n icom — Nonlinea	r combinations	of	parameters
--------------------------	----------------	----	------------

Description	Quick start	Menu	Syntax
Options	Remarks and examples	Stored results	Methods and formulas
References	Also see		

Description

nlcom computes point estimates, standard errors, test statistics, significance levels, and confidence intervals for (possibly) nonlinear combinations of parameter estimates after any Stata estimation command, including survey estimation. Results are displayed in the usual table format used for displaying estimation results. Standard error calculations are based on the "delta method", an approximation appropriate in large samples.

Quick start

```
Estimate the ratio of the coefficient of x2 to the coefficient of x1
```

```
nlcom _b[x2]/_b[x1]
```

Also estimate the ratio of the coefficient of x3 to coefficient of x1 nlcom (_b[x2]/_b[x1]) (_b[x3]/_b[x1])

Add labels to the ratios

nlcom (r21:_b[x2]/_b[x1]) (r31:_b[x3]/_b[x1])

Same as above, but post estimates and use the test command to test that both ratios are equal to 1
nlcom (r21:_b[x2]/_b[x1]) (r31:_b[x3]/_b[x1]), post
test (r21 = 1) (r31 = 1)

Estimate the ratio of the coefficients of factor indicators 2.a and 3.a nlcom_b[2.a]/_b[3.a]

Estimate the ratio of the coefficients of x1 in the equations for y1 and y2 in a multiequation model nlcom_b[y1:x1]/_b[y2:x1]

Menu

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax

Nonlinear combination of parameters-one expression

nlcom [name:]exp [, options]

Nonlinear combinations of parameters-more than one expression

nlcom ([name:]exp) [([name:]exp)...][, options]

options	Description
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
<u>iter</u> ate(#)	maximum number of iterations
display_options	control column formats and line width
post	post estimation results
eform	report exponentiated estimates; column title is "exp(b)"
eform(<i>string</i>)	report exponentiated estimates; column title is "string"
<u>nohead</u> er	suppress output header
df(#)	use t distribution with $\#$ degrees of freedom for computing p-values and confidence intervals

collect is allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

Only one of eform or eform() is allowed.

noheader and df (#) do not appear in the dialog box.

The second syntax means that if more than one expression is specified, each must be surrounded by parentheses. The optional *name* is any valid Stata name and labels the transformations.

exp is a possibly nonlinear expression containing

_b[coef] _b[eqno:coef] [eqno]coef [eqno]_b[coef]

eqno is

name

coef identifies a coefficient in the model. *coef* is typically a variable name, a level indicator, an interaction indicator, or an interaction involving continuous variables. Level indicators identify one level of a factor variable and interaction indicators identify one combination of levels of an interaction; see [U] **11.4.3 Factor variables**. *coef* may contain time-series operators; see [U] **11.4.4 Time-series variables**.

Distinguish between [], which are to be typed, and [], which indicate optional arguments.

Options

level(#) specifies the confidence level, as a percentage, for confidence intervals. The default is level(95) or as set by set level; see [U] 20.8 Specifying the width of confidence intervals.

- iterate(#) specifies the maximum number of iterations used to find the optimal step size in calculating numerical derivatives of the transformation(s) with respect to the original parameters. By default, the maximum number of iterations is 100, but convergence is usually achieved after only a few iterations. You should rarely have to use this option.
- display_options: cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt), sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.
- post causes nlcom to behave like a Stata estimation (eclass) command. When post is specified, nlcom will post the vector of transformed parameters and its estimated variance-covariance matrix to e(). This option, in essence, makes the transformation permanent. Thus you could, after posting, treat the transformed estimation results in the same way as you would treat results from other Stata estimation commands. For example, after posting, you could redisplay the results by typing nlcom without any arguments, or use test to perform simultaneous tests of hypotheses on linear combinations of the transformed parameters; see [R] test.

Specifying post clears out the previous estimation results, which can be recovered only by refitting the original model or by storing the estimation results before running nlcom and then restoring them; see [R] estimates store.

eform and eform (*string*) specify that the table of estimates be displayed in exponentiated form: for each estimate, e^b rather than b is displayed, where b is the nonlinear combination of parameters. eform labels the exponentiated results exp(b), whereas eform(*string*) uses *string* to label them. Standard errors and confidence intervals are also transformed; see [R] *eform_option*.

