

Description
Options
References

Quick start
Remarks and examples
Also see

Menu
Stored results

Syntax
Methods and formulas

Description

`meglm` fits multilevel mixed-effects generalized linear models. `meglm` allows a variety of distributions for the response conditional on normally distributed random effects.

Quick start

Without weights

Random-effects probit regression of `y` on `x1` with random intercepts by `lev2`

```
meglm y x1 || lev2:, family(binomial) link(probit)
```

Same as above, but fit a logit model and report odds ratios

```
meglm y x1 || lev2:, family(binomial) or
```

Two-level gamma model of `y` with fixed and random coefficients on `x1`

```
meglm y x1 || lev2: x1, family(gamma)
```

Nested three-level random-intercept Poisson model reporting incidence-rate ratios

```
meglm y x1 || lev3: || lev2:, family(poisson) irr
```

Two-level linear regression of `y` on `x1` and `x2` with random intercepts by `lev2`, random coefficients on `x2`, and robust standard errors

```
meglm y x1 x2 || lev2: x2, vce(robust)
```

With weights

Two-level linear regression of `y` on `x` with random intercepts by `psu` for two-stage sampling with PSU-level and observation-level sampling weights `wvar2` and `wvar1`, respectively

```
meglm y x [pweight=wvar1] || psu:, pweight(wvar2)
```

Add secondary sampling stage with units identified by `ssu` having weights `wvar2` and PSU-level weights `wvar3` for a three-level random-intercept model

```
meglm y x [pw=wvar1] || psu:, pw(wvar3) || ssu:, pw(wvar2)
```

Same as above, but `svyset` data first

```
svyset psu, weight(wvar3) || ssu, weight(wvar2) || _n, weight(wvar1)
svy: meglm y x || psu: || ssu:
```

Menu

Statistics > Multilevel mixed-effects models > Generalized linear models (GLM)

Syntax

```
meglm depvar fe_equation [ || re_equation ] [ || re_equation ... ] [ , options ]
```

where the syntax of *fe_equation* is

```
[ indepvars ] [ if ] [ in ] [ weight ] [ , fe_options ]
```

and the syntax of *re_equation* is one of the following:

for random coefficients and intercepts

```
levelvar : [ varlist ] [ , re_options ]
```

for random effects among the values of a factor variable in a crossed-effects model

```
levelvar : R. varname
```

levelvar is a variable identifying the group structure for the random effects at that level or is `_all` representing one group comprising all observations.

<i>fe_options</i>	Description
<code>Model</code>	
<code>noconstant</code>	suppress the constant term from the fixed-effects equation
<code>exposure(<i>varname_e</i>)</code>	include $\ln(\text{varname}_e)$ in model with coefficient constrained to 1
<code>offset(<i>varname_o</i>)</code>	include <i>varname_o</i> in model with coefficient constrained to 1
<code>asis</code>	retain perfect predictor variables

<i>re_options</i>	Description
<code>Model</code>	
<code>covariance(<i>vartype</i>)</code>	variance–covariance structure of the random effects
<code>noconstant</code>	suppress constant term from the random-effects equation
<code>fweight(<i>varname</i>)</code>	frequency weights at higher levels
<code>iweight(<i>varname</i>)</code>	importance weights at higher levels
<code>pweight(<i>varname</i>)</code>	sampling weights at higher levels

<i>options</i>	Description
Model	
<u>family</u> (<i>family</i>)	distribution of <i>depvar</i> ; default is <code>family(gaussian)</code>
<u>link</u> (<i>link</i>)	link function; default varies per family
<u>constraints</u> (<i>constraints</i>)	apply specified linear constraints
SE/Robust	
<u>vce</u> (<i>vcetype</i>)	<i>vcetype</i> may be <code>oim</code> , <code>opg</code> , <code>robust</code> , or <code>cluster clustvar</code>
Reporting	
<u>level</u> (#)	set confidence level; default is <code>level(95)</code>
<u>eform</u>	report exponentiated fixed-effects coefficients
<u>irr</u>	report fixed-effects coefficients as incidence-rate ratios
<u>or</u>	report fixed-effects coefficients as odds ratios
<u>nocnsreport</u>	do not display constraints
<u>notable</u>	suppress coefficient table
<u>noheader</u>	suppress output header
<u>nogroup</u>	suppress table summarizing groups
<u>display_options</u>	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Integration	
<u>intmethod</u> (<i>intmethod</i>)	integration method
<u>intpoints</u> (#)	set the number of integration (quadrature) points for all levels; default is <code>intpoints(7)</code>
Maximization	
<u>maximize_options</u>	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>startvalues</u> (<i>svmethod</i>)	method for obtaining starting values
<u>startgrid</u> [(<i>gridspec</i>)]	perform a grid search to improve starting values
<u>noestimate</u>	do not fit the model; show starting values instead
<u>dnnumerical</u>	use numerical derivative techniques
<u>collinear</u>	keep collinear variables
<u>coeflegend</u>	display legend instead of statistics
<hr/>	
<i>vartype</i>	Description
<u>independent</u>	one unique variance parameter per random effect and all covariances 0; the default unless the <code>R.</code> notation is used
<u>exchangeable</u>	equal variances for random effects and one common pairwise covariance
<u>identity</u>	equal variances for random effects and all covariances 0; the default if the <code>R.</code> notation is used
<u>unstructured</u>	all variances and covariances to be distinctly estimated
<u>fixed</u> (<i>matname</i>)	user-selected variances and covariances constrained to specified values; the remaining variances and covariances unrestricted
<u>pattern</u> (<i>matname</i>)	user-selected variances and covariances constrained to be equal; the remaining variances and covariances unrestricted

<i>family</i>	Description
<code>gaussian</code>	Gaussian (normal); the default
<code>bernoulli</code>	Bernoulli
<code>binomial</code> [# <i>varname</i>]	binomial; default number of binomial trials is 1
<code>gamma</code>	gamma
<code>nbinomial</code> [mean constant]	negative binomial; default dispersion is mean
<code>ordinal</code>	ordinal
<code>poisson</code>	Poisson

<i>link</i>	Description
<code>identity</code>	identity
<code>log</code>	log
<code>logit</code>	logit
<code>probit</code>	probit
<code>cloglog</code>	complementary log–log

<i>intmethod</i>	Description
<code>mvaghermite</code>	mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; the default unless a crossed random-effects model is fit
<code>mcaghermite</code>	mode-curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature
<code>pcaghermite</code>	Pinheiro–Chao mode-curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature
<code>ghermite</code>	nonadaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature
<code>laplace</code>	Laplacian approximation; the default for crossed random-effects models
<code>pclaplace</code>	Pinheiro–Chao Laplacian approximation

indepvars and *varlist* may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 **Factor variables**.

devar, *indepvars*, and *varlist* may contain time-series operators; see [U] 11.4.4 **Time-series varlists**.

`bayes`, `by`, `collect`, and `svy` are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 **Prefix commands**. For more details, see [BAYES] **bayes: meglm**. `vce()` and weights are not allowed with the `svy` prefix; see [SVY] **svy**.

`fweights`, `iweights`, and `pweights` are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 **weight**. Only one type of weight may be specified. Weights are not supported under the Laplacian approximation or for crossed models.

`startvalues()`, `startgrid`, `noestimate`, `dnumerical`, `collinear`, and `coeflegend` do not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 **Estimation and postestimation commands** for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

Model

`noconstant` suppresses the constant (intercept) term and may be specified for the fixed-effects equation and for any of or all the random-effects equations.

`exposure(varnamee)` specifies a variable that reflects the amount of exposure over which the *devar* events were observed for each observation; $\ln(\text{varname}_e)$ is included in the fixed-effects portion of the model with the coefficient constrained to be 1.

`offset(varnameo)` specifies that *varname*_o be included in the fixed-effects portion of the model with the coefficient constrained to be 1.

`asis` forces retention of perfect predictor variables and their associated, perfectly predicted observations and may produce instabilities in maximization; see [R] [probit](#).

`covariance(vartype)` specifies the structure of the covariance matrix for the random effects and may be specified for each random-effects equation. *vartype* is one of the following: `independent`, `exchangeable`, `identity`, `unstructured`, `fixed(matname)`, or `pattern(matname)`.

`covariance(independent)` covariance structure allows for a distinct variance for each random effect within a random-effects equation and assumes that all covariances are 0. The default is `covariance(independent)` unless a crossed random-effects model is fit, in which case the default is `covariance(identity)`.

`covariance(exchangeable)` structure specifies one common variance for all random effects and one common pairwise covariance.

`covariance(identity)` is short for “multiple of the identity”; that is, all variances are equal and all covariances are 0.

`covariance(unstructured)` allows for all variances and covariances to be distinct. If an equation consists of p random-effects terms, the unstructured covariance matrix will have $p(p+1)/2$ unique parameters.

