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**ci — Confidence intervals for means, proportions, and variances**

### Description

ci computes confidence intervals for population means, proportions, variances, and standard deviations.

cii is the immediate form of ci; see [U] 19 Immediate commands for a general discussion of immediate commands.

### Quick start

Confidence intervals for means of normally distributed variables v1, v2, and v3

```
ci means v1-v3
```

Confidence interval for mean of Poisson-distributed variable v4

```
ci means v4, poisson
```

Confidence interval for rate of v4 with total exposure recorded in v5

```
ci means v4, poisson exposure(v5)
```

Confidence interval for proportion of binary variable v6

```
ci proportions v6
```

Confidence intervals for variances of v1, v2, and v3

```
ci variances v1-v3
```

As above, but Bonett confidence intervals are produced

```
ci variances v1-v3, bonett
```

90% Bonett confidence intervals for standard deviations of v1, v2, and v3

```
ci variances v1-v3, sd bonett level(90)
```

Confidence interval for a mean based on a sample with 85 observations, a sample mean of 10, and a standard deviation of 3

```
cii means 85 10 3
```

90% confidence interval for rate from a sample with 4,379 deaths over 11,394 person-years

```
cii means 11394 4379, poisson level(90)
```

Agresti–Coull confidence interval for proportion based on a sample with 2,377 observations and 136 successes

```
cii proportions 2377 136, agresti
```
Bonett confidence interval for variance based on a sample with 20 observations, sample variance of 9, and estimated kurtosis of 1.8

\texttt{cii variances 20 9 1.8, bonett}

As above, but with confidence interval for standard deviation

\texttt{cii variances 20 3 1.8, sd bonett}

\textbf{Menu}

\texttt{ci}

Statistics > Summaries, tables, and tests > Summary and descriptive statistics > Confidence intervals

\textbf{cii for a normal mean}

Statistics > Summaries, tables, and tests > Summary and descriptive statistics > Normal mean CI calculator

\textbf{cii for a Poisson mean}

Statistics > Summaries, tables, and tests > Summary and descriptive statistics > Poisson mean CI calculator

\textbf{cii for a proportion}

Statistics > Summaries, tables, and tests > Summary and descriptive statistics > Proportion CI calculator

\textbf{cii for a variance}

Statistics > Summaries, tables, and tests > Summary and descriptive statistics > Variance CI calculator

\textbf{cii for a standard deviation}

Statistics > Summaries, tables, and tests > Summary and descriptive statistics > Standard deviation CI calculator
Syntax

Confidence intervals for means, normal distribution

```
ci means [varlist] [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
cii means #obs #mean #sd [, level(#)]
```

Confidence intervals for means, Poisson distribution

```
ci means [varlist] [if] [in] [weight], poisson [exposure(varname) options]
cii means #exposure #events, poisson [level(#)]
```

Confidence intervals for proportions

```
ci proportions [varlist] [if] [in] [weight] [, prop_options options]
cii proportions #obs #succ [, prop_options level(#)]
```

Confidence intervals for variances

```
ci variances [varlist] [if] [in] [weight] [, bonett options]
cii variances #obs #variance [, level(#)]
cii variances #obs #variance #kurtosis, bonett [level(#)]
```

Confidence intervals for standard deviations

```
ci variances [varlist] [if] [in] [weight], sd [bonett options]
cii variances #obs #sd, sd [level(#)]
cii variances #obs #sd #kurtosis, sd bonett [level(#)]
```

#obs must be a positive integer. #exposure, #sd, and #variance must be a positive number. #succ and #events must be a nonnegative integer or between 0 and 1. If the number is between 0 and 1, Stata interprets it as the fraction of successes or events and converts it to an integer number representing the number of successes or events. The computation then proceeds as if two integers had been specified. If option bonett is specified, you must additionally specify #kurtosis with cii variances.
## Confidence Intervals for Means, Proportions, and Variances

### prop_options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>prop_options</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>exact</td>
<td>calculate exact confidence intervals; the default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wald</td>
<td>calculate Wald confidence intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wilson</td>
<td>calculate Wilson confidence intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>agresti</td>
<td>calculate Agresti–Coulb confidence intervals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jeffreys</td>
<td>calculate Jeffreys confidence intervals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>options</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>level(#)</td>
<td>set confidence level; default is level(95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>separator(#)</td>
<td>draw separator line after every # variables; default is separator(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>add output for all groups combined (for use with by only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by and stataby are allowed with ci; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
aweights are allowed with ci means for normal data, and fweights are allowed with all ci subcommands; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

