### meta galbraithplot — Galbraith plots

Description	Quick start	Menu	Syntax
Options	Remarks and examples	Stored results	References
Also see			

## Description

meta galbraithplot produces Galbraith plots for a meta-analysis. These plots are useful for assessing heterogeneity of the studies and for detecting potential outliers. They may also be an alternative to forest plots for summarizing meta-analysis results when there are many studies.

## **Quick start**

Produce a Galbraith plot after data are declared by using either meta set or meta esize

meta galbraithplot

Same as above, but request that the slope of the regression line, the standardized effect-sizes, and the study precisions be computed using a random-effects REML method instead of the default common-effect inverse-variance method

```
meta galbraithplot, random(reml)
```

Same as above, but suppress the CI bands

meta galbraithplot, random(reml) noci

Modify the default styles of the reference and regression lines

meta galbraithplot, rlopts(lcolor(red)) lineopts(lpattern(dash))

## Menu

Statistics > Meta-analysis

# Syntax

meta galbraithplot [ if ] [ in ] [, options ]

options	Description	
Main		
random[( <i>remethod</i> )]	random-effects meta-analysis	
common	common-effect meta-analysis; implies inverse-variance method; the default	
fixed	fixed-effects meta-analysis; implies inverse-variance method	
$[\underline{no}]\underline{reg}$ line	display or suppress the regression line	
[no]ci	display or suppress the confidence intervals	
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is as declared for meta-analysis	
[no]metashow	display or suppress meta settings in the output	
graph_options	affect rendition of overall Galbraith plot	
[no]lowercase	lowercase (default) or display as is the first word of the effect-size label used in the y-axis title	
collect is allowed; see [U]	11.1.10 Prefix commands.	
lowercase and nolowercas	e do not appear in the dialog box.	
remethod	Description	
reml	restricted maximum likelihood; the default	
mle	maximum likelihood	
<u>eb</u> ayes	empirical Bayes	
<u>dl</u> aird	DerSimonian–Laird	
sjonkman	Sidik–Jonkman	
<u>he</u> dges	Hedges	
hachmidt	Hunter_Schmidt	

scrmidt пишег Sciiiiia Description graph\_options RL options rlopts(line\_options) affect rendition of the plotted reference line indicating no effect Fitted line lineopts(line\_options) affect rendition of the plotted regression line CI plot affect rendition of the plotted CI band ciopts(ciopts) Add plots add other plots to the Galbraith plot addplot(plot) Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall any options other than by () documented in [G-3] twoway\_options twoway\_options

# Options

Main

- random(), common, and fixed specify a meta-analysis model to use when estimating the slope of the regression line in the Galbraith plot. These options also affect the standard error computation used in the standardization of the effect sizes. For historical reasons, the default is common based on the inversevariance method, regardless of the global model declared by meta set or meta esize. Specify one of these options with meta galbraithplot to override this default.
  - random and random(*remethod*) specify that a random-effects model be assumed for the construction of the Galbraith plot; see *Random-effects model* in [META] Intro.
    - *remethod* specifies the type of estimator for the between-study variance  $\tau^2$ . *remethod* is one of reml, mle, ebayes, dlaird, sjonkman, hedges, or hschmidt. random is a synonym for random(reml). See *Options* in [META] meta esize for more information.
  - common specifies that a common-effect model be assumed for the construction of the Galbraith plot; see Common-effect ("fixed-effect") model in [META] Intro. It uses the inverse-variance estimation method; see Meta-analysis estimation methods in [META] Intro. Also see the discussion in [META] meta data about common-effect versus fixed-effects models.
  - fixed specifies that a fixed-effects model be assumed for the construction of the Galbraith plot; see *Fixed-effects model* in [META] **Intro**. It uses the inverse-variance estimation method; see *Meta-analysis estimation methods* in [META] **Intro**. Also see the discussion in [META] **meta data** about fixed-effects versus common-effect models. Galbraith plots for the common-effect and fixed-effects models are identical.
- regline and noregline display or suppress the rendition of the regression line. The default, regline, is to display the regression line. Option noregline implies option noci.
- ci and noci display or suppress confidence intervals. The default, ci, is to display them.
- level(#) specifies the confidence level, as a percentage, for confidence intervals. The default is
  as declared for the meta-analysis session; see Declaring a confidence level for meta-analysis in
  [META] meta data. Also see option level() in [META] meta set.
- metashow and nometashow display or suppress the meta setting information. By default, this information is displayed at the top of the output. You can also specify nometashow with meta update to suppress the meta setting output for the entire meta-analysis session.

RL options

rlopts(line\_options) affects the rendition of the plotted reference (diagonal) line that indicates no effect
 of the intervention or treatment; see [G-3] line\_options.