`covariance(fixed(matname))` and `covariance(pattern(matname))` covariance structures provide a convenient way to impose constraints on variances and covariances of random effects. Each specification requires a *matname* that defines the restrictions placed on variances and covariances. Only elements in the lower triangle of *matname* are used, and row and column names of *matname* are ignored. A missing value in *matname* means that a given element is unrestricted. In a `fixed(matname)` covariance structure, (co)variance (i, j) is constrained to equal the value specified in the i, j th entry of *matname*. In a `pattern(matname)` covariance structure, (co)variances (i, j) and (k, l) are constrained to be equal if $matname[i, j] = matname[k, l]$.

`fweight(varname)` specifies frequency weights at higher levels in a multilevel model, whereas frequency weights at the first level (the observation level) are specified in the usual manner, for example, `[fw=fwtvar1]`. *varname* can be any valid Stata variable name, and you can specify `fweight()` at levels two and higher of a multilevel model. For example, in the two-level model

```
. mecmd fixed_portion [fw = wt1] || school: ... , fweight(wt2) ...
```

the variable `wt1` would hold the first-level (the observation-level) frequency weights, and `wt2` would hold the second-level (the school-level) frequency weights.

`weight(varname)` specifies importance weights at higher levels in a multilevel model, whereas importance weights at the first level (the observation level) are specified in the usual manner, for example, `[iw=iwtvar1]`. *varname* can be any valid Stata variable name, and you can specify `weight()` at levels two and higher of a multilevel model. For example, in the two-level model

```
. mecmd fixed_portion [iw = wt1] || school: ... , weight(wt2) ...
```

the variable `wt1` would hold the first-level (the observation-level) importance weights, and `wt2` would hold the second-level (the school-level) importance weights.

`pweight(varname)` specifies sampling weights at higher levels in a multilevel model, whereas sampling weights at the first level (the observation level) are specified in the usual manner, for example, [`pw=pwtvar1`]. *varname* can be any valid Stata variable name, and you can specify `pweight()` at levels two and higher of a multilevel model. For example, in the two-level model

```
. mecmd fixed_portion [pw = wt1] || school: ... , pweight(wt2) ...
```

variable `wt1` would hold the first-level (the observation-level) sampling weights, and `wt2` would hold the second-level (the school-level) sampling weights.

`family(family)` specifies the distribution of *depvar*; `family(gaussian)` is the default.

`link(link)` specifies the link function; the default is the canonical link for the `family()` specified except for the gamma and negative binomial families.

If you specify both `family()` and `link()`, not all combinations make sense. You may choose from the following combinations:

	identity	log	logit	probit	cloglog
Gaussian	D	x			
Bernoulli			D	x	x
binomial			D	x	x
gamma		D			
negative binomial		D			
ordinal			D	x	x
Poisson		D			

D denotes the default.

`constraints(constraints)`; see [\[R\] Estimation options](#).

SE/Robust

`vce(vcetype)` specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (`oim`, `opg`), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (`robust`), and that allow for intragroup correlation (`cluster clustvar`); see [\[R\] vce_option](#). If `vce(robust)` is specified, robust variances are clustered at the highest level in the multilevel model.

Reporting

`level(#)`; see [\[R\] Estimation options](#).

`eform` reports exponentiated fixed-effects coefficients and corresponding standard errors and confidence intervals. This option may be specified either at estimation or upon replay.

`irr` reports estimated fixed-effects coefficients transformed to incidence-rate ratios, that is, $\exp(\beta)$ rather than β . Standard errors and confidence intervals are similarly transformed. This option affects how results are displayed, not how they are estimated or stored. `irr` may be specified either at estimation or upon replay. This option is allowed for count models only.

`or` reports estimated fixed-effects coefficients transformed to odds ratios, that is, $\exp(\beta)$ rather than β . Standard errors and confidence intervals are similarly transformed. This option affects how results are displayed, not how they are estimated. `or` may be specified at estimation or upon replay. This option is allowed for logistic models only.

`nocnsreport`; see [\[R\] Estimation options](#).

`notable` suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.

`noheader` suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.

`nogroup` suppresses the display of group summary information (number of groups, average group size, minimum, and maximum) from the output header.

display_options: `nocl`, `nopvalues`, `noomitted`, `vsquish`, `noemptycells`, `baselevels`, `allbaselevels`, `nofvlabel`, `fvwrap(#)`, `fvwrapon(style)`, `cformat(%fmt)`, `pformat(%fmt)`, `sformat(%fmt)`, and `noIstretch`; see [R] [Estimation options](#).

Integration

`intmethod(intmethod)` specifies the integration method to be used for the random-effects model. `mvaghermite` performs mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; `mcaghermite` and `pcaghermite` perform mode-curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; `ghermite` performs nonadaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; and `laplace` and `pclaplace` perform the Laplacian approximation, equivalent to mode-curvature adaptive Gaussian quadrature with one integration point. Techniques `pcaghermite` and `pclaplace` are available only with `family(binomial)` and `family(bernoulli)` combined with `link(logit)` and with `family(poisson)`; these techniques obtain the random-effects mode and curvature using the efficient hierarchical decomposition algorithm described in [Pinheiro and Chao \(2006\)](#). For hierarchical models, this algorithm takes advantage of the design structure to minimize memory use and utilizes a series of orthogonal triangulations to compute the factored random-effects Hessian indirectly, avoiding the sparse full Hessian. Techniques `mcaghermite` and `laplace` use Cholesky factorization on the full Hessian. For four- and higher-level hierarchical designs, there can be dramatic computation-time differences.

The default integration method is `mvaghermite` unless a crossed random-effects model is fit, in which case the default integration method is `laplace`. The Laplacian approximation has been known to produce biased parameter estimates; however, the bias tends to be more prominent in the estimates of the variance components rather than in the estimates of the fixed effects.

For crossed random-effects models, estimation with more than one quadrature point may be prohibitively intensive even for a small number of levels. For this reason, the integration method defaults to the Laplacian approximation. You may override this behavior by specifying a different integration method.

`intpoints(#)` sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is `intpoints(7)`, which means that seven quadrature points are used for each level of random effects. This option is not allowed with `intmethod(laplace)`.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases as a function of the number of quadrature points raised to a power equaling the dimension of the random-effects specification. In crossed random-effects models and in models with many levels or many random coefficients, this increase can be substantial.

Maximization

maximize_options: `difficult`, `technique(algorithm_spec)`, `iterate(#)`, `[no]log`, `trace`, `gradient`, `showstep`, `hessian`, `showtolerance`, `tolerance(#)`, `ltolerance(#)`, `nrtolerance(#)`, `nonrntolerance`, and `from(init_specs)`; see [R] [Maximize](#). Those that require special mention for `meglm` are listed below.

`from()` accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with `meglm` but are not shown in the dialog box:

`startvalues(svmethod)` specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in `from()` override the computed starting values.

`startvalues(zero)` specifies that starting values be set to 0.

`startvalues(constantly)` builds on `startvalues(zero)` by fitting a constant-only model to obtain estimates of the intercept and auxiliary parameters, and it substitutes 1 for the variances of random effects.

`startvalues(fixedonly[, iterate(#)])` builds on `startvalues(constantly)` by fitting a full fixed-effects model to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and auxiliary parameters, and it continues to use 1 for the variances of random effects. This is the default behavior. `iterate(#)` limits the number of iterations for fitting the fixed-effects model.

`startvalues(iv[, iterate(#)])` builds on `startvalues(fixedonly)` by using instrumental-variable methods with generalized residuals to obtain variances of random effects. `iterate(#)` limits the number of iterations for fitting the instrumental-variable model.

`startvalues(iterate(#))` limits the number of iterations for fitting the default model (fixed effects).

`startgrid[(gridspec)]` performs a grid search on variance components of random effects to improve starting values. No grid search is performed by default unless the starting values are found to be not feasible, in which case `meglm` runs `startgrid()` to perform a “minimal” search involving q^3 likelihood evaluations, where q is the number of random effects. Sometimes this resolves the problem. Usually, however, there is no problem and `startgrid()` is not run by default. There can be benefits from running `startgrid()` to get better starting values even when starting values are feasible.

`startgrid()` is a brute-force approach that tries various values for variances and covariances and chooses the ones that work best. You may already be using a default form of `startgrid()` without knowing it. If you see `meglm` displaying Grid node 1, Grid node 2, ... following Grid node 0 in the iteration log, that is `meglm` doing a default search because the original starting values were not feasible. The default form tries 0.1, 1, and 10 for all variances of all random effects.

`startgrid(numlist)` specifies values to try for variances of random effects.

`startgrid(covspec)` specifies the particular variances and covariances in which grid searches are to be performed. *covspec* is *name*[*level*] for variances and *name1*[*level*]**name2*[*level*] for covariances. For example, the variance of the random intercept at level *id* is specified as `_cons[id]`, and the variance of the random slope on variable *week* at the same level is specified as `week[id]`. The residual variance for the linear mixed-effects model is specified as `e.depvar`, where *depvar* is the name of the dependent variable. The covariance between the random slope and the random intercept above is specified as `_cons[id]*week[id]`.