## Options

Options are presented under the following headings:

- Options for ci and cii means
- Options for ci and cii proportions
- Options for ci and cii variances

### Options for ci and cii means

poisson specifies that the variables (or numbers for cii) are Poisson-distributed counts; exact Poisson confidence intervals will be calculated. By default, confidence intervals for means are calculated based on a normal distribution.

exposure(varname) is used only with poisson. You do not need to specify poisson if you specify exposure(); poisson is assumed. varname contains the total exposure (typically a time or an area) during which the number of events recorded in varlist was observed.

level(#) specifies the confidence level, as a percentage, for confidence intervals. The default is level(95) or as set by set level; see [R] level.

separator(#) specifies how often separation lines should be inserted into the output. The default is separator(5), meaning that a line is drawn after every five variables. separator(10) would draw the line after every 10 variables. separator(0) suppresses the separation line.

total is used with the by prefix. It requests that in addition to output for each by-group, output be added for all groups combined.
Options for ci and cii proportions

- exact, wald, wilson, agresti, and jeffreys specify how binomial confidence intervals are to be calculated.
  - exact is the default and specifies exact (also known in the literature as Clopper–Pearson [1934]) binomial confidence intervals.
  - wald specifies calculation of Wald confidence intervals.
  - wilson specifies calculation of Wilson confidence intervals.
  - agresti specifies calculation of Agresti–Coull confidence intervals.
  - jeffreys specifies calculation of Jeffreys confidence intervals.

See Brown, Cai, and DasGupta (2001) for a discussion and comparison of the different binomial confidence intervals.

level(#) specifies the confidence level, as a percentage, for confidence intervals. The default is level(95) or as set by set level; see [R] level.

separator(#) specifies how often separation lines should be inserted into the output. The default is separator(5), meaning that a line is drawn after every five variables. separator(10) would draw the line after every 10 variables. separator(0) suppresses the separation line.

total is used with the by prefix. It requests that in addition to output for each by-group, output be added for all groups combined.

Options for ci and cii variances

- sd specifies that confidence intervals for standard deviations be calculated. The default is to compute confidence intervals for variances.
- bonett specifies that Bonett confidence intervals be calculated. The default is to compute normal-based confidence intervals, which assume normality for the data.

level(#) specifies the confidence level, as a percentage, for confidence intervals. The default is level(95) or as set by set level; see [R] level.

separator(#) specifies how often separation lines should be inserted into the output. The default is separator(5), meaning that a line is drawn after every five variables. separator(10) would draw the line after every 10 variables. separator(0) suppresses the separation line.

total is used with the by prefix. It requests that in addition to output for each by-group, output be added for all groups combined.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

- Confidence intervals for means
- Normal-based confidence intervals
- Poisson confidence intervals
- Confidence intervals for proportions
- Confidence intervals for variances
- Immediate form
Confidence intervals for means

`ci means` computes a confidence interval for the population mean for each of the variables in `varlist`.

Normal-based confidence intervals

**Example 1: Normal-based confidence intervals**

Without the `poisson` option, `ci means` produces normal-based confidence intervals that are correct if the variable is normally distributed and asymptotically correct for all other distributions satisfying the conditions of the central limit theorem.

```
use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/auto
(1978 Automobile Data)
.ci means mpg price
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21.2973</td>
<td>.6725511</td>
<td>19.9569  22.63769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>price</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6165.257</td>
<td>342.8719</td>
<td>5481.914 6848.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

The standard error of the mean of `mpg` is 0.67, and the 95% confidence interval is [19.96, 22.64]. We can obtain wider confidence intervals, 99%, by typing

```
.ci means mpg price, level(99)
```

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[99% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21.2973</td>
<td>.6725511</td>
<td>19.51849  23.07611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>price</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>6165.257</td>
<td>342.8719</td>
<td>5258.405 7072.108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**Example 2: The by prefix**

The `by` prefix breaks out the confidence intervals according to by-group; `total` adds an overall summary. For instance,

```
.by foreign: ci means mpg, total
```

```
<p>| -&gt; foreign = Domestic          |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>19.82692</td>
<td>.657777</td>
<td>18.50638  21.14747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| -&gt; foreign = Foreign           |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24.77273</td>
<td>1.40951</td>
<td>21.84149  27.70396</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| -&gt; Total                         |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21.2973</td>
<td>.6725511</td>
<td>19.9569  22.63769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
Example 3: Controlling the format

