Description Remarks and examples References Also see

Description

This entry describes how to prepare your data for meta-analysis using the meta commands.

In a nutshell, do the following:

- 1. If you have access to summary data, use meta esize to compute and declare effect sizes such as an odds ratio or a Hedges's g.
- 2. Alternatively, if you have only precomputed (generic) effect sizes, use meta set.
- 3. To update some of your meta-analysis settings after the declaration, use meta update.
- To check whether your data are already meta set or to see the current meta settings, use meta query.
- 5. If you want to perform multivariate meta-regression using meta mvregress, you do not need to meta set your data.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Overview

Declaring meta-analysis information Declaring effect sizes and their precision Declaring a meta-analysis model Declaring a meta-analysis estimation method Default meta-analysis model and method Declaring a confidence level for meta-analysis Declaring display settings for meta-analysis Modifying default meta settings Meta-analysis information Meta settings with meta set Meta settings with meta esize System variables Examples of data declaration for meta-analysis Declaring precomputed effect sizes using meta set Computing and declaring effect sizes using meta esize Displaying and updating meta settings

Overview

The declaration of your data to be meta data is the first step of your meta-analysis in Stata. meta data are your original data that also store key variables and characteristics about your specifications, which will be used by all meta commands during your meta-analysis session. The declaration step helps minimize mistakes and saves you time—you only need to specify the necessary information once.

You can use meta set or meta esize to declare your data to be meta data. If you have access only to precomputed effect sizes and their standard errors, use meta set. If you have access to summary data such as means and standard deviations from individual studies, use meta esize to compute the effect sizes and their standard errors and declare them. The latter is preferable because it provides access to more features such as the Mantel–Haenszel estimation method for a two-group comparison of binary outcomes, which needs access to the actual 2×2 tables and not only the effect sizes for the computations.

For example, suppose that you have variables es and se, which contain the effect sizes and the corresponding standard errors. You can use

. meta set es se

to declare your data, and all subsequent meta commands will automatically use these variables in the meta-analysis.

To review the current meta settings or to check whether the data are meta set, you can use meta query; see [META] meta update. After your data are declared, you can update some of the meta-analysis specifications by using meta update. If you wish to clear the meta settings after your meta-analysis, you can use meta clear; see [META] meta update.

Declaring meta-analysis information

Two main components of meta-analysis are study-specific effect sizes and their precision. You must specify them during declaration. Other important components include the underlying meta-analysis model and an estimation method. You can specify them during declaration or later during analysis or use Stata's defaults. You can also specify options that affect the output of the meta commands. Below, we describe how you can declare various meta-analysis information.

Declaring effect sizes and their precision

As we mentioned above, you must declare study-specific effect sizes and their precision. This is done differently for meta set and meta esize.

meta esize computes effect sizes and their standard errors from summary data and then declares them. meta set declares already precomputed effect sizes and their standard errors. Thus, to use meta set, you do not need summary data from each study, but you need them for meta esize. Some analysis may not be available after meta set such as the Mantel-Haenszel estimation method and Harbord's test for the funnel-plot asymmetry because they require access to summary data.

Effect sizes and their precision using meta set. To use meta set, you must specify variables containing study-specific effect sizes and their precision. There are two ways to specify the precision of the effect sizes. You can either specify a variable containing the standard errors,

. meta set es se

Or, instead of the standard errors, specify the confidence intervals, and meta set will compute the corresponding standard errors based on them:

. meta set es cil ciu

In the above, the specified CI variables will be assumed to correspond to the 95% CIs. You can change this by specifying the civarlevel() option:

. meta set es cil ciu, civarlevel(90)

But do not confuse civarlevel() with level(). The former affects the confidence level only for the specified CI variables. The latter specifies the confidence level for the meta-analysis.

Effect sizes and their precision using meta esize. To use meta esize, you must specify summary data for each study. The type of summary data you specify depends on the effect size you wish to compute and consequently on the outcome of interest and type of analysis in the original studies.

meta esize computes and declares various effect sizes for two-group comparisons of continuous and binary outcomes, for estimating a single proportion or prevalence, and for estimating a correlation between two variables of interest. For a two-group comparison of continuous outcomes, you must specify the number of observations, means, and standard deviations for each treatment group (group 1) and control group (group 2).

. meta esize n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2

To compute effect sizes and their standard errors, meta esize also needs to know the type of the effect size. The above assumes Hedges's g standardized mean difference, but you can specify others in the esize() option; see effect sizes for a two-group comparison of continuous outcomes in the *estypecnt* table in Syntax of [META] meta esize.

For a two-group comparison of binary outcomes, you must specify 2×2 contingency tables for each study. You specify them as follows. Each of the four cells is represented by a variable such that each row represents a 2×2 table from a specific study. For instance,

. meta esize n11 n12 n21 n22

The order in which you specify the four variables is important: the top-left cell first, the top-right cell next, followed by the bottom-left cell, and finally the bottom-right cell. The above computes the log odds-ratio as an effect size, but you can select a different effect size; see effect sizes for a two-group comparison of binary outcomes in the *estypebin* table in *Syntax* of [META] meta esize.

meta esize can compute effect sizes for estimating a single proportion. You must specify the number of successes (events) and the study sample size.

