The above command is used to perform receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses with rating and discrete classification data.

The two variables `refvar` and `classvar` must be numeric. The reference variable indicates the true state of the observation, such as diseased and nondiseased or normal and abnormal, and must be coded as 0 and 1. The rating or outcome of the diagnostic test or test modality is recorded in `classvar`, which must be at least ordinal, with higher values indicating higher risk.

`roctab` performs nonparametric ROC analyses. By default, `roctab` calculates the area under the ROC curve. Optionally, `roctab` can plot the ROC curve, display the data in tabular form, and produce Lorenz-like plots.

See [R] `rocfit` for a command that fits maximum-likelihood ROC models.

### Quick start

AUC, standard error, and CI for ROC curve of binary true state, `true`, as a function of classification variable `v`
```
roctab true v
```

Also plot the ROC curve
```
roctab true v, graph summary
```

As above, but plot sensitivity versus specificity instead of the ROC curve
```
roctab true v, specificity summary
```

Exact binomial CI instead of asymptotic normal CI for AUC estimate
```
roctab true v, binomial
```

Gini and Pietra indices
```
roctab true v, lorenz
```

### Menu

Statistics > Epidemiology and related > ROC analysis > Nonparametric ROC analysis without covariates
Syntax

roctab  refvar  classvar  [ if ]  [ in ]  [ weight ]  [ ,  options ]

roctab_options  Description

Main

lorenz                     report Gini and Pietra indices
binomial                  calculate exact binomial confidence intervals
nolabel                   display numeric codes rather than value labels
detail                    show details on sensitivity/specificity for each cutpoint
table                     display the raw data in a $2 \times k$ contingency table
bamber                    calculate standard errors by using the Bamber method
hanley                    calculate standard errors by using the Hanley method
graph                     graph the ROC curve
noline                    suppress plotting the 45-degree reference line
summary                   report the area under the ROC curve
specificity               graph sensitivity versus specificity
level(#)                  set confidence level; default is level(95)

Plot

plotopts(plot_options)    affect rendition of the ROC curve

Reference line

rlopts(cline_options)     affect rendition of the reference line

Add plots

addplot(plot)             add other plots to the generated graph

Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall
twoway_options           any options other than by() documented in [G-3] twoway_options

fweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

plot_options             Description

marker_options           change look of markers (color, size, etc.)
marker_label_options     add marker labels; change look or position
cline_options            change the look of the line

Options

lorenz specifies that the Gini and Pietra indices be reported. Optionally, graph will plot the
Lorenz-like curve.

binomial specifies that exact binomial confidence intervals be calculated.

nolabel specifies that numeric codes be displayed rather than value labels.

detail outputs a table displaying the sensitivity, specificity, the percentage of subjects correctly
classified, and two likelihood ratios for each possible cutpoint of classvar.
table outputs a $2 \times k$ contingency table displaying the raw data.

bamber specifies that the standard error for the area under the ROC curve be calculated using the method suggested by Bamber (1975). Otherwise, standard errors are obtained as suggested by DeLong, DeLong, and Clarke-Pearson (1988).

hanley specifies that the standard error for the area under the ROC curve be calculated using the method suggested by Hanley and McNeil (1982). Otherwise, standard errors are obtained as suggested by DeLong, DeLong, and Clarke-Pearson (1988).

graph produces graphical output of the ROC curve. If lorenz is specified, graphical output of a Lorenz-like curve will be produced.

noreline suppresses plotting the 45-degree reference line from the graphical output of the ROC curve.

summary reports the area under the ROC curve, its standard error, and its confidence interval. If lorenz is specified, Lorenz indices are reported. This option is needed only when also specifying graph.

specificity produces a graph of sensitivity versus specificity instead of sensitivity versus $(1 - \text{specificity})$. specificity implies graph.

level(#) specifies the confidence level, as a percentage, for the confidence intervals. The default is level(95) or as set by set level; see [R] level.

plotopts(plot_options) affects the rendition of the plotted ROC curve—the curve’s plotted points connected by lines. The plot_options can affect the size and color of markers, whether and how the markers are labeled, and whether and how the points are connected; see [G-3] marker_options, [G-3] marker_label_options, and [G-3] cline_options.

rlopts(cline_options) affects the rendition of the reference line; see [G-3] cline_options.

addplot(plot) provides a way to add other plots to the generated graph; see [G-3] addplot_option.

twoway_options are any of the options documented in [G-3] twoway_options, excluding by(). These include options for titling the graph (see [G-3] title_options) and for saving the graph to disk (see [G-3] saving_option).