You can control the formatting of the numbers in the output by specifying a display format for the variable; see [U] 12.5 Formats: Controlling how data are displayed. For instance,

```
.format mpg %9.2f
.ci means mpg
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mpg</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>19.96   22.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Poisson confidence intervals

If you specify the poisson option, ci means assumes count data and computes exact Poisson confidence intervals.

Example 4: Poisson confidence intervals

We have data on the number of bacterial colonies on a Petri dish. The dish has been divided into 36 small squares, and the number of colonies in each square has been counted. Each observation in our dataset represents a square on the dish. The variable count records the number of colonies in each square counted, which varies from 0 to 5.

```
.use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/petri, clear
.ci means count, poisson
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.333333</td>
<td>.2545875</td>
<td>1.861158   2.888825</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ci reports that the average number of colonies per square is 2.33. If the expected number of colonies per square were as low as 1.86, the probability of observing 2.33 or more colonies per square would be 2.5%. If the expected number were as large as 2.89, the probability of observing 2.33 or fewer colonies per square would be 2.5%.

Example 5: Option exposure()

The number of “observations”—how finely the Petri dish is divided—makes no difference. The Poisson distribution is a function only of the count. In example 4, we observed a total of $2.33 \times 36 = 84$ colonies and a confidence interval of $[1.86 \times 36, 2.89 \times 36] = [67, 104]$. We would obtain the same $[67, 104]$ confidence interval if our dish were divided into, say, 49 squares rather than 36.

For the counts, it is not even important that all the squares be of the same size. For rates, however, such differences do matter but in an easy-to-calculate way. Rates are obtained from counts by dividing by exposure, which is typically a number multiplied by either time or an area. For our Petri dishes, we divide by an area to obtain a rate, but if our example were cast in terms of being infected by a disease, we might divide by person-years to obtain the rate. Rates are convenient because they are easier to compare: we might have 2.3 colonies per square inch or 0.0005 infections per person-year.

So let’s assume that we wish to obtain the number of colonies per square inch and, moreover, that not all the “squares” on our dish are of equal size. We have a variable called area that records the area of each square:
The rates are now in more familiar terms. In our sample, there are 28 colonies per square inch, and the 95% confidence interval is [22.3, 34.7]. When we did not specify `exposure()`, `ci means` with option `poisson` assumed that each observation contributed 1 to exposure.

### Technical note

If there were no colonies on our dish, `ci means` with option `poisson` would calculate a one-sided confidence interval:

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/petrinone
. ci means count, poisson
```

The exact binomial, also known as the Clopper–Pearson (1934) interval, is computed by default.

Nominally, the interpretation of a 95% confidence interval is that under repeated samples or experiments, 95% of the resultant intervals would contain the unknown parameter in question. However, for binomial data, the actual coverage probability, regardless of method, usually differs from that interpretation. This result occurs because of the discreteness of the binomial distribution, which produces only a finite set of outcomes, meaning that coverage probabilities are subject to discrete jumps and that the exact nominal level cannot always be achieved. Therefore, the term “exact confidence interval” refers to its being derived from the binomial distribution, the distribution exactly generating the data, rather than resulting in exactly the nominal coverage.
For the Clopper–Pearson interval, the actual coverage probability is guaranteed to be greater than or equal to the nominal confidence level, here 95%. Because of the way it is calculated—see Methods and formulas—it may also be interpreted as follows: If the true probability of being promoted were 0.012, the chances of observing a result as extreme or more extreme than the result observed (20 × 0.1 = 2 or more promotions) would be 2.5%. If the true probability of being promoted were 0.317, the chances of observing a result as extreme or more extreme than the result observed (two or fewer promotions) would be 2.5%.

Example 7: Other confidence intervals

The Clopper–Pearson interval is desirable because it guarantees nominal coverage; however, by dropping this restriction, you may obtain accurate intervals that are not as conservative. In this vein, you might opt for the Wilson (1927) interval,

```
   . ci proportions promoted, wilson
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>promoted</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.067082</td>
<td>0.0278665 0.3010336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the Agresti–Coull (1998) interval,

```
   . ci proportions promoted, agresti
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>promoted</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.067082</td>
<td>0.0156562 0.3132439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

or the Bayesian-derived Jeffreys interval (Brown, Cai, and DasGupta 2001),

```
   . ci proportions promoted, jeffreys
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>promoted</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.067082</td>
<td>0.0213725 0.2838533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Picking the best interval is a matter of balancing accuracy (coverage) against precision (average interval length) and depends on sample size and success probability. Brown, Cai, and DasGupta (2001) recommend the Wilson or Jeffreys interval for small sample sizes (≤40) yet favor the Agresti–Coull interval for its simplicity, decent performance for sample sizes less than or equal to 40, and performance comparable to Wilson or Jeffreys for sample sizes greater than 40. They also deem the Clopper–Pearson interval to be “wastefully conservative and […] not a good choice for practical use”, unless of course one requires, at a minimum, the nominal coverage level.

