The following postestimation commands are of special interest after `regress`:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>dfbeta</code></td>
<td>DFBETA influence statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>estat hettest</code></td>
<td>tests for heteroskedasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>estat imtest</code></td>
<td>information matrix test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>estat ovtest</code></td>
<td>Ramsey regression specification-error test for omitted variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>estat szroeter</code></td>
<td>Szroeter’s rank test for heteroskedasticity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>estat vif</code></td>
<td>variance inflation factors for the independent variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>estat esize</code></td>
<td>( \eta^2, \varepsilon^2, ) and ( \omega^2 ) effect sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>estat moran</code></td>
<td>Moran’s test of residual correlation with nearby residuals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These commands are not appropriate after the `svy` prefix.
The following standard postestimation commands are also available:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Command</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>contrast</strong></td>
<td>contrasts and ANOVA-style joint tests of estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>estat ic</strong></td>
<td>Akaike's and Schwarz's Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>estat summarize</strong></td>
<td>summary statistics for the estimation sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>estat vce</strong></td>
<td>variance–covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>estat (svy)</strong></td>
<td>postestimation statistics for survey data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>estimates</strong></td>
<td>cataloging estimation results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>forecast</strong></td>
<td>dynamic forecasts and simulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>hausman</strong></td>
<td>Hausman's specification test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>lincom</strong></td>
<td>point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear combinations of coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>linktest</strong></td>
<td>link test for model specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>lrtest</strong></td>
<td>likelihood-ratio test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>margins</strong></td>
<td>marginal means, predictive margins, marginal effects, and average marginal effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>marginsplot</strong></td>
<td>graph the results from margins (profile plots, interaction plots, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>nlcom</strong></td>
<td>point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear combinations of coefficients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>predict</strong></td>
<td>predictions, residuals, influence statistics, and other diagnostic measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>predictnl</strong></td>
<td>point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for generalized predictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>pwcompare</strong></td>
<td>pairwise comparisons of estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>suest</strong></td>
<td>seemingly unrelated estimation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>test</strong></td>
<td>Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>testnl</strong></td>
<td>Wald tests of nonlinear hypotheses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* **forecast**, **hausman**, and **lrtest** are not appropriate with **svy** estimation results. **forecast** is also not appropriate with **mi** estimation results.
Predictions

Description for predict

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as linear predictions, residuals, standardized residuals, Studentized residuals, Cook's distance, leverage, probabilities, expected values, DFBETAs for varname, standard errors, COVRATIOS, DFITS, and Welsch distances.

Menu for predict

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for predict

```
predict [ type ] newvar [ if ] [ in ] [ , statistic ]
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>statistic</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{xb} )</td>
<td>linear prediction; the default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{residuals} )</td>
<td>residuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{score} )</td>
<td>score; equivalent to residuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{rstandard} )</td>
<td>standardized residuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{rstudent} )</td>
<td>Studentized (jackknifed) residuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{cooks} )</td>
<td>Cook's distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{leverage</td>
<td>hat} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{pr}(a,b) )</td>
<td>( \Pr(y_j \mid a &lt; y_j &lt; b) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{e}(a,b) )</td>
<td>( E(y_j \mid a &lt; y_j &lt; b) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{ystar}(a,b) )</td>
<td>( E(y_j^<em>), \ y_j^</em> = \max{a, \min(y_j,b)} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*( \text{dfbeta(varname)} )</td>
<td>DFBETA for varname</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{stdp} )</td>
<td>standard error of the linear prediction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{stdf} )</td>
<td>standard error of the forecast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{stdr} )</td>
<td>standard error of the residual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*( \text{covratio} )</td>
<td>COVRATIO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*( \text{dfits} )</td>
<td>DFITS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*( \text{welsch} )</td>
<td>Welsch distance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unstarred statistics are available both in and out of sample; type `predict ... if e(sample) ...` if wanted only for the estimation sample. Starred statistics are calculated only for the estimation sample, even when `if e(sample)` is not specified.

\( \text{rstandard}, \text{rstudent}, \text{cooks}, \text{leverage}, \text{dfbeta()}, \text{stdp}, \text{stdf}, \text{covratio}, \text{dfits}, \text{and welsch} \) are not available if any vce() other than `vce(ols)` was specified with `regress`.

\( \text{xb}, \text{residuals}, \text{score}, \text{and stdp} \) are the only options allowed with `svy` estimation results.

where \( a \) and \( b \) may be numbers or variables; \( a \) missing \((a \geq \cdot)\) means \(-\infty\), and \( b \) missing \((b \geq \cdot)\) means \(+\infty\); see [U] 12.2.1 Missing values.
Options for predict

xb, the default, calculates the linear prediction.

residuals calculates the residuals.

score is equivalent to residuals in linear regression.

rstandard calculates the standardized residuals.

rstudent calculates the Studentized (jackknifed) residuals.

leverage or hat calculates the diagonal elements of the projection (“hat”) matrix.

pr(a,b) calculates Pr(a < x_j b + u_j < b), the probability that y_j | x_j would be observed in the interval (a,b).

a and b may be specified as numbers or variable names; lb and ub are variable names;

pr(20,30) calculates Pr(20 < x_j b + u_j < 30);

pr(lb,ub) calculates Pr(lb < x_j b + u_j < ub); and

pr(20,ub) calculates Pr(20 < x_j b + u_j < ub).

a missing (a ≥ .) means −∞; pr(.,30) calculates Pr(−∞ < x_j b + u_j < 30);

pr(lb,30) calculates Pr(−∞ < x_j b + u_j < 30) in observations for which lb ≥ .

and calculates Pr(lb < x_j b + u_j < 30) elsewhere.

b missing (b ≥ .) means +∞; pr(20,.) calculates Pr(20 > x_j b + u_j > 20);

pr(20,ub) calculates Pr(20 > x_j b + u_j > 30) in observations for which ub ≥ .

and calculates Pr(20 < x_j b + u_j < ub) elsewhere.

e(a,b) calculates E(x_j b + u_j | a < x_j b + u_j < b), the expected value of y_j | x_j conditional on

y_j | x_j being in the interval (a,b), meaning that y_j | x_j is truncated. a and b are specified as they

are for pr().

ystar(a,b) calculates E(y_j^*), where y_j^* = a if x_j b + u_j ≤ a, y_j^* = b if x_j b + u_j ≥ b, and

y_j^* = x_j b + u_j otherwise, meaning that y_j^* is censored. a and b are specified as they are for pr().

dfbeta(varname) calculates the DFBETA for varname, the difference between the regression coefficient

when the jth observation is included and excluded, said difference being scaled by the estimated

standard error of the coefficient. varname must have been included among the regressors in the

previously fitted model. The calculation is automatically restricted to the estimation subsample.

stdep calculates the standard error of the prediction, which can be thought of as the standard error of

the predicted expected value or mean for the observation’s covariate pattern. The standard error

of the prediction is also referred to as the standard error of the fitted value.

stdf calculates the standard error of the forecast, which is the standard error of the point prediction

for 1 observation. It is commonly referred to as the standard error of the future or forecast value.

By construction, the standard errors produced by stdf are always larger than those produced by

stdep: see Methods and formulas.

stdr calculates the standard error of the residuals.

covratio calculates COVRATIO (Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch 1980), a measure of the influence of the

jth observation based on considering the effect on the variance–covariance matrix of the estimates.

The calculation is automatically restricted to the estimation subsample.
dfits calculates DFITS (Welsch and Kuh 1977) and attempts to summarize the information in the leverage versus residual-squared plot into one statistic. The calculation is automatically restricted to the estimation subsample.

welsch calculates Welsch distance (Welsch 1982) and is a variation on dfits. The calculation is automatically restricted to the estimation subsample.

Remarks and examples for predict

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Terminology
Fitted values and residuals
Prediction standard errors
Prediction with weighted data
Leverage statistics
Standardized and Studentized residuals
DFITS, Cook’s Distance, and Welsch Distance
COVRATIO

Terminology

Many of these commands concern identifying influential data in linear regression. This is, unfortunately, a field that is dominated by jargon, codified and partially begun by Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch (1980). In the words of Chatterjee and Hadi (1986, 416), “Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch’s book, Regression Diagnostics, was a very valuable contribution to the statistical literature, but it unleashed on an unsuspecting statistical community a computer speak (à la Orwell), the likes of which we have never seen.” Things have only gotten worse since then. Chatterjee and Hadi’s (1986, 1988) own attempts to clean up the jargon did not improve matters (see Hoaglin and Kempthorne [1986], Velleman [1986], and Welsch [1986]). We apologize for the jargon, and for our contribution to the jargon in the form of inelegant command names, we apologize most of all.

Model sensitivity refers to how estimates are affected by subsets of our data. Imagine data on $y$ and $x$, and assume that the data are to be fit by the regression $y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \epsilon_i$. The regression estimates of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $a$ and $b$, respectively. Now imagine that the estimated $a$ and $b$ would be different if a small portion of the dataset, perhaps even one observation, were deleted. As a data analyst, you would like to think that you are summarizing tendencies that apply to all the data, but you have just been told that the model you fit is unduly influenced by one point or just a few points and that, as a matter of fact, there is another model that applies to the rest of the data—a model that you have ignored. The search for subsets of the data that, if deleted, would change the results markedly is a predominant theme of this entry.

There are three key issues in identifying model sensitivity to individual observations, which go by the names residuals, leverage, and influence. In our $y_i = a + b x_i + \epsilon_i$ regression, the residuals are, of course, $\epsilon_i$—they reveal how much our fitted value $\hat{y}_i = a + b x_i$ differs from the observed $y_i$. A point $(x_i, y_i)$ with a corresponding large residual is called an outlier. Say that you are interested in outliers because you somehow think that such points will exert undue influence on your estimates. Your feelings are generally right, but there are exceptions. A point might have a huge residual and yet not affect the estimated $b$ at all. Nevertheless, studying observations with large residuals almost always pays off.

$(x_i, y_i)$ can be an outlier in another way—just as $y_i$ can be far from $\hat{y}_i$, $x_i$ can be far from the center of mass of the other $x$’s. Such an “outlier” should interest you just as much as the more traditional outliers. Picture a scatterplot of $y$ against $x$ with thousands of points in some sort of mass
at the lower left of the graph and one point at the upper right of the graph. Now, run a regression line through the points—the regression line will come close to the point at the upper right of the graph and may in fact, go through it. That is, this isolated point will not appear as an outlier as measured by residuals because its residual will be small. Yet this point might have a dramatic effect on our resulting estimates in the sense that, were you to delete the point, the estimates would change markedly. Such a point is said to have high leverage. Just as with traditional outliers, a high leverage point does not necessarily have an undue effect on regression estimates, but if it does not, it is more the exception than the rule.

Now, all of this is a most unsatisfactory state of affairs. Points with large residuals may, but need not, have a large effect on our results, and points with small residuals may still have a large effect. Points with high leverage may, but need not, have a large effect on our results, and points with low leverage may still have a large effect. Can you not identify the influential points and simply have the computer list them for you? You can, but you will have to define what you mean by “influential”.

“Influential” is defined with respect to some statistic. For instance, you might ask which points in your data have a large effect on your estimated $a$, which points have a large effect on your estimated $b$, which points have a large effect on your estimated standard error of $b$, and so on, but do not be surprised when the answers to these questions are different. In any case, obtaining such measures is not difficult—all you have to do is fit the regression excluding each observation one at a time and record the statistic of interest which, in the day of the modern computer, is not too onerous. Moreover, you can save considerable computer time by doing algebra ahead of time and working out formulas that will calculate the same answers as if you ran each of the regressions. (Ignore the question of pairs of observations that, together, exert undue influence, and triples, and so on, which remains largely unsolved and for which the brute force fit-every-possible-regression procedure is not a viable alternative.)

### Fitted values and residuals

Typing `predict newvar` with no options creates `newvar` containing the fitted values. Typing `predict newvar, resid` creates `newvar` containing the residuals.

#### Example 1

Continuing with example 1 from [R] `regress`, we wish to fit the following model:

$$\text{mpg} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{weight} + \beta_2 \text{foreign} + \epsilon$$