The following options are available with nlcom but are not shown in the dialog box:

noheader suppresses the output header.

df (#) specifies that the t distribution with # degrees of freedom be used for computing p-values and confidence intervals.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Introduction Basics Using the post option Reparameterizing ML estimates for univariate data nlcom versus eform

Introduction

nlcom and predictnl both use the delta method. They take nonlinear transformations of the estimated parameter vector from some fitted model and apply the delta method to calculate the variance, standard error, Wald test statistic, etc., of the transformations. nlcom is designed for functions of the parameters, and predictnl is designed for functions of the parameters and of the data, that is, for predictions.

nlcom generalizes lincom (see [R] lincom) in two ways. First, nlcom allows the transformations to be nonlinear. Second, nlcom can be used to simultaneously estimate many transformations (whether linear or nonlinear) and to obtain the estimated variance-covariance matrix of these transformations.

Basics

In [R] lincom, the following regression was performed:

- . use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/regress
- . regress y x1 x2 x3

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of o	bs =	148
Model Residual	3259.3561 1627.56282	3 144	1086.45203 11.3025196	F(3, 144) Prob > F R-squared	=	96.12 0.0000 0.6670
Total	4886.91892	147	33.2443464	- Adj R-squar L Root MSE	ed = =	0.6600 3.3619
У	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t [95%	conf.	interval]
x1 x2 x3 _cons	1.457113 2.221682 006139 36.10135	1.07461 .8610358 .0005543 4.382693	1.36 2.58 -11.08 8.24	0.17766 0.011 .519 0.000007 0.000 27.4	6934 7797 2345 3863	3.581161 3.923583 0050435 44.76407

Then, lincom was used to estimate the difference between the coefficients of x1 and x2:

. lincom _b[x2] - _b[x1] (1) - x1 + x2 = 0 y Coefficient Std. err. t P>|t| [95% conf. interval] (1) .7645682 .9950282 0.77 0.444 -1.20218 2.731316

It was noted, however, that nonlinear expressions are not allowed with lincom:

```
. lincom _b[x2]/_b[x1]
not possible with test
r(131);
```

Nonlinear transformations are instead estimated using nlcom:

```
. nlcom _b[x2]/_b[x1]
nl 1: b[x2]/ b[x1]
```

У	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
_nl_1	1.524714	.9812848	1.55	0.120	3985686	3.447997

Technical note

The notation _b[*name*] is the standard way in Stata to refer to regression coefficients; see [U] 13.5 Accessing coefficients and standard errors. Some commands, such as lincom and test, allow you to drop the _b[] and just refer to the coefficients by *name*. nlcom, however, requires the full specification _b[*name*].

-.3985686

3.447997

Returning to our linear regression example, nlcom also allows simultaneous estimation of more than one combination:

. nlcom (_b[x2]/_b[x1]) (_b[x3]/_b[x1]) (_b[x3]/_b[x2]) _nl_1: _b[x2]/_b[x1] _nl_2: _b[x3]/_b[x1] _nl_3: _b[x3]/_b[x2] Coefficient Std. err. z P>|z| [95% conf. interval] у _nl_1

.9812848

1.524714

_nl_2	0042131	.0033483	-1.26	0.208	0107756	.0023494	
_nl_3	0027632	.0010695	-2.58	0.010	0048594	000667	

We can also label the transformations to produce more informative names in the estimation table:

1.55

0.120

```
. nlcom (ratio21:_b[x2]/_b[x1]) (ratio31:_b[x3]/_b[x1]) (ratio32:_b[x3]/_b[x2])
```

ratio21: ratio31: ratio32:	_b[x2]/_b[x1] _b[x3]/_b[x1] _b[x3]/_b[x2]					
У	Coefficient	Std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
ratio21 ratio31 ratio32	1.524714 0042131 0027632	.9812848 .0033483 .0010695	1.55 -1.26 -2.58	0.120 0.208 0.010	3985686 0107756 0048594	3.447997 .0023494 000667

nlcom stores the vector of estimated combinations and its estimated variance-covariance matrix in r().

```
. matrix list r(b)
r(b)[1,3]
      ratio21
                  ratio31
                               ratio32
     1.5247143 -.00421315 -.00276324
c1
. matrix list r(V)
symmetric r(V)[3,3]
           ratio21
                        ratio31
                                    ratio32
ratio21
         .96291982
ratio31 -.00287781
                      .00001121
ratio32 -.00014234
                      2.137e-06
                                  1.144e-06
```

