pca postestimation — Postestimation tools for pca and pcamat

Postestimation commands	predict	estat	Remarks and examples
Stored results	Methods and formulas	References	Also see

Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after pca and pcamat:

Command	Description
estat anti	anti-image correlation and covariance matrices
estat kmo	Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measure of sampling adequacy
estat loadings	component-loading matrix in one of several normalizations
estat residuals	matrix of correlation or covariance residuals
estat rotatecompare	compare rotated and unrotated components
estat smc	squared multiple correlations between each variable and the rest
* estat summarize	display summary statistics over the estimation sample
loadingplot	plot component loadings
rotate	rotate component loadings
scoreplot	plot score variables
screeplot	plot eigenvalues

*estat summarize is not available after pcamat.

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:

Command	Description
[†] estat vce	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE)
estimates	cataloging estimation results
etable	table of estimation results
*lincom	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear combi- nations of parameters
*nlcom	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear com- binations of parameters
predict	score variables, fitted values, and residuals
*predictnl	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for generalized pre- dictions
* test	Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses
*testnl	Wald tests of nonlinear hypotheses

[†]estat vce is available after pca and pcamat with the vce(normal) option.

*lincom, nlcom, predictnl, test, and testnl are available only after pca with the vce(normal) option.

predict

Description for predict

predict creates new variables containing predictions such as scores, fitted values, raw residuals, and residual sums of squares.

Menu for predict

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for predict

```
predict [type] {stub* | newvarlist} [if ] [in ] [, statistic options]
```

statistic	# of vars.	Description ($k = #$ of orig. vars.; $f = #$ of components)
Main		
<u>sc</u> ore	1,, f	scores based on the components; the default
fit	k	fitted values using the retained components
<u>res</u> idual	k	raw residuals from the fit using the retained components
q	1	residual sums of squares
options		Description
Main		
<u>norot</u> ated		use unrotated results, even when rotated results are available
<u>cen</u> ter		base scores on centered variables
<u>notab</u> le		suppress table of scoring coefficients
format(% <i>fmt</i>)		format for displaying the scoring coefficients

Options for predict

Note on pcamat: predict requires that variables with the correct names be available in memory. Apart from centered scores, means() should have been specified with pcamat. If you used pcamat because you have access only to the correlation or covariance matrix, you cannot use predict.

Main

score calculates the scores for components 1, ..., #, where # is the number of variables in newvarlist.

- fit calculates the fitted values, using the retained components, for each variable. The number of variables in *newvarlist* should equal the number of variables in the *varlist* of pca; see [MV] pca.
- residual calculates for each variable the raw residuals (residual = observed fitted), with the fitted values computed using the retained components.
- q calculates the Rao statistics (that is, the sums of squares of the omitted components) weighted by the respective eigenvalues. This equals the residual sums of squares between the original variables and the fitted values.

norotated uses unrotated results, even when rotated results are available.

center bases scores on centered variables. This option is relevant only for a PCA of a covariance matrix, in which the scores are based on uncentered variables by default. Scores for a PCA of a correlation matrix are always based on the standardized variables.

notable suppresses the table of scoring coefficients.

format (% fint) specifies the display format for scoring coefficients. The default is format (%8.4f).

estat

Description for estat

estat anti displays the anti-image correlation and anti-image covariance matrices. These are minus the partial covariance and minus the partial correlation of all pairs of variables, holding all other variables constant.

estat kmo displays the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy. KMO takes values between 0 and 1, with small values indicating that overall the variables have too little in common to warrant a PCA. Historically, the following labels are given to values of KMO (Kaiser 1974):

0.00 to 0.49	unacceptable
0.50 to 0.59	miserable
0.60 to 0.69	mediocre
0.70 to 0.79	middling
0.80 to 0.89	meritorious
0.90 to 1.00	marvelous

estat loadings displays the component-loading matrix in one of several normalizations of the columns (eigenvectors).

estat residuals displays the difference between the observed correlation or covariance matrix and the fitted (reproduced) matrix using the retained factors.

estat rotatecompare displays the unrotated (principal) components next to the most recent rotated components.

estat smc displays the squared multiple correlations between each variable and all other variables. SMC is a theoretical lower bound for communality and thus an upper bound for the unexplained variance.

estat summarize displays summary statistics of the variables in the principal component analysis over the estimation sample. This subcommand is not available after pcamat.