. meta esize ns n

The above computes the Freeman-Tukey-transformed proportions, but you can specify other effect sizes in the esize() option; see effect sizes for a single proportion in the *estypeprop* table in *Syntax* of [META] **meta esize**.

meta esize can compute effect sizes for estimating a correlation between two variables of interest. You must specify the sample correlation coefficients and the study sample size. You must also specify either the fisherz option or the correlation option,

. meta esize rho n, fisherz

The above computes the Fisher's z-transformed correlations, but you can request untransformed (raw) correlations by specifying the correlation option; see effect sizes for estimating a correlation in the *estypecorr* table in *Syntax* of [META] **meta esize**.

Options affecting effect-size and precision computations with meta esize. Depending on the chosen effect size, meta esize provides alternative ways of computing effect sizes and their standard errors.

For the Hedges's g effect size, there are two ways to compute the bias-correction factor used in its formula. For consistency with meta-analysis literature, meta esize uses an approximation, but you can specify the exact option within esize() to use the exact computation:

. meta esize n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2, esize(hedgesg, exact)

Note that the esize command uses the exact computation.

Both Hedges's g and Cohen's d effect sizes support standard error adjustment of Hedges and Olkin (1985) with esize()'s option holkinse:

. meta esize n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2, esize(cohend, holkinse)

For the (unstandardized) mean difference, you can choose to compute standard errors assuming unequal variance between the two groups:

. meta esize n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2, esize(mdiff, unequal)

For two-sample binary data with log odds-ratios or log risk-ratios as effect sizes, meta esize automatically adjusts for zero cells when computing effect sizes. By default, it adds 0.5 to all cells of the 2×2 tables that contain at least one zero cell. You can specify other adjustments in the zerocells(*zcspec*) option. For example, with log odds-ratios, you can specify the treatment-arm continuity correction of Sweeting, Sutton, and Lambert (2004) as zerocells(tacc), or you can request no zero-cell adjustment:

. meta esize n11 n12 n21 n22, zerocells(none)

Similarly, for one-sample binary data with the logit-transformed proportions or raw proportions as effect sizes, meta esize also adjusts for zero cells when computing effect sizes. By default, it adds 0.5 to the number of successes and to the number of failures for studies containing zero successes or zero failures. Other adjustments are also possible via the zerocells(*zcspec*) option.

See Options in [META] meta esize.

Declaring a meta-analysis model

Before you proceed with performing meta-analysis, we want you to think about the model underlying your meta-analysis. This decision is important because the selected meta-analysis model will determine the availability of some of the meta-analysis methods and, more importantly, how you interpret the obtained results; see *Comparison between the models and interpretation of the results* in [META] **Intro**. Also, most likely, you will want to use the chosen model during your entire meta-analysis session. Thus, we made the choices for the meta-analysis model and, consequently, the meta-analysis estimation method be part of the initial declaration step. But fear not! If desired, you can easily switch to a different meta-analysis model or method for the rest of your meta-analysis session or reset it temporarily for a particular analysis; see *Modifying default meta settings*.

We discuss the available models and the differences between them in detail in *Meta-analysis models* in [META] Intro.

Briefly, there are three models to choose from: a common-effect, fixed-effects, or random-effects model. They can be requested by specifying options common, fixed, or random. If you omit all of these options, the random-effects model will be assumed.

A common-effect model makes a strong assumption about the underlying true effect size being the same across (common to) all studies. When this assumption is true, this model is a reasonable choice. Most likely, you will want to verify the plausibility of this assumption for your data. So a model that allows the study effect sizes to be different may be a better choice during the initial analysis.

A fixed-effects model allows the effect sizes to be different across studies and assumes that they are fixed. You may ask: What does "fixed" mean? Different disciplines may have different definitions of a fixed effect. In the context of meta-analysis, you can think of fixed effects as effects of particular interest. In other words, your research questions and final inference are focused only on the specific studies that were selected in the meta-analysis.

Conversely, a random-effects model assumes that the study effect sizes are random, meaning that they represent a random sample from a larger population of similar studies. The results obtained from a random-effects model can be extended to the entire population of similar studies and not just the ones that were selected in the meta-analysis. The meta-analysis literature recommends to start with a random-effects model, which is also Stata's default for most meta commands.

So, which model should you choose? Our recommendation is to start with a random-effects model and explore the heterogeneity, publication bias, and other aspects of your meta-analysis data. If you are interested only in the inference about the particular studies in your data, a fixed-effects model may be a reasonable alternative. We suggest that you avoid using, or at least starting with, a common-effect model unless you verified that the underlying assumption of the common study effects is plausible for your data.

As we described in *Comparison between the models and interpretation of their results* in [META] **Intro**, a fixed-effects model and a common-effect model produce the same results in a meta-analysis. Although the final estimates are the same, their interpretation is different! In a common-effect model, the estimate of the overall effect size is an estimate of the true common effect size, whereas in a fixed-effects model, it is an estimate of the average of true, different study-specific effect sizes. Thus, the meta suite provides the two options common and fixed to emphasize the conceptual differences between the two models. Additionally, when you assume a common-effect model, you essentially imply that certain issues such as study heterogeneity are of no concern in your data. Therefore, when you specify the common option, certain commands such as meta regression will not be available. This is again our way of reminding you of the underlying assumption of a common-effect model. For other meta commands, specifying common versus fixed will merely change the reported title from, say, "Common-effect meta-analysis". Nevertheless, the title change is important because it encourages proper interpretation of the results.