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Introduction
Nonparametric ROC curves
Lorenz-like curves
Introduction

The `roctab` command provides nonparametric estimation of the ROC for a given classifier and true-status reference variable. The Lorenz curve functionality of `roctab`, which provides an alternative to standard ROC analysis, is discussed in *Lorenz-like curves*.


Nonparametric ROC curves

The points on the nonparametric ROC curve are generated using each possible outcome of the diagnostic test as a classification cutpoint and computing the corresponding sensitivity and $1 - \text{specificity}$. These points are then connected by straight lines, and the area under the resulting ROC curve is computed using the trapezoidal rule.

Example 1

Hanley and McNeil (1982) presented data from a study in which a reviewer was asked to classify, using a five-point scale, a random sample of 109 tomographic images from patients with neurological problems. The rating scale was as follows: 1 = definitely normal, 2 = probably normal, 3 = questionable, 4 = probably abnormal, and 5 = definitely abnormal. The true disease status was normal for 58 of the patients and abnormal for the remaining 51 patients.

Here we list 9 of the 109 observations:

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/hanley
. list disease rating in 1/9
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>disease</th>
<th>rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each observation, `disease` identifies the true disease status of the subject (0 = normal, 1 = abnormal), and `rating` contains the classification value assigned by the reviewer.

We can use `roctab` to calculate and plot the nonparametric ROC curve by specifying both the `summary` and `graph` options. By also specifying the `table` option, we obtain a contingency table summarizing our dataset.
. roctab disease rating, table graph summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>disease status of subject</th>
<th>rating</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROC</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Obs</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>0.8932</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By default, `roctab` reports the area under the curve, its standard error, and its confidence interval. The `graph` option can be used to plot the ROC curve.

The ROC curve is plotted by computing the sensitivity and specificity using each value of the rating variable as a possible cutpoint. A point is plotted on the graph for each of the cutpoints. These plotted points are joined by straight lines to form the ROC curve, and the area under the ROC curve is computed using the trapezoidal rule.
We can tabulate the computed sensitivities and specificities for each of the possible cutpoints by specifying `detail`.

```
. roctab disease rating, detail
```

Detailed report of sensitivity and specificity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutpoint</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Correctly Classified</th>
<th>LR+</th>
<th>LR-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;= 1 )</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>46.79%</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;= 2 )</td>
<td>94.12%</td>
<td>56.90%</td>
<td>74.31%</td>
<td>2.1835</td>
<td>0.1034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;= 3 )</td>
<td>90.20%</td>
<td>67.24%</td>
<td>77.98%</td>
<td>2.7534</td>
<td>0.1458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;= 4 )</td>
<td>86.27%</td>
<td>77.59%</td>
<td>81.65%</td>
<td>3.8492</td>
<td>0.1769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;= 5 )</td>
<td>64.71%</td>
<td>96.55%</td>
<td>81.65%</td>
<td>18.7647</td>
<td>0.3655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( &gt; 5 )</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>53.21%</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each cutpoint in the table indicates the ratings used to classify tomographs as being from an abnormal subject. For example, the first cutpoint (>= 1) indicates that all tomographs rated as 1 or greater are classified as coming from abnormal subjects. Because all tomographs have a rating of 1 or greater, all are considered abnormal. Consequently, all abnormal cases are correctly classified (sensitivity = 100%), but none of the normal patients is classified correctly (specificity = 0%). For the second cutpoint (>=2), tomographs with ratings of 1 are classified as normal, and those with ratings of 2 or greater are classified as abnormal. The resulting sensitivity and specificity are 94.12% and 56.90%, respectively. Using this cutpoint, we correctly classified 74.31% of the 109 tomographs. Similar interpretations can be used on the remaining cutpoints. As mentioned, each cutpoint corresponds to a point on the nonparametric ROC curve. The first cutpoint (>=1) corresponds to the point at (1,1), and the last cutpoint (> 5) corresponds to the point at (0,0).

