

<sup>+</sup>This function is part of [StataNow](#).

Description	Syntax	Remarks and examples	Methods and formulas	Conformability
Diagnostics	References	Also see		

## Description

`quantile( $X$ ,  $p$ , method)` returns the quantiles specified in vector  $p$  for data matrix  $X$ . The elements of vector  $p$  must be real numbers between 0 and 1 (inclusive).

*method* specifies the quantile calculation method to use. The available methods are based on [Hyndman and Fan \(1996\)](#) and [Cunnane \(1978\)](#) and include both methods that rely on discontinuous functions and methods that rely on continuous piecewise linear functions.

## Syntax

*real matrix* `quantile(real matrix  $X$ , real colvector  $p$  [ , string scalar method])`

*method* specifies the type of quantile estimator to use and may be one of the following. See [Methods and formulas](#) for more details.

<i>method</i>	Description
<i>Piecewise linear continuous</i>	
"tukey"	median-unbiased estimator based on <a href="#">Tukey (1992)</a> and shown by <a href="#">Reiss (1989)</a> to be optimal among median-unbiased, shift-equivariant estimators; the default estimator
"parzen"	estimator based on <a href="#">Parzen (1979)</a>
"galton"	estimator based on <a href="#">Galton (1883)</a> and <a href="#">Hazen (1914)</a> ; synonym for "hazen"
"hazen"	estimator based on <a href="#">Galton (1883)</a> and <a href="#">Hazen (1914)</a> ; synonym for "galton"
"weibull"	estimator based on <a href="#">Weibull (1939)</a> and <a href="#">Gumbel (1939)</a> (same as <code>pctile</code> , <code>altdef</code> and <code>_pctile</code> , <code>altdef</code> ); assigns equal-tail probability of $1/(n + 1)$ beyond the extreme observations
"gumbel"	estimator based on <a href="#">Gumbel (1939)</a> ; divides the data range into $n - 1$ equal intervals, with proportion $p$ below the estimate and $1 - p$ above
"blom"	estimator based on <a href="#">Blom (1958)</a> ; approximately unbiased estimator when the underlying distribution is normal
"california"	estimator based on <a href="#">State of California, Department of Public Works (1923)</a>
"beard"	estimator based on <a href="#">Beard (1943)</a>
"benard"	estimator based on <a href="#">Benard and Bos-Levenbach (1953)</a>
"cooper"	estimator based on <a href="#">Cooper (2005)</a>
"gringorten"	estimator based on <a href="#">Gringorten (1963)</a>
<i>Discontinuous</i>	
"invedf"	inverse empirical distribution function method
"avginvedf"	averaged inverse empirical distribution function method (same as <code>pctile</code> and <code>_pctile</code> )
"closest"	closest-observation method

## Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

*Introduction*  
*Examples of quantile()*

### Introduction

There are many definitions and methods for computing quantiles. Here we focus on the methods studied by [Hyndman and Fan \(1996\)](#). The authors compared several definitions and recommended estimators based on their statistical properties. They concluded that the median-unbiased estimator based on [Tukey \(1992\)](#) (method "tukey") is recommended overall.

Let  $\{X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n)}\}$  denote the order statistics of the sample  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ . We consider quantile estimators that can be written in the form  $\widehat{Q}(p) = (1 - \gamma)X_{(j)} + \gamma X_{(j+1)}$ , where  $j$  and  $\gamma$  are method specific.

[Hyndman and Fan \(1996\)](#) grouped the methods they considered into discontinuous functions and piecewise linear continuous functions.

The discontinuous functions are methods "invedf", "avginvedf", and "closest". "invedf" computes a quantile by inverting the empirical distribution function. "avginvedf" is the same as "invedf", except that it takes the average of  $X_{(j)}$  and  $X_{(j+1)}$  instead of using  $X_{(j)}$  when  $j = pn$ . "closest" uses  $X_{(j)}$  when  $pn$  is closer to  $j$ ; otherwise, it uses  $X_{(j+1)}$ . These methods have been used traditionally for quantile estimation, but they do not satisfy many desirable statistical properties.

The piecewise linear continuous functions are methods "tukey", "parzen", "galton", "hazen", "weibull", "gumbel", "blom", "california", "beard", "benard", "cooper", and "gringorten". These methods are based on selecting plotting positions for quantile plots. Each ordered statistic is paired with a plotting position, forming a set of points. The sample quantile is then computed by linear interpolation between the two closest points. The methods differ in how they define the plotting positions and may therefore be more suitable in different settings. For instance, the method based on [Blom \(1958\)](#) ("blom") provides a better approximation for the normal distribution. See [Hyndman and Fan \(1996\)](#) and *Methods and formulas* for details about the definitions.