Declaring a meta-analysis estimation method

Depending on a chosen meta-analysis model and effect size, there are a number of methods available to estimate the overall effect size. For a common-effect model and a fixed-effects model, the inversevariance method, common(invvariance) and fixed(invvariance), is used with generic effect sizes, which are declared by meta set, and with effect sizes for two-sample continuous data and for onesample binary data, which are declared by meta esize. With effect sizes for two-sample binary data (except Peto's log odds-ratio), which are also declared by meta esize, the Mantel-Haenszel method, common(mhaenszel) or fixed(mhaenszel), is also available.

For a random-effects model, there are several different methods to estimate the between-study variance, which contributes to the weights used to estimate the overall effect size. The default method is REML, random(reml), but other methods such as ML, random(ml), and DerSimonian-Laird, random(dlaird), are also available. See *Syntax* in [META] meta set for a full list.

When you specify random, the REML method is assumed. When you specify common or fixed, the inverse-variance method is assumed for all effect sizes except log odds-ratios, log risk-ratios, and risk differences, as specified with meta esize. For these effect sizes, the Mantel-Haenszel method is the default method.

See Meta-analysis estimation methods in [META] Intro for detailed descriptions of the methods.

Default meta-analysis model and method

During declaration, meta set and meta esize assume a random-effects model unless you specify one of options fixed or common. It also assumes the REML estimation method unless you specify some other method in option random(); see Declaring a meta-analysis estimation method.

The declared model will be used by all meta commands except metafunnelplot, meta galbraithplot, and metalabbeplot, which, for historical reasons, assume a common-effect model with the inverse-variance estimation method. But you can change the assumed model and method by specifying the corresponding options such as random(dlaird) with a meta command.

Also see Modifying default meta settings for details.

Declaring a confidence level for meta-analysis

By default, meta set and meta esize assume the 95% confidence level (or as set by set level) for the entire meta-analysis. You can change this by specifying the level() option with these commands. You can also modify the confidence level after the declaration as we describe in *Modifying default meta* settings.

Declaring display settings for meta-analysis

meta set and meta esize also provide options to control the output of meta commands.

The studylabel(*varname*) option specifies a string variable that will be used by meta commands such as meta summarize and meta forestplot to label the studies in the output. By default, the generic labels—Study 1, Study 2, and so on—will be used.

The eslabel(*string*) option specifies a string that will be used by meta commands such as meta summarize and meta forestplot to label effect sizes in the output. The default label with meta set is Effect size. The default label with meta esize is specific to the chosen effect size. For instance, it is Log Odds-Ratio for log odds-ratios.

By default, all meta commands display a short summary about the declared meta settings such as the variables containing effect sizes and their standard errors. After the declaration, the meta commands do not require you to specify the effect-size variables and standard error variables again. They simply use the corresponding system variables (see *System variables*) created during declaration. The reported summary reminds you that those variables are part of your meta-analysis. You can suppress this summary from all meta commands by specifying the nometashow option with meta set or meta esize. You can also suppress this summary for a particular meta command by specifying the option with that command; see *Modifying default meta settings*.

Modifying default meta settings

You can modify the default meta settings both during and after the declaration. Some of the settings may even be modified (temporarily) for a particular meta command.

You can modify the default settings during the declaration by simply specifying the corresponding options with meta set or meta esize. For example, when we type

. meta set ...

a random-effects model with the REML estimation method is assumed. We can specify another estimation method, for example, ML, by using random(ml):

. meta set ... , random(ml)

Or we can specify a different meta-analysis model, for example, a fixed-effects model:

. meta set ... , fixed

After the declaration, you can use meta update to modify the current settings. For example, we can switch to a common-effect model for the rest of our meta-analysis by typing

. meta update, common

Now all subsequent meta commands will automatically assume a common-effect model.

In the above examples, we used meta set, but you can use the same specifications with meta esize. We also demonstrated only a few options, but the same principles apply to the other options supported by meta set and meta esize.

For options random(), common() (and common), fixed() (and fixed), level(), and nometashow, we can also modify the current setting temporarily while running a particular meta command. For example, suppose that we want to obtain the results assuming a 90% confidence level with meta summarize. We can type

```
. meta summarize, level(90)
```

If we wanted all relevant meta commands to use the 90% confidence level, we would have typed

. meta update, level(90)

Meta-analysis information

When you use meta set or meta esize, they record information about your study, effect sizes and their precision, and meta-analysis model and meta-analysis estimation method, among other things. This information will be used by subsequent meta commands. The summary information is mostly the same between the two commands, but meta esize records several additional settings.

Let's get familiar with the meta setting information by looking at examples.

Meta settings with meta set

Consider a fictional dataset, metaset.dta, containing generic effect sizes and their standard errors stored in the corresponding variables es and se.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/metaset
(Generic effect sizes; fictional data)
. describe es se
Variable
              Storage
                         Display
                                    Value
   name
                 type
                          format
                                    label
                                                Variable label
                        %10.0g
                double
                                                Effect sizes
es
                double %10.0g
                                                Std. err. for effect sizes
se
```

At the minimum, with meta set, we must specify the variables containing effect sizes and their standard errors. (For other uses of meta set, see *Remarks and examples* in [META] meta set.)