Finally, the binomial Wald confidence interval is obtained by specifying the Wald option. The Wald interval is the one taught in most introductory statistics courses and, for the above, is simply,

\[
\text{Proportion} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \left(\text{Std. Err.}\right)
\]

where \( z_{\alpha/2} \) is the \( 1 - \alpha/2 \) quantile of the standard normal. Because its overall poor performance makes it impractical, the Wald interval is available mainly for pedagogical purposes. The binomial Wald interval is also similar to the interval produced by treating binary data as normal data and using ci means, with two exceptions. First, the calculation of the standard error in ci proportions uses denominator \( n \) rather than \( n - 1 \), used for normal data in ci means. Second, confidence intervals for normal data are based on the \( t \) distribution rather than the standard normal. Of course, both discrepancies vanish as sample size increases.
Technical note

Let's repeat example 6, but this time with data in which there are no promotions over the observed period:

```
 . use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/promonone
 . ci proportions promoted
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>promoted</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*') one-sided, 97.5% confidence interval

The confidence interval is [0, 0.168], and this is the confidence interval that most books publish. It is not, however, a true 95% confidence interval because the lower tail has vanished. As Stata notes, it is a one-sided, 97.5% confidence interval. If you wanted to put 5% in the right tail, you could type `ci proportions promoted, level(90)`.

Technical note

`ci proportions` ignores any variables that do not take on the values 0 and 1 exclusively. For instance, with our automobile dataset,

```
 . use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/auto
 (1978 Automobile Data)
 . ci proportions mpg foreign
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>.2972973</td>
<td>.0531331</td>
<td>.196584 .4148353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The results are produced only for binary (0/1) variables.

We also requested the confidence interval for mpg, but Stata ignored us. It does that so you can type `ci proportions` and obtain correct confidence intervals for all the variables that are 0/1 in your data.

Confidence intervals for variances

The `ci variances` command computes confidence intervals for the variances or, if the `sd` option is specified, for the standard deviations. The default is a normal-based confidence interval that assumes the data are normal and uses a $\chi^2$ distribution to construct the confidence intervals. If normality is suspect, you may consider using the `bonett` option to compute Bonett (2006) confidence intervals, which are more robust to nonnormality.

Example 8: Normal-based confidence intervals

So far, we have restricted our attention to confidence intervals for means and proportions. Typically, when people think of statistical inference, they usually have in mind inferences concerning population means. However, the population parameter of interest will vary from one situation to another. In many scenarios, the population variance is as important as the population mean. For example, in a quality
control study, a machine that fills 16-ounce canned peas is investigated at regular time intervals. A random sample of \( n = 8 \) containers is selected every hour. Ideally, the amount of peas in a can should vary only slightly about the 16-ounce value. If the variance was large, then a large proportion of cans would be either underfilled, thus cheating the customer, or overfilled, thus resulting in economic loss to the manufacturing company. Suppose that the weights of 16-ounce cans filled by the machine are normally distributed. The acceptable variability in the weights is expected to be 0.09 with the respective standard deviation of 0.3 ounces. To monitor the machine’s performance, we can compute confidence intervals for the variance of the weights of cans:

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/peas_normdist
(Weights of Canned Peas, Normal Distribution)
. ci variances weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3888409</td>
<td>0.1699823 1.610708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

The command reports the sample estimate of the variance of 0.39 with the 95% confidence interval of \([0.17, 1.61]\).