Menu for estat

Statistics > Postestimation

Syntax for estat

Display the anti-image correlation and covariance matrices

```
estat anti [, nocorr nocov <u>for</u>mat(%fmt)]
```

```
Display the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy
```

estat kmo [, novar format(% fmt)]

Display the component-loading matrix

estat <u>loa</u>dings [, <u>cn</u>orm(<u>unit</u>|<u>eigen</u>|<u>inveigen</u>) <u>for</u>mat(%*fmt*)]

Display the differences in matrices

estat <u>res</u>iduals [, <u>obs fitted format(% fmt</u>)]

Display the unrotated and rotated components

```
estat rotatecompare [, format(% fmt)]
```

Display the squared multiple correlations

estat smc [, format(%fmt)]

Display the summary statistics

estat <u>su</u>mmarize [, <u>lab</u>els <u>nohea</u>der <u>noweights</u>]

collect is allowed with estat anti, estat kmo, estat loadings, estat residuals, estat smc, and estat summarize; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

Options for estat

- nocorr, an option used with estat anti, suppresses the display of the anti-image correlation matrix, that is, minus the partial correlation matrix of all pairs of variables, holding constant all other variables.
- nocov, an option used with estat anti, suppresses the display of the anti-image covariance matrix, that is, minus the partial covariance matrix of all pairs of variables, holding constant all other variables.

format (% fint) specifies the display format. The defaults differ between the subcommands.

- novar, an option used with estat kmo, suppresses the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measures of sampling adequacy for the variables in the principal component analysis, displaying the overall KMO measure only.
- cnorm(unit | eigen | inveigen), an option used with estat loadings, selects the normalization of the eigenvectors, the columns of the principal-component loading matrix. The following normalizations are available

unit	ssq(column) = 1; the default
eigen	ssq(column) = eigenvalue
inveigen	ssq(column) = 1/eigenvalue

with ssq(column) being the sum of squares of the elements in a column and eigenvalue, the eigenvalue associated with the column (eigenvector).

- obs, an option used with estat residuals, displays the observed correlation or covariance matrix for which the PCA was performed.
- fitted, an option used with estat residuals, displays the fitted (reconstructed) correlation or covariance matrix based on the retained components.
- labels, noheader, and noweights are the same as for the generic estat summarize command; see [R] estat summarize.

Remarks and examples

After computing the principal components and the associated eigenvalues, you have more issues to resolve. How many components do you want to retain? How well is the correlation or covariance matrix approximated by the retained components? How can you interpret the principal components? Is it possible to improve the interpretability by rotating the retained principal components? And, when these issues have been settled, the component scores are probably needed for later research.

The rest of this entry describes the specific tools available for these purposes.

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Postestimation statistics Plots of eigenvalues, component loadings, and scores Rotating the components How rotate interacts with pca Predicting the component scores

In addition to these specific postestimation tools, general tools are available as well. pca is an estimation command, so it is possible to manage a series of PCA analyses with the estimates command; see [R] estimates. If you have specified the vce(normal) option, pca has stored the coefficients e(b) and the associated variance-covariance matrix e(V), and you can use standard Stata commands to test hypotheses about the principal components and eigenvalues ("confirmatory principal component analysis"), for instance, with the test, lincom, and testnl commands. We caution you to test only hypotheses that do not violate the assumptions of the theory underlying the derivation of the covariance matrix. In particular, all eigenvalues are assumed to be different and strictly positive. Thus it makes no sense to use test to test the hypothesis that the smallest four eigenvalues are equal (let alone that they are equal to zero.)