Using the post option

When used with the post option, nlcom stores the estimation vector and variance-covariance matrix in e(), making the transformation permanent:

```
. quietly nlcom (ratio21: b[x2]/ b[x1]) (ratio31: b[x3]/ b[x1])
> (ratio32: b[x3]/ b[x2]), post
. matrix list e(b)
e(b)[1,3]
                 ratio31
       ratio21
                              ratio32
     1.5247143 -.00421315 -.00276324
y1
. matrix list e(V)
symmetric e(V)[3,3]
           ratio21
                       ratio31
                                   ratio32
        .96291982
ratio21
ratio31 -.00287781
                    .00001121
ratio32 -.00014234
                     2.137e-06
                                  1.144e-06
```

After posting, we can proceed as if we had just run a Stata estimation (eclass) command. For instance, we can replay the results,

. nlcom

У	Coefficient	Std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
ratio21	1.524714	.9812848	1.55	0.120	3985686	3.447997
ratio31	0042131	.0033483	-1.26	0.208	0107756	.0023494
ratio32	0027632	.0010695	-2.58	0.010	0048594	000667

or perform other postestimation tasks in the transformed metric, this time making reference to the new "coefficients":

```
. display _b[ratio31]
-.00421315
. estat vce, correlation
Correlation matrix of coefficients of nlcom model
       e(V)
              ratio21
                        ratio31
                                  ratio32
               1.0000
    ratio21
               -0.8759
                        1.0000
    ratio31
               -0.1356
                        0.5969
    ratio32
                                   1.0000
. test b[ratio21] = 1
 (1) ratio21 = 1
          chi2(1) =
                         0.29
        Prob > chi2 =
                         0.5928
```

We see that testing b[ratio21]=1 in the transformed metric is equivalent to testing using testnl b[x2]/b[x1]=1 in the original metric:

We needed to refit the regression model to recover the original parameter estimates.

Technical note

In a previous technical note, we mentioned that commands such as lincom and test permit reference to *name* instead of _b[*name*]. This is not the case when lincom and test are used after nlcom, post. In the above, we used

```
. test _b[ratio21] = 1
```

rather than

. test ratio21 = 1

which would have returned an error. Consider this a limitation of Stata. For the shorthand notation to work, you need a variable named *name* in the data. In nlcom, however, *name* is just a coefficient label that does not necessarily correspond to any variable in the data.

Reparameterizing ML estimates for univariate data

When run using only a response and no covariates, Stata's maximum likelihood (ML) estimation commands will produce ML estimates of the parameters of some assumed univariate distribution for the response. The parameterization, however, is usually not one we are used to dealing with in a nonregression setting. In such cases, nlcom can be used to transform the estimation results from a regression model to those from a maximum likelihood estimation of the parameters of a univariate probability distribution in a more familiar metric.

Example 1

Consider the following univariate data on Y = # of traffic accidents at a certain intersection in a given year:

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/trafint

summarize a	ccidents				
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
accidents	12	13.83333	14.47778	0	41

A quick glance of the output from summarize leads us to quickly reject the assumption that Y is distributed as Poisson because the estimated variance of Y is much greater than the estimated mean of Y.

Instead, we choose to model the data as univariate negative binomial, of which a common parameterization is

$$\Pr(Y = y) = \frac{\Gamma(r+y)}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(y+1)} p^r (1-p)^y \qquad 0 \le p \le 1, \quad r > 0, \quad y = 0, 1, \dots$$
$$r(1-p) \qquad \qquad r(1-p)$$

with

$$E(Y) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p} \qquad \text{Var}(Y) = \frac{r(1-p)}{p^2}$$

There exist no closed-form solutions for the maximum likelihood estimates of p and r, yet they may be estimated by the iterative method of Newton–Raphson. One way to get these estimates would be to write our own Newton–Raphson program for the negative binomial. Another way would be to write our own ML evaluator; see [R] ml.

