churdle — Cragg hurdle regression

Description

churdle fits a linear or exponential hurdle model for a bounded dependent variable. The hurdle model combines a selection model that determines the boundary points of the dependent variable with an outcome model that determines its nonbounded values. Separate independent covariates are permitted for each model.

Quick start

Linear hurdle model of y1 on x1 and x2, specifying that y1 is truncated at 0 with x1 and x3 predicting selection

churdle linear y1 x1 x2, select(x1 x3) ll(0)

Add an upper truncation limit of 40

churdle linear y1 x1 x2, select(x1 x3) ll(0) ul(40)

As above, with the upper truncation limit specified in trunc

churdle linear y1 x1 x2, select(x1 x3) ll(0) ul(trunc)

As above, and use x3 to model the variance of the selection model

churdle linear y1 x1 x2, select(x1 x3, het(x3)) ll(0) ul(trunc)

As above, and use x4 to model the variance of the outcome model

churdle linear y1 x1 x2, select(x1 x3, het(x3)) ll(0) ul(trunc) het(x4)

Exponential hurdle model of y2 on x1 and x2, specifying that y2 is truncated at 4 with x1 and x3 predicting selection

churdle exponential y2 x1 x2, select(x1 x3) ll(4)

Menu

Statistics > Linear models and related > Hurdle regression
Syntax

Basic syntax

churdle linear depvar, select(varlist) {ll(...) | ul(...)}

churdle exponential depvar, select(varlist) ll(...)

Full syntax for churdle linear

churdle linear depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight],
    select(varlist[, noconstant het(varlist_o)])
    {ll(# | varname) | ul(# | varname) [options]}

Full syntax for churdle exponential

churdle exponential depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight],
    select(varlist[, noconstant het(varlist_o)]) ll(# | varname) [options]

options Description

Model
*select() specify independent variables and options for selection model
+ll(# | varname) lower truncation limit
+ul(# | varname) upper truncation limit
noconstant suppress constant term
constraints(constraints) apply specified linear constraints
het(varlist) specify variables to model the variance

SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or jackknife

Reporting
level(#) set confidence level; default is level(95)
noconsreport do not display constraints
display_options control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling

Maximization
maximize_options control the maximization process; seldom used
coeflegend display legend instead of statistics
*select() is required.

The full specification is `select(varlist1, noconstant het(varlist2))`.

noconstant specifies that the constant be excluded from the selection model.

het(varlist2) specifies the variables in the error-variance function of the selection model.

†You must specify at least one of `ul(# varname)` or `ll(# varname)` for the linear model and must specify `ll(# varname)` for the exponential model.

`indevars`, `varlist1`, and `varlist2` may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

`bootstrap`, `by`, `collect`, `fp`, `jackknife`, `rolling`, `statsby`, and `svy` are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

Weights are not allowed with the `bootstrap` prefix; see [R] bootstrap.

`vce()` and weights are not allowed with the `svy` prefix; see [SVY] svy.

`fweights`, `iweights`, and `pweights` are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

`coeflegend` does not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

**Options**

`select(varlist1, noconstant het(varlist2))` specifies the variables and options for the selection model. `select()` is required.

`ll(# varname)` and `ul(# varname)` indicate the lower and upper limits, respectively, for the dependent variable. You must specify one or both for the linear model and must specify a lower limit for the exponential model. Observations with `depvar ≤ ll()` have a lower bound; observations with `depvar ≥ ul()` have an upper bound; and the remaining observations are in the continuous region.

noconstant, `constraints(constraints)`; see [R] Estimation options.

`het(varlist)` specifies the variables in the error-variance function of the outcome model.

`vce(vcetype)` specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (`oim`), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (`robust`), that allow for intragroup correlation (`cluster`, `clustvar`), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (`bootstrap`, `jackknife`); see [R] vce_option.

`level(#)`, `nocnsreport`; see [R] Estimation options.