```
. meta set es se
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 10
       Study label: Generic
       Study size: N/A
       Effect size
              Type: <generic>
             Label: Effect size
          Variable: es
         Precision
         Std. err.: se
                CI: [ meta cil, meta ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
             Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

The summary is divided into four categories: information about the study, the specified effect sizes, their precision, and meta-analysis model and method. Below, we describe in detail each output category.

```
Study information
No. of studies: 10
Study label: Generic
Study size: N/A
```

The study information consists of the number of studies (10 in our example), a study label (Generic), and a study size (N/A). If the studylabel(*varname*) option is specified, the Study label: will contain the name of the specified variable. Otherwise, a generic study label—Study 1, Study 2, and so on—will be used in the output of meta commands. If the studysize(*varname*) option is specified with meta set, the Study size: will contain the name of the specified variable.

```
Effect size
Type: Generic
Label: Effect size
Variable: es
```

The effect-size information consists of the type of the effect size, its label, and the variable containing study-specific effect sizes. The effect-size Type: is always Generic with meta set. The effect-size Label: is either a generic Effect size or as specified in the eslabel(*string*) option. This label will be used to label the effect sizes in the output of all meta commands. The effect-size Variable: displays the name of the declared variable containing effect sizes. After the declaration, both commands store study-specific effect sizes in the system variable _meta_es (see *System variables*). meta set simply copies them from the declared effect-size variable. Thus, Variable: will contain the name of the *esvar* variable, es in our example, with meta set.

```
Precision
Std. err.: se
        CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
CI level: 95%
```

The precision information consists of variables containing effect-size standard errors, confidence intervals, and the declared confidence level. As with the effect sizes, meta set uses the standard errors specified in the *sevar* variable (variable se here). The corresponding confidence intervals are computed using the effect sizes and their standard errors and stored in the system variables _meta_cil and _meta_ciu. With meta set, you can specify confidence intervals instead of the standard errors, in which case the standard errors will be computed from the effect sizes and confidence intervals and stored in _meta_se, in which case Std. err.: will contain _meta_se; see *Syntax* in [META] meta set. CI: always contains _meta_cil and _meta_ciu. The specified CI variables will be reported in User CI: with their corresponding confidence level reported in User CI level:, which is controlled by the civarlevel() option. The declared CI variables and the system CI variables will be the same only when civarlevel() is the same as level(), and the system variables are the ones that are used in the meta-analysis.

CI level: reports the confidence level, controlled by the level() option, that will be used by all meta commands when computing confidence intervals for various meta-analyses such as the CIs of the overall effect size, regression coefficients, and so on. The default confidence level is 95% or as set by set level.

Model and method Model: Random-effects Method: REML

As we pointed out in *Declaring a meta-analysis model*, the meta-analysis model and, consequently, the meta-analysis estimation method are important aspects of your meta-analysis. As such, we made them be part of your declaration step too. By default, a random-effects model with the REML estimation method is assumed for most meta commands; see *Default meta-analysis model and method*. You can change the defaults as we describe in *Modifying default meta settings*.

Meta settings with meta esize

Consider metaescnt.dta, containing fictional study-specific summary data for continuous outcomes for group 1 and group 2.

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/metaescnt, clear (Fictional summary data for continuous outcomes) . describe n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2 Variable Storage Display Value name type format label Variable label n1 byte %9.0g Study sizes of group 1 float %9.0g Means of group 1 m1 sd1 float %9.0g Std. dev. of group 1 n2 byte %9.0g Study sizes of group 2 m2 float %9.0g Means of group 2 float %9.0g sd2 Std. dev. of group 2

With meta esize, we must specify the summary data to compute an effect size. Let's focus on the studies comparing the mean differences between the two groups. Our summary data include the numbers of observations and the estimates of means and standard deviations for each group. We specify the variables containing these summaries following the command name.

```
. meta esize n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 10
      Study label: Generic
       Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: n1 m1 sd1 n2 m2 sd2
      Effect size
             Type: hedgesg
            Label: Hedges's g
         Variable: meta es
  Bias correction: Approximate
         Precision
        Std. err.: meta se
   Std. err. adj.: None
               CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
         CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
           Method: REML
```

The meta setting information from meta esize is almost the same as the one produced by meta set, which we described in *Meta settings with meta set*, but has several additional settings. The summarydata variables are listed under Summary data:. As we mentioned earlier, meta esize computes the effect sizes and their standard errors from the specified summary data, so effect-size Variable: and Std. err.: contain the names of the corresponding system variables, _meta_es and _meta_se. The summary data also include the information about the study size, so Study size: displays the name of the system variable, _meta_studysize, that contains study size, which is equal to the sum of n1 and n2 in our example.

By default, meta esize computes the Hedges's g effect size for the two-group mean comparison. You can specify the esize (*esspec*) option to select a different effect size. For the Hedges's g effect size, there are two methods to compute the underlying bias-correction term: approximate or exact. For consistency with the meta-analysis literature, meta esize, by default, uses an approximation, as indicated in Bias correction: under Effect size. But you can change this by specifying the exact option within esize().

Another additional setting describes the type of adjustment applied when computing the standard errors of the effect sizes; see Std. err. adj.: under Precision. This adjustment is applicable only with the Hedges's g or Cohen's d effect size. No adjustment is made by default, but you can use the holkinse option within esize() to specify the adjustment of Hedges and Olkin (1985). For the mean-difference effect size, you can request the adjustment for unequal group variances by specifying esize()'s option unequal.