```
use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/auto
(1978 Automobile Data)
.regress mpg weight foreign
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>Number of obs =</th>
<th>74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>1619.2877</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>809.643849</td>
<td>F(2, 71) =</td>
<td>69.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>824.171761</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>11.608053</td>
<td>Prob &gt; F =</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2443.45946</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>33.4720474</td>
<td>R-squared =</td>
<td>0.6627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adj R-squared =</td>
<td>0.6532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Root MSE =</td>
<td>3.4071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| mpg | Coef. | Std. Err. | t     | P>|t|   | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|-----|-------|-----------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| weight | -.0065879 | .0006371 | -10.34 | 0.000 | -.0078853-.0053175 |
| foreign | -1.650029 | 1.075994 | -1.53 | 0.130 | -3.7955 .4954422 |
| _cons | 41.6797 | 2.165547 | 19.25 | 0.000 | 37.36172-45.99768 |
That done, we can now obtain the predicted values from the regression. We will store them in a new variable called \texttt{pmpg} by typing \texttt{predict pmpg}. Because \texttt{predict} produces no output, we will follow that by summarizing our predicted and observed values.

\begin{verbatim}
. predict pmpg
  (option xb assumed; fitted values)
. summarize pmpg mpg
\end{verbatim}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pmpg</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21.2973</td>
<td>4.709779</td>
<td>9.794333</td>
<td>29.82151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21.2973</td>
<td>5.785503</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example 2: Out-of-sample predictions

We can just as easily obtain predicted values from the model by using a wholly different dataset from the one on which the model was fit. The only requirement is that the data have the necessary variables, which here are \texttt{weight} and \texttt{foreign}.

Using the data on two new cars (the Pontiac Sunbird and the Volvo 260) from the \texttt{newautos.dta} dataset, we can obtain out-of-sample predictions (or forecasts) by typing

\begin{verbatim}
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/newautos, clear
  (New Automobile Models)
. predict pmpg
  (option xb assumed; fitted values)
. list, divider
\end{verbatim}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>make</th>
<th>weight</th>
<th>foreign</th>
<th>pmpg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Pont. Sunbird</td>
<td>2690</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>23.95829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Volvo 260</td>
<td>3170</td>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>19.14607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Pontiac Sunbird has a predicted mileage rating of 23.96 mpg, whereas the Volvo 260 has a predicted rating of 19.15 mpg. In comparison, the actual mileage ratings are 24 for the Pontiac and 17 for the Volvo.

Prediction standard errors

\texttt{predict} can calculate the standard error of the forecast (\texttt{stdf} option), the standard error of the prediction (\texttt{stdp} option), and the standard error of the residual (\texttt{stdr} option). It is easy to confuse \texttt{stdf} and \texttt{stpd} because both are often called the prediction error. Consider the prediction \( \hat{y}_j = x_j b \), where \( b \) is the estimated coefficient (column) vector and \( x_j \) is a (row) vector of independent variables for which you want the prediction. First, \( \hat{y}_j \) has a variance due to the variance of the estimated coefficient vector \( b \),

\[
\text{Var}(\hat{y}_j) = \text{Var}(x_j b) = s^2 h_j
\]

where \( h_j = x_j (X'X)^{-1} x_j' \) and \( s^2 \) is the mean squared error of the regression. Do not panic over the algebra—just remember that \( \text{Var}(\hat{y}_j) = s^2 h_j \), whatever \( s^2 \) and \( h_j \) are. \texttt{stpd} calculates this quantity. This is the error in the prediction due to the uncertainty about \( b \).

If you are about to hand this number out as your forecast, however, there is another error. According to your model, the true value of \( y_j \) is given by

\[
y_j = x_j b + \epsilon_j = \hat{y}_j + \epsilon_j
\]
and thus the \( \text{Var}(y_j) = \text{Var}(\hat{y}_j) + \text{Var}(\epsilon_j) = s^2h_j + s^2 \), which is the square of \text{stdf}. \text{stdf}, then, is the sum of the error in the prediction plus the residual error.

\text{stdr} has to do with an analysis-of-variance decomposition of \( s^2 \), the estimated variance of \( y \). The standard error of the prediction is \( s^2h_j \), and therefore \( s^2h_j + s^2(1 - h_j) = s^2 \) decomposes \( s^2 \) into the prediction and residual variances.