Postestimation statistics

pca displays the principal components in unit normalization; the sum of squares of the principal loadings equals 1. This parallels the standard conventions in mathematics concerning eigenvectors. Some texts and some software use a different normalization. Some texts multiply the eigenvectors by the square root of the eigenvalues. In this normalization, the sum of the squared loadings equals the variance explained by that component. estat loadings can display the loadings in this normalization.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/audiometric
(Audiometric measures)
. pca l* r*, comp(4)
 (output omitted)
```

. es	stat loadings,	cnorm(eiger	n)					
Prin	Principal component loadings (unrotated)							
	component norm	malization:	sum of squ	uares(colu	nn) = eiger	nvalue		
		ſ						
		Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4			
	lft500	.795	4032	.1562	2239			
	lft1000	.8345	2868	05132	3291			
	lft2000	.7262	.3035	4645	193			
	lft4000	.5567	.6032	.4242	1101			
	rght500	.6804	4911	.2561	.3331			
	rght1000	.8155	2948	0285	.2544			
	rght2000	.6175	.4033	5559	.2674			
	rght4000	.5039	.6533	.4209	.1087			

How close the retained principal components approximate the correlation matrix can be seen from the fitted (reconstructed) correlation matrix and from the residuals, that is, the difference between the observed and fitted correlations.

. estat residual, fit format(%7.3f) Fitted correlation matrix

TUTER	COLLETACION	mauix	

rght2000

rght4000

0.469

0.234

Variable	1ft500	lft500 lft1000 lft20		lft4000	rght500	
lft500	0.869					
lft1000	0.845	0.890				
lft2000	0.426	0.606	0.872			
lft4000	0.290	0.306	0.412	0.866		
rght500	0.704	0.586	0.162	0.155	0.881	
rght1000	0.706	0.683	0.467	0.236	0.777	
rght2000	0.182	0.340	0.778	0.322	0.169	
rght4000	0.179	0.176	0.348	0.841	0.166	
	L			_		
Variable	rg~1000	rg~2000	rg~4000	-		
rght1000	0.818			-		

0.925

0.370

0.870

Variable	1ft500	lft1000	lft2000	lft4000	rght500
lft500	0.131				
lft1000	-0.067	0.110			
lft2000	-0.024	-0.070	0.128		
lft4000	-0.035	-0.031	0.013	0.134	
rght500	-0.008	-0.034	0.077	0.024	0.119
rght1000	-0.064	0.024	-0.021	0.027	-0.114
rght2000	0.056	0.020	-0.076	-0.005	-0.010
rght4000	0.025	0.041	-0.022	-0.131	-0.034
	·				
Variable	rg~1000	rg~2000	rg~4000		
rght1000	0.182			-	
rght2000	-0.054	0.075			
rght4000	-0.014	0.005	0.130		

Residual correlation matrix

All off diagonal residuals are small, except perhaps the two measurements at the highest frequency.

estat also provides some of the standard methods for studying correlation matrices to assess whether the variables have strong linear relations with each other. In a sense, these methods could be seen as preestimation rather than as postestimation methods. The first method is the inspection of the squared multiple correlation (the regression R^2) of each variable on all other variables.

. estat smc

Squared multiple correlations of variables with all other variables

Variable	smc
lft500 lft1000 lft2000 rght500 rght1000 rght2000 rght4000	0.7113 0.7167 0.6229 0.5597 0.5893 0.6441 0.5611 0.5409

The SMC measures help identify variables that cannot be explained well from the other variables. For such variables, you should reevaluate whether they should be included in the analysis. In our examples, none of the SMCs are so small as to warrant exclusion. Two other statistics are offered. First, we can inspect the anti-image correlation and covariance matrices, that is, the negative of correlations (covariances) of the variables partialing out all other variables. If many of these correlations or covariances are "high", the relationships between some of the variables have little to do with the other variables, indicating that it will not be possible to obtain a low-dimensional reduction of the data.

ci-i	mage correla	ation coef	ficients	parti	aling out	all othe	r variable
	Variable	lft500	lft1000	lft2000	lft4000	rght500	rg~1000
	lft500 lft1000 lft2000 lft4000 rght500 rght1000 rght2000 rght4000	1.000 -0.561 -0.051 -0.014 -0.466 0.023 0.085 -0.047	1.000 -0.267 0.026 0.131 -0.389 0.068 -0.002	1.000 -0.285 0.064 0.043 -0.617 0.150	1.000 -0.017 -0.042 0.161 -0.675	1.000 -0.441 0.067 0.019	1.000 -0.248 0.023
	19101000	0.011	0.002				
	Variable	rg~2000	rg~4000				
	rght2000 rght4000	1.000 -0.266	1.000	-			

. estat anti, nocov format(%7.3f) Anti-image correlation coefficients ---- partialing out all other variables

The Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measure of sampling adequacy compares the correlations and the partial correlations between variables. If the partial correlations are relatively high compared to the correlations, the KMO measure is small, and a low-dimensional representation of the data is not possible.

. estat kmo

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy

Variable	kmo
lft500 lft1000 lft2000 rght500 rght1000 rght2000 rght4000	0.7701 0.7767 0.7242 0.6449 0.7562 0.8168 0.6673 0.6214
Overall	0.7328

Using the Kaiser (1974) characterization of KMO values,


0.00 to 0.49	unacceptable
0.50 to 0.59	miserable
0.60 to 0.69	mediocre
0.70 to 0.79	middling
0.80 to 0.89	meritorious
0.90 to 1.00	marvelous

we declare our KMO value, 0.73, middling.

Plots of eigenvalues, component loadings, and scores


After computing the principal components, we probably wish to determine how many components to keep. In factor analysis the question of the "true" number of factors is a complicated one. With PCA, it is a little more straightforward. We may set a percentage of variance we wish to account for, say, 90%,

