1412 places)
 (output omitted )
Random-effects spatial regression               Number of obs     =      5,648
Group variable: _ID                             Number of groups  =      1,412
                                                Obs per group     =          4

                                                Wald chi2(10)     =     710.10
                                                Prob > chi2       =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -1.827e+04                     Pseudo R2         =     0.1150

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hrate | Coefficient  Std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
hrate        |
ln_populat~n |   .7908003   .1764819     4.48   0.000      .444902    1.136698
 ln_pdensity |  -.1223671    .166526    -0.73   0.462    -.4487521    .2040179
        gini |   17.82039   4.278782     4.16   0.000      9.43413    26.20665
             |
        year |
       1970  |  -2.456656   2.303073    -1.07   0.286    -6.970596    2.057284
       1980  |  -9.470622   2.501528    -3.79   0.000    -14.37353   -4.567717
       1990  |  -22.81817   2.528691    -9.02   0.000    -27.77432   -17.86203
             |
 year#c.gini |
       1970  |   6.664314   6.130454     1.09   0.277    -5.351156    18.67978
       1980  |   24.86122   6.715029     3.70   0.000     11.70001    38.02243
       1990  |   57.40946   6.691102     8.58   0.000     44.29514    70.52378
             |
       _cons |  -11.17804   2.061047    -5.42   0.000    -15.21762   -7.138466
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
M            |
       hrate |    .694492   .0496075    14.00   0.000     .5972631    .7917209
     e.hrate |   1.950078   .0513563    37.97   0.000     1.849422    2.050735
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    /sigma_u |   2.696022   .1147302                      2.480277    2.930533
    /sigma_e |   5.645628   .0618616                      5.525674    5.768186
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Wald test of spatial terms:          chi2(2) = 1711.11    Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Using the contrast command, we test the significance of the gini and year interaction:

```
. contrasts c.gini#year
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins: asbalanced

------------------------------------------------
             |         df        chi2     P>chi2
-------------+----------------------------------
hrate        |
 year#c.gini |          3       81.59     0.0000
------------------------------------------------
```

The interaction is significant. We can explore the effect of gini by year using estat impact with an if statement.

```
. estat impact gini if year == 1960
progress   :100%
Average impacts                                 Number of obs     =      1,412

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
             |            Delta-Method
             |      dy/dx   std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
direct       |
        gini |   17.85376   4.285828     4.17   0.000     9.453696    26.25383
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
indirect     |
        gini |   37.06435   11.60647     3.19   0.001      14.3161    59.81261
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
total        |
        gini |   54.91812   14.85784     3.70   0.000     25.79729    84.03894
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

. estat impact gini if year == 1970
progress   :100%
Average impacts                                 Number of obs     =      1,412

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
             |            Delta-Method
             |      dy/dx   std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
direct       |
        gini |   24.53056   5.033544     4.87   0.000     14.66499    34.39613
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
indirect     |
        gini |   50.92535   15.21236     3.35   0.001     21.10968    80.74103
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
total        |
        gini |   75.45591   18.81752     4.01   0.000     38.57425    112.3376
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

. estat impact gini if year == 1980
progress   :100%
Average impacts                                 Number of obs     =      1,412

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
             |            Delta-Method
             |      dy/dx   std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
direct       |
        gini |   42.76155   5.683654     7.52   0.000     31.62179     53.9013
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
indirect     |
        gini |   88.77282   23.09515     3.84   0.000     43.50716    134.0385
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
total        |
        gini |   131.5344   26.20928     5.02   0.000     80.16512    182.9036
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
. estat impact gini if year == 1990
progress   :100%
Average impacts                              Number of obs     =      1,412
```

	dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf.	interval]
direct						
gini	75.37074	5.628584	13.39	0.000	64.33892	86.40256
indirect						
gini	156.4694	37.24056	4.20	0.000	83.47923	229.4596
total						
gini	231.8401	39.01862	5.94	0.000	155.365	308.3152

The `if year ==` $\cdots$ statement used with `estat impact` allows us to estimate the average effects for each year. The direct, indirect, and total effects of `gini` trend upward.