`detail` also reports two likelihood ratios suggested by Choi (1998): the likelihood ratio for a positive test result (LR+) and the likelihood ratio for a negative test result (LR–). The LR+ is the ratio of the probability of a positive test among the truly positive subjects to the probability of a positive test among the truly negative subjects. The LR– is the ratio of the probability of a negative test among the truly positive subjects to the probability of a negative test among the truly negative subjects. Choi points out that LR+ corresponds to the slope of the line from the origin to the point on the ROC curve determined by the cutpoint. Similarly, LR– corresponds to the slope from the point (1,1) to the point on the ROC curve determined by the cutpoint.

By default, `roctab` calculates the standard error for the area under the curve by using an algorithm suggested by DeLong, DeLong, and Clarke-Pearson (1988) and asymptotic normal confidence intervals. Optionally, standard errors based on methods suggested by Bamber (1975) or Hanley and McNeil (1982) can be computed by specifying `bamber` or `hanley`, respectively, and an exact binomial confidence interval can be obtained by specifying `binomial`.
Lorenz-like curves

For applications where it is known that the risk status increases or decreases monotonically with increasing values of the diagnostic test, the ROC curve and associated indices are useful in assessing the overall performance of a diagnostic test. When the risk status does not vary monotonically with increasing values of the diagnostic test, however, the resulting ROC curve can be nonconvex and its indices can be unreliable. For these situations, Lee (1999) proposed an alternative to the ROC analysis based on Lorenz-like curves and the associated Pietra and Gini indices.

Lee (1999) mentions at least three specific situations where results from Lorenz curves are superior to those obtained from ROC curves: 1) a diagnostic test with similar means but very different standard deviations in the abnormal and normal populations, 2) a diagnostic test with bimodal distributions in either the normal or abnormal population, and 3) a diagnostic test distributed symmetrically in the normal population and skewed in the abnormal.

When the risk status increases or decreases monotonically with increasing values of the diagnostic test, the ROC and Lorenz curves yield interchangeable results.

