### **h2omlestat gridsummary** — Display grid-search summary

Description	Quick start	Menu	Syntax
Options	Remarks and examples	Stored results	Also see

# **Description**

h2omlestat gridsummary displays the grid summary for configurations of hyperparameters after h2oml *gbm* and h2oml *rf* perform tuning using a grid search.

When tuning is performed, the h2oml gbm and h2oml rf commands report performance metrics for the best model based on the tuning metric. h2omlestat gridsummary reports the tuning metric or another specified metric for additional models that were evaluated as part of the grid search. It also assigns an ID number to each model. You can then specify these ID numbers in h2omlexplore to compare a variety of performance metrics for the chosen models. You can also use h2omlselect to select a model based on the ID number so that subsequent postestimation commands will be based on this model instead of the one selected by tuning h2oml gbm or h2oml rf.

#### **Quick start**

Display the grid summary of log-loss metrics after h2oml gbbinclass

h2oml gbbinclass y x2-x5, ntrees(50(5)80) tune(grid(cartesian)) h2omlestat gridsummary

Same as above, but report the grid summary for the area under the curve (AUC) metric h2omlestat gridsummary, metric(auc)

### Menu

Statistics > H2O machine learning

# **Syntax**

h2omlestat gridsummary [, options]

options	Description
metric(metric)	specify the metric to be reported
top(#)	report the top # models; top(_all) reports all models; default is top(10)
<u>ti</u> tle( <i>string</i> )	specify title to be displayed above the table

# metric(*metric*) specifies the metric for which the grid summary will be reported. Allowed metrics are provided in [H2OML] *metric\_option*. If the metric() suboption is specified in the tune() option of the h2oml *gbm* or h2oml *rf* command, then h2omlestat gridsummary will use the same metric.

top(#) specifies that the top # models be included in the summary table. top(\_all) specifies that all models be reported. The default is top(10).

Otherwise, the default metric is deviance for regression and log loss for classification.

title(string) specifies the title to be displayed above the table.

# Remarks and examples

To build a machine learning model that generalizes well to new data involves choosing an appropriate method and selecting a model by tuning hyperparameters; see *Hyperparameter tuning* in [H2OML] **Intro** for more information on tuning. For example, suppose we want to perform gradient boosting binary classification and use an exhaustive grid search to select the optimal number of trees. We could type

```
h2oml gbbinclass y x1-x100, ntrees(10(5)100)
```

We can use h2omlestat gridsummary to report the models ranked based on the default log-loss tuning metric.

```
h2omlestat gridsummary
```

Alternatively, we can request a grid summary for another metric, such as the AUC.

```
h2omlestat gridsummary, metric(auc)
```

After reporting the grid-search summary, we can compare models with different hyperparameters based on other performance metrics by using the h2omlexplore command; we select the desired model by using the h2omlselect command. See [H2OML] h2omlexplore and [H2OML] h2omlselect for examples demonstrating how to use h2omlestat gridsummary in combination with these commands.

## Example 1: Sequential hyperparameter tuning

When the dataset is large and there are many hyperparameters, tuning these hyperparameters simultaneously can be computationally intensive. We can reduce the computational burden by tuning hyperparameters sequentially. That is, in the first iteration of tuning, a small set of hyperparameters are tuned to narrow the search space. Then in the second iteration, the best results from the previous iteration can be used with additional hyperparameters. However, note that this procedure might lead us to select suboptimal values for the hyperparameters, and it is only recommended for large datasets. As an alternative, which also may result in a suboptimal solution, one could use a random grid search and restrict the search space by specifying the maxmodels() or maxtime() suboption in the tune() option of the h2oml gbm or h2oml rf command.

In this example, we use gradient boosting to illustrate the sequential procedure.

We begin by opening the auto.dta dataset in Stata and then putting it into an H2O frame. Recall that h2o init initiates an H2O cluster, \_h2oframe put loads the current Stata dataset into an H2O frame, and \_h2oframe change makes the specified frame the current H2O frame. For details, see *Prepare your data* for H2O machine learning in Stata in [H2OML] h2oml and see [H2OML] H2O setup.

1

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/auto
(1978 automobile data)
. h2o init
 (output omitted)
. h2oframe put, into(auto)
```

Progress (%): 0 100 . \_h2oframe change auto

In the first step of our tuning procedure, we tune the maximum depth of the trees hyperparameter using 3-fold cross-validation and an exhaustive grid search. We set the learning rate to 0.05, a little higher than the recommended 0.01, because the learning rate decay is 0.9. For details on gradient boosting machine hyperparameters, see [H2OML] *h2oml gbm*.