The easiest solution, however, would be to use Stata's existing negative binomial ML regression command, nbreg. The only problem with this solution is that nbreg estimates a different parameterization of the negative binomial, but we can worry about that later.

```
. nbreg accidents
Fitting Poisson model:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -105.05361
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -105.05361
Fitting constant-only model:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -43.948619
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -43.891483
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -43.89144
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -43.89144
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -43.89144
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -43.89144
Negative binomial regression
                                                         Number of obs =
                                                                             12
                                                         LR chi2(0)
                                                                           0.00
                                                                       =
Dispersion: mean
                                                         Prob > chi2
Log likelihood = -43.89144
                                                         Pseudo R2
                                                                       = 0.0000
   accidents
               Coefficient Std. err.
                                                P>|z|
                                                           [95% conf. interval]
                                           7.
                 2.627081
                            .3192233
                                         8.23
                                                0.000
                                                           2.001415
                                                                       3.252747
       _cons
    /lnalpha
                 .1402425
                            .4187147
                                                          -.6804233
                                                                       .9609083
       alpha
                 1.150553
                            .4817534
                                                           .5064026
                                                                        2.61407
LR test of alpha=0: chibar2(01) = 122.32
                                                        Prob >= chibar2 = 0.000
. nbreg, coeflegend
Negative binomial regression
                                                         Number of obs =
                                                                             12
                                                         LR chi2(0) =
                                                                           0.00
Dispersion: mean
                                                         Prob > chi2
                                                                       =
                                                         Pseudo R2
                                                                       = 0.0000
Log likelihood = -43.89144
   accidents
               Coefficient Legend
       _cons
                 2.627081
                           _b[_cons]
                           b[/lnalpha]
    /lnalpha
                 .1402425
       alpha
                 1.150553
LR test of alpha=0: chibar2(01) = 122.32
                                                        Prob >= chibar2 = 0.000
```

From this output, we see that, when used with univariate data, nbreg estimates a regression intercept, β_0 , and the logarithm of some parameter α . This parameterization is useful in regression models: β_0 is the intercept meant to be augmented with other terms of the linear predictor, and α is an overdispersion parameter used for comparison with the Poisson regression model.

.1558679

.1165146

1.582427

However, we need to transform $(\beta_0, \ln \alpha)$ to (p, r). Examining Methods and formulas of [R] **nbreg** reveals the transformation as

$$p = \{1 + \alpha \exp(\beta_0)\}^{-1}$$
 $r = \alpha^{-1}$

which we apply using nlcom:

r

```
. nlcom (p:1/(1 + exp(b[/lnalpha] + b[cons])))
> (r:exp(- b[/lnalpha]))
           p: 1/(1 + exp( b[/lnalpha] + b[ cons]))
           r: exp(- b[/lnalpha])
               Coefficient
  accidents
                            Std. err.
                                                 P>|z|
                                                            [95% conf. interval]
                                            z
                 .0591157
                             .0292857
                                          2.02
                                                 0.044
                                                            .0017168
           р
```

.3639248

.8691474

Given the invariance of maximum likelihood estimators and the properties of the delta method, the above parameter estimates, standard errors, etc., are precisely those we would have obtained had we instead performed the Newton-Raphson optimization in the (p, r) metric.

2.39

0.017

Technical note

Note how we referred to the estimate of $\ln \alpha$ above as b[/lnalpha]. This is not entirely evident from the output of nbreg, which is why we redisplayed the results using the coeflegend option so that we would know how to refer to the coefficients; [U] 13.5 Accessing coefficients and standard errors.

nlcom versus eform

Many Stata estimation commands allow you to display exponentiated regression coefficients, some by default, some optionally. Known as "eform" in Stata terminology, this reparameterization serves many uses: it gives odds ratios for logistic models, hazard ratios in survival models, incidence-rate ratios in Poisson models, and relative-risk ratios in multinomial logit models, to name a few.