`display_options`: `noci`, `nopvalues`, `noomitted`, `vsquish`, `noemptycells`, `baselevels`, `allbaselevels`, `nofvlabel`, `fvwrap(#)`, `fvwрап(style)`, `cformat(%fmt)`, `pformat(%fmt)`, `sformat(%fmt)`, and `nolstretch`; see [R] Estimation options.

`maximize_options`: `difficult`, `technique(algorithm_spec)`, `iterate(#)`, `[no]log`, `trace`, `gradient`, `showstep`, `hessian`, `showtolerance`, `tolerance(#)`, `ltolerance(#)`, `nrtolerance(#)`, `nonrtolerance`, and `from(init_specs)`; see [R] Maximize. These options are seldom used.
The following option is available with \texttt{churdle} but is not shown in the dialog box: \texttt{coeflegend}; see \texttt{[R] Estimation options}.

**Remarks and examples**

\texttt{churdle} fits a linear or an exponential hurdle model. It combines a selection model that determines the boundary points of the dependent variable with an outcome model that determines its nonbounded values. Hurdle models treat these boundary values as observed instead of censored. That is to say, observations where the dependent variable is equal to one of the boundary values are not the result of our inability to observe the distribution above or below a certain point; see \textit{Wooldridge (2010)} chapter 17 for a thorough discussion of this point.

These models were proposed by \textit{Cragg (1971)} to explain the demand for durable goods. In the Cragg model, individuals purchase zero or a positive amount of the durable good, with different factors determining each of these choices. This may be generalized to other individual decisions, such as money donated to charity, cigarette consumption, and time spent volunteering.

Hurdle models are characterized by the relationship \( y_i = s_i h_i^* \), where \( y_i \) is the observed value of the dependent variable.

The selection variable, \( s_i \), is 1 if the dependent variable is not bounded and 0 otherwise. In the Cragg model, the lower limit that binds the dependent variable is 0 so the selection model is

\[
s_i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } z_i \gamma + \epsilon_i > 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

where \( z_i \) is a vector of explanatory variables, \( \gamma \) is a vector of coefficients, and \( \epsilon_i \) is a standard normal error term. \texttt{churdle} allows a different lower limit to be specified in \texttt{ll()} and, for the linear model, an upper limit in \texttt{ul()}. Conditional heteroskedasticity of the random error \( \epsilon_i \) is allowed if suboption \texttt{het()} is specified in \texttt{select()}.

The continuous latent variable \( h_i^* \) is observed only if \( s_i = 1 \). The outcome model can be either the linear model or the exponential model, as proposed in \textit{Cragg (1971)}:

\[
\begin{align*}
  h_i^* &= x_i \beta + \nu_i \quad \text{(linear)} \\
  h_i^* &= \exp(x_i \beta + \nu_i) \quad \text{(exponential)}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( x_i \) is a vector of explanatory variables, \( \beta \) is a vector of coefficients, and \( \nu_i \) is an error term.

For the linear model, \( \nu_i \) has a truncated normal distribution with lower truncation point \(-x_i \beta\). For the exponential model, \( \nu_i \) has a normal distribution. \texttt{churdle} extends the Cragg hurdle models to allow for conditional heteroskedasticity of the random error \( \nu_i \) if the user specifies the \texttt{het()} option.

The parameters and regressors in the models for \( h_i^* \) and for \( s_i \) may differ.
Consider a dataset that contains the number of hours an individual exercises per day (hours), their age (age), whether they are single (single), hours they work per day (whours), whether they smoke (smoke), their weight in kilograms (weight), their distance from the nearest gym (distance), and their average commute from work (commute).

Figure 1 shows that 43.9% of the individuals in the sample do not exercise and that the hours exercised varies among individuals that decide to exercise.