Fitted line

lineopts(line\_options) affects the rendition of the plotted regression line; see [G-3] line\_options.

CI plot

ciopts (*ciopts*) affects the rendition of the CI band in the Galbraith plot. *ciopts* are any options as defined in [G-2] graph twoway rline and option recast (rline) as described in [G-3] *advanced\_options*.

Add plots

addplot(plot) allows adding more graph twoway plots to the graph; see [G-3] addplot\_option.

Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall

*twoway\_options* are any of the options documented in [G-3] *twoway\_options*, excluding by(). These include options for titling the graph (see [G-3] *title\_options*) and for saving the graph to disk (see [G-3] *saving\_option*).

The following options are available with meta galbraithplot but are not shown in the dialog box:

lowercase and nolowercase lowercase or leave as is the first word of the effect-size label shown on the y-axis title. The y-axis title is "Standardized eslabel", where eslabel is the effect-size label defined by meta set or meta esize using the eslabel() option. By default, the command lowercases the first word of eslabel to follow Stata's sentence capitalization style. If the first word is a proper name or if you want to use the title capitalization style, you can specify option nolowercase to display eslabel as is.

### **Remarks and examples**

The Galbraith plot (Galbraith 1988) is a scatterplot of the standardized effect size (z score) on the y axis against precision (inverse standard error) on the x axis for each study. It is mainly used to assess heterogeneity of the studies and detect potential outliers. It may also be an alternative to forest plots for summarizing meta-analysis results, especially when there are many studies (Anzures-Cabrera and Higgins 2010). The overall effect size is depicted as the slope of the regression line through the origin.

Heterogeneity (and potential outliers) may be investigated by looking at the variation of the studies around the regression line. To aid with that, the Galbraith plot additionally draws a  $100(1 - \alpha)$ % confidence region represented by two lines drawn at the  $\pm z_{1-\alpha/2}$  intercept values parallel to the regression line. In the absence of heterogeneity,  $100(1 - \alpha)$ % of the studies should fall within that region. The plot also contains a reference line at y = 0, which indicates "no effect".

meta galbraithplot produces Galbraith plots. The plotted standardized effect size is determined automatically based on the declared effect size. Unlike other meta commands, for historical reasons, meta galbraithplot assumes a common-effect model with the inverse-variance method for the construction of the Galbraith plot.

Under the common-effect and fixed-effects models, the study precisions,  $x_j = 1/\hat{\sigma}_j$ , and the standardized effect sizes,  $y_j = \hat{\theta}_j/\hat{\sigma}_j$ , are used to estimate the slope,  $\hat{\theta}_{IV}$ , of the regression line through the origin

$$\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{IV}} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K} x_j y_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} x_j^2}$$

If random() is specified, the expressions for  $x_j$  and  $y_j$  become  $x_j = 1/\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_j^2 + \hat{\tau}^2}$  and  $y_j = \hat{\theta}_j/\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}_j^2 + \hat{\tau}^2}$ , and the slope of the regression line is now equal to the overall effect size from the random-effects model,  $\hat{\theta}^*$ .

Two  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  CI lines  $y = \hat{\theta}x \pm z_{1-\alpha/2}$ , which are parallel to the regression line, are added to the plot, where  $\hat{\theta}$  is one of  $\hat{\theta}_{IV}$  or  $\hat{\theta}^*$  depending on the chosen model.

By default, the global CI level specified in meta set or meta esize is used to compute  $z_{1-\alpha/2}$  but a different level may be selected via the level() option. The regression line (and consequently its CI bands) may be suppressed via the noregline option.

If you wish to only suppress the CI bands, then you may specify the noci option. You can also control the look of the plotted reference line, the regression line, and the CI bands by specifying the rlopts(), lineopts(), and ciopts() options, respectively.

#### Example 1: Basic Galbraith plot

Consider the declared version of the BCG dataset, bcgset.dta, which we used in, for instance, example 1 of [META] meta regress. Let's produce the Galbraith plot for these data.



The blue circles form a scatterplot of the study-specific standardized log risk-ratios against study precisions. Studies that are close to the y axis have low precision. Precision of studies increases as you move toward the right on the x axis.

The reference black line (y = 0) represents the "no-effect" line. That is, the log risks (or risks) in the treatment and control groups for the trials on the line are either the same or very similar. There are two trials that are on the line in our example: one is a large trial, and the other one is a small trial. The log risks for these trials are similar in the two groups, and the corresponding log risk-ratios are close to zero.

If a circle is above the reference line, the risk in the treatment group is higher than the risk in the control group for that study. Conversely, if a circle is below the line, the risk in the treatment group is lower than the risk in the control group. In our example, one trial is above the reference line, suggesting that the risk in the treatment group is higher, but this is an imprecise trial. The remaining trials are below the line, suggesting that the risk is lower in the treatment group.