and retain just enough components to account for at least that much of the variance. Usually you will want to weigh the costs associated with using more components in later analyses against the benefits of the extra variance they account for. The relative magnitudes of the eigenvalues indicate the amount of variance they account for. A useful tool for visualizing the eigenvalues relative to one another, so that you can decide the number of components to retain, is the scree plot proposed by Cattell (1966); see [MV] screeplot.



Because we are analyzing a correlation matrix, the mean eigenvalue is 1. We wish to retain the components associated with the high part of the scree plot and drop the components associated with the lower flat part of the scree plot. The boundary between high and low is not clear here, but we would choose two or three components, although the fourth component had the nice interpretation of the left versus the right ear; see [MV] **pca**.

A problem in interpreting the scree plot is that no guidance is given with respect to its stability under sampling. How different could the plot be with different samples? The approximate variance of an eigenvalue $\hat{\lambda}$ of a covariance matrix for multivariate normal distributed data is $2\lambda^2/n$. From this we can derive confidence intervals for the eigenvalues. These scree plot confidence intervals aid in the selection of important components.




Despite our appreciation of the underlying interpretability of the fourth component, the evidence still points to retaining two or three principal components.

Plotting the components is sometimes useful in interpreting a PCA. We may look at the components from the perspective of the columns (variables) or the rows (observations). The associated plots are produced by the commands loadingplot (variables) and scoreplot (observations).


By default, the first two components are used to produce the loading plot.





You may request more components, in which case each possible pair of requested components will be graphed. You can choose between a matrix or combined graph layout for the multiple graphs. Here we show the combined layout.





Score plots approach the display of principal components from the perspective of the observations. scoreplot and loadingplot have most of their options in common; see [MV] scoreplot. Unlike loadingplot, which automatically uses the variable names as marker labels, with scoreplot you use the mlabel() graph option to provide meaningful marker labels. Score plots are especially helpful if the observations are well-known objects, such as countries, firms, or brands. The score plot may help you visualize the principal components with your background knowledge of these objects. Score plots are sometimes useful for detecting outliers; see Jackson (2003).

Technical note

In [MV] **pca**, we noted that PCA may also be interpreted as fixed-effects factor analysis; in that interpretation, the selection of the number of components to be retained is of comparable complexity as in factor analysis.

Rotating the components

Rotating principal components is a disputed issue and one in which reasonable people may disagree. pca computes the principal components. Rotating the solution destroys some of the properties of principal components. In particular, the first rotated component no longer has maximal variance, the second rotated component no longer has maximal variance among those linear combinations uncorrelated to the first component, etc. If preserving the maximal variance property is very important to your interpretations, do not rotate.

On the other hand, when we rotate, say, the leading three principal components, the total variance explained by the three rotated components is equal to the variance explained by the three principal components. If you applied an orthogonal rotation, the rotated components are still uncorrelated. The only thing that has changed is that the explanation is distributed differently among the three rotated components. If the rotated components have a clearer interpretation, you may actually prefer to use them in your subsequent work.

After pca, a wide variety of rotations are available; see [MV] **rotate**. The default method of rotation is varimax, rotating the principal components to maximize the sum over the columns of the within-column variances.

. ro	tate					
Prin	cipal componer	nts/correlation		Number of obs Number of comp. Trace	=	100 4 8
1	Rotation: orth	nogonal varimax	(Kaiser off)	Rho	= 0.8	-
	Component	Variance	Difference	Proportion	Cumulativ	e
	Comp1	2.11361	.400444	0.2642	0.264	2
	Comp2	1.71316	.118053	0.2141	0.478	3
	Comp3	1.59511	.0275517	0.1994	0.677	7
	Comp4	1.56756	•	0.1959	0.873	7

Rotated components

Variable	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4	Unexplained
lft500	0.5756	0.0265	-0.1733	0.1781	.1308
lft1000	0.6789	-0.0289	-0.0227	-0.0223	.1105
lft2000	0.3933	0.0213	0.5119	-0.2737	.1275
lft4000	0.1231	0.6987	-0.0547	-0.0885	.1342
rght500	-0.0005	0.0158	-0.0380	0.7551	.1194
rght1000	0.0948	-0.0248	0.2289	0.5481	. 1825
rght2000	-0.1173	-0.0021	0.8047	0.0795	.07537
rght4000	-0.1232	0.7134	0.0550	0.0899	.1303

Component rotation matrix

	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4
Comp1 Comp2	0.6663 -0.3055	0.3784 0.6998	0.4390	0.4692
Comp3	-0.0657	0.6059	-0.7365	0.2936
Comp4	-0.6770	-0.0022	0.3224	0.6616