We model the decision to exercise or not as a function of commute, whours, and age. These variables are written in select(). Once a decision to exercise is made, the time an individual exercises is modeled as a linear function of age, smoke, distance, and single.
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r17/fitness
(Fictional fitness data)
.churdle linear hours age i.smoke distance i.single,
> select(commute whours age) ll(0)
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -23657.236
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -23344.182
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -23340.051
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -23340.044
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -23340.044
Cragg hurdle regression
Number of obs = 19,831
LR chi2(4) = 9059.26
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Log likelihood = -23340.044
Pseudo R2 = 0.1625

|                | Coefficient | Std. err. | z    | P>|z|  | [95% conf. interval]|
|----------------|-------------|-----------|------|------|---------------------|
| hours          |             |           |      |      |                     |
| age            | .0015116    | .000763   | 1.98 | 0.048| .0000162 .003007    |
| smoke          | -1.06646    | .0460578  | -23.15| 0.000| -1.156731 -.9761879|
| Smoking        | -1.06646    | .0460578  | -23.15| 0.000| -1.156731 -.9761879|
| distance       | -.1333868   | .0126344  | -10.56| 0.000| -.1581497 -.1086238|
| single         | .9940893    | .0258775  | 38.42| 0.000| .9433703 1.044808  |
| Single         | .9940893    | .0258775  | 38.42| 0.000| .9433703 1.044808  |
| _cons          | .9138855    | .0396227  | 23.06| 0.000| .8362264 .9915447  |
| selection_ll   |             |           |      |      |                     |
| commute        | -.2953345   | .0624665  | -4.73| 0.000| -.4177666 -.1729024|
| whours         | .0022974    | .0069306  | 0.33 | 0.740| -.0112864 .0158811 |
| age            | -.0485347   | .0006501  | -74.65| 0.000| -.049809  -.0472604|
| _cons          | 2.649945    | .0499795  | 53.02| 0.000| 2.551987 2.747903  |
| lnsigma        |             |           |      |      |                     |
| _cons          | .0083199    | .0099648  | 0.83 | 0.404| -.0112107 .0278506 |
| /sigma         | 1.008355    | .010048   | .9888519 1.028242 |

The coefficients in the outcome model for the latent variable appear under `hours`. Because we only specified a lower limit to bind the dependent variable, the output shows parameter estimates for a single selection model under `selection_ll`. Information about the estimated standard deviation of the error term in the outcome model appears under `lnsigma` and `/sigma`.

The coefficient estimates are not directly interpretable. To obtain the effect of a covariate on the model, we need to use the `margins` command; see [R] churdle postestimation. Consider the effect of `age`:
Each additional year of age is associated with about −0.02 fewer hours, or 1.2 minutes, of exercise.

Example 2: Linear hurdle with models for the outcome and selection variances

In this example, we illustrate the possibility of fitting a heteroskedastic probit for the selection and latent model. In both cases, this is done by specifying age and single as the variables that affect the conditional variance. As in example 1, we have separate parameters for the outcome model and lower-limit selection model.
The coefficients on age and single have no effect on the conditional variance of the outcome model or on the conditional variance of the selection model. Thus, there is no evidence that the variance depends on age and marital status.

Example 3: Exponential hurdle model

Returning to example 1, if we believe that the conditional mean of the latent variable has an exponential form instead of a linear form, we use churdle exponential.

```
.churdle exponential hours age i.smoke distance i.single,
>   > select(commute whours age) ll(0) nolog
```

Cragg hurdle regression

```
Number of obs = 19,831  
LR chi2(4) = 8663.21  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
Log likelihood = -15666.195  
Pseudo R2 = 0.2166
```

|        | Coefficient | Std. err. | z     | P>|z| | [95% conf. interval] |
|--------|-------------|-----------|-------|-----|----------------------|
| hours  |             |           |       |     |                      |
| age    | .0008368    | .0005341  | 1.57  | 0.117 | -0.00021 - 0.0018836  |
| smoke  | -.6431348   | .0258509  | -24.88| 0.000 | -.6938016 -.592468    |
| distance| -.0772879   | .0079132  | -9.77 | 0.000 | -.0927976 -.0617783  |
| single | .5975111    | .016108   | 37.09 | 0.000 | .5659401 .6290821     |
| _cons  | -.0770619   | .0254833  | -3.02 | 0.002 | -.1270082 -.0271157  |