Until now, we used the default form of the random-effects estimator. Let's run the command again, specifying the `sarpanel` option to use the alternative form of the estimator, where the panel-level effects have the same autoregressive form as the time-level errors.

```
. spxtregress hrate ln_population ln_pdensity c.gini##i.year, re sarpanel
> dvarlag(M) errorlag(M)
  (5648 observations)
  (5648 observations used)
  (data contain 1412 panels (places) )
  (weighting matrix defines 1412 places)
```

(output omitted)

```
Random-effects spatial regression               Number of obs     =      5,648
Group variable: _ID                             Number of groups  =      1,412
                                                Obs per group     =          4

                                                Wald chi2(10)     =    1136.49
                                                Prob > chi2       =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -1.824e+04                     Pseudo R2         =     0.1177

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hrate | Coefficient  Std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
hrate        |
ln_populat~n |   .4366742   .1752499     2.49   0.013     .0931906    .7801578
 ln_pdensity |      .1896   .1641331     1.16   0.248    -.1320949     .511295
        gini |   18.92328   4.426236     4.28   0.000     10.24802    27.59854
             |
        year |
       1970  |  -.9590229   2.362018    -0.41   0.685    -5.588493    3.670447
       1980  |   -8.19778   2.554509    -3.21   0.001    -13.20453   -3.191035
       1990  |   -22.4189   2.610158    -8.59   0.000    -27.53472   -17.30309
             |
 year#c.gini |
       1970  |   5.865776   6.255307     0.94   0.348      -6.3944    18.12595
       1980  |   24.20335    6.83421     3.54   0.000     10.80855    37.59816
       1990  |   58.38273   6.881913     8.48   0.000     44.89443    71.87103
             |
       _cons |  -6.535916   2.257848    -2.89   0.004    -10.96122   -2.110615
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
M            |
       hrate |   .3317434   .0967132     3.43   0.001      .142189    .5212978
     e.hrate |   2.860571   .0558304    51.24   0.000     2.751145    2.969996
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    /sigma_u |   2.686156   .1123355                      2.474764    2.915605
    /sigma_e |   5.609948   .0612095                      5.491253    5.731208
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Wald test of spatial terms:          chi2(2) = 2685.83    Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

The `re` and `re sarpanel` estimators give appreciably different estimates for the coefficient of the spatial lag of `hrate` and for the autoregressive error term. Estimates of other terms are similar. It appears that some of the spatial-lag effect of `hrate` is being accounted for by the autoregressive form of the panel effects in the `sarpanel` model.

◁

▷ Example 2: spxtregress, fe

The random-effects estimator assumes that the panel-level effects are uncorrelated with the covariates in the model. We can relax that assumption using the fixed-effects estimator.

We will fit fixed-effects models for the same data we used in example 1. Here's a nonspatial model fit with `xtreg, fe`.

```
. xtreg hrate ln_population ln_pdensity gini, fe
Fixed-effects (within) regression               Number of obs     =      5,648
Group variable: _ID                             Number of groups  =      1,412
R-squared:                                      Obs per group:
     Within  = 0.0356                                         min =          4
     Between = 0.0084                                         avg =        4.0
     Overall = 0.0131                                         max =          4
                                                F(3,4233)         =      52.04
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.2819                         Prob > F          =     0.0000

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hrate | Coefficient  Std. err.      t    P>|t|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
ln_populat~n |   -2.16467   1.702073    -1.27   0.204    -5.501627    1.172286
 ln_pdensity |   1.007573   1.659751     0.61   0.544    -2.246409    4.261555
        gini |   35.12694   2.816652    12.47   0.000     29.60483    40.64906
       _cons |   13.90421   10.91007     1.27   0.203    -7.485242    35.29366
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
     sigma_u |  5.2469262
     sigma_e |  5.7428609
         rho |  .45496484   (fraction of variance due to u_i)
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
F test that all u_i=0: F(1411, 4233) = 2.61                  Prob > F = 0.0000
```

We now use `spxtregress, fe` and include a spatial lag of the dependent variable `hrate`.