rotate now labels one of the columns of the first table as "Variance" instead of "Eigenvalue"; the rotated components have been ordered in decreasing order of variance. The variance explained by the four rotated components equals 87.37%, which is identical to the explained variance by the four leading principal components. But whereas the principal components have rather dispersed eigenvalues, the four rotated components all explain about the same fraction of the variance.

You may also choose to rotate only a few of the retained principal components. In contrast to most methods of factor analysis, the principal components are not affected by the number of retained components. However, the first two rotated components are different if you are rotating all four components or only the leading two or three principal components.

. ro	tate, comp(3)					
Prin	cipal componer	nts/correlation		Number of obs	= 100)
				Number of comp.	= 4	l
				Trace	= 8	3
	Rotation: orth	nogonal varimax	(Kaiser off)	Rho	= 0.8737	7
						-
	Component	Variance	Difference	Proportion	Cumulative	_
	Component Comp1	Variance 2.99422	Difference 1.16842	Proportion 0.3743	Cumulative	-
				•		_
	Comp1	2.99422	1.16842	0.3743	0.3743	-

Rotated components

Variable	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4	Unexplained
lft500	0.5326	-0.0457	0.0246	-0.3278	.1308
lft1000	0.4512	0.1618	-0.0320	-0.4816	.1105
lft2000	0.0484	0.6401	0.0174	-0.2824	.1275
lft4000	0.0247	0.0011	0.6983	-0.1611	.1342
rght500	0.5490	-0.1799	0.0163	0.4876	.1194
rght1000	0.4521	0.1368	-0.0259	0.3723	.1825
rght2000	-0.0596	0.7148	-0.0047	0.3914	.07537
rght4000	-0.0200	0.0059	0.7138	0.1591	.1303

Component rotation matrix

	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4
Comp1	0.7790	0.5033	0.3738	0.0000
Comp2	-0.5932	0.3987	0.6994	0.0000
Comp3	0.2030	-0.7666	0.6092	-0.0000
Comp4	0.0000	-0.0000	-0.0000	1.0000

The three-component varimax-rotated solution differs from the leading three components from the four component varimax-rotated solution. The fourth component is not affected by a rotation among the leading three component—it is still the fourth principal component.

So, how interpretable are rotated components? We believe that for this example the original components had a much clearer interpretation than the rotated components. Notice how the clear symmetry in the treatment of left and right ears has been broken. To add further to an already controversial method, we may use oblique rotation methods. An example is the oblique oblimin method.

. ro	otate, oblimin oblique						
	rincipal components/correlation			Number of obs = Number of comp. = Trace =	100 4 8		
	Rotation: obli	ique oblimin (Ka	aiser off)	Rho =	0.8737		
	Component Variance Proportion			Rotated comp. are c	orrelated		
	Comp1	2.21066	0.2763				
	Comp2	1.71164	0.2140				
	Comp3	1.69708	0.2121				
	Comp4	1.62592	0.2032				

Rotated components

Variable	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4	Unexplained
lft500	0.5834	0.0259	0.1994	-0.1649	.1308
lft1000	0.6797	-0.0292	0.0055	-0.0157	.1105
lft2000	0.3840	0.0216	-0.2489	0.5127	.1275
lft4000	0.1199	0.6988	-0.0857	-0.0545	.1342
rght500	0.0261	0.0146	0.7561	-0.0283	.1194
rght1000	0.1140	-0.0257	0.5575	0.2370	.1825
rght2000	-0.1158	-0.0022	0.0892	0.8048	.07537
rght4000	-0.1209	0.7134	0.0848	0.0549	. 1303

Component rotation matrix

	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4
Comp1	0.6836	0.3773	0.5053	0.4523
Comp2	-0.3250	0.7008	-0.5137	0.3916
Comp3	-0.0550	0.6054	0.2774	-0.7337
Comp4	-0.6557	-0.0029	0.6408	0.3238

The oblique rotation methods do not change the variance that is unexplained by the components. But this time, the rotated components are no longer uncorrelated. This makes measuring the importance of the rotated components more ambiguous, a problem that is similar to ambiguities in interpreting importance of correlated independent variables. In this oblique case, the sum of the variances of the rotated components equals 90.6% (0.2763 + 0.2140 + 0.2121 + 0.2032) of the total variance. This is larger than the 87.37% of variance explained by the four principal components. The oblique rotated components partly explain the same variance, and this shared variance is entering multiple times into the total.

How rotate interacts with pca

rotate stores the rotated component loadings and associated statistics in e(), the estimation storage area, along with the regular pca estimation results. Replaying pca will display the rotated results again.

Other postestimation statistics also use the rotated results whenever this is meaningful. For instance, loadingplot would display the rotated loadings. These postestimation commands have an option norotated that specifies that the unrotated results, that is, the principal components, be used. Thus, typing

. pca, norotated

displays the standard pca output for the unrotated (principal) solution, and typing

. loadingplot, norotated

produces the loading plot for the unrotated (principal) solution.

If you execute rotate again, the new rotate results are stored with the pca estimation, replacing the previous rotate results. Thus pca knows about at most one rotation.

To compare rotated and unrotated results, it is of course possible to replay the rotated results (pca) and unrotated results (pca, norotate) consecutively. You would especially seek to compare the loadings. Such a comparison is easier if the loadings are displayed in parallel. This feature is provided with the estat command rotatecompare.