Example 3: Standard error of the forecast

Returning to our model of \( mpg \) on \( \text{weight} \) and \( \text{foreign} \), we previously predicted the mileage rating for the Pontiac Sunbird and Volvo 260 as 23.96 and 19.15 mpg, respectively. We now want to put a standard error around our forecast. Remember, the data for these two cars were in \text{newautos.dta}:

```stata
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/newautos, clear
. predict pmpg (option xb assumed; fitted values)
. predict se_pmpg, stdf
. list, divider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>make</th>
<th>weight</th>
<th>foreign</th>
<th>pmpg</th>
<th>se_pmpg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pont. Sunbird</td>
<td>2690</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>23.95829</td>
<td>3.462791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volvo 260</td>
<td>3170</td>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>19.14607</td>
<td>3.525875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Thus, an approximate 95% confidence interval for the mileage rating of the Volvo 260 is \( 19.15 \pm 2 \cdot 3.53 = [12.09, 26.21] \).

Prediction with weighted data

\text{predict} can be used after frequency-weighted (\text{fweight}) estimation, just as it is used after unweighted estimation. The technical note below concerns the use of \text{predict} after analytically weighted (\text{aweight}) estimation.

Technical note

After analytically weighted estimation, \text{predict} is willing to calculate only the prediction (no options), residual (\text{residual} option), standard error of the prediction (\text{stdp} option), and diagonal elements of the projection matrix (\text{hat} option). For analytically weighted estimation, the standard error of the forecast and residuals, the standardized and Studentized residuals, and Cook’s \( D \) are not statistically well-defined concepts.

Leverage statistics

In addition to providing fitted values and the associated standard errors, the \text{predict} command can also be used to generate various statistics used to detect the influence of individual observations. This section provides a brief introduction to leverage (hat) statistics, and some of the following subsections discuss other influence statistics produced by \text{predict}.
Example 4: Diagonal elements of projection matrix

The diagonal elements of the projection matrix, obtained by the hat option, are a measure of distance in explanatory variable space. leverage is a synonym for hat.

- use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/auto, clear
  (1978 Automobile Data)
- regress mpg weight foreign
  (output omitted)
- predict xdist, hat
- summarize xdist, detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentiles</th>
<th>Smallest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>.0192325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>.0192686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>.0193448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>.0220291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>.0383797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>.0494002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>.0693432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
<td>.0880814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99%</td>
<td>.1003283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some 5% of our sample has an xdist measure in excess of 0.08. Let’s force them to reveal their identities:

- list foreign make mpg if xdist>.08, divider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>foreign</th>
<th>make</th>
<th>mpg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To understand why these cars are on this list, we must remember that the explanatory variables in our model are weight and foreign and that xdist measures distance in this metric. The Ford Fiesta and the Plymouth Champ are the two lightest domestic cars in our data. The Lincolns are the two heaviest domestic cars, and the Peugeot is the heaviest foreign car.

See lvr2plot in [R] regress postestimation diagnostic plots for information on a leverage-versus-squared-residual plot.

Standardized and Studentized residuals

The terms standardized and Studentized residuals have meant different things to different authors. In Stata, predict defines the standardized residual as $\hat{e}_i = e_i/(s\sqrt{1-h_i})$ and the Studentized residual as $r_i = e_i/(s_{(i)}\sqrt{1-h_i})$, where $s_{(i)}$ is the root mean squared error of a regression with the $i$th observation removed. Stata’s definition of the Studentized residual is the same as the one given in Bollen and Jackman (1990, 264) and is what Chatterjee and Hadi (1988, 74) call the “externally Studentized” residual. Stata’s “standardized” residual is the same as what Chatterjee and Hadi (1988, 74) call the “internally Studentized” residual.
Standardized and Studentized residuals are attempts to adjust residuals for their standard errors. Although the $\epsilon_i$ theoretical residuals are homoskedastic by assumption (that is, they all have the same variance), the calculated $e_i$ are not. In fact,

$$\text{Var}(e_i) = \sigma^2(1 - h_i)$$

where $h_i$ are the leverage measures obtained from the diagonal elements of hat matrix. Thus, observations with the greatest leverage have corresponding residuals with the smallest variance.

Studentized residuals use the root mean squared error of the regression for $\sigma$. Studentized residuals use the root mean squared error of a regression omitting the observation in question for $\sigma$. In general, Studentized residuals are preferable to standardized residuals for purposes of outlier identification. Studentized residuals can be interpreted as the $t$ statistic for testing the significance of a dummy variable equal to 1 in the observation in question and 0 elsewhere (Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch 1980). Such a dummy variable would effectively absorb the observation and so remove its influence in determining the other coefficients in the model. Caution must be exercised here, however, because of the simultaneous testing problem. You cannot simply list the residuals that would be individually significant at the 5% level—their joint significance would be far less (their joint significance level would be far greater).

Example 5: Standardized and Studentized residuals

In the Terminology section of Remarks and examples for predict, we distinguished residuals from leverage and speculated on the impact of an observation with a small residual but large leverage. If we adjust the residuals for their standard errors, however, the adjusted residual would be (relatively) larger and perhaps large enough so that we could simply examine the adjusted residuals. Taking our price on weight and foreign##c.mpg model from example 1 of [R] regress postestimation diagnostic plots, we can obtain the in-sample standardized and Studentized residuals by typing

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/auto, clear
    (1978 Automobile Data)
. regress price weight foreign##c.mpg
(output omitted)
. predict esta if e(sample), rstandard
. predict estu if e(sample), rstudent
```

In the lvr2plot section of [R] regress postestimation diagnostic plots, we discovered that the VW Diesel has the highest leverage in our data, but a corresponding small residual. The standardized and Studentized residuals for the VW Diesel are

```
. list make price esta estu if make=="VW Diesel"

    +--------+----------------+----------------+----------------+
    | make   | price  | esta        | estu          |
    +--------+----------------+----------------+----------------+
    | VW Diesel | 5,397 | .6142691    | .6114758      |
    +--------+----------------+----------------+----------------+
```

The Studentized residual of 0.611 can be interpreted as the $t$ statistic for including a dummy variable for VW Diesel in our regression. Such a variable would not be significant.
DFITS, Cook’s Distance, and Welsch Distance

DFITS (Welsch and Kuh 1977), Cook’s Distance (Cook 1977), and Welsch Distance (Welsch 1982) are three attempts to summarize the information in the leverage versus residual-squared plot into one statistic. That is, the goal is to create an index that is affected by the size of the residuals—outliers—and the size of $h_i$—leverage. Viewed mechanically, one way to write DFITS (Bollen and Jackman 1990, 265) is

$$\text{DFITS}_i = r_i \sqrt{\frac{h_i}{1 - h_i}}$$

where $r_i$ are the Studentized residuals. Thus, large residuals increase the value of DFITS, as do large values of $h_i$. Viewed more traditionally, DFITS is a scaled difference between predicted values for the $i$th case when the regression is fit with and without the $i$th observation, hence the name.

The mechanical relationship between DFITS and Cook’s Distance, $D_i$ (Bollen and Jackman 1990, 266), is

$$D_i = \frac{1}{k} \frac{s^2}{s^2 \text{DFITS}_i^2}$$

where $k$ is the number of variables (including the constant) in the regression, $s$ is the root mean squared error of the regression, and $s^2_{(i)}$ is the root mean squared error when the $i$th observation is omitted. Viewed more traditionally, $D_i$ is a scaled measure of the distance between the coefficient vectors when the $i$th observation is omitted.

The mechanical relationship between DFITS and Welsch’s Distance, $W_i$ (Chatterjee and Hadi 1988, 123), is

$$W_i = \text{DFITS}_i \sqrt{\frac{n - 1}{1 - h_i}}$$

The interpretation of $W_i$ is more difficult because it is based on the empirical influence curve. Although DFITS and Cook’s distance are similar, the Welsch distance measure includes another normalization by leverage.

Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch (1980, 28) suggest that DFITS values greater than $2\sqrt{k/n}$ deserve more investigation, and so values of Cook’s distance greater than $4/n$ should also be examined (Bollen and Jackman 1990, 265–266). Through similar logic, the cutoff for Welsch distance is approximately $3\sqrt{k}$ (Chatterjee and Hadi 1988, 124).

Example 6: DFITS influence measure

Continuing with our model of price on weight and foreign##c.mpg, we can obtain the DFITS influence measure:

```
. predict e if e(sample), resid
. predict dfits, dfits
```

We did not specify `if e(sample)` in computing the DFITS statistic. DFITS is available only over the estimation sample, so specifying `if e(sample)` would have been redundant. It would have done no harm, but it would not have changed the results.

Our model has $k = 5$ independent variables ($k$ includes the constant) and $n = 74$ observations; following the $2\sqrt{k/n}$ cutoff advice, we type
. list make price e dfits if abs(dfits) > 2*sqrt(5/74), divider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>make</th>
<th>price</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>dfits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cad. Eldorado</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>7271.96</td>
<td>.9564455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cad. Seville</td>
<td>15,906</td>
<td>5036.348</td>
<td>1.356619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Fiesta</td>
<td>4,389</td>
<td>3164.872</td>
<td>.5724172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linc. Mark V</td>
<td>13,594</td>
<td>3109.193</td>
<td>.5200413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linc. Versailles</td>
<td>13,466</td>
<td>6560.912</td>
<td>.8760136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plym. Arrow</td>
<td>4,647</td>
<td>-3312.968</td>
<td>-.9384231</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We calculate Cook’s distance and list the observations greater than the suggested $4/n$ cutoff:

. predict cooksd if e(sample), cooksd
. list make price e cooksd if cooksd > 4/74, divider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>make</th>
<th>price</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>cooksd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cad. Eldorado</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>7271.96</td>
<td>.1492676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cad. Seville</td>
<td>15,906</td>
<td>5036.348</td>
<td>.3328515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Fiesta</td>
<td>4,389</td>
<td>3164.872</td>
<td>.0638815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linc. Versailles</td>
<td>13,466</td>
<td>6560.912</td>
<td>.1308004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plym. Arrow</td>
<td>4,647</td>
<td>-3312.968</td>
<td>.1700736</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here we used `if e(sample)` because Cook’s distance is not restricted to the estimation sample by default. It is worth comparing this list with the preceding one.

Finally, we use Welsch distance and the suggested $3\sqrt{k}$ cutoff:

. predict wd, welsch
. list make price e wd if abs(wd) > 3*sqrt(5), divider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>make</th>
<th>price</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>wd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cad. Eldorado</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>7271.96</td>
<td>8.394372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cad. Seville</td>
<td>15,906</td>
<td>5036.348</td>
<td>12.81125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ford Fiesta</td>
<td>4,389</td>
<td>3164.872</td>
<td>7.703005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linc. Versailles</td>
<td>13,466</td>
<td>6560.912</td>
<td>8.981481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here we did not need to specify `if e(sample)` because `welsch` automatically restricts the prediction to the estimation sample.