```
. h2oml gbbinclass foreign price mpg weight length, cv(3, modulo) h2orseed(19)
```

> lratedecay(0.9) lrate(0.05) maxdepth(1(1)10) tune(grid(cartesian))

Progress (%): 0 100

Gradient boosting binary classification using H2O

Response: foreign Bernoulli Loss:

Frame: Number of observations:

Training: auto Training = 74 Cross-validation = 74 Cross-validation: Modulo Number of folds

Tuning information for hyperparameters

Method: Cartesian Metric: Log loss

	Grid values		
Hyperparameters	Minimum	Maximum	Selected
Max. tree depth	1	10	10

```
Model parameters
Number of trees
                                    Learning rate
                                                           .05
             actual = 50
                                    Learning rate decay =
                                                            .9
Tree depth:
                                    Pred. sampling rate =
                                                            1
          Input max = 10
                                   Sampling rate
               min = 2
                                   No. of bins cat. = 1,024
               avg = 3.0
                                   No. of bins root = 1.024
```

max = 4No. of bins cont. = 20 Min. split thresh. = .00001 Min. obs. leaf split = 10

Metric summary

Metric	Training	Cross- validation
Log loss	.3679234	.4914566
class error	.0576923	.1958042
AUC	.9820804	.8535839
AUCPR	.9584095	.6989351
coefficient	.9641608	.7071678
MSE	.1063068	.159142
RMSE	.3260472	.398926
	Log loss class error AUC AUCPR coefficient MSE	Log loss .3679234 class error .0576923 AUC .9820804 AUCPR .9584095 coefficient .9641608 MSE .1063068

Next we use h2omlestat gridsummary to report the configurations that achieve the best performance based on the log-loss metric.

. h2omlestat gridsummary Grid summary using H20

ID	Max. tree depth	Log loss
1	10	.4914566
2	3	.4914566
3	4	.4914566
4	5	.4914566
5	6	.4914566
6	7	.4914566
7	8	.4914566
8	9	.4914566
9	2	.4919681
10	1	.5266221

We see that the performance of the model in terms of the log-loss metric does not change for maximum tree depths between 3 and 10. Therefore, to have a parsimonious model, we select a maximum tree depth of 3. In the second step of our tuning procedure, we specify the maxdepth(3) option and tune the learning rate and sampling rate hyperparameters.

. h2oml gbbinclass foreign price mpg weight length, cv(3, modulo) h2orseed(19)

> lratedecay(0.9) maxdepth(3) samprate(0.4(0.1)1) lrate(0.2(0.02)0.3)

> tune(grid(cartesian))

Progress (%): 0 100

Gradient boosting binary classification using H20

Response: foreign

Loss: Bernoulli

Frame: Number of observations: Training: auto

Training = 74 Cross-validation = 74

Cross-validation: Modulo Number of folds

Tuning information for hyperparameters

Method: Cartesian Metric: Log loss

Hyperparameters	Minimum	Grid values Maximum	Selected
Learning rate Sampling rate	.2	.3	.28

```
Model parameters
Number of trees
                                    Learning rate
            actual = 50
                                    Learning rate decay =
                                                           .9
                                   Pred. sampling rate =
Tree depth:
                                                            1
          Input max =
                                  Sampling rate
               min = 2
                                  No. of bins cat.
                                                      = 1.024
               avg = 3.0
                                  No. of bins root = 1,024
                                   No. of bins cont. =
               max = 3
                                   Min. split thresh. = .00001
Min. obs. leaf split = 10
```

Metric	Training	Cross- validation
Log loss Mean class error AUC AUCPR Gini coefficient MSE RMSE	.1357221 .0227273 .9982517 .9961309 .9965035 .0326208 .1806123	.2983633 .090035 .9370629 .8555774 .8741259 .097178

Once again, we use h2omlestat gridsummary to report the configurations that achieve the best performance based on the log-loss metric.

. h2omlestat gridsummary Grid summary using H20

Metric summary

ID	Learning rate	Sampling rate	Log loss
1	.28	1	.2983633
2	.3	1	.2998373
3	.24	1	.3038322
4	.26	1	.3042715
5	.28	.9	.3087905
6	.3	.9	.3102182
7	.22	1	.3137784
8	.26	.9	.3159972
9	.24	.9	.3176375
10	.28	.7	.3319306

We see that the top model achieved a log-loss of 0.298, and the corresponding hyperparameters are a learning rate of 0.28 and a sampling rate of 1.

## Stored results

h2omlestat gridsummary stores the following in r():

Matrices

r(gridsummary) grid-search summary of hyperparameters and metrics 4

### Also see

[H2OML] h2oml — Introduction to commands for Stata integration with H2O machine learning

[H2OML] h2omlexplore — Explore models after grid search

[H2OML] **h2omlselect** — Select model after grid search

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.