```
. estat rotatecompare
```

```
Rotation matrix - oblique oblimin (Kaiser off)
```

Variable	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4
Comp1	0.6836	0.3773	0.5053	0.4523
Comp2	-0.3250	0.7008	-0.5137	0.3916
Comp3	-0.0550	0.6054	0.2774	-0.7337
Comp4	-0.6557	-0.0029	0.6408	0.3238

Rotated component loadings

Variable	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4
lft500	0.5834	0.0259	0.1994	-0.1649
lft1000	0.6797	-0.0292	0.0055	-0.0157
lft2000	0.3840	0.0216	-0.2489	0.5127
lft4000	0.1199	0.6988	-0.0857	-0.0545
rght500	0.0261	0.0146	0.7561	-0.0283
rght1000	0.1140	-0.0257	0.5575	0.2370
rght2000	-0.1158	-0.0022	0.0892	0.8048
rght4000	-0.1209	0.7134	0.0848	0.0549

Unrotated component loadings

Variable	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4
lft500	0.4011	-0.3170	0.1582	-0.3278
lft1000	0.4210	-0.2255	-0.0520	-0.4816
lft2000	0.3664	0.2386	-0.4703	-0.2824
lft4000	0.2809	0.4742	0.4295	-0.1611
rght500	0.3433	-0.3860	0.2593	0.4876
rght1000	0.4114	-0.2318	-0.0289	0.3723
rght2000	0.3115	0.3171	-0.5629	0.3914
rght4000	0.2542	0.5135	0.4262	0.1591

Finally, sometimes you may want to remove rotation results permanently; for example, you decide to continue with the unrotated (principal) solution. Because all postestimation commands operate on the rotated solution by default, you would have to add the option norotated over and over again. Instead, you can remove the rotated solution with the command

Technical note

pca results may be stored and restored with estimates, just like other estimation results. If you have stored PCA estimation results without rotated results, and later rotate the solution, the rotated results are not automatically stored as well. The pca would need to be stored again.

Predicting the component scores

After deciding on the number of components and, possibly, the rotation of the components, you may want to estimate the component scores for all respondents. To estimate only the first component scores, which here is called pc1:

```
. predict pc1
(score assumed)
(3 components skipped)
Scoring coefficients
    sum of squares(column-loading) = 1
```

Variable	Comp1	Comp2	Comp3	Comp4
lft500 lft1000 lft2000 lft4000 rght500 rght1000 rght2000	0.4011 0.4210 0.3664 0.2809 0.3433 0.4114 0.3115	-0.3170 -0.2255 0.2386 0.4742 -0.3860 -0.2318 0.3171	0.1582 -0.0520 -0.4703 0.4295 0.2593 -0.0289 -0.5629	-0.3278 -0.4816 -0.2824 -0.1611 0.4876 0.3723 0.3914
rght4000	0.2542	0.5135	0.4262	0.1591

The table is informing you that pc1 could be obtained as a weighted sum of standardized variables,

```
. egen std_lft500 = std(lft500)
. egen std_lft1000 = std(lft1000)
. egen std_rght4000 = std(rght4000)
. gen pc1 = 0.4011*std_lft500 + 0.4210*std_lft1000 + ... + 0.2542*std_rght4000
```

(egen's std() function converts a variable to its standardized form (mean 0, variance 1); see [D] egen.) The principal-component scores are in standardized units after a PCA of a correlation matrix and in the original units after a PCA of a covariance matrix.

It is possible to predict other statistics as well. For instance, the fitted values of the eight variables by the first four principal components are obtained as

. predict f_1-f_8, fit

The predicted values are in the units of the original variables, with the means substituted back in. If we had retained all eight components, the fitted values would have been identical to the observations.

Technical note

The fitted values are meaningful in the interpretation of PCA as rank-restricted multivariate regression. The component scores are the "x variables"; the component loadings are the regression coefficients. If the PCA was computed for a correlation matrix, you could think of the regression as being in standardized units. The fitted values are transformed from the standardized units back to the original units.

Technical note

You may have observed that the scoring coefficients were equal to the component loadings. This holds true for the principal components in unit normalization and for the orthogonal rotations thereof; it does not hold for oblique rotations.

Stored results

Let p be the number of variables and f, the number of factors.

predict, in addition to generating variables, also stores the following in r():