**COVRATIO**

COVRATIO (Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch 1980) measures the influence of the $i$th observation by considering the effect on the variance–covariance matrix of the estimates. The measure is the ratio of the determinants of the covariances matrix, with and without the $i$th observation. The resulting formula is

$$
\text{COVRATIO}_i = \frac{1}{1 - h_i} \left( \frac{n - k - \hat{e}_i^2}{n - k - 1} \right)^k
$$

where $\hat{e}_i$ is the standardized residual.
For noninfluential observations, the value of COVRATIO is approximately 1. Large values of the residuals or large values of leverage will cause deviations from 1, although if both are large, COVRATIO may tend back toward 1 and therefore not identify such observations (Chatterjee and Hadi 1988, 139).

Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch (1980) suggest that observations for which

\[ |\text{COVRATIO}_i - 1| \geq \frac{3k}{n} \]

are worthy of further examination.

**Example 7: COVRATIO influence measure**

Using our model of \( \text{price} \) on \( \text{weight} \) and \( \text{foreign##c.mpg} \), we can obtain the COVRATIO measure and list the observations outside the suggested cutoff by typing

```
. predict covr, covratio
. list make price e covr if abs(covr-1) >= 3*5/74, divider
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>make</th>
<th>price</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>covr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Cad. Eldorado</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>7271.96</td>
<td>.3814242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Cad. Seville</td>
<td>15,906</td>
<td>5036.348</td>
<td>.7386969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Linc. Versailles</td>
<td>13,466</td>
<td>6560.912</td>
<td>.4761695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Plym. Champ</td>
<td>4,425</td>
<td>1621.747</td>
<td>1.27782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53. Audi 5000</td>
<td>9,690</td>
<td>591.2883</td>
<td>1.206842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57. Datsun 210</td>
<td>4,589</td>
<td>19.81829</td>
<td>1.284801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64. Peugeot 604</td>
<td>12,990</td>
<td>1037.184</td>
<td>1.348219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66. Subaru</td>
<td>3,798</td>
<td>-909.5894</td>
<td>1.264677</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71. VW Diesel</td>
<td>5,397</td>
<td>999.7209</td>
<td>1.630653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74. Volvo 260</td>
<td>11,995</td>
<td>1327.668</td>
<td>1.211888</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The covratio option automatically restricts the prediction to the estimation sample.
margins

Description for margins

margins estimates margins of response for linear predictions.

Menu for margins

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for margins

margins [marginlist] [ , options ]
margins [marginlist] , predict(statistic ...) [options ]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>statistic</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>xb</td>
<td>linear prediction; the default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pr(a,b)</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e(a,b)</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ystar(a,b)</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>residuals</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>score</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rstandard</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rstudent</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cooksd</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leverage</td>
<td>hat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dfbeta(varname)</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stdp</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stdf</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stdr</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>covratio</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dfits</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>welsch</td>
<td>not allowed with margins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics not allowed with margins are functions of stochastic quantities other than e(b).
For the full syntax, see [R] margins.
DFBETA influence statistics

Description for dfbeta

dfbeta will calculate one, more than one, or all the DFBETAs after regress. Although predict will also calculate DFBETAs, predict can do this for only one variable at a time. dfbeta is a convenience tool for those who want to calculate DFBETAs for multiple variables. The names for the new variables created are chosen automatically and begin with the letters _dfbeta_.

Menu for dfbeta

Statistics > Linear models and related > Regression diagnostics > DFBETAs

Syntax for dfbeta

dfbeta [ indepvar [ indepvar [...] ] ] [, stub(name) ]

Option for dfbeta

stub(name) specifies the leading characters dfbeta uses to name the new variables to be generated. The default is stub(_dfbeta_).

Remarks and examples for dfbeta

DFBETAs are perhaps the most direct influence measure of interest to model builders. DFBETAs focus on one coefficient and measure the difference between the regression coefficient when the \(i\)th observation is included and excluded, the difference being scaled by the estimated standard error of the coefficient. Belsley, Kuh, and Welsch (1980, 28) suggest observations with \(|\text{DFBETA}_i| > 2/\sqrt{n}\) as deserving special attention, but it is also common practice to use 1 (Bollen and Jackman 1990, 267), meaning that the observation shifted the estimate at least one standard error.

Example 8: DFBETAs influence measure; the dfbeta() option

Using our model of price on weight and foreign##c.mpg, let’s first ask which observations have the greatest impact on the determination of the coefficient on 1.foreign. We will use the suggested \(2/\sqrt{n}\) cutoff:

```
use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/auto, clear
(1978 Automobile Data)
.regress price weight foreign##c.mpg
```

(output omitted)
. sort foreign make
. predict dfor, dfbeta(1.foreign)
. list make price foreign dfor if abs(dfor) > 2/sqrt(74), divider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>make</th>
<th>price</th>
<th>foreign</th>
<th>dfor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Cad. Eldorado</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>-.5290519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Cad. Seville</td>
<td>15,906</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>.8243419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Linc. Versailles</td>
<td>13,466</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>-.5283729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Plym. Arrow</td>
<td>4,647</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>-.6622424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Plym. Champ</td>
<td>4,425</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>.2371104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64. Peugeot 604</td>
<td>12,990</td>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>.2552032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69. Toyota Corona</td>
<td>5,719</td>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>-.256431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Cadillac Seville shifted the coefficient on 1.foreign 0.82 standard deviations!

Now let us ask which observations have the greatest effect on the mpg coefficient:

. predict dmpg, dfbeta(mpg)
. list make price mpg dmpg if abs(dmpg) > 2/sqrt(74), divider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>make</th>
<th>price</th>
<th>mpg</th>
<th>dmpg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Cad. Eldorado</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-.5970351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Cad. Seville</td>
<td>15,906</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.134269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. Linc. Versailles</td>
<td>13,466</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-.6069287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42. Plym. Arrow</td>
<td>4,647</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-.8925859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43. Plym. Champ</td>
<td>4,425</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>.3186909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once again, we see the Cadillac Seville heading the list, indicating that our regression results may be dominated by this one car.

Example 9: DFBETAs influence measure; the dfbeta command

We can use predict, dfbeta() or the dfbeta command to generate the DFBETAs. dfbeta makes up names for the new variables automatically and, without arguments, generates the DFBETAs for all the variables in the regression:

. dfbeta

    _dfbeta_1: dfbeta(weight)
    _dfbeta_2: dfbeta(1.foreign)
    _dfbeta_3: dfbeta(mpg)
    _dfbeta_4: dfbeta(1.foreign#c.mpg)

dfbeta created four new variables in our dataset: _dfbeta_1, containing the DFBETAs for weight; _dfbeta_2, containing the DFBETAs for mpg; and so on. Had we wanted only the DFBETAs for mpg and weight, we might have typed

. dfbeta mpg weight

    _dfbeta_5: dfbeta(weight)
    _dfbeta_6: dfbeta(mpg)

In the example above, we typed dfbeta mpg weight instead of dfbeta; if we had typed dfbeta followed by dfbeta mpg weight, here is what would have happened:
Tests for violation of assumptions

Description for estat hettest

`estat hettest` performs three versions of the Breusch–Pagan (1979) and Cook–Weisberg (1983) test for heteroskedasticity. All three versions of this test present evidence against the null hypothesis that $t = 0$ in $\text{Var}(e) = \sigma^2 \exp(zt)$. In the `normal` version, performed by default, the null hypothesis also includes the assumption that the regression disturbances are independent-normal draws with variance $\sigma^2$. The normality assumption is dropped from the null hypothesis in the `iid` and `fstat` versions, which respectively produce the score and $F$ tests discussed in `Methods and formulas`. If `varlist` is not specified, the fitted values are used for $z$. If `varlist` or the `rhs` option is specified, the variables specified are used for $z$.

Menu for estat

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for estat hettest