Finally, for log odds-ratios or log risk-ratios, meta esize additionally reports the type of adjustment made to the zero cells of contingency tables, which represent the summary data for a two-group comparison of binary outcomes. For these effect sizes, the type of adjustment will be listed in Zero-cells adj.: under Effect size (not applicable in our example). By default, 0.5 is added to each zero cell,

but you can specify the zerocells() option with meta esize to apply a different adjustment or none. The zero-cells adjustment is also reported for meta-analysis of a single proportion when effect sizes are logit-transformed proportions or raw proportions.

System variables

meta set and meta esize store information about the meta-analysis settings in data characteristics ([P] char) and system variables.

meta system variables are the variables that begin with _meta_. There are four main variables that are stored by the two commands.

_meta_es stores study-specific effect sizes.

_meta_se stores the standard errors of study-specific effect sizes.

_meta_cil and _meta_ciu store the lower and upper limits of the CIs for study-specific effect sizes. These variables correspond to the confidence level declared for the meta-analysis, the value of which is stored in the data characteristic _meta_level.

Other system variables include integer study identifiers stored in _meta_id, study labels stored in a string variable _meta_studylabel, and study sizes stored in _meta_studysize. _meta_studysize is always stored with meta esize. With meta set, it is stored only when the variable containing study sizes is specified in the studysize() option.

Also see Stored results in [META] meta set and Stored results in [META] meta esize.

Examples of data declaration for meta-analysis

In this section, we demonstrate how to prepare data for meta-analysis in Stata for several case studies.

Declaring precomputed effect sizes using meta set

We will demonstrate how to use meta set to declare generic effect sizes.

Example 1: Precomputed log hazard-ratios using meta set

We demonstrate how to declare the meta-analysis data from Steurer et al. (2006), who studied the effect of purine analogues for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Variables loghr and seloghr contain the log hazard-ratios and their standard errors.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/leukemia2, clear
(Single-agent purine analogue treatment for leukemia)
. describe
Contains data from https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/leukemia2.dta
                                               Single-agent purine analogue
 Observations:
                            4
                                                 treatment for leukemia
    Variables:
                            6
                                               25 Apr 2024 12:09
                                               ( dta has notes)
Variable
              Storage
                        Display
                                    Value
    name
                         format
                                    label
                                               Variable label
                 type
                         %14s
author
                str14
                                             * Author
                                               Publication year
                int
                        %8.0g
year
                int
                                               Treatment-group sample size
ntreat
                        %8.0g
ncontrol
                int
                        %8.0g
                                               Control-group sample size
loghr
                float
                        %9.0g
                                               Log hazard-ratio
seloghr
                float
                        %9.0g
                                               Standard error for loghr
                                             * indicated variables have notes
```

Sorted by:

We use the meta set command to declare the effect sizes (log hazard-ratios) and their standard errors.

```
. meta set loghr seloghr
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 4
       Study label: Generic
        Study size: N/A
       Effect size
              Type: <generic>
             Label: Effect size
          Variable: loghr
         Precision
         Std. err.: seloghr
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
             Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

meta set reports that there are 4 studies in this dataset. The type of effect size is Generic because we used the precalculated effect size. The default label Effect size will be used in the output. The command also reports the variables that were used to declare the effect sizes, loghr, and their standard errors, seloghr. The other settings are as we described in *Meta settings with meta set*.

-	meta*						
Variable	Stora	lge Dis	1 5	lue			
name	ty	rpe fo	rmat la	ibel Va	ariable label		
_meta_id	byt	e %9.	0g	S	tudy ID		
 meta_studyla~l str7		·7 %9s	%9s		Study label		
meta_es	flo	at %9.	0g	G	eneric ES		
_meta_se	flo	at %9.	0g	S	td. err. for ES	5	
meta_cil	dou	ıble %10	. Ög	9	5% lower CI lin	nit for ES	
meta_ciu	dou	ble %10	0		5% upper CI lim	nit for ES	
list _meta	! *	ble %10	. 0g		5% upper CI lin	nit for ES	
list _meta	.* id	ble %10	. 0g	9 smeta_s	5% upper CI lin	_meta_ciu	
list _meta	*	ble %10 meta~el	.0g _meta_es 592	91 s _meta_s 2 .34	5% upper CI lim	_meta_ciu .08418756	
list _meta	1 2	ble %10 meta~el Study 1	.0g _meta_es 592 0791	91 s _meta_sa 2 .344 078	5% upper CI lim emeta_cil 5 -1.2681876	_meta_ciu .08418756 .07514916	

As we described in System variables, meta set created several system variables that will be used by other meta commands in the computations:

_meta_id contains integers identifying the studies, and _meta_studylabel contains the study labels. _meta_es and _meta_se contain log hazard-ratios and their standard errors, and _meta_cil and _meta_ciu contain the corresponding lower and upper bounds of the 95% CIs for log hazard-ratios.

