Example 24 — Reliability

Description Remarks and examples Also see

Description

Below we demonstrate sem's reliability() option with the following data:

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/sem_rel
(measurement error with known reliabilities)

. summarize
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
y	1,234	701.081	71.79378	487	943
x1	1,234	100.278	14.1552	51	149
x2	1,234	100.2066	14.50912	55	150

```
. notes
_dta:
  1.  Fictional data.
  2.  Variables x1 and x2 each contain a test score designed to measure X.  The
      test is scored to have mean 100.
  3.  Variables x1 and x2 are both known to have reliability 0.5.
  4.  Variable y is the outcome, believed to be related to X.
```

See [SEM] **sem and gsem option reliability( )** for background.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Baseline model (reliability ignored)
Model with reliability
Model with two measurement variables and reliability

Baseline model (reliability ignored)

```
. sem (y <- x1)

Endogenous variables
  Observed: y

Exogenous variables
  Observed: x1

Fitting target model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -11629.745
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -11629.745

Structural equation model                          Number of obs = 1,234
Estimation method: ml

Log likelihood = -11629.745
```

	OIM					
	Coefficient	std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf.	interval]
Structural						
y						
x1	3.54976	.1031254	34.42	0.000	3.347637	3.751882
_cons	345.1184	10.44365	33.05	0.000	324.6492	365.5876
var(e.y)	2627.401	105.7752			2428.053	2843.115

```
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(0) = 0.00                Prob > chi2 = .
```

Notes:

1. In these data, variable `x1` is measured with error.
2. If we ignore that, we obtain a path coefficient for `y<-x1` of 3.55.
3. We also ran this model for `y<-x2`. We obtained a path coefficient of 3.48.

Model with reliability

```
. sem (x1<-X) (y<-X), reliability(x1 .5)

Endogenous variables
  Measurement: x1 y

Exogenous variables
  Latent: X

Fitting target model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -11745.845
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -11661.626
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -11631.469
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -11629.755
Iteration 4:  Log likelihood = -11629.745
Iteration 5:  Log likelihood = -11629.745

Structural equation model                       Number of obs = 1,234
Estimation method: ml

Log likelihood = -11629.745

 ( 1)  [x1]X = 1
 ( 2)  [/]var(e.x1) = 100.1036
```

	OIM					
	Coefficient	std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf.	interval]
Measurement						
x1						
X	1	(constrained)				
_cons	100.278	.4027933	248.96	0.000	99.4885	101.0674
y						
X	7.09952	.352463	20.14	0.000	6.408705	7.790335
_cons	701.081	2.042929	343.17	0.000	697.077	705.0851
var(e.x1)	100.1036	(constrained)				
var(e.y)	104.631	207.3381			2.152334	5086.411
var(X)	100.1036	8.060038			85.48963	117.2157

```
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(0) = 0.00                Prob > chi2 = .
```

Notes:

1. We wish to estimate the effect of `y<-x1` when `x1` is measured with error (0.50 reliability). To do that, we introduce latent variable `X` and write our model as `(x1<-X) (y<-X)`.
2. When we ignored the measurement error of `x1`, we obtained a path coefficient for `y<-x1` of 3.55. Taking into account the measurement error, we obtain a coefficient of 7.1.

Model with two measurement variables and reliability

```
. sem (x1 x2<-X) (y<-X), reliability(x1 .5  x2 .5)

Endogenous variables
  Measurement: x1 x2 y

Exogenous variables
  Latent: X

Fitting target model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -16258.636
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -16258.401
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood =   -16258.4

Structural equation model                       Number of obs = 1,234
Estimation method: ml

Log likelihood = -16258.4

 ( 1)  [x1]X = 1
 ( 2)  [/]var(e.x1) = 100.1036
 ( 3)  [/]var(e.x2) = 105.1719
```

	OIM Coefficient	std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf.	interval]
Measurement						
x1						
X	1	(constrained)				
_cons	100.278	.4037851	248.34	0.000	99.48655	101.0694
x2						
X	1.030101	.0417346	24.68	0.000	.9483029	1.1119
_cons	100.2066	.4149165	241.51	0.000	99.39342	101.0199
y						
X	7.031299	.2484176	28.30	0.000	6.544409	7.518188
_cons	701.081	2.042928	343.17	0.000	697.077	705.0851
var(e.x1)	100.1036	(constrained)				
var(e.x2)	105.1719	(constrained)				
var(e.y)	152.329	105.26			39.31868	590.1553
var(X)	101.0907	7.343656			87.67509	116.5591

```
LR test of model vs. saturated: chi2(2) = 0.59              Prob > chi2 = 0.7430
```

Notes:

1. We wish to estimate the effect of `y<-X`. We have two measures of `X`—`x1` and `x2`—both measured with error (0.50 reliability).
2. In the previous section, we used just `x1`. We obtained path coefficient 7.1 with standard error 0.4. Using both `x1` and `x2`, we obtain path coefficient 7.0 and standard error 0.2.
3. We at StataCorp created these fictional data. The true coefficient is 7.

Also see

[SEM] **sem and gsem option reliability( )** — Fraction of variance not due to measurement error

[SEM] **Example 1** — Single-factor measurement model

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.

For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.