```
estat hettest [varlist] [, rhs [normal|iid|fstat] mtest[(spec)]]
```

Options for estat hettest

- `rhs` specifies that tests for heteroskedasticity be performed for the right-hand-side (explanatory) variables of the fitted regression model. The `rhs` option may be combined with a `varlist`.
- `normal`, the default, causes `estat hettest` to compute the original Breusch–Pagan/Cook–Weisberg test, which assumes that the regression disturbances are normally distributed.
- `iid` causes `estat hettest` to compute the $N \times R^2$ version of the score test that drops the normality assumption.
- `fstat` causes `estat hettest` to compute the $F$-statistic version that drops the normality assumption.
\texttt{mtest[\,(spec)\,]} specifies that multiple testing be performed. The argument specifies how \( p \)-values are adjusted. The following specifications, \textit{spec}, are supported:

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\textbf{bonferroni} & Bonferroni’s multiple testing adjustment \\
\textbf{holm} & Holm’s multiple testing adjustment \\
\textbf{sidak} & Šidák’s multiple testing adjustment \\
\textbf{noadjust} & no adjustment is made for multiple testing
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

\texttt{mtest} may be specified without an argument. This is equivalent to specifying \texttt{mtest(noadjust)}; that is, tests for the individual variables should be performed with unadjusted \( p \)-values. By default, \texttt{estat hettest} does not perform multiple testing. \texttt{mtest} may not be specified with \texttt{iid} or \texttt{fstat}.

**Description for estat imtest**

\texttt{estat imtest} performs an information matrix test for the regression model and an orthogonal decomposition into tests for heteroskedasticity, skewness, and kurtosis due to Cameron and Trivedi (1990); White’s test for homoskedasticity against unrestricted forms of heteroskedasticity (1980) is available as an option. White’s test is usually similar to the first term of the Cameron–Trivedi decomposition.

**Menu for estat**

Statistics \> Postestimation

**Syntax for estat imtest**

\texttt{estat imtest [\,, \, \text{preserve white}]} 

**Options for estat imtest**

\texttt{preserve} specifies that the data in memory be preserved, all variables and cases that are not needed in the calculations be dropped, and at the conclusion the original data be restored. This option is costly for large datasets. However, because \texttt{estat imtest} has to perform an auxiliary regression on \( k(k+1)/2 \) temporary variables, where \( k \) is the number of regressors, it may not be able to perform the test otherwise.

\texttt{white} specifies that White’s original heteroskedasticity test also be performed.

**Description for estat ovtest**

\texttt{estat ovtest} performs two versions of the Ramsey (1969) regression specification-error test (RESET) for omitted variables. This test amounts to fitting \( y = xb + zt + u \) and then testing \( t = 0 \). If the \texttt{rhs} option is not specified, powers of the fitted values are used for \( z \). If \texttt{rhs} is specified, powers of the individual elements of \( x \) are used.
Menu for estat

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for estat ovtest

```
estat ovtest [, rhs]
```

Option for estat ovtest

`rhs` specifies that powers of the right-hand-side (explanatory) variables be used in the test rather than powers of the fitted values.

Description for estat szroeter

```
estat szroeter performs Szroeter’s rank test for heteroskedasticity for each of the variables in `varlist` or for the explanatory variables of the regression if `rhs` is specified.
```

Menu for estat

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for estat szroeter

```
estat szroeter [ `varlist` ] [, `rhs` `mtest(spec)` ]
```

Either `varlist` or `rhs` must be specified.

Options for estat szroeter

`rhs` specifies that tests for heteroskedasticity be performed for the right-hand-side (explanatory) variables of the fitted regression model. The `rhs` option may be combined with a `varlist`.

`mtest(spec)` specifies that multiple testing be performed. The argument specifies how p-values are adjusted. The following specifications, `spec`, are supported:

- `bonferroni` Bonferroni’s multiple testing adjustment
- `holm` Holm’s multiple testing adjustment
- `sidak` Šidák’s multiple testing adjustment
- `noadjust` no adjustment is made for multiple testing

`estat szroeter` always performs multiple testing. By default, it does not adjust the p-values.
Remarks and examples for estat hettest, estat imtest, estat ovtest, and estat szroeter

We introduce some regression diagnostic commands that are designed to test for certain violations that rvfplot (see [R] regress postestimation diagnostic plots) less formally attempts to detect. estat ovtest provides Ramsey’s test for omitted variables—a pattern in the residuals. estat hettest provides a test for heteroskedasticity—the increasing or decreasing variation in the residuals with fitted values, with respect to the explanatory variables, or with respect to yet other variables. The score test implemented in estat hettest (Breusch and Pagan 1979; Cook and Weisberg 1983) performs a score test of the null hypothesis that $b = 0$ against the alternative hypothesis of multiplicative heteroskedasticity. estat szroeter provides a rank test for heteroskedasticity, which is an alternative to the score test computed by estat hettest. Finally, estat imtest computes an information matrix test, including an orthogonal decomposition into tests for heteroskedasticity, skewness, and kurtosis (Cameron and Trivedi 1990). The heteroskedasticity test computed by estat imtest is similar to the general test for heteroskedasticity that was proposed by White (1980). Cameron and Trivedi (2010, chap. 3) discuss most of these tests and provides more examples.

Example 10: estat ovtest, estat hettest, estat szroeter, and estat imtest

We use our model of price on weight and foreign##c.mpg.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/auto, clear
    (1978 Automobile Data)
. regress price weight foreign##c.mpg
    (output omitted)
. estat ovtest
Ramsey RESET test using powers of the fitted values of price
    Ho: model has no omitted variables
    F(3, 66) = 7.77
    Prob > F = 0.0002

. estat hettest
Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity
    Ho: Constant variance
    Variables: fitted values of price
    chi2(1) = 6.50
    Prob > chi2 = 0.0108
```

Testing for heteroskedasticity in the right-hand-side variables is requested by specifying the rhs option. By specifying the mtest(bonferroni) option, we request that tests be conducted for each of the variables, with a Bonferroni adjustment for the $p$-values to accommodate our testing multiple hypotheses.
Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity
Ho: Constant variance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>chi2</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>15.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0004 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0525 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0106 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>6.02</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0566 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.mpg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>simultaneous</td>
<td>15.60</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Bonferroni-adjusted p-values

Szroeter's test for homoskedasticity
Ho: variance constant
Ha: variance monotonic in variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>chi2</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>17.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0001 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0131 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>11.45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0021 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0260 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.mpg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Holm-adjusted p-values

Finally, we request the information matrix test, which is a conditional moments test with second-, third-, and fourth-order moment conditions.

Cameron & Trivedi's decomposition of IM-test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>chi2</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heteroskedasticity</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32.87</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We find evidence for omitted variables, heteroskedasticity, and nonnormal skewness.

So, why bother with the various graphical commands when the tests seem so much easier to interpret? In part, it is a matter of taste: both are designed to uncover the same problem, and both are, in fact, going about it in similar ways. One is based on a formal calculation, whereas the other is based on personal judgment in evaluating a graph. On the other hand, the tests are seeking evidence of specific problems, whereas judgment is more general. The careful analyst will use both.

We performed the omitted-variable test first. Omitted variables are a more serious problem than heteroskedasticity or the violations of higher moment conditions tested by estat imtest. If this
were not a manual, having found evidence of omitted variables, we would never have run the \texttt{estat hettest}, \texttt{estat szroeter}, and \texttt{estat imtest} commands, at least not until we solved the omitted-variable problem.

\section*{Technical note}

\texttt{estat ovtest} and \texttt{estat hettest} both perform two flavors of their respective tests. By default, \texttt{estat ovtest} looks for evidence of omitted variables by fitting the original model augmented by $\hat{y}^2$, $\hat{y}^3$, and $\hat{y}^4$, which are the fitted values from the original model. Under the assumption of no misspecification, the coefficients on the powers of the fitted values will be zero. With the \texttt{rhs} option, \texttt{estat ovtest} instead augments the original model with powers (second through fourth) of the explanatory variables (except for dummy variables).

\texttt{estat hettest}, by default, looks for heteroskedasticity by modeling the variance as a function of the fitted values. If, however, we specify a variable or variables, the variance will be modeled as a function of the specified variables. In our example, if we had, a priori, some reason to suspect heteroskedasticity and that the heteroskedasticity is a function of a car’s weight, then using a test that focuses on weight would be more powerful than the more general tests such as White’s test or the first term in the Cameron–Trivedi decomposition test.

\texttt{estat hettest}, by default, computes the original Breusch–Pagan/Cook–Weisberg test, which includes the assumption of normally distributed errors. \textit{Koenker (1981)} derived an $\mathcal{N} \star R^2$ version of this test that drops the normality assumption. \textit{Wooldridge (2020, 270)} gives an $F$-statistic version that does not require the normality assumption.

\section*{Stored results for \texttt{estat hettest}, \texttt{estat imtest}, and \texttt{estat ovtest}}

\texttt{estat hettest} stores the following results for the (multivariate) score test in \texttt{r()}:  

\textbf{ Scalars}  
\begin{itemize}
  \item \texttt{r(chi2)} \quad \chi^2$ test statistic
  \item \texttt{r(df)} \quad \#df for the asymptotic $\chi^2$ distribution under $H_0$
  \item \texttt{r(p)} \quad p$-value
\end{itemize}

\texttt{estat hettest, fstat} stores results for the (multivariate) score test in \texttt{r()}: 

\textbf{ Scalars}  
\begin{itemize}
  \item \texttt{r(F)} \quad test statistic
  \item \texttt{r(df_m)} \quad \#df of the test for the $F$ distribution under $H_0$
  \item \texttt{r(df_r)} \quad \#df of the residuals for the $F$ distribution under $H_0$
  \item \texttt{r(p)} \quad p$-value
\end{itemize}

\texttt{estat hettest} (if \texttt{mtest} is specified) and \texttt{estat szroeter} store the following in \texttt{r()}: 

\textbf{ Matrices}  
\begin{itemize}
  \item \texttt{r(mtest)} \quad a matrix of test results, with rows corresponding to the univariate tests  
    \begin{itemize}
      \item \texttt{mtest[,1]} \quad $\chi^2$ test statistic
      \item \texttt{mtest[,2]} \quad \#df
      \item \texttt{mtest[,3]} \quad unadjusted $p$-value
      \item \texttt{mtest[,4]} \quad adjusted $p$-value (if an \texttt{mtest()} adjustment method is specified)
    \end{itemize}
\end{itemize}

