hotelling — Hotelling's T^2 generalized means test							
Description	Quick start	Menu	Syntax	Options			

Methods and formulas

References

Also see

Description

Remarks and examples

hotelling performs Hotelling's T^2 test of whether a set of means is zero or, alternatively, equal between two groups.

See [MV] **mytest means** for generalizations of Hotelling's one-sample test with more general hypotheses, two-sample tests that do not assume that the covariance matrices are the same in the two groups, and tests with more than two groups.

Quick start

Hotelling's T^2 test that the means of v1, v2, and v3 are jointly zero hotelling v1 v2 v3

Stored results

Same as above, but suppress the table of means

hotelling v1 v2 v3, notable

Hotelling's T^2 test that the means of v1, v2, and v3 are the same in the two groups defined by catvar hotelling v1 v2 v3, by(catvar)

Menu

 $Statistics > Multivariate \ analysis > MANOVA, \ multivariate \ regression, \ and \ related > Hotelling's \ generalized \ means \ test$

Syntax

hotelling varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, by(varname) <u>not</u>able]

collect is allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

aweights and fweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

Note: hotel is a synonym for hotelling.

Options

Main 🛛

by (*varname*) specifies a variable identifying two groups; the test of equality of means between groups is performed. If by() is not specified, a test of means being jointly zero is performed.

notable suppresses printing a table of the means being compared.

Remarks and examples

hotelling performs Hotelling's T^2 test of whether a set of means is zero or two sets of means are equal. It is a multivariate test that reduces to a standard t test if only one variable is specified.

Example 1

You wish to test whether a new fuel additive improves gas mileage in both stop-and-go and highway situations. Taking 12 cars, you fill them with gas and run them on a highway-style track, recording their gas mileage. You then refill them and run them on a stop-and-go style track. Finally, you repeat the two runs, but this time you use fuel with the additive. Your dataset is