```
Matrices
    r(scoef)
                            p \times f matrix of scoring coefficients
estat anti stores the following in r():
Matrices
    r(acov)
                            p \times p anti-image covariance matrix
    r(acorr)
                            p \times p anti-image correlation matrix
estat kmo stores the following in r():
Scalars
                            the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy
    r(kmo)
Matrices
                            column vector of KMO measures for each variable
    r(kmow)
estat loadings stores the following in r():
Macros
    r(cnorm)
                            component normalization: eigen, inveigen, or unit
Matrices
    r(A)
                            p \times f matrix of normalized component loadings
estat residuals stores the following in r():
Matrices
    r(fit)
                            p \times p matrix of fitted values
    r(residual)
                            p \times p matrix of residuals
estat smc stores the following in r():
Matrices
    r(smc)
                            vector of squared multiple correlations of variables with all other variables
```

See the returned results of estat summarize in [R] estat summarize and of estat vce in [R] estat vce (available when vce (normal) is specified with pca or pcamat).

rotate after pca and pcamat add to the existing e():

Scal	ars	
	e(r_f)	number of components in rotated solution
	e(r_fmin)	rotation criterion value
Mac	pros	
	e(r_class)	orthogonal or oblique
	e(r_criterion)	rotation criterion
	e(r_ctitle)	title for rotation
	e(r_normalization)	kaiser or none
Mat	rices	
	e(r_L)	rotated loadings
	e(r_T)	rotation
	e(r_Ev)	explained variance by rotated components

The components in the rotated solution are in decreasing order of e(r_Ev).

Methods and formulas

 $\tt estat$ anti computes and displays the anti-image covariance matrix ${\bf C}$ and the anti-image correlation matrix ${\bf A}$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C} &= \{ \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{R}) \}^{-1/2} \ \mathbf{R} \ \{ \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{R}) \}^{-1/2} \\ \mathbf{A} &= \{ \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{R}) \}^{-1} \ \mathbf{R} \ \{ \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{R}) \}^{-1} \end{split}$$

where \mathbf{R} is the inverse of the correlation matrix.

estat kmo computes the "Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy" (KMO) and is defined as

$$\text{KMO} = \frac{\sum_{\mathcal{S}} r_{ij}^2}{\sum_{\mathcal{S}} (a_{ij}^2 + r_{ij}^2)}$$

where $S = (i, j; i \neq j)$; r_{ij} is the correlation of variables *i* and *j*; and a_{ij} is the anti-image correlation. The variable-wise measure KMO_i is defined analogously as

$$\mathrm{KMO}_i = \frac{\sum_{\mathcal{P}} r_{ij}^2}{\sum_{\mathcal{P}} (a_{ij}^2 + r_{ij}^2)}$$

where $\mathcal{P} = (j; i \neq j)$.

estat loadings displays the component loadings in different normalizations (see Jackson [2003, 16–18]; he labels them as U, V, and W vectors). Let $C = L\Lambda L'$ be the spectral or eigen decomposition of the analyzed correlation or covariance matrix C, with L the orthonormal eigenvectors of C, and Λ a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. The principal components A, that is, the eigenvectors L, are displayed in one of the following normalizations:

cnorm(unit)	$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}$	and so $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$
normal(eigen)	$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{1/2}$	and so $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$
normal(inveigen)	$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1/2}$	and so $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}$

Normalization of the component loadings affects the normalization of the component scores.

The standard errors of the components are available only in unit normalization, that is, as normalized eigenvectors.

estat residuals computes the fitted values F for the analyzed correlation or covariance matrix C as $F = L\Lambda L'$ over the retained components, with L being the retained components in unit normalization and Λ being the associated eigenvalues. The residuals are simply C - F.

estat smc displays the squared multiple correlation coefficients SMC_i of each variable on the other variables in the analysis. These are conveniently computed from the inverse **R** of the correlation matrix **C**,

$$\text{SMC}_i = 1 - \mathbf{R}_{ii}^{-1}$$

See [MV] rotate and [MV] rotatemat for details concerning the rotation methods and algorithms used.

The variance of the rotated loadings L_r is computed as $L_{r'}CL_r$.

To understand predict after pca and pcamat, think of PCA as a fixed-effects factor analysis with homoskedastic residuals

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{L}' + \mathbf{E}$$

L contains the loadings, and A contains the scores. Z is the centered variables for a PCA of a covariance matrix and standardized variables for a PCA of a correlation matrix. A is estimated by OLS regression of Z on L

$$\widehat{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{B}$$
 $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{L})^{-1}$

The columns of A are called the scores. The matrix B contains the scoring coefficients. The PCA-fitted values for Z are defined as the fitted values from this regression, or in matrix terms,

$$\widehat{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{L}'\mathbf{L})^{-}\mathbf{L}'$$

with P_L the orthogonal projection on (the rowspace of) L.

This formulation allows orthogonal as well as oblique loadings L as well as loadings in different normalizations.

The above formulation is in transformed units. predict transforms the fitted values back to the original units. The component scores are left in transformed units, with one exception. After a PCA of covariances, means are substituted back in unless the option centered is specified. The residuals are returned in the original units. The residual sums of squares (over the variables) and the normalized versions are in transformed units.

References

Cattell, R. B. 1966. The scree test for the number of factors. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 1: 245–276. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr0102_10.

Jackson, J. E. 2003. A User's Guide to Principal Components. New York: Wiley.

Kaiser, H. F. 1974. An index of factor simplicity. Psychometrika 39: 31-36.

Also see References in [MV] pca.

Also see

[MV] pca — Principal component analysis

- [MV] rotate Orthogonal and oblique rotations after factor and pca
- [MV] scoreplot Score and loading plots

- [MV] screeplot Scree plot of eigenvalues
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.