\textbf{ Macros}  
\begin{itemize}
  \item \texttt{r(mtmethod)} \quad adjustment method for $p$-value
\end{itemize}
estat imtest stores the following in \( r() \):

Scalars
\[
\begin{align*}
  r(\text{chi2}_t) & \quad \text{IM-test statistic} \quad \left( = r(\text{chi2}_h) + r(\text{chi2}_s) + r(\text{chi2}_k) \right) \\
  r(\text{df}_t) & \quad \text{df for limiting } \chi^2 \text{ distribution under } H_0 \quad \left( = r(\text{df}_h) + r(\text{df}_s) + r(\text{df}_k) \right) \\
  r(\text{chi2}_h) & \quad \text{heteroskedasticity test statistic} \\
  r(\text{df}_h) & \quad \text{df for limiting } \chi^2 \text{ distribution under } H_0 \\
  r(\text{chi2}_s) & \quad \text{skewness test statistic} \\
  r(\text{df}_s) & \quad \text{df for limiting } \chi^2 \text{ distribution under } H_0 \\
  r(\text{chi2}_k) & \quad \text{kurtosis test statistic} \\
  r(\text{df}_k) & \quad \text{df for limiting } \chi^2 \text{ distribution under } H_0 \\
  r(\text{chi2}_w) & \quad \text{White’s heteroskedasticity test (if white specified)} \\
  r(\text{df}_w) & \quad \text{df for limiting } \chi^2 \text{ distribution under } H_0
\end{align*}
\]

estat ovtest stores the following in \( r() \):

Scalars
\[
\begin{align*}
  r(p) & \quad \text{two-sided } p\text{-value} \\
  r(F) & \quad F \text{ statistic} \\
  r(\text{df}) & \quad \text{degrees of freedom} \\
  r(\text{df}_r) & \quad \text{residual degrees of freedom}
\end{align*}
\]

Variance inflation factors

Description for estat vif

\texttt{estat vif} calculates the centered or uncentered variance inflation factors (VIFs) for the independent variables specified in a linear regression model.

Menu for estat

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for estat vif

\texttt{estat vif [, uncentered]}

Option for estat vif

\texttt{uncentered} requests that the computation of the uncentered variance inflation factors. This option is often used to detect the collinearity of the regressors with the constant. \texttt{estat vif, uncentered} may be used after regression models fit without the constant term.

Remarks and examples for estat vif

Problems arise in regression when the predictors are highly correlated. In this situation, there may be a significant change in the regression coefficients if you add or delete an independent variable. The estimated standard errors of the fitted coefficients are inflated, or the estimated coefficients may not be statistically significant even though a statistical relation exists between the dependent and independent variables.
Data analysts rely on these facts to check informally for the presence of multicollinearity. `estat vif`, another command for use after `regress`, calculates the variance inflation factors and tolerances for each of the independent variables.

The output shows the variance inflation factors together with their reciprocals. Some analysts compare the reciprocals with a predetermined tolerance. In the comparison, if the reciprocal of the VIF is smaller than the tolerance, the associated predictor variable is removed from the regression model. However, most analysts rely on informal rules of thumb applied to the VIF; see Chatterjee and Hadi (2012). According to these rules, there is evidence of multicollinearity if

1. The largest VIF is greater than 10 (some choose a more conservative threshold value of 30).
2. The mean of all the VIFs is considerably larger than 1.

### Example 11: estat vif

We examine a regression model fit using the ubiquitous automobile dataset:

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/auto
(1978 Automobile Data)
. regress price mpg rep78 trunk headroom length turn displ gear_ratio
Number of obs = 69
F(8, 60) = 6.33
Prob > F = 0.0000
R-squared = 0.4579
Adj R-squared = 0.3856
Root MSE = 2282.9

 price Coef. Std. Err. t P>|t| [95% Conf. Interval]
mpg -144.84 82.12751 -1.76 0.083 -309.1195 19.43948
rep78 727.5783 337.6107 2.16 0.035 52.25638 1402.9
trunk 44.02061 108.141 0.41 0.685 -172.2935 260.3347
headroom -807.0996 435.5802 -1.85 0.069 -1678.39 64.19062
length -8.688914 34.89848 -0.25 0.804 -78.49626 61.11843
turn -177.9064 137.3455 -1.30 0.200 -452.6383 96.82551
displacement 30.73146 7.576952 4.06 0.000 15.5753 45.88762
gear_ratio 1500.119 1110.959 1.35 0.182 -722.1303 3722.368
_cons 6691.976 7457.906 0.90 0.373 -8226.058 21610.01

. estat vif

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VIF</th>
<th>1/VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>0.121614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>displ</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.153860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>turn</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>0.205997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gear_ratio</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.290068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>0.330171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trunk</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.347444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>headroom</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.554917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rep78</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.686147</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean VIF 4.02
```

The results are mixed. Although we have no VIFs greater than 10, the mean VIF is greater than 1, though not considerably so. We could continue the investigation of collinearity, but given that other authors advise that collinearity is a problem only when VIFs exist that are greater than 30 (contradicting our rule above), we will not do so here.
Example 12: estat vif, with strong evidence of multicollinearity

This example comes from a dataset described in Kutner, Nachtsheim, and Neter (2004, 257) that examines body fat as modeled by caliper measurements on the triceps, midarm, and thigh.

```
use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/bodyfat
(Body Fat)
.regress bodyfat tricep thigh midarm
```

```
                      Number of obs =  20
                     F(3,  16) =    21.52
                    Prob > F =    0.0000
                R-squared =   0.8014
             Adj R-squared =   0.7641
                      Total             Root MSE =    2.48

                                      Source |     SS  df   MS
grouped                      Number of obs =  20
                     -------------+------------------------------
                          Model |  396.984607     3  132.328202
                          Residual |   98.4049068    16  6.1503667
                          Total |  495.389513    19  26.073132
                      -------------+------------------------------
               bodyfat |     Coef.  Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
                  triceps |  4.334085    3.015511     1.44  0.170    -2.058512    10.72668
                  thigh | -2.856842    2.582015    -1.11  0.285   -8.330468    2.616785
                  midarm | -2.186056    1.595499    -1.37  0.190   -5.568362    1.19625
                 _cons |  117.0844    99.78238    1.17  0.258   -94.44474   328.6136

.estat vif
```

```
                      Variable |     VIF   1/VIF
                  triceps |   708.84 0.001411
                  thigh  |   564.34 0.001772
                  midarm |   104.61 0.009560
                      -------------+------------------------------
                           Mean VIF |   459.26
```

Here we see strong evidence of multicollinearity in our model. More investigation reveals that the measurements on the thigh and the triceps are highly correlated:

```
.correlate triceps thigh midarm
(obs=20)
```

```
          triceps |    thigh |    midarm
----------+-----------+-----------
   triceps |     1.0000
   thigh   |     0.9238     1.0000
  midarm   |     0.4578     0.0847     1.0000
```

If we remove the predictor `triceps` from the model (because it had the highest VIF), we get

```
.regress bodyfat thigh midarm
```

```
                      Number of obs =  20
                     F(2,  17) =    29.40
                    Prob > F =    0.0000
                R-squared =   0.7757
             Adj R-squared =   0.7493
                      Total             Root MSE =    2.56