`startgrid(numlist covspec)` combines the two syntaxes. You may also specify `startgrid()` multiple times so that you can search the different ranges for different variances and covariances.

`noestimate` specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the `coeflegend` style of output.

`dnnumerical` specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian are used for all integration methods except `intmethod(laplace)`.

collinear, coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

Remarks and examples

For a general introduction to me commands, see [ME] **me**. For additional examples of mixed-effects models for binary and binomial outcomes, see [ME] **melogit**, [ME] **meprobit**, and [ME] **mecloglog**. For additional examples of mixed-effects models for ordinal responses, see [ME] **meologit** and [ME] **meoprobit**. For additional examples of mixed-effects models for multinomial outcomes, see [SEM] **Example 41g**. For additional examples of mixed-effects models for count outcomes, see [ME] **mepoisson** and [ME] **menbreg**. For additional examples of mixed-effects parametric survival models, see [ME] **mestreg**. For additional examples of mixed-effects models for censored outcomes, see [ME] **metobit** and [ME] **meintreg**.

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

- Introduction*
- Two-level models for continuous responses*
- Two-level models for nonlinear responses*
- Three-level models for nonlinear responses*
- Crossed-effects models*
- Obtaining better starting values*
- Survey data*
- Video example*

Introduction

`meglm` fits multilevel mixed-effects generalized linear models of the form

$$g\{E(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u})\} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{y} \sim F \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{y} is the $n \times 1$ vector of responses from the distributional family F , \mathbf{X} is an $n \times p$ design/covariate matrix for the fixed effects $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, and \mathbf{Z} is the $n \times q$ design/covariate matrix for the random effects \mathbf{u} . The $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}$ part is called the linear predictor, and it is often denoted as $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. The linear predictor also contains the offset or exposure variable when `offset()` or `exposure()` is specified. $g(\cdot)$ is called the link function and is assumed to be invertible such that

$$E(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) = g^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}) = H(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

For notational convenience here and throughout this manual entry, we suppress the dependence of \mathbf{y} on \mathbf{X} . Substituting various definitions for $g(\cdot)$ and F results in a wide array of models. For instance, if \mathbf{y} is distributed as Gaussian (normal) and $g(\cdot)$ is the identity function, we have

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{y} \sim \text{normal}$$

or mixed-effects linear regression. If $g(\cdot)$ is the logit function and \mathbf{y} is distributed as Bernoulli, we have

$$\text{logit}\{E(\mathbf{y})\} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{y} \sim \text{Bernoulli}$$

or mixed-effects logistic regression. If $g(\cdot)$ is the natural log function and \mathbf{y} is distributed as Poisson, we have

$$\ln\{E(\mathbf{y})\} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{y} \sim \text{Poisson}$$

or mixed-effects Poisson regression. In fact, some combinations of families and links are so common that we implemented them as separate commands in terms of `meglm`.

Command	<code>meglm</code> equivalent
<code>melogit</code>	<code>family(bernoulli) link(logit)</code>
<code>meprobit</code>	<code>family(bernoulli) link(probit)</code>
<code>meclolog</code>	<code>family(bernoulli) link(cloglog)</code>
<code>meologit</code>	<code>family(ordinal) link(logit)</code>
<code>meoprobit</code>	<code>family(ordinal) link(probit)</code>
<code>mepoisson</code>	<code>family(poisson) link(log)</code>
<code>menbreg</code>	<code>family(nbinomial) link(log)</code>

When no family–link combination is specified, `meglm` defaults to a Gaussian family with an identity link. Thus `meglm` can be used to fit linear mixed-effects models; however, for those models we recommend using the more specialized `mixed`, which, in addition to `meglm` capabilities, allows for modeling of the structure of the residual errors; see [ME] [mixed](#) for details.

The random effects \mathbf{u} are assumed to be distributed as multivariate normal with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and $q \times q$ variance matrix Σ . The random effects are not directly estimated (although they may be predicted), but instead are characterized by the variance components, the elements of $\mathbf{G} = \text{Var}(\mathbf{u})$.

The general forms of the design matrices \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Z} allow estimation for a broad class of generalized mixed-effects models: blocked designs, split-plot designs, growth curves, multilevel or hierarchical designs, etc. They also allow a flexible method of modeling within-cluster correlation. Subjects within the same cluster can be correlated as a result of a shared random intercept, or through a shared random slope on a covariate, or both. The general specification of variance components also provides additional flexibility—the random intercept and random slope could themselves be modeled as independent, or correlated, or independent with equal variances, and so forth.

Comprehensive treatments of mixed models are provided by, for example, Searle, Casella, and McCulloch (1992); Verbeke and Molenberghs (2000); Raudenbush and Bryk (2002); Hedeker and Gibbons (2006); McCulloch, Searle, and Neuhaus (2008); and Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2022).

The key to fitting mixed models lies in estimating the variance components, and for that there exist many methods; see, for example, Breslow and Clayton (1993); Lin and Breslow (1996); Bates and Pinheiro (1998); and Ng et al. (2006). `meglm` uses maximum likelihood (ML) to estimate model parameters. The ML estimates are based on the usual application of likelihood theory, given the distributional assumptions of the model.

Returning to (1): in clustered-data situations, it is convenient not to consider all n observations at once but instead to organize the mixed model as a series of M independent groups (or clusters)

$$g\{E(\mathbf{y}_j)\} = \mathbf{X}_j\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j\mathbf{u}_j \quad (2)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, M$, with cluster j consisting of n_j observations. The response \mathbf{y}_j comprises the rows of \mathbf{y} corresponding with the j th cluster, with \mathbf{X}_j defined analogously. The random effects \mathbf{u}_j can now be thought of as M realizations of a $q \times 1$ vector that is normally distributed with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and $q \times q$ variance matrix Σ . The matrix \mathbf{Z}_j is the $n_j \times q$ design matrix for the j th cluster random effects. Relating this to (1), note that

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_1 & \mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Z}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Z}_M \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_M \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I}_M \otimes \Sigma$$

where \mathbf{I}_M is the $M \times M$ identity matrix and \otimes is the Kronecker product.

The mixed-model formula (2) is from Laird and Ware (1982) and offers two key advantages. First, it makes specifications of random-effects terms easier. If the clusters are schools, you can simply specify a random effect at the school level, as opposed to thinking of what a school-level random effect would mean when all the data are considered as a whole (if it helps, think Kronecker products). Second, representing a mixed-model with (2) generalizes easily to more than one set of random effects. For example, if classes are nested within schools, then (2) can be generalized to allow random effects at both the school and the class-within-school levels.

Two-level models for continuous responses

We begin with a simple application of (2).

► Example 1: Two-level linear mixed model

Consider a longitudinal dataset, used by both Ruppert, Wand, and Carroll (2003) and Diggle et al. (2002), consisting of `weight` measurements of 48 pigs on 9 successive weeks. Pigs are identified by the variable `id`. Each pig experiences a linear trend in growth but overall weight measurements vary from pig to pig. Because we are not really interested in these particular 48 pigs per se, we instead treat them as a random sample from a larger population and model the between-pig variability as a random effect, or in the terminology of (2), as a random-intercept term at the pig level. We thus wish to fit the model

$$\text{weight}_{ij} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{week}_{ij} + u_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 9$ weeks and $j = 1, \dots, 48$ pigs. The fixed portion of the model, $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{week}_{ij}$, simply states that we want one overall regression line representing the population average. The random effect u_j serves to shift this regression line up or down according to each pig. Because the random effects occur at the pig level (`id`), we fit the model by typing

```

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/pig
(Longitudinal analysis of pig weights)
. meglm weight week || id:
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -1251.2506
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -1251.2506
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:  Log likelihood = -1150.6253
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -1150.6253 (not concave)
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -1036.1793
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -1017.912
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -1014.9537
Iteration 4:  Log likelihood = -1014.9268
Iteration 5:  Log likelihood = -1014.9268

Mixed-effects GLM                                Number of obs      =       432
Family: Gaussian                                  Number of groups   =        48
Link: Identity                                     Obs per group:
Group variable: id                                min =              9
                                                    avg =             9.0
                                                    max =              9

Integration method: mvaghermite                   Integration pts.   =         7
                                                    Wald chi2(1)      =    25337.48
Log likelihood = -1014.9268                       Prob > chi2       =         0.0000

```

weight	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
week	6.209896	.0390124	159.18	0.000	6.133433	6.286359
_cons	19.35561	.5974047	32.40	0.000	18.18472	20.52651
<hr/>						
id						
var(_cons)	14.81745	3.124202			9.801687	22.39989
<hr/>						
var(e.weight)	4.383264	.3163349			3.805112	5.049261

```

LR test vs. linear model:  chibar2(01) = 472.65          Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000

```

At this point, a guided tour of the model specification and output is in order:

1. By typing `weight week`, we specified the response, `weight`, and the fixed portion of the model in the same way that we would if we were using `regress` or any other estimation command. Our fixed effects are a coefficient on `week` and a constant term.
2. When we added `|| id:`, we specified random effects at the level identified by the group variable `id`, that is, the pig level (level two). Because we wanted only a random intercept, that is all we had to type.
3. The estimation log displays a set of iterations from optimizing the log likelihood. By default, these are Newton–Raphson iterations, but other methods are available by specifying the appropriate *maximize_options*; see [R] [Maximize](#).