For example, consider the following estimation taken directly from the technical note in [R] **poisson**:

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/airline

. generate In	I = In(n)					
. poisson inju	ries XYZowned	lnN				
Iteration 0: Iteration 1: Iteration 2:	Log likelihoo Log likelihoo Log likelihoo	$d = -22.3338 \\ d = -22.3322 \\ d = -22.332 \\ d = -$	875 276 276			
Poisson regres	ssion 1 = -22.332276				Number of ob LR chi2(2) Prob > chi2 Pseudo R2	s = 9 = 19.15 = 0.0001 = 0.3001
injuries	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
XYZowned lnN _cons	.6840667 1.424169 4.863891	.3895877 .3725155 .7090501	1.76 3.82 6.86	0.079 0.000 0.000	0795111 .6940517 3.474178	1.447645 2.154285 6.253603

4

When we replay results and specify the irr (incidence-rate ratios) option,

. poisson, iii	-					
Poisson regres	ssion				Number of ob LR chi2(2) Prob > chi2	s = 9 = 19.15 = 0.0001
Log likelihood	d = −22.332276	3			Pseudo R2	= 0.3001
injuries	IRR	Std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
XYZowned lnN	1.981921 4.154402	.7721322 1.547579	1.76 3.82	0.079	.9235678 2.00181	4.253085 8.621728
_00115	129.0212	91.04120	0.00	0.000	52.2715	519.0020

Note: _cons estimates baseline incidence rate.

we obtain the exponentiated regression coefficients and their estimated standard errors.

Contrast this with what we obtain if we exponentiate the coefficients manually by using nlcom:

```
. nlcom (E_XYZowned:exp(_b[XYZowned])) (E_lnN:exp(_b[lnN]))
```

```
E_XYZowned: exp(_b[XYZowned])
E lnN: exp( b[lnN])
```

noiggon imm

injuries	Coefficient	Std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
E_XYZowned	1.981921	.7721322	2.57	0.010	.4685701	3.495273
E_lnN	4.154402	1.547579	2.68	0.007	1.121203	7.187602

There are three things to note when comparing an estimation command specified with an *eform_option* with the nlcom command specified with coefficients that are exponentiated manually:

- 1. The exponentiated coefficients and standard errors are identical. This is certainly good news.
- 2. The Wald test statistic (z) and level of significance are different. When using eform or any *eform_option* with an estimation command, the Wald test does not change from what you would have obtained without the eform option. You can see this by comparing both versions of the poisson output given previously.

When you use eform, Stata knows that what is usually desired is a test of

$$H_0: \exp(\beta) = 1$$

and not the uninformative-by-comparison

$$H_0$$
: $\exp(\beta) = 0$

The test of H_0 : exp $(\beta) = 1$ is asymptotically equivalent to a test of H_0 : $\beta = 0$, the Wald test in the original metric, but the latter has better small-sample properties. Thus if you specify eform, you get a test of H_0 : $\beta = 0$.

nlcom, however, is general. It does not attempt to infer the test of greatest interest for a given transformation, and so a test of

 H_0 : transformed coefficient = 0

is always given, regardless of the transformation.

4.253085

8.621728

3. You may be surprised to see that, even though the coefficients and standard errors are identical, the confidence intervals (both 95%) are different.

eform confidence intervals reported by an estimation command are standard confidence intervals with the endpoints transformed. For example, the confidence interval for the coefficient on lnN is [0.694, 2.154], whereas the confidence interval for the incidence-rate ratio due to lnN is $[\exp(0.694), \exp(2.154)] = [2.002, 8.619]$, which, except for some roundoff error, is what we see from the output of poisson, irr. For exponentiated coefficients, confidence intervals based on transform-the-endpoints methodology generally have better small-sample properties than their asymptotically equivalent counterparts.

The transform-the-endpoints method, however, gives valid coverage only when the transformation is monotonic. nlcom uses a more general and asymptotically equivalent method for calculating confidence intervals, as described in Methods and formulas.

Notice that nlcom has an eform option that behaves the same way as the eform option of any estimation command. Thus, we could have obtained the exponentiated coefficients and confidence intervals after poisson by specifying the eform option with nlcom; that is, we would type

```
. nlcom (E_XYZowned:_b[XYZowned]) (E_lnN:_b[lnN]), eform
 E_XYZowned: _b[XYZowned]
      E_lnN: _b[lnN]
    injuries
                    exp(b)
                             Std. err.
                                                  P>|z|
                                                             [95% conf. interval]
                                             z
 E XYZowned
                 1.981921
                              .7721322
                                           1.76
                                                   0.079
                                                              .9235678
                 4.154402
                                                   0.000
      E_lnN
                             1.547579
                                           3.82
                                                              2.00181
```

We obtain the same results as we did using poisson with the irr option. The interpretation of the coefficients and confidence intervals follows the discussion above.