We did not specify the studylabel() option in this example, so generic labels will be used in the output of other meta commands such as meta summarize:

. meta summarize					
Effect-size labe Effect size Std. err					
Meta-analysis summa	ary	Number	of studies	= 4	
Random-effects mode	el	Heterogeneity:			
Method: REML			tau2 ·	= 0.0000	
			I2 (%) :	= 0.00	
			H2 =	= 1.00	
Study	Effect size	[95% conf.	interval]	% weight	
Study 1	-0.592	-1.268	0.084	3.68	
Study 2	-0.079	-0.233	0.075	70.70	
Study 3	-0.237	-0.519	0.045	21.12	
Study 4	0.163	-0.449	0.775	4.50	
theta	-0.120	-0.250	0.009		
Test of theta = 0:	z = -1.82		Prob > z	= 0.0688	
Test of homogeneity	.62	Prob > Q	= 0.3049		

Generic labels Study 1, Study 2, Study 3, and Study 4 are used to label the studies. Also, the generic label Effect size is used to label the log hazard-ratios. See [META] meta summarize for details about meta summarize.

We can provide more descriptive labels for the studies and the effect sizes by specifying options studylabel() and eslabel().

```
. generate studylbl = author + " (" + string(year) + ")"
. meta set loghr seloghr, studylabel(studylbl) eslabel("Ln(HR)")
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 4
      Study label: studylbl
       Study size: N/A
       Effect size
              Type: <generic>
             Label: Ln(HR)
          Variable: loghr
         Precision
         Std. err.: seloghr
                CI: [ meta cil, meta ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

We created a new variable, studylbl, that combines the author and year information of the published studies to use as our study labels. meta set reported that studylbl will be used to label the studies and Ln(HR) to label the effect sizes.

If we now rerun meta summarize (suppressing the table header), we see the new labels in the output.

```
. meta summarize, noheader
  Effect-size label: Ln(HR)
        Effect size: loghr
          Std. err.: seloghr
        Study label: studylbl
                Study
                                 Ln(HR)
                                           [95% conf. interval] % weight
Johnson et al. (1996)
                                 -0.592
                                                                      3.68
                                             -1.268
                                                          0.084
     Leporrier (2001)
                                 -0.079
                                             -0.233
                                                          0.075
                                                                     70.70
           Rai (2000)
                                 -0.237
                                             -0.519
                                                          0.045
                                                                     21.12
         Robak (2000)
                                  0.163
                                             -0.449
                                                          0.775
                                                                      4.50
                theta
                                 -0.120
                                             -0.250
                                                           0.009
Test of theta = 0: z = -1.82
                                                      Prob > |z| = 0.0688
Test of homogeneity: Q = chi2(3) = 3.62
                                                        Prob > Q = 0.3049
```

After the original declaration, we can use meta update to update the meta settings instead of repeating meta set; see example 6.

Also see Remarks and examples in [META] meta set for more examples of using meta set.

Computing and declaring effect sizes using meta esize

We demonstrate how to use meta esize to compute and declare effect sizes for continuous and binary outcomes.

4

Example 2: Mean differences for two-sample continuous data using meta esize

Consider the study of Gibson et al. (2002), who compared the performance of asthma-management programs for adults with asthma.

The asthma dataset contains the following summary-data variables:

use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/asthma, clear (Education and medical review for asthma patients)					
. describe ni meani sdi nc meanc sdc					
Variable name	Storage type	Display format	Value label	Variable label	
ni meani	int double	%9.0g %9.0g		Intervention-group sample size Average days off work/school for intervention group	
sdi	double	%9.0g		<pre>Std. dev. of days off work/school for intervention group</pre>	
nc	int	%9.0g		Control-group sample size	
meanc	double	%9.0g		Average days off work/school for control group	
sdc	double	%9.0g		Std. dev. of days off work/school for control group	

Variables ni, meani, and sdi record the study-specific sample sizes, mean numbers of days off work/school, and standard deviations in the intervention group, and variables nc, meanc, and sdc record those items in the control group.

To illustrate, we will compute and declare a couple of effect sizes using meta esize. We will start with the default effect size—Hedges's g standardized mean. We use meta esize to compute this effect size for each study from the summary variables and declare them for further meta-analysis.

```
. meta esize ni meani sdi nc meanc sdc
(2 missing values generated)
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 13
      Study label: Generic
       Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: ni meani sdi nc meanc sdc
       Effect size
              Type: hedgesg
            Label: Hedges's g
         Variable: meta es
  Bias correction: Approximate
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
   Std. err. adj.: None
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

There are missing values in the summary variables, so some of the generated system variables will also contain missing values as reported by the note.

meta esize reports that the computed effect size is Hedges's g. See Meta settings with meta esize for the explanation of other settings.

The above command is equivalent to

. meta esize ni meani sdi nc meanc sdc, esize(hedgesg) (output omitted)

With this effect size, we can specify that the adjustment of Hedges and Olkin (1985) be applied to the standard errors.

```
. meta esize ni meani sdi nc meanc sdc, esize(hedgesg, holkinse)
(2 missing values generated)
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 13
       Study label: Generic
       Study size: meta studysize
      Summary data: ni meani sdi nc meanc sdc
       Effect size
              Type: hedgesg
            Label: Hedges's g
          Variable: meta es
  Bias correction: Approximate
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
   Std. err. adj.: Hedges-Olkin
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

meta esize updates the adjustment in Std. err. adj.: under Precision to Hedges-Olkin.

Because all studies measured our outcome of interest on the same scale (number of days off work or school), we may consider the raw (unstandardized) mean difference as our effect size. We can compute it by specifying the esize(mdiff) option.