Instead of the variance, we may be interested in confidence intervals for the standard deviation. We can specify the `sd` option to compute such confidence intervals.

```
. ci variances weight, sd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.6235711</td>
<td>0.4122891 1.269137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

The 95% confidence interval for the standard deviation of the weights is \([0.41, 1.27]\). Because the desired value for the standard deviation, 0.3 ounces, falls outside the interval, the machine may require some tuning.

Confidence intervals in example 8 are based on the assumption that the random sample is selected from a population having a normal distribution. Nonnormality of the population distribution, in the form of skewness or heavy tails, can have a drastic impact on the asymptotic coverage probability of the normal-based confidence intervals. This is the case even for distributions that are similar to normal. Scheffé (1959, 336) showed that the normal-based interval has an asymptotic coverage probability of about 0.76, 0.63, 0.60, and 0.51 for the logistic, \(t\) with seven degrees of freedom, Laplace, and \(t\) with five degrees of freedom distributions, respectively. Miller (1997, 264) describes this situation as “catastrophic” because these distributions are symmetric and not easily distinguishable from a normal distribution unless the sample size is large. Hence, it is judicious to evaluate the normality of the data prior to constructing the normal-based confidence intervals for variances or standard deviations.

Bonett (2006) proposed a confidence interval that performs well in small samples under moderate departures from normality. His interval performs only slightly worse than the exact normal-based confidence interval when sampling from a normal distribution. A larger sample size provides Bonett confidence intervals with greater protection against nonnormality.

> **Example 9: Bonett confidence interval for normal data**

We will repeat example 8 and construct a Bonett confidence interval for the standard deviation by specifying the `bonett` option. The results are similar, and both examples lead to the same inferential conclusion.
The Bonett confidence interval is wider than the normal-based confidence interval in example 8. For normal data, Bonett (2006) suggested that if Bonett confidence interval is used for a sample of size $n + 3$, then its average width will be about the same as the average width of the normal-based confidence interval from a sample size of $n$. Sampling three more observations may be a small price to pay because Bonett confidence intervals perform substantially better than the normal-based confidence intervals for nonnormal data.

### Example 10: Bonnett confidence interval for nonnormal data

The following data have been generated from a $t$ distribution with five degrees of freedom to illustrate the effect of wrongfully using the normal-based confidence interval when the data-generating process is not normal.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/peas_tdist
(Weights of Canned Peas, t Distribution)
. ci variances weight, sd
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.226558</td>
<td>1.472143 4.531652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The standard deviation of a $t$ distribution with five degrees of freedom is $\sqrt{5/3} \approx 1.29$ and falls outside the confidence interval limits. If we suspect that data may not be normal, the Bonett confidence interval is typically a better choice:

```
. ci variances weight, sd bonett
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.226558</td>
<td>1.137505 5.772519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value 1.29 is within the limits of the Bonett confidence interval $[1.14, 5.77]$

### Immediate form

So far, we computed confidence intervals for various parameters using data in memory. We can also compute confidence intervals using only data summaries, without any data in memory. Each of the considered `ci` commands has an immediate `cii` version that computes the respective confidence intervals using data summaries.

### Example 11: Confidence interval for a normal mean

We are reading a soon-to-be-published paper by a colleague. In it is a table showing the number of observations, mean, and standard deviation of the 1980 median family income for the Northeast and West. We correctly think that the paper would be much improved if it included the confidence intervals. The paper claims that for 166 cities in the Northeast, the average of median family income is $19,509 with a standard deviation of $4,379:
For the Northeast:

```
.cii means 166 19509 4379
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>166</td>
<td>19509</td>
<td>339.8763</td>
<td>18837.93  20180.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the West:

```
.cii means 256 22557 5003
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>256</td>
<td>22557</td>
<td>312.6875</td>
<td>21941.22  23172.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 12: Confidence interval for a Poisson mean**

The number of reported traffic accidents in Santa Monica over a 24-hour period is 27. We need
know nothing else to compute a confidence interval for the mean number of accidents for a day:

```
.cii means 1 27, poisson
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>5.196152</td>
<td>17.79317  39.28358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 13: Confidence interval for a proportion**

We flip a coin 10 times, and it comes up heads only once. We are shocked and decide to obtain
a 99% confidence interval for this coin:

```
.cii proportions 10 1, level(99)
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
<th>[99% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.0948683</td>
<td>.0005011  .5442871</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example 14: Confidence interval for a variance**