4. The header describes the model, presents a summary of the random-effects group, reports the integration method used to fit the model, and reports a Wald test against the null hypothesis that all the coefficients on the independent variables in the mean equation are 0. Here the null hypothesis is rejected at all conventional levels. You can suppress the group information with the `nogroup` or the `noheader` option, which will suppress the rest of the header as well.
5. The estimation table reports the fixed effects, followed by the random effects, followed by the overall error term.
 - a. For the fixed-effects part, we estimate $\beta_0 = 19.36$ and $\beta_1 = 6.21$.
 - b. The random-effects equation is labeled `id`, meaning that these are random effects at the `id` (`pig`) level. We have only one random effect at this level, the random intercept. The variance of the level-two errors, σ_u^2 , is estimated as 14.82 with standard error 3.12.
 - c. The row labeled `var(e.weight)` displays the estimated variance of the overall error term: $\hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2 = 4.38$. This is the variance of the level-one errors, that is, the residuals.
6. Finally, a likelihood-ratio test comparing the model with ordinary linear regression is provided and is highly significant for these data. See *Distribution theory for likelihood-ratio test* in [ME] `me` for a discussion of likelihood-ratio testing of variance components.

◀

See *Remarks and examples* in [ME] `mixed` for further analysis of these data including a random-slope model and a model with an unstructured covariance structure.

Two-level models for nonlinear responses

By specifying different family–link combinations, we can fit a variety of mixed-effects models for nonlinear responses. Here we replicate one of the models from [example 2](#) of `me`.

▶ Example 2: Two-level logistic regression model

Ng et al. (2006) analyzed a subsample of data from the 1989 Bangladesh fertility survey (Huq and Cleland 1990), which polled 1,934 Bangladeshi women on their use of contraception. The women sampled were from 60 districts, identified by the variable `district`. Each district contained either urban or rural areas (variable `urban`) or both. The variable `c_use` is the binary response, with a value of 1 indicating contraceptive use. Other covariates include mean-centered age and a factor variable for the number of children.

Because we did not specify a covariance structure for the random effects $(u_{1j}, u_{0j})'$, meglm used the default independent structure:

$$\Sigma = \text{Var} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1j} \\ u_{0j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{u1}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{u0}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\hat{\sigma}_{u1}^2 = 0.27$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{u0}^2 = 0.24$. You can request a different covariance structure by specifying the `covariance()` option. See [examples 1–3](#) in `me`logit for further analysis of these data, and see [\[ME\] me](#) and [\[ME\] mixed](#) for further examples of covariance structures.



Three-level models for nonlinear responses

Two-level models extend naturally to models with three or more levels with nested random effects. Here we replicate the model from [example 2](#) of [\[ME\] meologit](#).

▷ Example 3: Three-level ordered logistic regression model

We use the data from the Television, School, and Family Smoking Prevention and Cessation Project ([Flay et al. 1988](#); [Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal 2022](#), chap. 11), where schools were randomly assigned into one of four groups defined by two treatment variables. Students within each school are nested in classes, and classes are nested in schools. The dependent variable is the tobacco and health knowledge (THK) scale score collapsed into four ordered categories. We regress the outcome on the treatment variables, social resistance classroom curriculum and TV intervention, and their interaction and control for the pretreatment score.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/tvsvfpors
(Television, School, and Family Project)
. meglm thk prethk cc##tv || school: || class:, family(ordinal) link(logit)
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -2212.775
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -2125.509
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -2125.1034
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -2125.1032
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:  Log likelihood = -2152.1514
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -2152.1514 (not concave)
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -2125.9213 (not concave)
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -2120.1861
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -2115.6177
Iteration 4:  Log likelihood = -2114.5896
Iteration 5:  Log likelihood = -2114.5881
Iteration 6:  Log likelihood = -2114.5881
Mixed-effects GLM                               Number of obs   =   1,600
Family: Ordinal
Link:      Logit
Grouping information
```

Group variable	No. of groups	Observations per group		
		Minimum	Average	Maximum
school	28	18	57.1	137
class	135	1	11.9	28

```

Integration method: mvaghermite                    Integration pts. =          7
                                                    Wald chi2(4)    =       124.39
Log likelihood = -2114.5881                        Prob > chi2     =         0.0000
    
```

thk	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
prethk	.4085273	.039616	10.31	0.000	.3308814	.4861731
1.cc	.8844369	.2099124	4.21	0.000	.4730161	1.295858
1.tv	.236448	.2049065	1.15	0.249	-.1651614	.6380575
cc#tv						
1 1	-.3717699	.2958887	-1.26	0.209	-.951701	.2081612
/cut1	-.0959459	.1688988			-.4269815	.2350896
/cut2	1.177478	.1704946			.8433151	1.511642
/cut3	2.383672	.1786736			2.033478	2.733865
school						
var(_cons)	.0448735	.0425387			.0069997	.2876749
school>class						
var(_cons)	.1482157	.0637521			.063792	.3443674

```
LR test vs. ologit model: chi2(2) = 21.03                Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

Notes:

1. Our model now has two random-effects equations, separated by ||. The first is a random intercept (constant only) at the `school` level (level three), and the second is a random intercept at the `class` level (level two). The order in which these are specified (from left to right) is significant—`meglm` assumes that `class` is nested within `school`.
2. The information on groups is now displayed as a table, with one row for each grouping. You can suppress this table with the `nogroup` or the `noheader` option, which will suppress the rest of the header, as well.
3. The variance-component estimates are now organized and labeled according to level. The variance component for `class` is labeled `school>class` to emphasize that classes are nested within schools.

We refer you to [example 2](#) of [ME] [meologit](#) and [example 1](#) of [ME] [meologit postestimation](#) for a substantive interpretation of the results.



The above extends to models with more than two levels of nesting by adding more random-effects equations, each separated by ||. The order of nesting goes from left to right as the groups go from biggest (highest level) to smallest (lowest level).

Crossed-effects models

Not all mixed models contain nested levels of random effects. In this section, we consider a crossed-effects model, that is, a mixed-effects model in which the levels of random effects are not nested; see [ME] [me](#) for more information on crossed-effects models.

▷ Example 4: Crossed-effects logistic regression model

We use the salamander cross-breeding data from [Karim and Zeger \(1992\)](#) as analyzed in [Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal \(2022, sec. 16.8\)](#). The salamanders come from two populations—whiteside and roughbutt—and are labeled whiteside males (`wsm`), whiteside females (`wsf`), roughbutt males (`rbm`), and roughbutt females (`rbf`). Male identifiers are recorded in the variable `male`, and female identifiers are recorded in the variable `female`. The salamanders were divided into groups such that each group contained 60 male–female pairs, with each salamander having three potential partners from the same population and three potential partners from the other population. The outcome (`y`) is coded 1 if there was a successful mating and is coded 0 otherwise; see the references for a detailed description of the mating experiment.

We fit a crossed-effects logistic regression for successful mating, where each male has the same value of his random intercept across all females, and each female has the same value of her random intercept across all males.

To fit a crossed-effects model in Stata, we use the `_all: R.varname` syntax. We treat the entire dataset as one super cluster, denoted `_all`, and we nest each gender within the super cluster by using the `R.varname` notation. `R.male` requests a random intercept for each level of `male` and imposes an identity covariance structure on the random effects; that is, the variances of the random intercepts are restricted to be equal for all male salamanders. `R.female` accomplishes the same for the female salamanders. In Stata, we type

```

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/salamander
. meglm y wsm##wsf || _all: R.male || _all: R.female, family(bernoulli)
> link(logit) or
note: crossed random-effects model specified; option intmethod(laplace)
    implied.
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -223.13998
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -222.78752
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -222.78735
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -222.78735
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:  Log likelihood = -211.58149
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -211.58149
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -209.33737
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -209.29378 (not concave)
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -209.29291
Iteration 4:  Log likelihood = -209.27663
Iteration 5:  Log likelihood = -209.27659
Iteration 6:  Log likelihood = -209.27659
Mixed-effects GLM                Number of obs    =       360
Family: Bernoulli
Link:  Logit
Group variable:  _all                Number of groups =         1
                                      Obs per group:
                                      min =         360
                                      avg =       360.0
                                      max =         360
Integration method: laplace
Log likelihood = -209.27659          Wald chi2(3)     =       42.59
                                      Prob > chi2      =       0.0000

```

y	Odds ratio	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
1.wsm	.4955657	.2293702	-1.52	0.129	.2000446	1.227653
1.wsf	.0547918	.0287903	-5.53	0.000	.0195638	.1534542
wsm##wsf						
1 1	36.17797	22.01912	5.90	0.000	10.97424	119.2652
_cons	2.740748	1.062625	2.60	0.009	1.281878	5.859918
_all>male						
var(_cons)	1.041005	.4998442			.4062035	2.667853
_all>female						
var(_cons)	1.17438	.5438465			.4738309	2.910675

Note: Estimates are transformed only in the first equation to odds ratios.
Note: **_cons** estimates baseline odds (conditional on zero random effects).
LR test vs. logistic model: chi2(2) = 27.02 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

Because we specified a crossed-effects model, meglm defaulted to the method of Laplacian approximation to calculate the likelihood; see *Computation time and the Laplacian approximation* in [ME] me for a discussion of computational complexity of mixed-effects models, and see *Methods and formulas* below for the formulas used by the Laplacian approximation method.