```
. spxtregress hrate ln_population ln_pdensity gini, fe dvarlag(M)
  (5648 observations)
  (5648 observations used)
  (data contain 1412 panels (places) )
  (weighting matrix defines 1412 places)
Performing grid search ... finished
Optimizing concentrated log likelihood:
Iteration 0:   log likelihood =  -13321.27
Iteration 1:   log likelihood =  -13321.27  (backed up)
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -13321.269
Optimizing unconcentrated log likelihood:
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -13321.269
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -13321.269  (backed up)
```

```
Fixed-effects spatial regression                Number of obs     =      5,648
Group variable: _ID                             Number of groups  =      1,412
                                                Obs per group     =          4

                                                Wald chi2(4)      =     548.39
                                                Prob > chi2       =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -1.332e+04                     Pseudo R2         =     0.0146

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hrate | Coefficient  Std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
hrate        |
ln_populat~n |  -1.852636   1.662249    -1.11   0.265    -5.110586    1.405313
 ln_pdensity |  -.0352675   1.621715    -0.02   0.983     -3.21377    3.143235
        gini |   11.58058   3.001197     3.86   0.000     5.698348    17.46282
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
M            |
       hrate |   .8982519   .0457977    19.61   0.000       .80849    .9880138
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    /sigma_e |   5.608237   .0609629                      5.490016    5.729004
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Wald test of spatial terms:          chi2(1) = 384.69     Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

`spxtregress, fe` does not give an estimate of `/sigma_u` because the spatial fixed-effects estimator does not give consistent estimates for the levels of the panel fixed effects nor for their standard deviation. See *Methods and formulas*.

We cannot fit a fixed-effects model with all the terms we included in example 1. The `i.year` dummies are not allowed because `spxtregress, fe` assumes individual fixed effects only, as specified in section 2 of Lee and Yu (2010a).

In example 1, we found that gini was an important regressor and that the effect of gini differed across time. We will use Stata's factor-variable notation and add to the model c.gini#i.year, which is gini interacted by year without main effects.

```
. spxtregress hrate ln_population ln_pdensity c.gini#i.year, fe
> dvarlag(M) errorlag(M)
  (5648 observations)
  (5648 observations used)
  (data contain 1412 panels (places) )
  (weighting matrix defines 1412 places)
```

(output omitted)

```
Fixed-effects spatial regression                Number of obs     =      5,648
Group variable: _ID                             Number of groups  =      1,412
                                                Obs per group     =          4

                                                Wald chi2(7)      =     128.16
                                                Prob > chi2       =     0.0000
Log likelihood = -1.330e+04                     Pseudo R2         =     0.0001

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       hrate | Coefficient  Std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
hrate        |
ln_populat~n |  -2.169113    1.70931    -1.27   0.204    -5.519298    1.181073
 ln_pdensity |  -.7395584   1.638919    -0.45   0.652     -3.95178    2.472663
             |
 year#c.gini |
       1960  |   4.637191   4.648659     1.00   0.319    -4.474013    13.74839
       1970  |   11.15786   4.234694     2.63   0.008     2.858016    19.45771
       1980  |   11.92355   4.158854     2.87   0.004      3.77235    20.07476
       1990  |   11.13694   3.975613     2.80   0.005     3.344885      18.929
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
M            |
       hrate |   .1251126   .2552472     0.49   0.624    -.3751629     .625388
     e.hrate |   1.604259   .1898228     8.45   0.000     1.232213    1.976305
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
    /sigma_e |   5.582721   .0606909                      5.465027    5.702949
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Wald test of spatial terms:          chi2(2) = 116.83     Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

We look at the effects:

```
. estat impact
progress   : 33%  67% 100%
Average impacts                            Number of obs     =      5,648
```

	dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf.	interval]
direct						
ln_populat~n	-2.169186	1.709375	-1.27	0.204	-5.5195	1.181127
ln_pdensity	-.7395835	1.638973	-0.45	0.652	-3.951911	2.472744
gini	9.714218	4.112071	2.36	0.018	1.654706	17.77373
indirect						
ln_populat~n	-.2894662	.7155598	-0.40	0.686	-1.691938	1.113005
ln_pdensity	-.0986934	.3143279	-0.31	0.754	-.7147649	.517378
gini	1.29631	3.022576	0.43	0.668	-4.62783	7.22045
total						
ln_populat~n	-2.458653	2.065714	-1.19	0.234	-6.507378	1.590073
ln_pdensity	-.838277	1.867989	-0.45	0.654	-4.499469	2.822915
gini	11.01053	5.357526	2.06	0.040	.5099696	21.51109

The output shows the effects of `gini` across all the years. `estat impact` is smart enough to know that there are not `year` effects in the fixed-effects model. When it looks at the term `c.gini#i.year`, it only gives the effects for `gini`. If `year` were replaced by a variable that varied within time, `estat impact` would show the effects for that variable, too.

If we want to see how the effects of `gini` change across the years, we can use `if` with `estat impact` as we did in example 1.

```
. estat impact gini if year == 1960
progress   :100%
Average impacts                            Number of obs     =      1,412
```

	dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf.	interval]
direct						
gini	4.637349	4.648981	1.00	0.319	-4.474487	13.74918
indirect						
gini	.6188292	1.70156	0.36	0.716	-2.716167	3.953826
total						
gini	5.256178	5.794721	0.91	0.364	-6.101266	16.61362

```
. estat impact gini if year == 1970
progress   :100%
Average impacts                                 Number of obs     =      1,412

                         Delta-Method
                   dy/dx   std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]

direct
        gini    11.15824   4.234355     2.64   0.008     2.859058    19.45743

indirect
        gini    1.489007   3.335444     0.45   0.655    -5.048344    8.026358

total
        gini    12.64725    5.00173     2.53   0.011     2.844038    22.45046
```

```
. estat impact gini if year == 1980
progress   :100%
Average impacts                                 Number of obs     =      1,412

                         Delta-Method
                   dy/dx   std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]

direct
        gini    11.92396   4.158654     2.87   0.004     3.773147    20.07477

indirect
        gini    1.591188    3.62961     0.44   0.661    -5.522717    8.705093

total
        gini    13.51515   5.380726     2.51   0.012     2.969119    24.06118
```

```
. estat impact gini if year == 1990
progress   :100%
Average impacts                                 Number of obs     =      1,412

                         Delta-Method
                   dy/dx   std. err.      z    P>|z|     [95% conf. interval]

direct
        gini    11.13732   3.975637     2.80   0.005     3.345217    18.92943

indirect
        gini    1.486215   3.459169     0.43   0.667    -5.293632    8.266062