A company fills 32-ounce tomato juice jars with a quantity of juice having a normal distribution
with a claimed variance not exceeding 0.2. A random sample of 15 jars is collected to evaluate this
claim. The sample variance is 0.5:

```
.cii variances 15 0.5
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.2680047  1.243621</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the advertised value of 0.2 does not fall inside the confidence interval, the company is
allowing too much variation in the amount of tomato juice per jar.
Example 15: Confidence interval for a standard deviation

Suppose the director of statistical development at a statistical software company is a big soccer fan and requires all developers to play on the company team in the city’s local soccer league. Ten developers are randomly selected to participate in the game. To ensure an advantage over other teams, the director requires each of the 10 developers to cover 6 miles on average each game. Being merciful, she will tolerate a standard deviation of 0.3 miles across different players, arguing that this will keep the team’s performance consistent. The distance covered by each player is measured using a pedometer. At the end of the game, the sample standard deviation of the distances covered by the 10 players was 0.56 miles:

```
cii variances 10 0.56, sd
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.3851877 1.022342</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the confidence interval does not include the designated value for the standard deviation, 0.3 miles, it is clear the team is not meeting standards, and an unpleasant meeting is planned.

Example 16: Confidence interval for a standard deviation of nonnormal data

Continuing with example 15, a clever statistician points out that distances covered by company players in a soccer match do not follow the normal distribution because some players, mostly econometricians, walk on the field, while others, mostly statisticians, do all the running. Therefore, the normal-based confidence interval (which assumes normality) is not valid. Instead, we should use the Bonett confidence interval, which additionally requires an estimate of kurtosis; see Methods and formulas. If kurtosis is estimated to be 5, we would obtain the following:

```
cii variances 10 0.56 5, sd bonett
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Obs</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>[95% Conf. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.2689449 1.45029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Bonett confidence interval now contains the specified value for the standard deviation, 0.3 miles. The director of statistics concludes that overall team performance is acceptable. An uncomfortable meeting is still planned but for a smaller group.

Stored results

`ci means` and `cii means` store the following in `r()`:

Scalars
- `r(N)` number of observations or, if `poisson` is specified, exposure
- `r(mean)` mean
- `r(se)` estimate of standard error
- `r(lb)` lower bound of confidence interval
- `r(ub)` upper bound of confidence interval
- `r(level)` confidence level of confidence interval

Macros
- `r(citype)` normal or `poisson`; type of confidence interval
- `r(exposure)` name of exposure variable with `poisson`
ci proportions and cii proportions store the following in r():

Scalars
- r(N): number of observations
- r(proportion): proportion
- r(se): estimate of standard error
- r(lb): lower bound of confidence interval
- r(ub): upper bound of confidence interval
- r(level): confidence level of confidence interval

Macros
- r(citype): exact, wald, wilson, agresti, or jeffreys; type of confidence interval

ci variances and cii variances store the following in r():

Scalars
- r(N): number of observations
- r(Var): variance
- r(sd): standard deviation, if sd is specified
- r(kurtosis): kurtosis, only if bonett is specified
- r(lb): lower bound of confidence interval
- r(ub): upper bound of confidence interval
- r(level): confidence level of confidence interval

Macros
- r(citype): normal or bonett, type of confidence interval

Methods and formulas

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

- Normal mean
- Poisson mean
- Binomial proportion
- Variance and standard deviation

Normal mean

Define $n$, $\bar{x}$, and $s^2$ as, respectively, the number of observations, (weighted) average, and (unbiased) estimated variance of the variable in question; see 

The standard error of the mean, $s_{\mu}$, is defined as $\sqrt{s^2/n}$.