The estimates of the random intercepts suggest that the heterogeneity among the female salamanders, 1.17, is larger than the heterogeneity among the male salamanders, 1.04.

Setting both random intercepts to 0, the odds of successful mating for a roughbutt male–female pair are given by the estimate of `_cons`, 2.74. [Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal \(2022, sec. 16.8\)](#) show how to calculate the odds ratios for the other three salamander pairings.

◀

The `R.varname` notation is equivalent to giving a list of overparameterized (none dropped) indicator variables for use in a random-effects specification. When you specify `R.varname`, `meglm` handles the calculations internally rather than creating the indicators in the data. Because the set of indicators is overparameterized, `R.varname` implies `noconstant`.

□ Technical note

We fit the salamander model by using

```
. meglm y wsm##wsf || _all: R.male || _all: R.female ...
```

as a direct way to demonstrate the `R.` notation. However, we can technically treat female salamanders as nested within the `_all` group, yielding the equivalent way to fit the model:

```
. meglm y wsm##wsf || _all: R.male || female: ...
```

We leave it to you to verify that both produce identical results. As we note in [example 8](#) of [\[ME\] me](#), the latter specification, organized at the cluster (female) level with random-effects dimension one (a random intercept) is, in general, much more computationally efficient.

□

Obtaining better starting values

Given the flexibility of mixed-effects models, you will find that some models “fail to converge” when used with your data; see [Diagnosing convergence problems](#) in [\[ME\] me](#) for details. What we say below applies regardless of how the convergence problem revealed itself. You might have seen the error message “initial values not feasible” or some other error message, or you might have an infinite iteration log.

`meglm` provides two options to help you obtain better starting values: `startvalues()` and `startgrid()`.

`startvalues(svmethod)` allows you to specify one of four starting-value calculation methods: `zero`, `constantonly`, `fixedonly`, or `iv`. By default, `meglm` uses `startvalues(fixedonly)`. Evidently, that did not work for you. Try the other methods, starting with `startvalues(iv)`:

```
. meglm ..., ... startvalues(iv)
```

If that does not solve the problem, proceed through the others.

By the way, if you have starting values for some parameters but not others—perhaps you fit a simplified model to get them—you can combine the options `startvalues()` and `from()`:

```
. meglm ..., ... // simplified model
. matrix b = e(b)
. meglm ..., ... from(b) startvalues(iv) // full model
```

The other special option `meglm` provides is `startgrid()`, which can be used with or without `startvalues()`. `startgrid()` is a brute-force approach that tries various values for variances and covariances and chooses the ones that work best.

1. You may already be using a default form of `startgrid()` without knowing it. If you see `meglm` displaying Grid node 1, Grid node 2, ... following Grid node 0 in the iteration log, that is `meglm` doing a default search because the original starting values were not feasible.

The default form tries 0.1, 1, and 10 for all variances of all random effects and, if applicable, for the residual variance.

2. `startgrid(numlist)` specifies values to try for variances of random effects.
3. `startgrid(covspec)` specifies the particular variances and covariances in which grid searches are to be performed. Variances and covariances are specified in the usual way.
`startgrid(_cons[id] x[id] _cons[id]*x[id])` specifies that 0.1, 1, and 10 be tried for each member of the list.
4. `startgrid(numlist covspec)` combines the two syntaxes. You can specify `startgrid()` multiple times so that you can search the different ranges for different variances and covariances.

Our advice to you is the following:

1. If you receive an iteration log and it does not contain Grid node 1, Grid node 2, ..., then specify `startgrid(. 1 1 10)`. Do that whether the iteration log was infinite or ended with some other error. In this case, we know that `meglm` did not run `startgrid()` on its own because it did not report Grid node 1, Grid node 2, etc. Your problem is poor starting values, not infeasible ones.

A synonym for `startgrid(. 1 1 10)` is just `startgrid` without parentheses.

Be careful, however, if you have many random effects. Specifying `startgrid()` could run a long time because it runs all possible combinations. If you have 10 random effects, that means $10^3 = 1,000$ likelihood evaluations.

If you have many random effects, rerun your difficult `meglm` command including option `iterate(#)` and look at the results. Identify the problematic variances and search across them only. Do not just look for variances going to 0. Variances getting really big can be a problem, too, and even reasonable values can be a problem. Use your knowledge and intuition about the model.

Perhaps you will try to fit your model by specifying `startgrid(. 1 1 10 _cons[id] x[id] _cons[id]*x[id])`.

Values 0.1, 1, and 10 are the default. Equivalent to specifying `startgrid(. 1 1 10 _cons[id] x[id] _cons[id]*x[id])` is `startgrid(_cons[id] x[id] _cons[id]*x[id])`.

Look at covariances as well as variances. If you expect a covariance to be negative but it is positive, then try negative starting values for the covariance by specifying `startgrid(-. 1 -1 -10 _cons[id]*x[id])`.

Remember that you can specify `startgrid()` multiple times. Thus you might specify both `startgrid(_cons[id] x[id])` and `startgrid(-. 1 -1 -10 _cons[id]*x[id])`.

2. If you receive the message “initial values not feasible”, you know that `meglm` already tried the default `startgrid()`.

The default `startgrid()` only tried the values 0.1, 1, and 10, and only tried them on the variances of random effects. You may need to try different values or try the same values on covariances or variances of errors of observed endogenous variables.

We suggest you first rerun the model causing difficulty and include the `noestimate` option. If, looking at the results, you have an idea of which variance or covariance is a problem, or if you have few variances and covariances, we would recommend running `startgrid()` first. On the other hand, if you have no idea as to which variance or covariance is the problem and you have many of them, you will be better off if you first simplify the model. After doing that, if your simplified model does not include all the variances and covariances, you can specify a combination of `from()` and `startgrid()`.

Survey data

Multilevel modeling of survey data is a little different from standard modeling in that weighted sampling can take place at multiple levels in the model, resulting in multiple sampling weights. Most survey datasets, regardless of the design, contain one overall inclusion weight for each observation in the data. This weight reflects the inverse of the probability of ultimate selection, and by “ultimate” we mean that it factors in all levels of clustered sampling, corrections for noninclusion and oversampling, poststratification, etc.

For simplicity, in what follows, assume a simple two-stage sampling design where groups are randomly sampled and then individuals within groups are sampled. Also assume that no additional weight corrections are performed; that is, sampling weights are simply the inverse of the probability of selection. The sampling weight for observation i in cluster j in our two-level sample is then $w_{ij} = 1/\pi_{ij}$, where π_{ij} is the probability that observation i, j is selected. If you were performing a standard analysis such as OLS regression with `regress`, you would simply use a variable holding w_{ij} as your `pweight` variable, and the fact that it came from two levels of sampling would not concern you. Perhaps you would type `vce(cluster groupvar)` where `groupvar` identifies the top-level groups to get standard errors that control for correlation within these groups, but you would still use only one weight variable.

Now take these same data and fit a two-level model with `meglm`. As seen in (5) in *Methods and formulas* later in this entry, it is not sufficient to use the single sampling weight w_{ij} , because weights enter the log likelihood at both the group level and the individual level. Instead, what is required for a two-level model under this sampling design is w_j , the inverse of the probability that group j is selected in the first stage, and $w_{i|j}$, the inverse of the probability that individual i from group j is selected at the second stage conditional on group j already being selected. You cannot use w_{ij} without making any assumptions about w_j .

Given the rules of conditional probability, $w_{ij} = w_j w_{i|j}$. If your dataset has only w_{ij} , then you will need to either assume equal probability sampling at the first stage ($w_j = 1$ for all j) or find some way to recover w_j from other variables in your data; see [Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal \(2006\)](#) and the references therein for some suggestions on how to do this, but realize that there is little yet known about how well these approximations perform in practice.

What you really need to fit your two-level model are data that contain w_j in addition to either w_{ij} or $w_{i|j}$. If you have w_{ij} —that is, the unconditional inclusion weight for observation i, j —then you need to divide w_{ij} by w_j to obtain $w_{i|j}$.