Stored results

nlcom stores the following in r():

Scalars

r(N)	number of observations
r(df_r)	residual degrees of freedom
Matrices	
r(b)	vector of transformed coefficients
r(V)	estimated variance-covariance matrix of the transformed coefficients
r(table)	matrix containing the estimates with their standard errors, test statistics, <i>p</i> -values, and confidence intervals

If post is specified, nlcom also stores the following in e():

e(N)	number of observations
e(df_r)	residual degrees of freedom
e(N_strata)	number of strata L , if used after svy
e(N_psu)	number of sampled PSUs n , if used after svy
e(rank)	rank of e(V)
Macros	
e(cmd)	nlcom
e(predict)	program used to implement predict
e(properties)	b V

Matric	es	
е	(b)	vector of transformed coefficients
е	(V)	estimated variance-covariance matrix of the transformed coefficients
е	(V_srs)	simple-random-sampling-without-replacement (co)variance $\widehat{V}_{ m srswor}$, if svy
е	(V_srswr)	simple-random-sampling-with-replacement (co)variance $\widehat{V}_{\mathrm{srswr}}$, if svy and fpc()
е	(V_msp)	misspecification (co)variance \widehat{V}_{msp} , if svy and available
Functi	ons	·
е	(sample)	marks estimation sample

Methods and formulas

Given a $1 \times k$ vector of parameter estimates, $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k)$, consider the estimated *p*-dimensional transformation

$$g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = [g_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), g_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}), \dots, g_p(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})]$$

The estimated variance–covariance of $g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ is given by

$$\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}\left\{g(\widehat{oldsymbol{ heta}})
ight\}=\mathbf{GVG}'$$

where **G** is the $p \times k$ matrix of derivatives for which

$$\mathbf{G}_{ij} = \left. \frac{\partial g_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\theta} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} \qquad i = 1, \dots, p \qquad j = 1, \dots, k$$

and V is the estimated variance–covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}$. Standard errors are obtained as the square roots of the variances.

The Wald test statistic for testing

$$H_0: g_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = 0$$

versus the two-sided alternative is given by

$$Z_i = \frac{g_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\left[\widehat{\mathrm{Var}}_{ii}\left\{g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right\}\right]^{1/2}}$$

When the variance–covariance matrix of $\hat{\theta}$ is an asymptotic covariance matrix, Z_i is approximately distributed as Gaussian. For linear regression, Z_i is taken to be approximately distributed as $t_{1,r}$ where r is the residual degrees of freedom from the original fitted model.

A $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence interval for $g_i(\theta)$ is given by

$$g_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \pm z_{\alpha/2} \Big[\widehat{\mathrm{Var}}_{ii} \left\{ g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} \Big]^{1/2}$$

for those cases where Z_i is Gaussian and

$$g_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \pm t_{\alpha/2,r} \Big[\widehat{\mathrm{Var}}_{ii} \left\{ g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} \Big]^{1/2}$$

for those cases where Z_i is t distributed. z_p is the 1-p quantile of the standard normal distribution, and $t_{p,r}$ is the 1-p quantile of the t distribution with r degrees of freedom.

References

- Feiveson, A. H. 1999. FAQ: What is the delta method and how is it used to estimate the standard error of a transformed parameter? https://www.stata.com/support/faqs/stat/deltam.html.
- Oehlert, G. W. 1992. A note on the delta method. American Statistician 46: 27-29. https://doi.org/10.2307/2684406.
- Phillips, P. C. B., and J. Y. Park. 1988. On the formulation of Wald tests of nonlinear restrictions. *Econometrica* 56: 1065–1083. https://doi.org/10.2307/1911359.

Also see

- [R] lincom Linear combinations of parameters
- [R] predictnl Obtain nonlinear predictions, standard errors, etc., after estimation
- [R] test Test linear hypotheses after estimation
- [R] testnl Test nonlinear hypotheses after estimation
- [SVY] svy postestimation Postestimation tools for svy
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, and Mata are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow and NetCourseNow are trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.