Let $\alpha$ be $1 - l/100$, where $l$ is the confidence level specified by the user in the level() option. Define $t_{\alpha/2}$ as the two-sided $t$ statistic corresponding to a significance level of $\alpha$ with $n - 1$ degrees of freedom; $t_{\alpha/2}$ is obtained from Stata as \texttt{invttail(n-1,0.5*alpha)}. The lower and upper confidence bounds are, respectively, $\bar{x} - s_{\mu}t_{\alpha/2}$ and $\bar{x} + s_{\mu}t_{\alpha/2}$.

Poisson mean

Given the total cases, $k$, the estimate of the expected count $\lambda$ is $k$, and its standard error is $\sqrt{k}$. \texttt{ci means} with option poisson calculates the exact confidence interval $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$ such that

$$\Pr(K \geq k|\lambda = \lambda_1) = \alpha/2$$

and

$$\Pr(K \leq k|\lambda = \lambda_2) = \alpha/2$$

where $K$ is Poisson with mean $\lambda$. Solution is obtained by Newton’s method. If $k = 0$, the calculation of $\lambda_1$ is skipped. All values are then reported as rates, which are the above numbers divided by the total exposure.
Binomial proportion

Given \( k \) successes of \( n \) trials, the estimated probability of a success is \( \hat{p} = k/n \) with standard error \( \sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})/n} \). \( \text{ci} \) calculates the exact (Clopper–Pearson) confidence interval \([ p_1, p_2 ]\) such that

\[
\Pr(K \geq k | p = p_1) = \alpha/2
\]

and

\[
\Pr(K \leq k | p = p_2) = \alpha/2
\]

where \( K \) is distributed as binomial \((n, p)\). The endpoints may be obtained directly by using Stata's \text{invbinomial()} \ function. If \( k = 0 \) or \( k = n \), the calculation of the appropriate tail is skipped.

The Wald interval is \( \hat{p} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})/n} \), where \( z_{\alpha/2} \) is the \( 1 - \alpha/2 \) quantile of the standard normal. The interval is obtained by inverting the acceptance region of the large-sample Wald test of \( H_0: p = p_0 \) versus the two-sided alternative. That is, the confidence interval is the set of all \( p_0 \) such that

\[
\left| \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{n^{-1} \hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}} \right| \leq z_{\alpha/2}
\]

The Wilson interval is a variation on the Wald interval, using the null standard error \( \sqrt{n^{-1} p_0(1 - p_0)} \) in place of the estimated standard error \( \sqrt{n^{-1} \hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})} \) in the above expression. Inverting this acceptance region is more complicated yet results in the closed form

\[
\frac{k + z_{\alpha/2}^2/2}{n + z_{\alpha/2}^2} \pm \frac{z_{\alpha/2} n^{1/2}}{n + z_{\alpha/2}^2} \left\{ \hat{p}(1 - \hat{p}) + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}^2}{4n} \right\}^{1/2}
\]

The Agresti–Coull interval is basically a Wald interval that borrows its center from the Wilson interval. Defining \( \tilde{k} = k + z_{\alpha/2}^2/2, \tilde{n} = n + z_{\alpha/2}^2 \), and (hence) \( \tilde{p} = \tilde{k}/\tilde{n} \), the Agresti–Coull interval is

\[
\tilde{p} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})/\tilde{n}}
\]

When \( \alpha = 0.05 \), \( z_{\alpha/2} \) is near enough to 2 that \( \tilde{p} \) can be thought of as a typical estimate of proportion where two successes and two failures have been added to the sample (Agresti and Coull 1998).

This typical estimate of proportion makes the Agresti–Coull interval an easy-to-present alternative for introductory statistics students.

The Jeffreys interval is a Bayesian credible interval and is based on the Jeffreys prior, which is the Beta\((1/2, 1/2)\) distribution. Assigning this prior to \( p \) results in a posterior distribution for \( p \) that is Beta with parameters \( k + 1/2 \) and \( n - k + 1/2 \). The Jeffreys interval is then taken to be the \( 1 - \alpha \) central posterior probability interval, namely, the \( \alpha/2 \) and \( 1 - \alpha/2 \) quantiles of the Beta\((k + 1/2, n - k + 1/2)\) distribution. These quantiles may be obtained directly by using Stata’s \text{invibeta()} \ function. See [BAYES] \text{bayesstats summary} \ for more details about credible intervals.

Variance and standard deviation

Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) be a random sample and assume that \( X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \). Because \( (n - 1)s^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2_{n - 1} \), we have \( \Pr\left\{ \chi^2_{n - 1, \alpha/2} \leq (n - 1)s^2/\sigma^2 \leq \chi^2_{n - 1, 1 - \alpha/2} \right\} = 1 - \alpha \), where \( \chi^2_{n - 1, \alpha/2} \) and \( \chi^2_{n - 1, 1 - \alpha/2} \) are the \( \alpha/2 \) and \( 1 - \alpha/2 \) quantiles of the \( \chi^2_{n - 1} \) distribution. Thus the normal-based confidence interval for the population variance \( \sigma^2 \) with 100\((1 - \alpha)\)% confidence level is given by

\[