Selection Equation

```
selection_ll
commute | -.2953345   | .0624665  | -4.73 | 0.000 | -.4177666 -.1729024  |
whours  | .0022974    | .0069306  | 0.33  | 0.740 | -.0112864 .0158811   |
age    | -.0485347   | .006501   | -74.65| 0.000 | -.049809 -.0472604   |
_cons  | 2.649945    | .0499795  | 53.02 | 0.000 | 2.551987 2.747903    |

lnsigma
_cons  | -.186917    | .0067067  | -27.87| 0.000 | -.200062 -.1737721   |

/sigma | .8295126    | .0055633  | .81868 | .8404884 |
```

What was said previously regarding the interpretation of the effects of the different regressors also holds true for churdle exponential. We again use margins to estimate the effect of age on time spent exercising.

```
.margins, dydx(age)
```

Average marginal effects

```
Number of obs = 19,831
Model VCE: OIM
Expression: Conditional mean estimates of dependent variable, predict()
dy/dx wrt: age
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Delta-method</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>[95% conf. interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dy/dx</td>
<td>std. err.</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>P&gt;</td>
<td>z</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>-.0245582</td>
<td>.0004805</td>
<td>-51.11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-.0255 -.0236164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
With the exponential outcome model of the latent variable, our estimate is that each additional year of age decreases exercise time by about 0.025 hours, or 1.5 minutes.

Stored results

churdle stores the following in \texttt{e()}:

Scalars
\begin{align*}
\texttt{e(N)} & : \text{number of observations} \\
\texttt{e(k_eq_model)} & : \text{number of equations in overall model test} \\
\texttt{e(df_m)} & : \text{model degrees of freedom} \\
\texttt{e(r2_p)} & : \text{pseudo-}R^2 \\
\texttt{e(chi2)} & : \chi^2 \\
\texttt{e(ll)} & : \text{log likelihood} \\
\texttt{e(ll0)} & : \text{log likelihood, constant-only model} \\
\texttt{e(N_clust)} & : \text{number of clusters} \\
\texttt{e(p)} & : p\text{-value for model test} \\
\texttt{e(rank)} & : \text{rank of } \texttt{e(V)} \\
\texttt{e(converged)} & : 1 \text{ if converged, 0 otherwise}
\end{align*}

Macros
\begin{align*}
\texttt{e(cmd)} & : \text{churdle} \\
\texttt{e(cmdline)} & : \text{command as typed} \\
\texttt{e(depvar)} & : \text{name of dependent variable} \\
\texttt{e(estimator)} & : \text{linear or exponential} \\
\texttt{e(model)} & : \text{Linear or Exponential} \\
\texttt{e(wtype)} & : \text{weight type} \\
\texttt{e(wexp)} & : \text{weight expression} \\
\texttt{e(title)} & : \text{title in estimation output} \\
\texttt{e(clustvar)} & : \text{name of cluster variable} \\
\texttt{e(chi2type)} & : \text{Wald or LR: type of model } \chi^2 \text{ test} \\
\texttt{e(vce)} & : \text{vcetype specified in } \texttt{vce()} \\
\texttt{e(vcetype)} & : \text{title used to label Std. err.} \\
\texttt{e(opt)} & : \text{type of optimization} \\
\texttt{e(which)} & : \text{max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization} \\
\texttt{e(technique)} & : \text{maximization technique} \\
\texttt{e(properties)} & : b V \\
\texttt{e(predict)} & : \text{program used to implement predict} \\
\texttt{e(marginsnotok)} & : \text{predictions disallowed by margins} \\
\texttt{e(asbalanced)} & : \text{factor variables fvset as asbalanced} \\