[Hyndman and Fan \(1996\)](#) reported that the piecewise linear continuous methods satisfy most of the statistical properties they considered and recommended the "tukey" method because the estimate is median unbiased without depending on the distribution assumption. For details on the properties and the method comparison, see [Hyndman and Fan \(1996\)](#).

### Examples of quantile()

We begin by creating a small dataset for demonstration:

```
. quietly set obs 5
. mata: x = (10 \ 5 \ 5 \ 1 \ 20)
. mata: st_store(., st_addvar("double", "v1"), x)
```

This creates the v1 variable containing the values 1, 5, 5, 10, and 20.

### ▷ Example 1: Correspondence with `_pctile`'s default method

Here is the default method for computing percentiles by using the Stata `_pctile` command:

```
. _pctile v1, percentiles(20 50 60 95)
. return list
scalars:
           r(r1) = 3
           r(r2) = 5
           r(r3) = 7.5
           r(r4) = 20
```

Method "avginvedf" of function `quantile()` produces identical results:

```
. mata: quantile(x, (0.2 \ 0.5 \ 0.6 \ 0.95), "avginvedf")
           1
           |
1          | 3
2          | 5
3          | 7.5
4          | 20
```

◀

### ▷ Example 2: Correspondence with `_pctile`'s alternative method

`_pctile` provides an alternative definition via the `altdef` option. This corresponds to `quantile()`'s "weibull" method:

```
. _pctile v1, percentiles(20 50 60 95) altdef
. return list
scalars:
           r(r1) = 1.8
           r(r2) = 5
           r(r3) = 8
           r(r4) = 20
. mata: quantile(x, (0.2 \ 0.5 \ 0.6 \ 0.95), "weibull")
           1
           |
1          | 1.8
2          | 5
3          | 8
4          | 20
```

◀

## Methods and formulas

The theoretical quantile of a distribution with cumulative distribution function  $F$  is defined as

$$Q(p) = F^{-1}(p) = \inf\{x : F(x) \geq p\} \quad 0 < p < 1$$

For a sample of observations  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  with order statistics  $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$ , the sample quantile is computed as

$$\widehat{Q}(p) = (1 - \gamma)X_{(j)} + \gamma X_{(j+1)}$$

where  $j = \lfloor pn + m \rfloor$ ,  $m$  is a method-specific constant that satisfies  $(j - m)/n \leq p < (j - m + 1)/n$ , and  $\gamma$  equals a method-specific function of  $g = pn + m - j$ .

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

- Piecewise linear continuous methods*
- Discontinuous methods*

## Piecewise linear continuous methods

These methods are based on the concept of plotting positions introduced by [Blom \(1958\)](#). Each ordered observation  $X_{(k)}$  is assigned a “virtual” plotting position  $p_k$  defined by

$$p_k = \frac{k - \alpha}{n - \alpha - \beta + 1}$$

where  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  indexes the ordered observations and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are parameters that characterize each specific method.

Quantiles are estimated by interpolating between the points  $(p_k, X_{(k)})$ . This is equivalent to setting  $m = \alpha + p(1 - \alpha - \beta)$  and  $\gamma = g$ .

Different choices of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  lead to different quantile estimation methods. [Cunnane \(1978\)](#) reviewed unbiased plotting positions for various theoretical probability distributions and proposed using  $\alpha = \beta$  for several distributions.

The following table presents the parameter values and their proponents. We refer to [Hyndman and Fan \(1996\)](#) as H&F for brevity:

Method	$\alpha$	$\beta$	Reference
"parzen"	0	1	H&F def. 4; <a href="#">Parzen (1979)</a>
"galton"	1/2	1/2	H&F def. 5; <a href="#">Galton (1883)</a> ; <a href="#">Hazen (1914)</a>
"hazen"	1/2	1/2	H&F def. 5; <a href="#">Galton (1883)</a> ; <a href="#">Hazen (1914)</a>
(synonym of "galton")			
"weibull"	0	0	H&F def. 6; <a href="#">Weibull (1939)</a>
"gumbel"	1	1	H&F def. 7; <a href="#">Gumbel (1939)</a>
"tukey"	1/3	1/3	H&F def. 8; <a href="#">Tukey (1992)</a>
"blom"	3/8	3/8	H&F def. 9; <a href="#">Blom (1958)</a>
"california"	1	0	<a href="#">State of California, Department of Public Works (1923)</a>
"beard"	0.31	0.31	<a href="#">Beard (1943)</a>
"benard"	0.3	0.3	<a href="#">Benard and Bos-Levenbach (1953)</a>
"cooper"	0.4075	0.4075	<a href="#">Cooper (2005)</a>
"gringorten"	0.44	0.44	<a href="#">Gringorten (1963)</a>