I_{\text{normal}} = \left[ \frac{(n - 1)s^2}{\chi^2_{n - 1, 1 - \alpha/2}}, \frac{(n - 1)s^2}{\chi^2_{n - 1, \alpha/2}} \right]
\]
\( \chi^2_{n-1,1-\alpha/2} \) and \( \chi^2_{n-1,\alpha/2} \) are obtained from Stata as `invchi2tail(n-1,0.5*\alpha)` and `invchi2(n-1,0.5*\alpha)`, respectively.

The normal-based confidence interval is very sensitive to minor departures from the normality assumption and its performance does not improve with increasing sample size. For scenarios in which the population distribution is not normal, the actual coverage probability of the normal-based confidence interval can be drastically lower than the nominal confidence level \( \alpha \).

Bonett (2006) proposed an alternative to the normal-based confidence interval that is nearly exact under normality and has coverage probability close to \( 1-\alpha \) under moderate nonnormality. It also has \( 1-\alpha \) asymptotic coverage probability for nonnormal distributions with finite fourth moments. Instead of assuming that \( X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2) \), Bonett’s approach requires continuous i.i.d. random variables with finite fourth moment. The variance of \( s^2 \) may be expressed as \( \sigma^4 \{ \gamma_4 - (n-3)/(n-1) \} / n \) (see Casella and Berger [2002, ex. 5.8, 257]), where \( \gamma_4 = \mu^4/\sigma^4 \) is the kurtosis and \( \mu^4 = E (X_i - \mu)^4 \) is the population fourth central moment. The variance-stabilizing transformation \( \ln(s^2) \) and the delta method can be used to construct an asymptotic \( 100(1-\alpha)\% \) confidence interval for \( \sigma^2 \),

\[
\left[ \exp \{ \ln(s^2) - z_{\alpha/2}se \}, \exp \{ \ln(s^2) + z_{\alpha/2}se \} \right]
\]

where \( se = \{ \hat{\gamma}_4 - (n-3)/(n-1) \} / n \approx \text{Var} \{ \ln(s^2) \} \) and \( \hat{\gamma}_4 \) is an estimate of the kurtosis. Bonett introduced three adjustments to improve the small-sample properties of the above confidence interval. First, he swapped the inner and outer denominator in the expression for \( se \) and changed it to \( \{ \hat{\gamma}_4 - (n-3)/n \} / (n-1) \). This was suggested by Shoemaker (2003) who used it to improve the small-sample performance of his variance test. Second, with regard to the estimation of kurtosis, Bonett proposed \( \hat{\gamma}_4 = n \sum (X_i - m)^4 / \left\{ \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right\}^2 \), where \( m \) is a trimmed mean with a trim-proportion equal to \( 1/ \{ 2(n-4) \}^{1/2} \). This kurtosis estimator reduces the negative bias in symmetric and skewed heavy-tailed distributions. Last, he empirically derived a small-sample correction factor \( c = n/(n-z_{\alpha/2}) \) that helps equalize the tail probabilities. These modifications yield

\[
I_{\text{Bonett}} = \left[ \exp \{ \ln(cs^2) - z_{\alpha/2}se \}, \exp \{ \ln(cs^2) + z_{\alpha/2}se \} \right]
\]

where \( z_{\alpha/2} \) is the \( 1-\alpha/2 \) quantile of the standard normal and \( se = c \{ \{ \hat{\gamma}_4 - (n-3)/n \} / (n-1) \} \).

Taking the square root of the endpoints of both intervals gives confidence intervals for the standard deviation \( \sigma \).

Edwin Bidwell (E. B.) Wilson (1879–1964) majored in mathematics at Harvard and studied and taught at Yale and MIT before returning to Harvard in 1922. He worked in mathematics, physics, and statistics. His method for binomial intervals can be considered a precursor, for a particular problem, of Neyman’s concept of confidence intervals.

Jerzy Neyman (1894–1981) was born in Bendery, Russia, now Moldavia. He studied and then taught at Kharkov University, moving from physics to mathematics. In 1921, Neyman moved to Poland, where he worked in statistics at Bydgoszcz and then Warsaw. Neyman received a Rockefeller Fellowship to work with Karl Pearson at University College London. There he collaborated with Egon Pearson, Karl’s son, on the theory of hypothesis testing. Life in Poland became progressively more difficult, and Neyman returned to UCL to work there from 1934 to 1938. At this time, he published on the theory of confidence intervals. He then was offered a post in California at Berkeley, where he settled. Neyman established an outstanding statistics department and remained highly active in research, including applications in astronomy, meteorology, and medicine. He was one of the great statisticians of the 20th century.
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Also see

[R] ameans — Arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic means
[R] bitest — Binomial probability test
[R] centile — Report centile and confidence interval
[R] prtest — Tests of proportions
[R] sdtest — Variance-comparison tests
[R] summarize — Summary statistics
[R] ttest — t tests (mean-comparison tests)
[D] pctl — Create variable containing percentiles