```
meta esize ni meani sdi nc meanc sdc, esize(mdiff)
(2 missing values generated)
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 13
       Study label: Generic
        Study size: meta studysize
      Summary data: ni meani sdi nc meanc sdc
       Effect size
              Type: mdiff
             Label: Mean diff.
          Variable: meta es
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
   Std. err. adj.: None
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
         CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

The information about the type of the effect size and its label is updated to correspond to the mean differences.

As with meta set, we could have used meta update to update the meta settings after the initial declaration instead of using meta esize; see example 6.

4

Example 3: Log odds-ratios and log risk-ratios for two-sample binary data

Let's revisit the declaration we used in example 1 in [META] **meta** for the bcg dataset from the BCG vaccine study (Colditz et al. 1994). The summary data (contingency tables) are recorded in the following variables:

use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/bcg, clear (Efficacy of BCG vaccine against tuberculosis)					
. describe npost nnegt nposc nnegc					
Variable name	Storage type	Display format	Value label	Variable label	
npost	int	%9.0g		Number of TB positive cases in treated group	
nnegt	long	%9.0g		Number of TB negative cases in treated group	
nposc	int	%9.0g		Number of TB positive cases in control group	
nnegc	long	%9.0g		Number of TB negative cases in control group	

The summary variables represent the cells of the 2×2 tables for each study.

As with continuous data, we specify the summary variables for binary data following meta esize:

```
. meta esize npost nnegt nposc nnegc
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 13
       Study label: Generic
       Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: npost nnegt nposc nnegc
       Effect size
              Type: lnoratio
             Label: Log odds-ratio
          Variable: _meta_es
  Zero-cells adj.: None; no zero cells
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

The computed default effect sizes are log odds-ratios, whereas the effect of interest in this study is the risk ratio or, equivalently, the log risk-ratio.

To compute log risk-ratios, we specify esize(lnrratio). We also specify the variable studylbl containing study labels in the studylabel() option.

```
. meta esize npost nnegt nposc nnegc, esize(lnrratio) studylabel(studylbl)
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 13
      Study label: studylbl
       Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: npost nnegt nposc nnegc
       Effect size
              Type: lnrratio
             Label: Log risk-ratio
          Variable: _meta_es
  Zero-cells adj.: None; no zero cells
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
         CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

Notice that there are no zero cells in our data, so there is no zero-cells adjustment (see Zero-cells adj.: under Effect size).

4

Also see example 6 for how to update the above meta settings without having to respecify the summary variables.

Example 4: Freeman–Tukey-transformed proportions for one-sample binary data

We will consider a dataset from Miller (1978) to illustrate meta esize to set up data for meta-analysis of a single proportion. The summary data consist of the number of animal deaths (ndeaths) and the animal pen size (pensize):

use https:// (Number of a		•	lata/r19/mi	ller1978, clear	
. describe					
Contains dat	ta from http	ps://www.st	ata-press.	com/data/r19/miller1978.dta	
Observation	ns:	4		Number of animal deaths	
Variables:		2		15 Mar 2024 17:04	
				(_dta has notes)	
Variable	Storage	Display	Value		
name	type	format	label	Variable label	
ndeaths pensize	byte byte	%9.0g %9.0g		Number of animal deaths Size of animal pen	

Sorted by:

As with two-group comparisons of continuous and binary data, we specify the summary variables following meta esize:

```
. meta esize ndeaths pensize
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 4
       Study label: Generic
        Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: ndeaths pensize
       Effect size
              Type: ftukeyprop
             Label: Freeman-Tukey's p
          Variable: _meta_es
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
             Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

By default, meta esize computes the Freeman-Tukey-transformed proportions. For meta-analysis using the untransformed (raw) proportions, you can specify the esize(proportion) option:

```
. meta esize ndeaths pensize, esize(proportion)
Meta-analysis setting information
Study information
   No. of studies: 4
      Study label: Generic
        Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: ndeaths pensize
       Effect size
              Type: proportion
             Label: Proportion
          Variable: meta es
  Zero-cells adj.: None; no zero cells
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

Unlike the Freeman-Tukey-transformed proportions, in the presence of zero successes or failures, the raw proportions require zero-cell adjustments; otherwise, their variances will be undefined. In our example, there are no zero cells, so no zero-cells adjustment was applied (see Zero-cells adj.: under Effect size).

We could have also used meta update to modify the above meta settings without having to respecify the summary variables; see example 6.

Example 5: Effect sizes for correlation data

Molloy, O'Carroll, and Ferguson (2013) conducted a meta-analysis to examine to what degree conscientiousness is related to medication adherence. Medication adherence is the extent to which typically chronically ill patients follow medical recommendations as prescribed. Conscientiousness is defined as "socially prescribed impulse control that facilitates task- and goal-directed behavior, such as thinking before acting, delaying gratification, following norms and rules, and planning, organizing and prioritizing tasks" (John and Srivastava 1999, 121).

The dataset contains the variables studylbl, rho, and n to indicate the authors and year of publication of the studies, the correlation coefficient between conscientiousness and medication adherence, and the study sample size, respectively.