                                      Source |     SS  df   MS
grouped                      Number of obs =  20
                     -------------+------------------------------
                          Model |  384.279748     2  192.139874
                          Residual |   111.109765    17  6.53586854
                          Total |  495.389513    19  26.073132
               bodyfat |     Coef.  Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
                  thigh |   0.8508818    0.1124482    7.57   0.000    0.6136367    1.088127
                  midarm |   0.0960295    0.1613927    0.60   0.560   -0.2444792    0.4365383
                 _cons | -25.996964    6.997323   -3.72   0.002   -40.76001   -11.2339
```

>`
Note how the coefficients change and how the estimated standard errors for each of the regression coefficients become much smaller. The calculated value of $R^2$ for the overall regression for the subset model does not appreciably decline when we remove the correlated predictor. Removing an independent variable from the model is one way to deal with multicollinearity. Other methods include ridge regression, weighted least squares, and restricting the use of the fitted model to data that follow the same pattern of multicollinearity. In economic studies, it is sometimes possible to estimate the regression coefficients from different subsets of the data by using cross-section and time series.

All examples above demonstrated the use of centered VIFs. As pointed out by Belsley (1991), the centered VIFs may fail to discover collinearity involving the constant term. One solution is to use the uncentered VIFs instead. According to the definition of the uncentered VIFs, the constant is viewed as a legitimate explanatory variable in a regression model, which allows one to obtain the VIF value for the constant term.

**Example 13: estat vif, with strong evidence of collinearity with the constant term**

Consider the extreme example in which one of the regressors is highly correlated with the constant. We simulate the data and examine both centered and uncentered VIF diagnostics after fitted regression model as follows.
According to the values of the centered VIFs (1.03, 1.03, 1.00), no harmful collinearity is detected in the model. However, by the construction of these simulated data, we know that \texttt{one} is highly collinear with the constant term. As such, the large values of uncentered VIFs for \texttt{one} (402.94) and \texttt{intercept} (401.26) reveal high collinearity of the variable \texttt{one} with the constant term.

### Measures of effect size

#### Description for estat esize

\texttt{estat esize} calculates effect sizes for linear models after \texttt{regress} or \texttt{anova}. By default, \texttt{estat esize} reports $\eta^2$ (eta-squared) estimates (Kerlinger and Lee 2000), which are equivalent to $R^2$ estimates. If the option \texttt{epsilon} is specified, \texttt{estat esize} reports $\epsilon^2$ estimates (Grissom and Kim 2012). If the option \texttt{omega} is specified, \texttt{estat esize} reports $\omega^2$ estimates (Grissom and Kim 2012). Both $\epsilon^2$ and $\omega^2$ are adjusted $R^2$ estimates. Confidence intervals for $\eta^2$ estimates are estimated by using the noncentral $F$ distribution (Smithson 2001). See Kline (2013) or Thompson (2006) for further information.

#### Menu for estat

Statistics $\rightarrow$ Postestimation

#### Syntax for estat esize

\texttt{estat esize [ , epsilon omega level(#)]}

#### Options for estat esize

- \texttt{epsilon} specifies that the $\epsilon^2$ estimates of effect size be reported. The default is $\eta^2$ estimates.
- \texttt{omega} specifies that the $\omega^2$ estimates of effect size be reported. The default is $\eta^2$ estimates.
- \texttt{level(#)} specifies the confidence level, as a percentage, for confidence intervals. The default is 95 or as set by \texttt{set level}; see [U] 20.8 Specifying the width of confidence intervals.
Remarks and examples for estat esize

Whereas $p$-values are used to assess the statistical significance of a result, measures of effect size are used to assess the practical significance of a result. Effect sizes can be broadly categorized as “measures of group differences” (the $d$ family) and “measures of association” (the $r$ family); see Ellis (2010, table 1.1). The $d$ family includes estimators such as Cohen’s $D$, Hedges’s $G$, and Glass’s $\Delta$ (also see [R] esize). The $r$ family includes estimators such as the point-biserial correlation coefficient, $\eta^2$, $\epsilon^2$, and $\omega^2$. For an introduction to the concepts and calculation of effect sizes, see Kline (2013) or Thompson (2006). For a more detailed discussion, see Kirk (1996), Ellis (2010), Cumming (2012), Grissom and Kim (2012), and Kelley and Preacher (2012).

Example 14: Calculating effect sizes for a linear regression model

Suppose we fit a linear regression model for low-birthweight infants.

```
use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/lbw
regress bwt smoke i.race
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>Number of obs = 189</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>12346897.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4115632.54</td>
<td>F(3, 185) = 8.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>87568400.9</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>473342.708</td>
<td>Prob &gt; F = 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99915298.6</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>531464.354</td>
<td>R-squared = 0.1236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We can use the `estat esize` command to calculate $\eta^2$ for the entire model and a partial $\eta^2$ for each term in the model.

```
estat esize
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Eta-Squared</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>.1235736</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.0399862 .2041365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoke</td>
<td>.0769345</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.0193577 .1579213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>race</td>
<td>.0908394</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.0233037 .1700334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>3334.858</td>
<td></td>
<td>91.74301 36.35 0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We can use the `estat esize` command to calculate $\eta^2$ for the entire model and a partial $\eta^2$ for each term in the model.

```
estat esize
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Eta-Squared</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>.1235736</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.0399862 .2041365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoke</td>
<td>.0769345</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.0193577 .1579213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>race</td>
<td>.0908394</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.0233037 .1700334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Eta-Squared values for individual model terms are partial.

The overall model effect size is 0.124. This means that roughly 12.4% of the variation in `bwt` is explained by the model. The partial effect size for `smoke` is 0.077. This means that roughly 7.7% of the variation in `bwt` is explained by `smoke` after you remove the variation explained by all other terms.
The omega option causes `estat esize` to report $\omega^2$ and partial $\omega^2$.

```
. estat esize, omega
```

**Effect sizes for linear models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Omega-Squared</th>
<th>df</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>.1088457</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoke</td>
<td>.0715877</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>race</td>
<td>.0806144</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Omega-Squared values for individual model terms are partial.

**Example 15: Calculating effect size for an ANOVA model**

We can use `estat esize` after ANOVA models as well.

```
. anova bwt smoke race
```

```
Number of obs = 189   R-squared = 0.1236
Root MSE = 687.999   Adj R-squared = 0.1094

Source | Partial SS | df | MS | F    | Prob>F |
--------|------------|----|----|------|--------|
Model   | 12346898   | 3  | 4115632.5 | 8.69 | 0.0000 |
smoke   | 7298536.6  | 1  | 7298536.6 | 15.42| 0.0001 |
race    | 8749453.3  | 2  | 4374726.6 | 9.24 | 0.0001 |
Residual| 87568401   | 185| 473342.71 |
Total   | 99915299   | 188| 531464.35 |
```

```
. estat esize
```

**Effect sizes for linear models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Eta-Squared</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>.1235736</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.0399862 .2041365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>smoke</td>
<td>.0769345</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.0193577 .1579213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>race</td>
<td>.0908394</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>.0233037 .1700334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Eta-Squared values for individual model terms are partial.

**Technical note**

$\eta^2$ was developed in the context of analysis of variance. Thus, the published research on the calculation of confidence intervals focuses on cases where the numerator degrees of freedom are relatively small (for example, df < 20).

Some combinations of the $F$ statistic, numerator degrees of freedom, and denominator degrees of freedom yield confidence limits that do not contain the corresponding estimated value for an $\eta^2$. This problem is most commonly observed for larger numerator degrees of freedom.

Nothing in the literature suggests alternative methods for constructing confidence intervals in such cases; therefore, we recommend cautious interpretation of confidence intervals for $\eta^2$ when the numerator degrees of freedom are greater than 20.
Stored results for estat esize

`estat esize` stores the following results in `r()`:

 Scalars
 `r(level)`  confidence level

 Matrices
 `r(esize)`  a matrix of effect sizes, confidence intervals, degrees of freedom, and $F$ statistics with rows corresponding to each term in the model

 `esize[.,1]`  $\eta^2$
 `esize[.,2]`  lower confidence bound for $\eta^2$
 `esize[.,3]`  upper confidence bound for $\eta^2$
 `esize[.,4]`  $\varepsilon^2$
 `esize[.,5]`  $\omega^2$
 `esize[.,6]`  numerator degrees of freedom
 `esize[.,7]`  denominator degrees of freedom
 `esize[.,8]`  $F$ statistic

Methods and formulas

See Hamilton (2013, chap. 7), Kohler and Kreuter (2012, sec. 9.3), or Baum (2006, chap. 5) for an overview of using Stata to perform regression diagnostics. See Peracchi (2001, chap. 8) for a mathematically rigorous discussion of diagnostics.

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

`predict`

`Special-interest postestimation commands`

`predict`

Assume that you have already fit the regression model

$$ y = Xb + e $$

where $X$ is $n \times k$.

Denote the previously estimated coefficient vector by $b$ and its estimated variance matrix by $V$. `predict` works by recalling various aspects of the model, such as $b$, and combining that information with the data currently in memory. Let $x_j$ be the $j$th observation currently in memory, and let $s^2$ be the mean squared error of the regression.

If the user specified weights in `regress`, then $X'X$ in the following formulas is replaced by $X'DX$, where $D$ is defined in `Weighted regression` under `Methods and formulas` in [R] `regress`.

Let $V = s^2(X'X)^{-1}$. Let $k$ be the number of independent variables including the intercept, if any, and let $y_j$ be the observed value of the dependent variable.

The predicted value (xb option) is defined as $\hat{y}_j = x_j b$.

Let $\ell_j$ represent a lower bound for an observation $j$ and $u_j$ represent an upper bound. The probability that $y_j | x_j$ would be observed in the interval $(\ell_j, u_j)$—the `pr(\ell, u)` option—is

$$ P(\ell_j, u_j) = \Pr(\ell_j < x_j b + e_j < u_j) = \Phi\left(\frac{u_j - \hat{y}_j}{s}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\ell_j - \hat{y}_j}{s}\right) $$

where for the `pr(\ell, u)`, `e(\ell, u)`, and `ystar(\ell, u)` options, $\ell_j$ and $u_j$ can be anywhere in the range $(-\infty, +\infty)$. 
The option \( e(\ell, u) \) computes the expected value of \( y_j|x_j \) conditional on \( y_j|x_j \) being in the interval \((\ell_j, u_j)\), that is, when \( y_j|x_j \) is truncated. It can be expressed as

\[
E(\ell_j, u_j) = E(x_j b + e_j | \ell_j < x_j b + e_j < u_j) = \hat{y}_j - s \frac{\phi \left( \frac{\ell_j - \hat{y}_j}{s} \right) - \phi \left( \frac{u_j - \hat{y}_j}{s} \right)}{\Phi \left( \frac{\ell_j - \hat{y}_j}{s} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{u_j - \hat{y}_j}{s} \right)}
\]

where \( \phi \) is the normal density and \( \Phi \) is the cumulative normal.