► Example 5: Two-level logistic regression model with weights

Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2006) analyzed data from the 2000 Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) study on reading proficiency among 15-year-old American students, as performed by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). The original study was a three-stage cluster sample, where geographic areas were sampled at the first stage, schools at the second, and students at the third. Our version of the data does not contain the geographic-areas variable, so we treat this as a two-stage sample where schools are sampled at the first stage and students at the second.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/pisa2000
(Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2000 data)
. describe
Contains data from https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/pisa2000.dta
Observations:      2,069      Programme for International
                        Student Assessment (PISA) 2000
                        data
Variables:         11        12 Jun 2024 10:08
                        (_dta has notes)
```

Variable name	Storage type	Display format	Value label	Variable label
female	byte	%8.0g	1 if female	1 if female
isei	byte	%8.0g	International socioeconomic index	International socioeconomic index
w_fstuw	float	%9.0g	Student-level weight	Student-level weight
wnr_schw	float	%9.0g	School-level weight	School-level weight
high_school	byte	%8.0g	1 if highest level by either parent is high school	1 if highest level by either parent is high school
college	byte	%8.0g	1 if highest level by either parent is college	1 if highest level by either parent is college
one_for	byte	%8.0g	1 if one parent foreign born	1 if one parent foreign born
both_for	byte	%8.0g	1 if both parents are foreign born	1 if both parents are foreign born
test_lang	byte	%8.0g	1 if English (the test language) is spoken at home	1 if English (the test language) is spoken at home
pass_read	byte	%8.0g	1 if passed reading proficiency threshold	1 if passed reading proficiency threshold
id_school	int	%8.0g	School ID	School ID

Sorted by:

For student i in school j , where the variable `id_school` identifies the schools, the variable `w_fstuw` is a student-level overall inclusion weight (w_{ij} , not $w_{i|j}$) adjusted for noninclusion and nonparticipation of students, and the variable `wnr_schw` is the school-level weight w_j adjusted for oversampling of schools with more minority students. The weight adjustments do not interfere with the methods prescribed above, and thus we can treat the weight variables simply as w_{ij} and w_j , respectively.

Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2006) fit a two-level logistic model for passing a reading proficiency threshold. We will do the same using `meglm`, but first we must reproduce the “method 1” adjusted weight variables that were used. The “method 1” adjustment scales the first-level weights so that they sum to the effective sample size of their corresponding second-level cluster.

```
. sort id_school
. generate sqw = w_fstuw * w_fstuw
. by id_school: egen sumw = sum(w_fstuw)
. by id_school: egen sumsqw = sum(sqw)
. generate pst1s1 = w_fstuw*sumw/sumsqw
```

The new variable `pst1s1` holds the adjusted first-level weights. Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2006) also included the school mean socioeconomic index as a covariate in their analysis. We reproduce this variable using `egen`.

```
. by id_school: egen mn_isei = mean(isei)
```

Here is the fitted model:

```
. meglm pass_read female isei mn_isei high_school college test_lang one_for
> both_for [pw=pst1s1], family(bernoulli) link(logit)
> || id_school:, pweight(wnrSCHbw)
(output omitted)
Mixed-effects GLM                Number of obs    =      2,069
Family: Bernoulli
Link:  Logit
Group variable: id_school        Number of groups =      148
                                   Obs per group:
                                   min =          1
                                   avg =         14.0
                                   max =          28
Integration method: mvaghermite   Integration pts. =          7
                                   Wald chi2(8)      =      88.30
Log pseudolikelihood = -197395.98 Prob > chi2     =      0.0000
                                   (Std. err. adjusted for 148 clusters in id_school)
```

pass_read	Robust		z	P> z	[95% conf. interval]	
	Coefficient	std. err.				
female	.6221369	.1540088	4.04	0.000	.3202852	.9239887
isei	.018215	.0048057	3.79	0.000	.0087959	.027634
mn_isei	.0682472	.0164337	4.15	0.000	.0360378	.1004566
high_school	.1028108	.477141	0.22	0.829	-.8323683	1.03799
college	.4531688	.5053447	0.90	0.370	-.5372885	1.443626
test_lang	.6251822	.3821182	1.64	0.102	-.1237557	1.37412
one_for	-.1089314	.2739724	-0.40	0.691	-.6459075	.4280447
both_for	-.2804038	.3264681	-0.86	0.390	-.9202696	.359462
_cons	-5.877565	.954525	-6.16	0.000	-7.7484	-4.006731
id_school						
var(_cons)	.2955769	.1243375			.1295996	.6741201

Notes:

1. We specified the level-one weights using standard Stata weight syntax, that is, `[pw=pst1s1]`.
2. We specified the level-two weights via the `pweight(wnrSCHbw)` option as part of the random-effects specification for the `id_school` level. As such, it is treated as a school-level weight. Accordingly, `wnrSCHbw` needs to be constant within schools, and `meglm` did check for that before estimating.
3. As is the case with other estimation commands in Stata, standard errors in the presence of sampling weights are robust.
4. Robust standard errors are clustered at the top level of the model, and this will always be true unless you specify `vce(cluster clustvar)`, where `clustvar` identifies an even higher level of grouping.

▶ Example 6: Two-level logistic regression model with survey weights

meglm also supports the svy prefix (see [SVY] svy) for the linearized variance estimator. Here we refit the model from the previous example using the svy prefix after we svyset (see [SVY] svyset) the survey design variables.

```
. svyset id_school, weight(wnrscbw) || _n, weight(pst1s1)
note: stage 1 is sampled with replacement; further stages will be ignored for
      variance estimation.
Sampling weights: <none>
                VCE: linearized
      Single unit: missing
      Strata 1: <one>
Sampling unit 1: id_school
                FPC 1: <zero>
                Weight 1: wnrscbw
                Strata 2: <one>
Sampling unit 2: <observations>
                FPC 2: <zero>
                Weight 2: pst1s1

. svy: meglm pass_read female isei mn_isei high_school college test_lang
> one_for both_for, family(bernoulli) link(logit) || id_school:
(running meglm on estimation sample)