total
        gini    12.62354   5.485123     2.30   0.021     1.872894    23.37418
```

There is no evidence of a trend in the average total effect of `gini` from the `fe` model.

◁

Stored results

`spxtregress, fe` and `spxtregress, re` store the following in `e()`:

Scalars

`e(N)`	number of observations
`e(N_g)`	number of groups (panels)
`e(g)`	group size
`e(k)`	number of parameters
`e(df_m)`	model degrees of freedom
`e(df_c)`	degrees of freedom for test of spatial terms
`e(ll)`	log likelihood
`e(iterations)`	number of maximum log-likelihood estimation iterations
`e(rank)`	rank of `e(V)`
`e(r2_p)`	pseudo-R^2
`e(chi2)`	χ^2
`e(chi2_c)`	χ^2 for test of spatial terms
`e(p)`	p-value for model test
`e(p_c)`	p-value for test of spatial terms
`e(converged)`	`1` if converged, `0` otherwise

Macros

`e(cmd)`	`spxtregress`
`e(cmdline)`	command as typed
`e(depvar)`	name of dependent variable
`e(indeps)`	names of independent variables
`e(idvar)`	name of ID variable
`e(model)`	`fe`, `re`, or `re sarpanel`
`e(title)`	title in estimation output
`e(constant)`	`hasconstant` or `noconstant` (`re` only)
`e(dlmat)`	name of spatial weighting matrix applied to *depvar*
`e(elmat)`	name of spatial weighting matrix applied to errors
`e(chi2type)`	`Wald`; type of model χ^2 test
`e(vce)`	`oim`
`e(ml_method)`	type of `ml` method
`e(technique)`	maximization technique
`e(properties)`	`b V`
`e(estat_cmd)`	program used to implement `estat`
`e(predict)`	program used to implement `predict`
`e(marginsok)`	predictions allowed by `margins`
`e(asbalanced)`	factor variables `fvset` as `asbalanced`
`e(asobserved)`	factor variables `fvset` as `asobserved`

Matrices

`e(b)`	coefficient vector
`e(ilog)`	iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
`e(gradient)`	gradient vector
`e(Hessian)`	Hessian matrix
`e(V)`	variance–covariance matrix of the estimators

Functions

`e(sample)`	marks estimation sample

In addition to the above, the following is stored in `r()`:

Matrices

`r(table)`	matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, p-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in `r()` are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

Methods and formulas

`spxtregress, fe` estimates the parameters of the SAR model with spatially autoregressive errors and fixed effects using the QML estimator derived by Lee and Yu (2010a).

`spxtregress, re` estimates the parameters of two different SAR models with spatially autoregressive errors and random effects. In the default model, the random effects enter the equation for the dependent variable linearly. This model and the ML estimator for its parameters were derived by Lee and Yu (2010b). When the `sarpanel` option is specified, the random effects are subject to the same spatial autoregressive process as the idiosyncratic errors. This model and the ML estimator of its parameters were derived by Lee and Yu (2010b), which builds on the original formulation by Kapoor, Kelejian, and Prucha (2007). All of these papers build on theoretical work in Kelejian and Prucha (2001) and Lee (2004). We use the estimator derived by Baltagi and Liu (2011) to get initial values.

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

Fixed-effects estimators
Random-effects estimators

Fixed-effects estimators

The Lee and Yu (2010a) SAR model for panel data with fixed effects is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{nt} &= \lambda \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{X}_{nt}\beta + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{u}_{nt} \\ \mathbf{u}_{nt} &= \rho\, \mathbf{M}\, \mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{v}_{nt} \qquad\qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$\mathbf{y}_{nt} = (y_{1t}, y_{2t}, \dots, y_{nt})'$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of observations on the dependent variable for time period t;

$\mathbf{X}_{nt}$ is an $n \times k$ matrix of nonstochastic time-varying regressors for time period t. $\mathbf{X}_{nt}$ may also contain spatial lag of exogenous covariates;

$\mathbf{c}_n$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of individual effects;

$\mathbf{u}_{nt}$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of spatially lagged error;

$\mathbf{v}_{nt} = (v_{1t}, v_{2t}, \dots, v_{nt})'$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of innovations, and v_{it} is i.i.d. across i and t with variance σ^2; and

$\mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{M}$ are $n \times n$ spatial weighting matrices.