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/adherence (Conscientiousness and medication adherence)							
. describe rho n studylbl							
Variable	Storage	Display	Value	Variable label			
name	type	format	label				
rho	double	%9.0g		* Correlation coefficient			
n	int	%9.0g		Sample size of the study			
studylbl	str26	%26s		Study label			

As with one-sample binary data, we specify two summary variables, rho and n, following meta esize. To compute Fisher's z-transformed correlations, we specify the fisherz option:

```
. meta esize rho n, fisherz
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 16
      Study label: Generic
       Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: rho n
       Effect size
              Type: fisherz
             Label: Fisher's z
          Variable: _meta_es
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
           Method: REML
```

For meta-analysis using the untransformed (raw) correlations, you can specify the correlation option:

```
. meta esize rho n, correlation
Meta-analysis setting information
 Study information
   No. of studies: 16
      Study label: Generic
        Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: rho n
       Effect size
              Type: correlation
             Label: Correlation
          Variable: _meta_es
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
             Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

We could have also used meta update to modify the above meta settings without having to respecify the summary variables; see example 6.

Displaying and updating meta settings

We show examples of how to display the current meta settings by using meta query and update them by using meta update.

Example 6: Commands meta query and meta update

Recall example 3. Let's reload the dataset and use meta query to check whether the dataset is meta set.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/bcg, clear
(Efficacy of BCG vaccine against tuberculosis)
. meta query
(data not meta set; use meta set or meta esize to declare as meta data)
```

The data are not meta set.

4

Let's again use meta esize to declare the data (quietly) and use meta query to display the current settings.

```
. quietly meta esize npost nnegt nposc nnegc
. meta query
-> meta esize npost nnegt nposc nnegc
Meta-analysis setting information from meta esize
Study information
   No. of studies: 13
      Study label: Generic
        Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: npost nnegt nposc nnegc
      Effect size
             Type: lnoratio
            Label: Log odds-ratio
          Variable: meta es
  Zero-cells adj.: None; no zero cells
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
         CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
           Method: REML
```

In example 3, we redeclared the data to use the log risk-ratios as effect sizes. After the initial declaration, it is more convenient to use meta update to update the meta settings because we do not need to respecify the summary variables with meta update.

```
. meta update, esize(lnrratio) studylabel(studylbl)
-> meta esize npost nnegt nposc nnegc , esize(lnrratio) studylabel(studylbl)
Meta-analysis setting information from meta esize
 Study information
   No. of studies: 13
       Study label: studylbl
        Study size: _meta_studysize
      Summary data: npost nnegt nposc nnegc
       Effect size
              Type: lnrratio
             Label: Log risk-ratio
          Variable: meta es
  Zero-cells adj.: None; no zero cells
         Precision
         Std. err.: _meta_se
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
         CI level: 95%
 Model and method
            Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

If your summary variables change, however, you must specify them with meta esize.

You can use meta update after either meta esize or meta set. meta update will respect the options of meta esize and meta set.

For example, recall the meta set declaration from example 1:

```
    use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/leukemia2, clear
(Single-agent purine analogue treatment for leukemia)
    quietly meta set loghr seloghr
```

Let's update the meta settings to include the variable containing study sizes.

```
. generate ssize = ntreat + ncontrol
. meta update, studysize(ssize)
-> meta set loghr seloghr , random(reml) studysize(ssize)
Meta-analysis setting information from meta set
 Study information
   No. of studies: 4
       Study label: Generic
       Study size: ssize
       Effect size
              Type: <generic>
             Label: Effect size
          Variable: loghr
         Precision
         Std. err.: seloghr
                CI: [_meta_cil, _meta_ciu]
          CI level: 95%
 Model and method
             Model: Random effects
            Method: REML
```

The studysize() option is supported only with meta set. If we tried to specify this option with meta update after meta esize, we would have received an error message.

References

- Colditz, G. A., T. F. Brewer, C. S. Berkey, M. E. Wilson, E. Burdick, H. V. Fineberg, and F. Mosteller. 1994. Efficacy of BCG vaccine in the prevention of tuberculosis: Meta-analysis of the published literature. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 271: 698–702. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1994.03510330076038.
- Gibson, P., H. Powell, A. Wilson, M. J. Abramson, P. Haywood, A. Bauman, M. J. Hensley, E. H. Walters, and J. J. L. Roberts. 2002. Self-management education and regular practitioner review for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 3. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001117.
- Hedges, L. V., and I. Olkin. 1985. Statistical Methods for Meta-Analysis. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.
- John, O. P., and S. Srivastava. 1999. "The big five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives". In *Handbook of Personality: Theory and Research*, edited by L. A. Pervin and O. P. John, 102–138. 2nd ed. New York: Guilford.
- Miller, J. J. 1978. The inverse of the Freeman–Tukey double arcsine transformation. *American Statistician* 32: 138. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1978.10479283.
- Molloy, G. J., R. E. O'Carroll, and E. Ferguson. 2013. Conscientiousness and medication adherence: A meta-analysis. Annals of Behavioral Medicine 47: 92–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-013-9524-4.
- Steurer, M., G. Pall, S. Richards, G. Schwarzer, J. Bohlius, and R. Greil. 2006. Single-agent purine analogues for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Treatment Reviews* 32: 377–389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2006.05.002.
- Sweeting, M. J., A. J. Sutton, and P. C. Lambert. 2004. What to add to nothing? Use and avoidance of continuity corrections in meta-analysis of sparse data. *Statistics in Medicine* 23: 1351–1375. https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1761.

Also see

- [META] meta esize Compute effect sizes and declare meta-analysis data
- [META] meta set Declare meta-analysis data using generic effect sizes
- [META] meta update Update, describe, and clear meta-analysis settings
- [META] meta Introduction to meta
- [META] Glossary
- [META] Intro Introduction to meta-analysis

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.