Although the method by setting  $\alpha = 1/2$  and  $\beta = 1/2$  is now widely referred to as "hazen" following [Hazen \(1914\)](#), an equivalent method was described earlier by [Galton \(1883\)](#). We retain both terms ("galton" and "hazen") for consistency with the modern literature.

## Discontinuous methods

The table below presents the values for  $m$  and  $\gamma$  for each of these methods. We refer to Hyndman and Fan (1996) as H&F for brevity:

Method	$m$	$\gamma$ determination	Reference
"invedf"	0	$\gamma = 1$ if $g > 0$ ; $\gamma = 0$ otherwise	H&F def. 1
"avginvedf"	0	$\gamma = 1$ if $g > 0$ ; $\gamma = 0.5$ otherwise	H&F def. 2
"closest"	-1/2	$\gamma = 0$ if $g = 0$ and $j$ is even; $\gamma = 1$ otherwise	H&F def. 3

## Conformability

`quantile(X, p, method)`:

$X$ :  $n \times k$   
 $p$ :  $r \times 1$   
 $method$ :  $1 \times 1$   
 $result$ :  $r \times k$

## Diagnostics

`quantile(X, p, method)` aborts with error if

- any element of  $p$  is not in the range  $[0, 1]$ ,
- any element of  $p$  is missing,
- $method$  is not one of the recognized method strings (case sensitive), or
- $X$  has zero rows (is void).

## References

- Beard, L. R. 1943. Statistical analysis in hydrology. *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers* 108: 1110–1121. <https://doi.org/10.1061/TACEAT.0005568>.
- Benard, A., and E. C. Bos-Levenbach. 1953. Het uitzetten van waarnemingen op waarschijnlijkheidspapier. *Statistica Neerlandica* 7: 163–173. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9574.1953.tb00821.x>.
- Blom, G. 1958. *Statistical Estimates and Transformed Beta-Variables*. New York: Wiley.
- Cooper, R. M. 2005. *Estimation of Peak Discharges for Rural, Unregulated Streams in Western Oregon: Scientific Investigations Report 2005–5116*. Denver, CO: US Geological Survey Information Services.
- Cunnane, C. 1978. Unbiased plotting positions—a review. *Journal of Hydrology* 37: 205–222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694\(78\)90017-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(78)90017-3).
- Galton, F. 1883. *Inquiries into Human Faculty and Its Development*. New York: Macmillan.
- Gringorten, I. I. 1963. A plotting rule for extreme probability paper. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 68: 813–814. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JZ068i003p00813>.
- Gumbel, E. J. 1939. La probabilité des hypothèses. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences (Paris)* 209: 645–647.
- Hazen, A. 1914. Storage to be provided in impounding municipal water supply. *Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers* 77: 1539–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1061/taceat.0002563>.

- Hyndman, R. J., and Y. Fan. 1996. Sample quantiles in statistical packages. *American Statistician* 50: 361–365. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2684934>.
- Parzen, E. 1979. Nonparametric statistical data modeling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 74: 105–121. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2286734>.
- Reiss, R. 1989. *Approximate Distributions of Order Statistics: With Applications to Nonparametric Statistics*. New York: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-9620-8>.
- State of California, Department of Public Works. 1923. “Flow in California streams”. Report to the Legislature of 1923 on the Water Resources of California Bulletin no. 5.
- Tukey, J. W. 1992. “The future of data analysis”. In *Methodology and Distribution. Breakthroughs in Statistics*, edited by S. Kotz and N. L. Johnson, vol. 2: 404–452. New York: Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4380-9\\_31](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4380-9_31).
- Weibull, W. 1939. “The phenomenon of rupture in solids”. In *Ingeniörs Vetenskaps Akademien Handlingar*, vol. 153. Stockholm: Generalstabens Litografiska Anstalts Förlag.

## Also see

[M-4] **Statistical** — Statistical functions

[D] **pctile** — Create variable containing percentiles

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on [citing Stata documentation](#).