`spxtregress, fe` estimates the parameters in this model by using the QML estimator derived by Lee and Yu (2010a). Lee and Yu (2010a) uses an orthogonal transformation to remove the fixed effects $\mathbf{c}_n$ without inducing dependence in the transformed errors. The transform $\mathbf{F}_{T,T-1}$ is part of $[\mathbf{F}_{T,T-1}, 1/\sqrt{T}\,\mathbf{l}_T]$, which is the orthonormal eigenvector matrix of $(\mathbf{I}_T - 1/T\,\mathbf{l}_T\mathbf{l}_T')$, where $\mathbf{I}_T$ is the $T \times T$ identity matrix and $\mathbf{l}_T$ is a $T \times 1$ vector of 1s. Kuersteiner and Prucha (2015) discuss this class of transforms.

For any $n \times T$ matrix $[\mathbf{z}_{n1}, \mathbf{z}_{n2}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{nT}]$, the transformed $n \times (T-1)$ matrix is defined as

$$[\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_{n1}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_{n2}, \dots, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}_{n,T-1}] = [\mathbf{z}_{n1}, \mathbf{z}_{n2}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{nT}]\mathbf{F}_{T,T-1}$$

Thus, the transformed model for (2) is

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{nt} &= \lambda \mathbf{W} \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{nt} + \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{nt}\beta + \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} &= \rho \mathbf{M} \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} + \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt} \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T-1 \end{aligned}$$

The transformed innovations $\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt}$ are uncorrelated for all i and t.

The log-likelihood function for the transformed model is

$$\ln L_{n,T}(\theta) = -\frac{n(T-1)}{2}\ln(2\pi\sigma^2) + (T-1)[\ln|\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda)| + \ln|\mathbf{R}_n(\rho)|] - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{t=1}^{T-1}\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}'_{nt}(\theta)\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt}(\theta)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_n - \lambda\mathbf{W}$, $\mathbf{R}_n(\rho) = \mathbf{I}_n - \rho\,\mathbf{M}$, and $\theta = (\beta', \lambda, \rho, \sigma^2)'$.

Random-effects estimators

`spxtregress, re` fits two different random-effects SAR models for panel data. In the default model, the random effects enter the equation for $\mathbf{y}_{nt}$ linearly.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{nt} &= \lambda\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{Z}_{nt}\beta + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{u}_{nt} \\ \mathbf{u}_{nt} &= \rho\,\mathbf{M}\,\mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{v}_{nt} \qquad\qquad t = 1, 2, \ldots, T \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$\mathbf{Z}_{nt}$ may contain time-variant and -invariant regressors;

$\mathbf{c}_n$ is random effects with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2_{\mathbf{c}}$; and

all the other terms are defined as in (2).

When the `sarpanel` option is specified, `spxtregress, re` fits a model in which the random effects $\mathbf{c}_n$ are subject to the same spatial autoregressive process as the errors.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{nt} &= \lambda\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{Z}_{nt}\beta + \mathbf{u}_{nt} \\ \mathbf{u}_{nt} &= \rho\,\mathbf{M}\,\mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{v}_{nt} \qquad t = 1, 2, \ldots, T \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

When the $\mathbf{c}_n$ are treated as fixed effects and transformed out of the model, the default model in (3) is equivalent to the `sarpanel` model in (4). When treating the $\mathbf{c}_n$ as random effects, these two models are different.

For (3) or (4), we can stack all the time periods and write the equations as an $nT \times 1$ vector form

$$\mathbf{y}_{nT} = \lambda(\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{W})\mathbf{y}_{nT} + \mathbf{Z}_{nT}\beta + \xi_{nT} \tag{5}$$

where

$\mathbf{y}_{nT} = (\mathbf{y}'_{n1}, \mathbf{y}'_{n2}, \ldots, \mathbf{y}'_{nt})'$ is an $nT \times 1$ vector of observations of the dependent variable for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $t = 1, \ldots, T$;

$\mathbf{v}_{nT} = (\mathbf{v}'_{n1}, \mathbf{v}'_{n2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}'_{nt})'$ is an $nT \times 1$ vector of innovations;