Survey: Mixed-effects GLM
Number of strata = 1
Number of PSUs  = 148
Number of obs   = 2,069
Population size = 346,373.74
Design df      = 147
F(8, 140)     = 10.51
Prob > F      = 0.0000
```

pass_read	Linearized		t	P> t	[95% conf. interval]	
	Coefficient	std. err.				
female	.6221369	.1540088	4.04	0.000	.3177796	.9264943
isei	.018215	.0048057	3.79	0.000	.0087177	.0277122
mn_isei	.0682472	.0164337	4.15	0.000	.0357704	.100724
high_school	.1028108	.477141	0.22	0.830	-.8401311	1.045753
college	.4531688	.5053447	0.90	0.371	-.5455101	1.451848
test_lang	.6251822	.3821182	1.64	0.104	-.1299725	1.380337
one_for	-.1089314	.2739724	-0.40	0.692	-.6503648	.432502
both_for	-.2804038	.3264681	-0.86	0.392	-.925581	.3647734
_cons	-5.877565	.954525	-6.16	0.000	-7.763929	-3.991201
id_school						
var(_cons)	.2955769	.1243375			.1287156	.6787495

Notes:

1. We svyset the design variables: id_school is the PSU variable, wnrscbw contains weights at the PSU level, _n specifies that the students are identified by the individual observations, and pst1s1 contains our adjusted student-level conditional weights.
2. svyset notes the lack of a finite population correction in the first stage and informs us that only the first-stage unit information will be used in the linearized variance estimator. However, the svy prefix will still pass the stage-two weights to meglm.

3. `svy` produces a different header, giving us an estimate of the population size, the design degrees of freedom, and the number of first-stage sampling units.

◀

Video example

[Tour of multilevel GLMs](#)

Stored results

`meglm` stores the following in `e()`:

Scalars

<code>e(N)</code>	number of observations
<code>e(k)</code>	number of parameters
<code>e(k_dv)</code>	number of dependent variables
<code>e(k_eq)</code>	number of equations in <code>e(b)</code>
<code>e(k_eq_model)</code>	number of equations in overall model test
<code>e(k_cat)</code>	number of categories (with ordinal outcomes)
<code>e(k_f)</code>	number of fixed-effects parameters
<code>e(k_r)</code>	number of random-effects parameters
<code>e(k_rs)</code>	number of variances
<code>e(k_rc)</code>	number of covariances
<code>e(df_m)</code>	model degrees of freedom
<code>e(ll)</code>	log likelihood
<code>e(chi2)</code>	χ^2
<code>e(p)</code>	p -value for model test
<code>e(ll_c)</code>	log likelihood, comparison model
<code>e(chi2_c)</code>	χ^2 , comparison test
<code>e(df_c)</code>	degrees of freedom, comparison test
<code>e(p_c)</code>	p -value for comparison test
<code>e(N_clust)</code>	number of clusters
<code>e(rank)</code>	rank of <code>e(V)</code>
<code>e(ic)</code>	number of iterations
<code>e(rc)</code>	return code
<code>e(converged)</code>	1 if converged, 0 otherwise

Macros

<code>e(cmd)</code>	<code>gsem</code>
<code>e(cmd2)</code>	<code>meglm</code>
<code>e(cmdline)</code>	command as typed
<code>e(depvar)</code>	name of dependent variable
<code>e(wtype)</code>	weight type
<code>e(wexp)</code>	weight expression (first-level weights)
<code>e(fweightk)</code>	<code>fweight</code> variable for k th highest level, if specified
<code>e(iweightk)</code>	<code>iweight</code> variable for k th highest level, if specified
<code>e(pweightk)</code>	<code>pweight</code> variable for k th highest level, if specified
<code>e(covariates)</code>	list of covariates
<code>e(ivars)</code>	grouping variables
<code>e(model)</code>	name of marginal model
<code>e(title)</code>	title in estimation output
<code>e(link)</code>	link
<code>e(family)</code>	family
<code>e(clustvar)</code>	name of cluster variable
<code>e(offset)</code>	offset
<code>e(binomial)</code>	binomial number of trials (with binomial models)
<code>e(dispersion)</code>	mean or constant (with negative binomial models)

e(intmethod)	integration method
e(n_quad)	number of integration points
e(chi2type)	Wald; type of model χ^2
e(vce)	<i>vcetype</i> specified in <code>vce()</code>
e(vcetype)	title used to label Std. err.
e(opt)	type of optimization
e(which)	max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
e(ml_method)	type of ml method
e(user)	name of likelihood-evaluator program
e(technique)	maximization technique
e(datasignature)	the checksum
e(datasignaturevars)	variables used in calculation of checksum
e(properties)	b V
e(estat_cmd)	program used to implement <code>estat</code>
e(predict)	program used to implement <code>predict</code>
e(marginsnotok)	predictions disallowed by <code>margins</code>
e(marginswtype)	weight type for <code>margins</code>
e(marginswexp)	weight expression for <code>margins</code>
e(asbalanced)	factor variables <code>fvset</code> as <code>asbalanced</code>
e(asobserved)	factor variables <code>fvset</code> as <code>asobserved</code>

Matrices

e(b)	coefficient vector
e(Cns)	constraints matrix
e(cat)	category values (with ordinal outcomes)
e(ilog)	iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
e(gradient)	gradient vector
e(N_g)	group counts
e(g_min)	group-size minimums
e(g_avg)	group-size averages
e(g_max)	group-size maximums
e(V)	variance–covariance matrix of the estimators
e(V_modelbased)	model-based variance

Functions

e(sample)	marks estimation sample
-----------	-------------------------

In addition to the above, the following is stored in `r()`:

Matrices

r(table)	matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, <i>p</i> -values, and confidence intervals
----------	--

Note that results stored in `r()` are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any *r*-class command is run after the estimation command.

Methods and formulas

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

Introduction
Gauss–Hermite quadrature
Adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature
Laplacian approximation
Survey data

Introduction

Without a loss of generality, consider a two-level generalized mixed-effects model

$$E(\mathbf{y}_j | \mathbf{X}_j, \mathbf{u}_j) = g^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{u}_j), \quad \mathbf{y} \sim F$$

for $j = 1, \dots, M$ clusters, with the j th cluster consisting of n_j observations, where, for the j th cluster, \mathbf{y}_j is the $n_j \times 1$ response vector, \mathbf{X}_j is the $n_j \times p$ matrix of fixed predictors, \mathbf{Z}_j is the $n_j \times q$ matrix of random predictors, \mathbf{u}_j is the $q \times 1$ vector of random effects, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the $p \times 1$ vector of regression coefficients on the fixed predictors, and we use $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ to denote the unknown $q \times q$ variance matrix of the random effects. For simplicity, we consider a model with no auxiliary parameters.

Let $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$ be the linear predictor, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j = \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{u}_j$, that also includes the offset or the exposure variable when `offset()` or `exposure()` is specified. Let y_{ij} and η_{ij} be the i th individual elements of \mathbf{y}_j and $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n_j$. Let $f(y_{ij} | \eta_{ij})$ be the conditional density function for the response at observation i . Because the observations are assumed to be conditionally independent, we can overload the definition of $f(\cdot)$ with vector inputs to mean

$$\log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} \log f(y_{ij} | \eta_{ij})$$

The random effects \mathbf{u}_j are assumed to be multivariate normal with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and variance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. The likelihood function for cluster j is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= (2\pi)^{-q/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^q} f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_j' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j\right) d\mathbf{u}_j \\ &= (2\pi)^{-q/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^q} \exp\left\{\log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_j' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j\right\} d\mathbf{u}_j \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where \mathfrak{R} denotes the set of values on the real line and \mathfrak{R}^q is the analog in q -dimensional space.

The multivariate integral in (3) is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods to approximate the integral. We can use a change-of-variables technique to transform this multivariate integral into a set of nested univariate integrals. Each univariate integral can then be evaluated using a form of Gaussian quadrature. `meglm` supports three types of Gauss–Hermite quadratures: mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature, mode–curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature, and nonadaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature. `meglm` also offers the Laplacian-approximation method, which is used as a default method for crossed mixed-effects models. Below we describe the four methods. The methods described below are based on [Skrondal and Rabe-Hesketh \(2004, chap. 6.3\)](#).

Gauss–Hermite quadrature

Let $\mathbf{u}_j = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}_j$, where \mathbf{v}_j is a $q \times 1$ random vector whose elements are independently standard normal variables and \mathbf{L} is the Cholesky decomposition of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}'$. Then $\boldsymbol{\eta}_j = \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}_j$, and the likelihood in (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= (2\pi)^{-q/2} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^q} \exp\left\{\log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}_j' \mathbf{v}_j\right\} d\mathbf{v}_j \\ &= (2\pi)^{-q/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{\log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^q v_{jk}^2\right\} dv_{j1}, \dots, dv_{jq} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Consider a q -dimensional quadrature grid containing r quadrature points in each dimension. Let $\mathbf{a}_k = (a_{k_1}, \dots, a_{k_q})'$ be a point on this grid, and let $\mathbf{w}_k = (w_{k_1}, \dots, w_{k_q})'$ be the vector of corresponding weights. The nonadaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature approximation to the likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_j^{\text{GHQ}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= \sum_{k_1=1}^r \dots \sum_{k_q=1}^r \left[\exp \left\{ \log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_{jk}) \right\} \prod_{p=1}^q w_{k_p} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k_1=1}^r \dots \sum_{k_q=1}^r \left[\exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \log f(y_{ij} | \eta_{ijk}) \right\} \prod_{p=1}^q w_{k_p} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{jk} = \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{L} \mathbf{a}_k$$

and η_{ijk} is the i th element of $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{jk}$.

Adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature

This section sets the stage for mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature and mode-curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature.

Let’s reconsider the likelihood in (4). We use $\phi(\mathbf{v}_j)$ to denote a multivariate standard normal with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and variance \mathbf{I}_q , and we use $\phi(\mathbf{v}_j | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j)$ to denote a multivariate normal with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$ and variance $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j$.

For fixed model parameters, the posterior density for \mathbf{v}_j is proportional to

$$\phi(\mathbf{v}_j) f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_j = \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{L} \mathbf{v}_j$$

It is reasonable to assume that this posterior density can be approximated by a multivariate normal density with mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$ and variance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j$. Instead of using the prior density of \mathbf{v}_j as the weighting distribution in the integral, we can use our approximation for the posterior density,

$$\mathcal{L}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^q} \frac{f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j) \phi(\mathbf{v}_j)}{\phi(\mathbf{v}_j | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j)} \phi(\mathbf{v}_j | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j) d\mathbf{v}_j$$

Then the mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite approximation to the likelihood is

$$\mathcal{L}_j^{\text{MVAGH}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{k_1=1}^r \dots \sum_{k_q=1}^r \left[\exp \left\{ \log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_{jk}) \right\} \prod_{p=1}^q w_{jk_p}^* \right]$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{jk} = \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{L} \mathbf{a}_{jk}^*$$

and \mathbf{a}_{jk}^* and $w_{jk_p}^*$ are the abscissas and weights after an orthogonalizing transformation of \mathbf{a}_{jk} and w_{jk_p} , respectively, which eliminates posterior covariances between the random effects.