\texttt{e(asobserved)} & : \text{factor variables fvset as asobserved}
\end{align*}

Matrices
\begin{align*}
\texttt{e(b)} & : \text{coefficient vector} \\
\texttt{e(Cns)} & : \text{constraints matrix} \\
\texttt{e(ilog)} & : \text{iteration log} \\
\texttt{e(V)} & : \text{variance–covariance matrix of the estimators} \\
\texttt{e(V_modelbased)} & : \text{model-based variance}
\end{align*}

Functions
\begin{align*}
\texttt{e(sample)} & : \text{marks estimation sample}
\end{align*}

In addition to the above, the following is stored in \texttt{r()}:

Matrices
\texttt{r(table)} : matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, \textit{p}-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in \texttt{r()} are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.
Methods and formulas

Let \(\ell\ell\) refer to the lower limit and \(u\ell\) to the upper limit. Also let the probabilities of being at these limits be given by

\[
\Pr(y_i = \ell|z_i) = \Phi(\ell - z_i'\gamma_{\ell \ell})
\]
\[
\Pr(y_i = u|z_i) = \Phi(z_i'\gamma_{u \ell} - u\ell)
\]

where \(z_i\) are the covariates of the selection model for individual \(i\), which may be distinct from the covariates \(x_i\) for the latent model; \(\Phi\) corresponds to the standard normal cumulative distribution function; \(\gamma_{\ell \ell}\) is the parameter vector for the lower-limit selection model; and \(\gamma_{u \ell}\) is the parameter vector for the upper-limit selection model.

Under the assumptions that \(\nu_i\) has a truncated normal distribution with lower truncation point \(\ell - x_i'\beta\) and upper truncation point \(u\ell - x_i'\beta\) and has a homoskedastic variance, the log-likelihood function is given by

\[
\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( (y_i \leq \ell) \log \Phi(\ell - z_i'\gamma_{\ell \ell}) + (y_i \geq u\ell) \log \{1 - \Phi(\ell - z_i'\gamma_{u \ell})\} \right)
\]
\[
+ (u\ell > y_i > \ell) \left[ \log \left\{ \Phi \left( u\ell - z_i'\gamma_{u \ell} \right) - \Phi \left( \ell - z_i'\gamma_{\ell \ell} \right) \right\} \right]
\]
\[
- (u\ell > y_i > \ell) \left[ \log \left\{ \Phi \left( \frac{\ell - x_i'\beta}{\sigma} \right) - \Phi \left( \frac{u\ell - x_i'\beta}{\sigma} \right) \right\} \right]
\]
\[
+ (u\ell > y_i > \ell) \left[ \log \left\{ \phi \left( \frac{y_i - x_i'\beta}{\sigma} \right) \right\} - \log(\sigma) \right]
\]

Without the homoskedasticity assumption, the heteroskedasticity can be modeled using the form \(\sigma^2(\nu_i) = \exp(2w_i'\theta)\), where \(w_i\) are the variables that affect the conditional variance of \(\nu_i\). The log-likelihood function is obtained by replacing \(\sigma\) with \(\exp(\nu_i'\theta)\).

The log-likelihood function for the exponential model is given by

\[
\ln L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( (y_i \leq \ell) \log \Phi(\ell - z_i'\gamma_{\ell \ell}) + (y_i > \ell) \left[ \log \{1 - \Phi(\ell - z_i'\gamma_{\ell \ell})\} \right] \right)
\]
\[
+ (\ell > y_i) \left\{ \log \left( \phi \left( \log(y_i - \ell) - x_i'\beta \right) / \sigma \right) \right\} - \log(\sigma) - \log(\sigma) - \log(y_i - \ell) \right)
\]

Analogous to the linear case, we can model heteroskedasticity by \(\sigma^2(\nu_i) = \exp(2w_i'\theta)\).

Estimation of both of the aforementioned likelihood functions is done by maximum likelihood.
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