Example 2

To illustrate the use of the lorenz option, we constructed a fictitious dataset that yields results similar to those presented in Table III of Lee (1999). The data assume that a 12-point rating scale was used to classify 442 diseased and 442 healthy subjects. We list a few of the observations.

```
> use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/lorenz, clear
> list in 1/7, noobs sep(0)
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>disease</th>
<th>class</th>
<th>pop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

The data consist of 24 observations: 12 observations from diseased individuals and 12 from nondiseased individuals. Each observation corresponds to one of the 12 classification values of the rating-scale variable, class. The number of subjects represented by each observation is given by the pop variable, making this a frequency-weighted dataset. The data were generated assuming a binormal distribution of the latent variable with similar means for the normal and abnormal populations but with the standard deviation for the abnormal population five times greater than that of the normal population.
The resulting ROC curve is nonconvex or, as termed by Lee, “wiggly”. Lee argues that for this and similar situations, the Lorenz curve and indices are preferred.

Like ROC curves, a more bowed Lorenz curve suggests a better diagnostic test. This bowedness is quantified by the Pietra index, which is geometrically equivalent to twice the largest triangle that can be inscribed in the area between the curve and the diagonal line, and the Gini index, which is
equivalent to twice the area between the Lorenz curve and the diagonal. Lee (1999) provides several additional interpretations for the Pietra and Gini indices.

**Stored results**

`roctab` stores the following in `r()`:

Scalars
- `r(N)` number of observations
- `r(se)` standard error for the area under the ROC curve
- `r(lb)` lower bound of CI for the area under the ROC curve
- `r(ub)` upper bound of CI for the area under the ROC curve
- `r(level)` confidence level
- `r(area)` area under the ROC curve
- `r(pietra)` Pietra index
- `r(gini)` Gini index

Macros
- `r(cutpoints)` description of cutpoints (detail only)

Matrices
- `r(detail)` matrix with details on sensitivity and specificity for each cutpoint (detail only)

**Methods and formulas**

Assume that we applied a diagnostic test to each of \(N_a\) normal and \(N_a\) abnormal subjects. Further assume that the higher the outcome value of the diagnostic test, the higher the risk of the subject being abnormal. Let \(\hat{\theta}\) be the estimated area under the curve, and let \(X_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_a\) and \(Y_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N_n\) be the values of the diagnostic test for the abnormal and normal subjects, respectively.

The points on the nonparametric ROC curve are generated using each possible outcome of the diagnostic test as a classification cutpoint and computing the corresponding sensitivity and 1—specificity. These points are then connected by straight lines, and the area under the resulting ROC curve is computed using the trapezoidal rule.

The default standard error for the area under the ROC curve is computed using the algorithm described by DeLong, DeLong, and Clarke-Pearson (1988). For each abnormal subject, \(i\), define

\[
V_{10}(X_i) = \frac{1}{N_n} \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} \psi(X_i, Y_j)
\]

and for each normal subject, \(j\), define

\[
V_{01}(Y_j) = \frac{1}{N_a} \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} \psi(X_i, Y_j)
\]

where

\[
\psi(X, Y) = \begin{cases} 
1 & Y < X \\
\frac{1}{2} & Y = X \\
0 & Y > X
\end{cases}
\]
Define
\[ S_{10} = \frac{1}{N_a - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_a} \{ V_{10}(X_i) - \hat{\theta} \}^2 \]
and
\[ S_{01} = \frac{1}{N_n - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} \{ V_{01}(Y_j) - \hat{\theta} \}^2 \]
The variance of the estimated area under the ROC curve is given by
\[ \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N_a} S_{10} + \frac{1}{N_n} S_{01} \]

The Hanley standard error for the area under the ROC curve is computed using the algorithm described by Hanley and McNeil (1982). It requires the calculation of two quantities: \( Q_1 \) is \( \text{Pr}(\text{two randomly selected abnormal subjects will both have a higher score than a randomly selected normal subject}) \), and \( Q_2 \) is \( \text{Pr}(\text{one randomly selected abnormal subject will have a higher score than any two randomly selected normal subjects}) \). The Hanley and McNeil variance of the estimated area under the ROC curve is
\[ \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \hat{\theta}(1 - \hat{\theta}) + \frac{1}{N_a - 1}(Q_1 - \hat{\theta}^2) + \frac{1}{N_n - 1}(Q_2 - \hat{\theta}^2) \]

The Bamber standard error for the area under the ROC curve is computed using the algorithm described by Bamber (1975). For any two \( Y \) values, \( Y_j \) and \( Y_k \), and any \( X_i \) value, define
\[ b_{yyx} = p(Y_j, Y_k < X_i) + p(X_i < Y_j, Y_k) - 2p(Y_j < X_i < Y_k) \]
and similarly, for any two \( X \) values, \( X_i \) and \( X_l \), and any \( Y_j \) value, define
\[ b_{xxy} = p(X_i, X_l < Y_j) + p(Y_j < X_i, X_l) - 2p(X_i < Y_j < X_l) \]
Bamber’s unbiased estimate of the variance for the area under the ROC curve is
\[ \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{4}(N_a - 1)(N_n - 1) \left\{ p(X \neq Y) + (N_a - 1)b_{xxy} + (N_n - 1)b_{yyx} - 4(N_a + N_n - 1)(\hat{\theta} - 0.5)^2 \right\} \]

Asymptotic confidence intervals are constructed and reported by default, assuming a normal distribution for the area under the ROC curve.

Exact binomial confidence intervals are calculated as described in \[ R \] ci, with \( p \) equal to the area under the ROC curve.
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**Also see**

[R] **logistic postestimation** — Postestimation tools for logistic

[R] **roc** — Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis

[R] **roccomp** — Tests of equality of ROC areas

[R] **roccomp** — Parametric ROC models

[R] **rocreg** — Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) regression