You can also compute \( y^{\ast} (\ell, u) \)—the expected value of \( y_j|x_j \), where \( y_j \) is assumed censored at \( \ell_j \) and \( u_j \):

\[
y_j^{\ast} = \begin{cases} 
\ell_j & \text{if } x_j b + e_j \leq \ell_j \\
x_j b + e_j & \text{if } \ell_j < x_j b + e_j < u_j \\
u_j & \text{if } x_j b + e_j \geq u_j
\end{cases}
\]

This computation can be expressed in several ways, but the most intuitive formulation involves a combination of the two statistics just defined:

\[
y_j^{\ast} = P(-\infty, \ell_j) \ell_j + P(\ell_j, u_j) E(\ell_j, u_j) + P(u_j, +\infty) u_j
\]

A diagonal element of the projection matrix (hat) or (leverage) is given by

\[
h_j = x_j (X'X)^{-1} x_j'
\]

The standard error of the prediction (the stdp option) is defined as \( s_{pj} = \sqrt{x_j'Vx_j'} \)

and can also be written as \( s_{pj} = s \sqrt{h_j} \).

The standard error of the forecast (stdf) is defined as \( s_{fj} = s \sqrt{1 + h_j} \).

The standard error of the residual (stdr) is defined as \( s_{rj} = s \sqrt{1 - h_j} \).

The residuals (residuals) are defined as \( \hat{e}_j = y_j - \hat{y}_j \).

The standardized residuals (rstandard) are defined as \( \hat{e}_{sj} = \hat{e}_j / s_{rj} \).

The Studentized residuals (rstudent) are defined as

\[
r_j = \frac{\hat{e}_j}{s_{(j)} \sqrt{1 - h_j}}
\]

where \( s_{(j)} \) represents the root mean squared error with the \( j \)th observation removed, which is given by

\[
s_{(j)}^2 = \frac{s^2(n - k)}{n - k - 1} - \frac{\hat{e}_j^2}{(n - k - 1)(1 - h_j)}
\]

where \( n \) is the number of observations and \( k \) is the number of right-hand-side variables (including the constant).

Cook’s \( D \) (cooksd) is given by

\[
D_j = \frac{\hat{e}_{sj}^2(s_{pj} / s_{rj})^2}{k} = \frac{h_j \hat{e}_j^2}{ks^2(1 - h_j)^2}
\]
DFITS (dfits) is given by

$$DFITS_j = r_j \sqrt{\frac{h_j}{1 - h_j}}$$

Welsch distance (welsch) is given by

$$W_j = \frac{r_j \sqrt{h_j(n - 1)}}{1 - h_j}$$

COVRATIO (covratio) is given by

$$COVRATIO_j = \frac{1}{1 - h_j} \left( \frac{n - k - \hat{e}_j^2}{n - k - 1} \right)^k$$

The DFBETAs (dfbeta) for a particular regressor $x_i$ are given by

$$DFBETA_j = \frac{r_j u_j}{\sqrt{U^2(1 - h_j)}}$$

where $u_j$ are the residuals obtained from a regression of $x_i$ on the remaining $x$’s and $U^2 = \sum_j u_j^2$.

Special-interest postestimation commands

The omitted-variable test (Ramsey 1969) reported by estat ovtest fits the regression $y_i = x_i b + z_i t + u_i$ and then performs a standard $F$ test of $t = 0$. The default test uses $z_i = (\hat{y}_i^2, \hat{y}_i^3, \hat{y}_i^4)$. If rhs is specified, $z_i = (x_{i,1}^2, x_{i,1}^3, x_{i,1}^4, x_{i,2}^2, \ldots, x_{i,m}^4)$. In either case, the variables are normalized to have minimum 0 and maximum 1 before powers are calculated.

The test for heteroskedasticity (Breusch and Pagan 1979; Cook and Weisberg 1983) models $\text{Var}(e_i) = \sigma^2 \exp(zt)$, where $z$ is a variable list specified by the user, the list of right-hand-side variables, or the fitted values $x\hat{\beta}$. The test is of $t = 0$. Mechanically, estat hettest fits the augmented regression $\hat{e}_i^2/\hat{\sigma}^2 = a + z_i t + v_i$.

The original Breusch–Pagan/Cook–Weisberg version of the test assumes that the $e_i$ are normally distributed under the null hypothesis which implies that the score test statistic $S$ is equal to the model sum of squares from the augmented regression divided by 2. Under the null hypothesis, $S$ has the $\chi^2$ distribution with $m$ degrees of freedom, where $m$ is the number of columns of $z$.

Koenker (1981) derived a score test of the null hypothesis that $t = 0$ under the assumption that the $e_i$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.). Koenker showed that $S = N * R^2$ has a large-sample $\chi^2$ distribution with $m$ degrees of freedom, where $N$ is the number of observations and $R^2$ is the $R$-squared in the augmented regression and $m$ is the number of columns of $z$. estat hettest, iid produces this version of the test.

Wooldridge (2020, 270) showed that an $F$ test of $t = 0$ in the augmented regression can also be used under the assumption that the $e_i$ are i.i.d. estat hettest, fstat produces this version of the test.

Szroeter’s class of tests for homoskedasticity against the alternative that the residual variance increases in some variable $x$ is defined in terms of

$$H = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i) e_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2}$$
where \( h(x) \) is some weight function that increases in \( x \) (Szroeter 1978). \( H \) is a weighted average of the \( h(x) \), with the squared residuals serving as weights. Under homoskedasticity, \( H \) should be approximately equal to the unweighted average of \( h(x) \). Large values of \( H \) suggest that \( e_i^2 \) tends to be large where \( h(x) \) is large; that is, the variance indeed increases in \( x \), whereas small values of \( H \) suggest that the variance actually decreases in \( x \). \texttt{estat szroeter} uses \( h(x_i) = \text{rank}(x_i \text { in x}_1 \ldots \text{x}_n) \); see Judge et al. [1985, 452] for details. \texttt{estat szroeter} displays a normalized version of \( H \),

\[
Q = \sqrt{\frac{6n}{n^2 - 1}} H
\]

which is approximately \( N(0, 1) \) distributed under the null (homoskedasticity).

\texttt{estat hettest} and \texttt{estat szroeter} provide adjustments of \( p \)-values for multiple testing. The supported methods are described in \texttt{[R] test}.

\texttt{estat imtest} performs the information matrix test for the regression model, as well as an orthogonal decomposition into tests for heteroskedasticity \( \delta_1 \), nonnormal skewness \( \delta_2 \), and nonnormal kurtosis \( \delta_3 \) (Cameron and Trivedi 1990; Long and Trivedi 1993). The decomposition is obtained via three auxiliary regressions. Let \( e \) be the regression residuals, \( \hat{\sigma}^2 \) be the maximum likelihood estimate of \( \sigma^2 \) in the regression, \( n \) be the number of observations, \( X \) be the set of \( k \) variables specified with \texttt{estat imtest}, and \( R_{\text{un}}^2 \) be the uncentered \( R^2 \) from a regression. \( \delta_1 \) is obtained as \( nR_{\text{un}}^2 \) from a regression of \( e^2 - \hat{\sigma}^2 \) on the cross products of the variables in \( X \). \( \delta_2 \) is computed as \( nR_{\text{un}}^2 \) from a regression of \( e^3 - 3\hat{\sigma}^2 e \) on \( X \). Finally, \( \delta_3 \) is obtained as \( nR_{\text{un}}^2 \) from a regression of \( e^4 - 6\hat{\sigma}^2 e^2 - 3\hat{\sigma}^4 \) on \( X \). \( \delta_1 \), \( \delta_2 \), and \( \delta_3 \) are asymptotically \( \chi^2 \) distributed with \( 1/2k(k+1) \), \( K \), and 1 degree of freedom. The information test statistic \( \hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}_1 + \hat{\delta}_2 + \hat{\delta}_3 \) is asymptotically \( \chi^2 \) distributed with \( 1/2k(k+3) \) degrees of freedom. White’s test for heteroskedasticity is computed as \( nR^2 \) from a regression of \( \hat{\mu}^2 \) on \( X \) and the cross products of the variables in \( X \). This test statistic is usually close to \( \delta_1 \).

\texttt{estat vif} calculates the centered variance inflation factor (\( VIF_c \)) (Chatterjee and Hadi 2012, 248–251) for \( x_j \), given by

\[
VIF_c(x_j) = \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{R}_j^2}
\]

where \( \tilde{R}_j^2 \) is the square of the centered multiple correlation coefficient that results when \( x_j \) is regressed with intercept against all the other explanatory variables.

The uncentered variance inflation factor (\( VIF_{uc} \)) (Belsley 1991, 28–29) for \( x_j \) is given by

\[
VIF_{uc}(x_j) = \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{R}_j^2}
\]

where \( \tilde{R}_j^2 \) is the square of the uncentered multiple correlation coefficient that results when \( x_j \) is regressed without intercept against all the other explanatory variables including the constant term.

The methods and formulas for \texttt{estat esize} are described in \texttt{Methods and formulas} of \texttt{[R] esize}.
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