$\mathbf{Z}_{nT} = \{\mathbf{Z}'_{n1}, \mathbf{Z}'_{n2}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_*(\rho)'\}'$ is an $nT \times k$ matrix of k regressors for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $t = 1, \ldots, T$; and

ξ_{nT} is the overall disturbance $nT \times 1$ vector.

For (3), the overall disturbance vector ξ_{nT} is

$$\xi_{nT} = \mathbf{l}_T \otimes \mathbf{c}_n + \{\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1}\}\mathbf{v}_{nT}$$

where $\mathbf{R}_n(\rho) = \mathbf{I}_n - \rho\,\mathbf{M}$. Its variance matrix is

$$\Omega_{nT}(\theta) = \sigma^2_{\mathbf{c}}\,(\mathbf{l}_T\mathbf{l}'_T \otimes \mathbf{I}_T) + \sigma^2\{\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1}\mathbf{R}'_n(\rho)^{-1}\}$$

For (4), the overall disturbance vector ξ_{nT} is

$$\xi_{nT} = \mathbf{l}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1}\mathbf{c}_n + \{\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1}\}\mathbf{v}_{nT}$$

Its variance matrix is

$$\Omega_{nT}(\theta) = \sigma^2_{\mathbf{c}}\{\mathbf{l}_T\mathbf{l}_T' \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1}\mathbf{R}_n'(\rho)^{-1}\} + \sigma^2\{\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1}\mathbf{R}_n'(\rho)^{-1}\}$$

The log-likelihood function for (5) is

$$\ln L_{nT}(\theta) = -\frac{nT}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\ln|\Omega_{nT}(\theta)| + T\ln|\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda)| - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{nT}'(\theta)\Omega_{nT}(\theta)^{-1}\xi_{nT}(\theta)$$

where $\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_n - \lambda\mathbf{W}$, and $\theta = (\beta', \lambda, \rho, \sigma^2_{\mathbf{c}}, \sigma^2)'$.

References

Baltagi, B. H., and L. Liu. 2011. Instrumental variable estimation of a spatial autoregressive panel model with random effects. *Economics Letters* 111: 135–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2011.01.016.

Britt, C. L. 1994. Crime and unemployment among youths in the United States, 1958–1990: A time series analysis. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology* 53: 99–109. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1536-7150.1994.tb02680.x.

Gini, C. 1909. Concentration and dependency ratios (in Italian). English translation in *Rivista di Politica Economica 1997* 87: 769–789.

Kapoor, M., H. H. Kelejian, and I. R. Prucha. 2007. Panel data models with spatially correlated error components. *Journal of Econometrics* 140: 97–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2006.09.004.

Kelejian, H. H., and I. R. Prucha. 2001. On the asymptotic distribution of the Moran I test statistic with applications. *Journal of Econometrics* 104: 219–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(01)00064-1.

Kuersteiner, G. M., and I. R. Prucha. 2015. Dynamic spatial panel models: Networks, common shocks, and sequential exogeneity. Working paper, Department of Economics, University of Maryland. http://econweb.umd.edu/~prucha/Papers/WP_GMK_IRP_2015.pdf.

Lee, L.-F. 2004. Asymptotic distributions of quasi-maximum likelihood estimators for spatial autoregressive models. *Econometrica* 72: 1899–1925. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0262.2004.00558.x.

Lee, L.-F., and J. Yu. 2010a. Estimation of spatial autoregressive panel data models with fixed effects. *Journal of Econometrics* 154: 165–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2009.08.001.

———. 2010b. Some recent developments in spatial panel data models. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 40: 255–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2009.09.002.

Messner, S. F., L. Anselin, D. F. Hawkins, G. Deane, S. E. Tolnay, and R. D. Baller. 2000. An Atlas of the Spatial Patterning of County-Level Homicide, 1960–1990. Pittsburgh: National Consortium on Violence Research.

Also see

[SP] **spxtregress postestimation** — Postestimation tools for spxtregress

[SP] **estat moran** — Moran's test of residual correlation with nearby residuals

[SP] **Intro** — Introduction to spatial data and SAR models

[SP] **spbalance** — Make panel data strongly balanced

[SP] **spivregress** — Spatial autoregressive models with endogenous covariates

[SP] **spmatrix** — Categorical guide to the spmatrix command

[SP] **spregress** — Spatial autoregressive models

[XT] **xtreg** — Fixed-, between-, and random-effects and population-averaged linear models

[U] **20 Estimation and postestimation commands**