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/gasexp
. describe
Contains data from https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/gasexp.dta
 Observations:
                           12
    Variables:
                            5
                                                 21 Nov 2024 12:56
                         Display
                                     Value
Variable
               Storage
                                     label
                                                 Variable label
    name
                  type
                          format
id
                         %9.0g
                                                 Car ID
                byte
                                                 Track 1 before additive
bmpg1
                byte
                         %9.0g
ampg1
                byte
                         %9.0g
                                                 Track 1 after additive
                         %9.0g
                                                 Track 2 before additive
bmpg2
                bvte
ampg2
                float
                         %9.0g
                                                 Track 2 after additive
```

Sorted by:

To perform the statistical test, you jointly test whether the differences in before-and-after results are zero:

. generate diff1 = ampg1 - bmpg1								
. generate di	. generate diff2 = ampg2 - bmpg2							
. hotelling d	iff1 diff2							
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max			
diff1	12	1.75	2.70101	-3	5			
diff2	12	2.083333	2.906367	-3.5	5.5			
1-group Hotelling's T-squared = 9.6980676 F test statistic: ((12-2)/(12-1)(2)) x 9.6980676 = 4.4082126								
HO: Vector of Prob >	<pre>means is equa F(2,10) = F(2,10) =</pre>	4.4082	r of zeros					

The means are different at the 4.24% significance level.

Technical note

We used Hotelling's T^2 test because we were testing two differences jointly. Had there been only one difference, we could have used a standard t test, which would have yielded the same results as Hotelling's test:

. ttest an Paired t t	npg1 = bmpg1 test						
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. err.	Std. dev.	[95% conf.	interval]	
ampg1 bmpg1	12 12	22.75 21	.9384465 .7881701	3.250874 2.730301			
diff	12	1.75	.7797144	2.70101	.0338602	3.46614	
	mean(diff) = mean(ampg1 - bmpg1)t = 2.2444H0: mean(diff) = 0Degrees of freedom = 11						
					Ha: mean Pr(T > t		
. ttest di	iff1 = 0						
One-sample	e t test						
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. err.	Std. dev.	[95% conf.	interval]	
diff1	12	1.75	.7797144	2.70101	.0338602	3.46614	
mean = HO: mean =	= mean(diff1) = 0			Degrees	t of freedom	= 2.2444 = 11	
	ean < 0) = 0.9768	Pr(Ha: mean != T > t) =	-	Ha: m Pr(T > t	ean > 0) = 0.0232	

. hotelling d	iff1						
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max		
diff1	12	1.75	2.70101	-3	5		
1-group Hotelling's T-squared = 5.0373832 F test statistic: ((12-1)/(12-1)(1)) x 5.0373832 = 5.0373832							
	means is equal F(1,11) = F(1,11) = (5.0374	or of zeros				

▷ Example 2

Now consider a variation on the experiment: rather than using 12 cars and running each car with and without the fuel additive, you run 24 cars, 12 with the additive and 12 without. You have the following dataset:

. use https: . describe	//www.stata	a-press.co	m/data/r:	19/gasexp2, clear
Observation	s:	ps://www.s 24	tata-pres	ss.com/data/r19/gasexp2.dta
Variable	s:	4		21 Nov 2024 13:01
Variable	Storage	Display	Value	
name	type	format	label	Variable label
id	byte	%9.0g		Car ID
mpg1	byte	%9.0g		Track 1
mpg2	float	%9.0g		Track 2
additive	byte	%9.0g	yesno	Additive?
Sorted by:				
. tabulate a	dditive			
Additive?	Free	q. Per	cent	Cum.
No		12 5	0.00	50.00
Yes		12 5	0.00	100.00
Total		24 10	0.00	

This is an unpaired experiment because there is no natural pairing of the cars; you want to test that the means of mpg1 are equal for the two groups specified by additive, as are the means of mpg2:

mpg1 12 21 2.730301 17	
mpg1 12 21 2.730301 17	25
mpg2 12 19.91667 2.644319 16	24
mpg1 12 22.75 3.250874 17	28
Variable Obs Mean Std. dev. Min	Max
mpg1 12 22.75 3.250874 17 mpg2 12 22 3.316625 16.5	28 27.5

Technical note

As in the paired experiment, had there been only one test track, the t test would have yielded the same results as Hotelling's test:

```
. hotelling mpg1, by(additive)
```

-> additive = No							
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max		
mpg1	12	21	2.730301	17	25		
-> additive = Yes	3						
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max		
mpg1	12	22.75	3.250874	17	28		
2-group Hotelling's T-squared = 2.0390921							

F test statistic: $((24-1-1)/(24-2)(1)) \ge 2.0390921 = 2.0390921$

H0: Vectors of means are equal for the two groups F(1,22) = 2.0391

Prob > F(1,22) = 0.1673

4

IWO-Sample	e t test wit	n equal vari	Lances			
Group	Obs	Mean	Std. err.	Std. dev.	[95% conf.	interval]
No Yes	12 12	21 22.75	.7881701 .9384465	2.730301 3.250874	19.26525 20.68449	22.73475 24.81551
Combined	24	21.875	.6264476	3.068954	20.57909	23.17091
diff		-1.75	1.225518		-4.291568	.7915684
diff = HO: diff =	= mean(No) - = 0	mean(Yes)		Degrees	t of freedom	= -1.4280 = 22
	iff < 0) = 0.0837	Pr(]	Ha: diff != [> t) = (-		iff > 0) = 0.9163

. ttest mpg1, by(additive)

Two-sample	+	tost	with	Lenna	variance
Iwo-sampie	L.	LEDL	WICH	equar	variance

With more than one pair of means, however, there is no t test equivalent to Hotelling's test, although there are other logically (but not practically) equivalent solutions. One is the discriminant function: if the means of mpg1 and mpg2 are different, the discriminant function should separate the groups along that dimension.

. regress add	itive mpg1 mpg	2					
Source	SS	df	MS	Numbe	er of obs	=	24
				- F(2,	21)	=	3.41
Model	1.46932917	2	.734664585	5 Prob	> F	=	0.0524
Residual	4.53067083	21	.21574623	8 R-squ	lared	=	0.2449
				- Adj H	R-squared	=	0.1730
Total	6	23	.260869565	5 Root	MSE	=	.46448
additive	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t	[95% con	ıf.	interval]
mpg1	4570407	.2416657	-1.89	0.072	959612	-	.0455306
mpg2	.5014605	.2376762	2.11	0.047	.0071859		.9957352
_cons	0120115	.7437049	-0.02	0.987	-1.55863	3	1.534607

This test would declare the means different at the 5.24% level. You could also have fit this model by using logistic regression:

. logit addit	ive mpg1 mpg2						
Iteration 0:	Log likelihoo	d = -16.635	532				
Iteration 1:	Log likelihoo	d = -13.395	178				
Iteration 2:	Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -13.371201						
Iteration 3:	Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -13.371143						
Iteration 4:	Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -13.371143						
Logistic regr	ession	Number of ob	s = 24				
					LR chi2(2)	= 6.53	
					Prob > chi2	= 0.0382	
Log likelihoo	d = -13.371143	3			Pseudo R2	= 0.1962	
additive	Coefficient	Std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]	
mpg1	-2.306844	1.36139	-1.69	0.090	-4.975119	.3614307	
mpg2	2.524477	1.367373	1.85	0.065	1555257	5.20448	
Cons	-2.446527	3.689821	-0.66	0.507	-9.678443	4.78539	

This test would have declared the means different at the 3.82% level.

Are the means different? Hotelling's T^2 and the discriminant function reject equality at the 5.24% level. The logistic regression rejects equality at the 3.82% level.

Stored results

hotelling stores the following in r():

Scalars

r(N)	number of observations	r(T2)	Hotelling's T^2
r(k)	number of variables	r(df)	degrees of freedom

Methods and formulas

See Wilks (1962, 556–561) for a general discussion. The original formulation was by Hotelling (1931) and Mahalanobis (1930, 1936).

For the test that the means of k variables are 0, let $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ be a $1 \times k$ matrix of the means and \mathbf{S} be the estimated covariance matrix. Then $T^2 = \overline{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{S}^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{x}}'$.

For two groups, the test of equality is $T^2 = (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2)\mathbf{S}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2)'$.

Harold Hotelling (1895–1973) was an American economist and statistician who made many important contributions to mathematical economics, multivariate analysis, and statistical inference. After obtaining degrees in journalism and mathematics, he taught and researched at Stanford, Columbia, and the University of North Carolina. His work generalizing Student's *t* ratio and on principal components, canonical correlation, multivariate analysis of variance, and correlation continues to be widely used.

Prasanta Chandra Mahalanobis (1893–1972) studied physics and mathematics at Calcutta and Cambridge. He became interested in statistics and on his return to India worked on applications in anthropology, meteorology, hydrology, and agriculture. Mahalanobis became the leader in Indian statistics, specializing in multivariate problems (including what is now called the Mahalanobis distance), the design of large-scale sample surveys, and the contribution of statistics to national planning.

References

Hotelling, H. 1931. The generalization of Student's ratio. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 2: 360–378. https://doi.org/ 10.1214/aoms/1177732979.

Mahalanobis, P. C. 1930. On tests and measures of group divergence. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 26: 541-588.

- . 1936. On the generalized distance in statistics. National Institute of Science of India 12: 49-55.
- Olkin, I., and A. R. Sampson. 2001. "Harold Hotelling". In *Statisticians of the Centuries*, edited by C. C. Heyde and E. Seneta, 454–458. New York: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-0179-0_97.
- Rao, C. R. 1973. Prasantha Chandra Mahalanobis, 1893–1972. Biographical Memoirs of Fellows of The Royal Society 19: 455–492. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbm.1973.0017.

Wilks, S. S. 1962. Mathematical Statistics. New York: Wiley.

Also see

- [MV] manova Multivariate analysis of variance and covariance
- [MV] mvtest means Multivariate tests of means
- [R] regress Linear regression
- [R] **ttest** t tests (mean-comparison tests)

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.