Estimates of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j$ are computed using one of two different methods. The mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$ and variance $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j$ are computed iteratively by updating the posterior moments with the mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite approximation, starting with a $\mathbf{0}$ mean vector and identity variance matrix. For the mode-curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite approximation, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j$ are computed by optimizing the integrand with respect to \mathbf{v}_j , where $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$ is the optimal value and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_j$ is the curvature at $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$.

Laplacian approximation

Consider the likelihood in (3) and denote the argument in the exponential function by

$$h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j) = \log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{u}_j) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_j' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j$$

The Laplacian approximation is based on a second-order Taylor expansion of $h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j)$ about the value of \mathbf{u}_j that maximizes it. The first and second partial derivatives with respect to \mathbf{u}_j are

$$h'(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j) = \frac{\partial h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j)}{\partial \mathbf{u}_j} = \mathbf{Z}_j' \frac{\partial \log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_j} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j$$

$$h''(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j) = \frac{\partial^2 h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j)}{\partial \mathbf{u}_j \partial \mathbf{u}_j'} = \mathbf{Z}_j' \frac{\partial^2 \log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\eta}_j)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_j \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}_j'} \mathbf{Z}_j - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$$

The maximizer of $h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j)$ is $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j$ such that $h'(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j) = \mathbf{0}$. The integral in (3) is proportional to the posterior density of \mathbf{u}_j given the data, so $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j$ is also the posterior mode.

Pinheiro and Chao (2006) show that the posterior mode, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_j$, and curvature, $h''(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j)^{-1}$, can be efficiently computed as the iterative solution to a least-squares problem by using matrix decomposition methods similar to those used in fitting linear mixed-effects models (Bates and Pinheiro 1998; Pinheiro and Bates 2000).

Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j = \mathbf{X}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{Z}_j \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j$$

$$\mathbf{S}_1 = \frac{\partial \log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j)}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j}$$

$$\mathbf{S}_2 = \frac{\partial \mathbf{S}_1}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j'} = \frac{\partial^2 \log f(\mathbf{y}_j | \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j)}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j \partial \hat{\mathbf{p}}_j'}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_j = h''(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j) = \mathbf{Z}_j' \mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{Z}_j - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$$

then

$$\mathbf{0} = h'(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j) = \mathbf{Z}_j' \mathbf{S}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j$$

Given the above, the second-order Taylor approximation takes the form

$$h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j) \approx h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_j - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j)' \mathbf{H}_j (\mathbf{u}_j - \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j)$$

because the first-order derivative term is 0. The integral is approximated by

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}^q} \exp\{h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j)\} d\mathbf{u}_j \approx (2\pi)^{q/2} |-\mathbf{H}_j|^{-1/2} \exp\{h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j)\}$$

Thus the Laplacian approximated log likelihood is

$$\log \mathcal{L}_j^{\text{Lap}}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \log |-\mathbf{H}_j| + h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j)$$

The log likelihood for the entire dataset is simply the sum of the contributions of the M individual clusters, namely, $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{j=1}^M \mathcal{L}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

Maximization of $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ is performed with respect to $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2)$, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^2$ is a vector comprising the unique elements of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Parameter estimates are stored in `e(b)` as $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^2)$, with the corresponding variance–covariance matrix stored in `e(V)`. In the presence of auxiliary parameters, their estimates and standard errors are included in `e(b)` and `e(V)`, respectively.

Survey data

In the presence of sampling weights, following [Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal \(2006\)](#), the weighted log pseudolikelihood for a two-level model is given as

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{j=1}^M w_j \log \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} w_{ij} \log f(y_{ij} | \eta_{ij}) \right\} \phi(\mathbf{v}_{j1}) d\mathbf{v}_{j1} \quad (5)$$

where w_j is the inverse of the probability of selection for the j th cluster; w_{ij} is the inverse of the conditional probability of selection of individual i , given the selection of cluster j , $f(\cdot)$ is as defined previously; and $\phi(\cdot)$ is the standard multivariate normal density.

Weighted estimation is achieved through the direct application of w_j and w_{ij} into the likelihood calculations as detailed above to reflect replicated clusters for w_j and replicated observations within clusters for w_{ij} . Because this estimation is based on replicated clusters and observations, frequency weights are handled similarly.

Weights are not allowed with crossed models or the Laplacian approximation.

References

- Andrews, M. J., T. Schank, and R. Upward. 2006. [Practical fixed-effects estimation methods for the three-way error-components model](#). *Stata Journal* 6: 461–481.
- Bates, D. M., and J. C. Pinheiro. 1998. “Computational methods for multilevel modelling”. In *Technical Memorandum BL0112140-980226-01TM*. Murray Hill, NJ: Bell Labs, Lucent Technologies.
- Breslow, N. E., and D. G. Clayton. 1993. Approximate inference in generalized linear mixed models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 88: 9–25. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2290687>.
- Cameron, A. C., and P. K. Trivedi. 2022. *Microeconometrics Using Stata*. 2nd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.

- Canette, I. 2011. Including covariates in crossed-effects models. *The Stata Blog: Not Elsewhere Classified*. <https://blog.stata.com/2010/12/22/including-covariates-in-crossed-effects-models/>.
- Diggle, P. J., P. J. Heagerty, K.-Y. Liang, and S. L. Zeger. 2002. *Analysis of Longitudinal Data*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Flay, B. R., B. R. Brannon, C. A. Johnson, W. B. Hansen, A. L. Ulene, D. A. Whitney-Saltiel, L. R. Gleason, S. Sussman, M. D. Gavin, K. M. Glowacz, D. F. Sobol, and D. C. Spiegel. 1988. The television, school, and family smoking cessation and prevention project: I. Theoretical basis and program development. *Preventive Medicine* 17: 585–607. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-7435\(88\)90053-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-7435(88)90053-9).
- Harville, D. A. 1977. Maximum likelihood approaches to variance component estimation and to related problems. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 72: 320–338. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2286796>.
- Hedeker, D., and R. D. Gibbons. 2006. *Longitudinal Data Analysis*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Hocking, R. R. 1985. *The Analysis of Linear Models*. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Horton, N. J. 2011. *Stata tip 95: Estimation of error covariances in a linear model*. *Stata Journal* 11: 145–148.
- Huq, N. M., and J. Cleland. 1990. *Bangladesh Fertility Survey 1989 (Main Report)*. National Institute of Population Research and Training.
- Karim, M. R., and S. L. Zeger. 1992. Generalized linear models with random effects; salamander mating revisited. *Biometrics* 48: 631–644. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2532317>.
- Laird, N. M., and J. H. Ware. 1982. Random-effects models for longitudinal data. *Biometrics* 38: 963–974. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2529876>.
- LaMotte, L. R. 1973. Quadratic estimation of variance components. *Biometrics* 29: 311–330. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2529395>.
- Lin, X., and N. E. Breslow. 1996. Bias correction in generalized linear mixed models with multiple components of dispersion. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 91: 1007–1016. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2291720>.
- Marchenko, Y. V. 2006. *Estimating variance components in Stata*. *Stata Journal* 6: 1–21.
- McCulloch, C. E., S. R. Searle, and J. M. Neuhaus. 2008. *Generalized, Linear, and Mixed Models*. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Ng, E. S.-W., J. R. Carpenter, H. Goldstein, and J. Rasbash. 2006. Estimation in generalised linear mixed models with binary outcomes by simulated maximum likelihood. *Statistical Modelling* 6: 23–42. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1471082X06st1060a>.
- Nichols, A. 2007. *Causal inference with observational data*. *Stata Journal* 7: 507–541.
- Pantazis, N., and G. Touloumi. 2010. *Analyzing longitudinal data in the presence of informative drop-out: The jmrel command*. *Stata Journal* 10: 226–251.
- Pinheiro, J. C., and D. M. Bates. 2000. *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*. New York: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/b98882>.
- Pinheiro, J. C., and E. C. Chao. 2006. Efficient Laplacian and adaptive Gaussian quadrature algorithms for multilevel generalized linear mixed models. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 15: 58–81. <https://doi.org/10.1198/106186006X96962>.
- Rabe-Hesketh, S., and A. Skrondal. 2006. Multilevel modelling of complex survey data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, A ser.*, 169: 805–827. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-985X.2006.00426.x>.
- . 2022. *Multilevel and Longitudinal Modeling Using Stata*. 4th ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Raudenbush, S. W., and A. S. Bryk. 2002. *Hierarchical Linear Models: Applications and Data Analysis Methods*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ruppert, D., M. P. Wand, and R. J. Carroll. 2003. *Semiparametric Regression*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511755453>.
- Schunck, R., and F. Perales. 2017. *Within- and between-cluster effects in generalized linear mixed models: A discussion of approaches and the xthybrid command*. *Stata Journal* 17: 89–115.
- Searle, S. R. 1989. Obituary: Charles Roy Henderson 1911–1989. *Biometrics* 45: 1333–1335.

- Searle, S. R., G. Casella, and C. E. McCulloch. 1992. *Variance Components*. New York: Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470316856>.
- Skrondal, A., and S. Rabe-Hesketh. 2004. *Generalized Latent Variable Modeling: Multilevel, Longitudinal, and Structural Equation Models*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Verbeke, G., and G. Molenberghs. 2000. *Linear Mixed Models for Longitudinal Data*. New York: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0300-6>.

Also see

- [ME] **meglm postestimation** — Postestimation tools for meglm
- [ME] **mixed** — Multilevel mixed-effects linear regression
- [ME] **menl** — Nonlinear mixed-effects regression
- [ME] **me** — Introduction to multilevel mixed-effects models
- [BAYES] **bayes: meglm** — Bayesian multilevel generalized linear model
- [R] **glm** — Generalized linear models
- [SEM] **Intro 5** — Tour of models (*Multilevel mixed-effects models*)
- [SVY] **svy estimation** — Estimation commands for survey data
- [U] **20 Estimation and postestimation commands**

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on [citing Stata documentation](#).