The red line is the regression line through the origin. The slope of this line equals the estimate of the overall effect size, which is the overall log risk-ratio in our example. Also, the slope of an imaginary line from the origin to an individual circle is equal to the effect size (log risk-ratio) estimate corresponding to that circle. This is because the slope is given by  $y_j/x_j = (\hat{\theta}_j/\hat{\sigma}_j)/(1/\hat{\sigma}_j) = \hat{\theta}_j$ . Thus, studies that fall above the regression line have effect-size estimates larger than the overall effect size, and those falling below the line have estimates that are smaller than the overall effect size.

In the absence of substantial heterogeneity, we expect around 95% of the studies to lie within the 95% CI region (shaded area). In our example, there are 6 trials out of 13 that are outside of the CI region. We should suspect the presence of heterogeneity in these data. In fact, we did establish in example 1 of [META] **meta regress** that there is at least one moderator, the distance from the equator, that explains some of the variation in the trial effect sizes.

4

#### Example 2: Custom legend

Continuing with example 1, let's demonstrate how we can customize the look of the legend produced by default. We use meta update to suppress the meta setting information displayed by meta galbraithplot.

```
. quietly meta update, nometashow
```

```
. meta galbraithplot, legend(symxsize(*0.4) position(12) ring(0)
```

```
> region(lcolor(black)))
```



We customized the legend with a few suboptions specified in legend() (see [G-3] *legend\_options*). We used symxsize(\*0.4) to set the width of the key symbols to 40% of their default width. We used position(12) to position the label at 12 o'clock and ring(0) to place the legend inside the plot region. We used region(lcolor(black)) to add a black border around the legend region.

#### Example 3: Labeling trials

Continuing with example 1, we established that there is heterogeneity among the studies given the wide spread of the plotted circles around the regression line. We also know from example 1 of [META] meta regress that there is at least one moderator, the distance from the equator, that explains some of the variation in the trial effect sizes. We would like to highlight this fact on the Galbraith plot by creating two study groups corresponding to low (latitude\_c < 0) and high (latitude\_c  $\ge 0$ ) absolute (mean-centered) latitudes and assigning a different color marker for each group on the plot. We will use the addplot() option.

```
. generate double precision = 1/_meta_se
```

. generate double zscore = \_meta\_es\*precision

```
. local opts legend(order(1 3 4 5 "Low latitude" 6 "High latitude"))
```

```
. meta galbraithplot, msymbol(none)
```

```
> addplot(scatter zscore precision if latitude_c < 0, 'opts' ||</pre>
```

```
> scatter zscore precision if latitude_c >= 0, 'opts')
```



First, we generated two new variables, precision and zscore, that contain the precisions,  $1/\hat{\sigma}_j$ , and z scores,  $\hat{\theta}_j/\hat{\sigma}_j$ , of the studies. Then, we constructed a Galbraith plot without study markers (without the blue circles) using the msymbol(none) option. Finally, we used addplot() to overlay two scatterplots corresponding to low and high latitudes. The order() suboption within legend() displays informative legend keys for the added scatterplots in the legend box at the bottom of the plot (see [G-3] *leg-end\_options*).

All circles in the "high latitude" group (colder climate) fall below the regression line. Thus, the reported risk ratios in colder climates are below the overall risk-ratio estimate, confirming our findings in example 9 of [META] meta that the vaccine is more efficient in colder areas. In the "low latitude" group, only one study (study 7: Vandiviere et al., 1973) had a risk ratio below the overall risk-ratio estimate. Note that this study was also identified as an outlier in the bubble plot of example 4 of [META] estat bubbleplot.

# Stored results

meta galbraithplot stores the following in r():

Scalars r(theta)	estimated overall effect size
r(tau2)	estimated between-study variance (when random() is specified)
Macros	
r(model)	meta-analysis model
r(method)	meta-analysis estimation method

## References

Anzures-Cabrera, J., and J. P. T. Higgins. 2010. Graphical displays for meta-analysis: An overview with suggestions for practice. Research Synthesis Methods 1: 66–80. https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.6.

Galbraith, R. F. 1988. A note on graphical representation of estimated odds ratios from several clinical trials. Statistics in Medicine 7: 889–894. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.4780070807.

## Also see

- [META] meta data Declare meta-analysis data
- [META] meta forestplot Forest plots

[META] meta labbeplot — L'Abbé plots

[META] meta regress — Meta-analysis regression

[META] meta — Introduction to meta

- [META] Glossary
- [META] Intro Introduction to meta-analysis

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.