

**eprobit predict** — predict after eprobit and xteprobit

[Description](#)

[Options for statistics](#)

[Option for counterfactuals](#)

[Methods and formulas](#)

[Syntax](#)

[Options for asfmethod](#)

[Remarks and examples](#)

[Also see](#)

## Description

In this entry, we show how to create new variables containing observation-by-observation predictions after fitting a model with `eprobit` or `xteprobit`.

## Syntax

You previously fit the model

```
eprobit y x1 ... , ...
```

The equation specified immediately after the `eprobit` command is called the main equation. It is

$$\Pr(y_i) = \Pr(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + e_{i.y} > 0)$$

Or perhaps you had panel data and you fit the model with `xteprobit` by typing

```
xteprobit y x1 ... , ...
```

Then the main equation would be

$$\Pr(y_{ij}) = \Pr(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1ij} + \dots + u_{i.y} + v_{ij.y} > 0)$$

In either case, `predict` calculates predictions for  $\Pr(y)$  in the main equation. The other equations in the model are called auxiliary equations or complications. Our discussion follows the cross-sectional case with a single error term, but it applies to the panel-data case when we collapse the random effects and observation-level error terms,  $e_{ij.y} = u_{i.y} + v_{ij.y}$ .

All predictions after `xteprobit` assume the panel-level random effects ( $u_{i.y}$ ) are zero. Put another way, predictions condition on random effects being set to their mean.

The syntax of `predict` is

```
predict [type] newvar [if] [in] [, statistic asfmethod counterfactual]
```

<i>statistic</i>	Description
------------------	-------------

---

Main

<code>pr</code>	probability of a positive outcome; the default
<code>xb</code>	linear prediction excluding all complications

---

<i>asfmethod</i>	Description
------------------	-------------

---

Main

<code>asf</code>	average structural function; the default
<code>fixedasf</code>	fixed average structural function
<code>noasf</code>	no average structural function adjustment

---

<i>counterfactual</i>	Description
-----------------------	-------------

---

Main

<code>target(<i>valspecs</i>)</code>	specify counterfactuals
--------------------------------------	-------------------------

---

*valspecs* specify the values for variables at which predictions are to be evaluated. Each *valspec* is of the form

`varname = #`

`varname = (exp)`

`varname = othervarname`

For instance, `target(valspecs)` could be `target(w1=0)` or `target(w1=0 w2=1)`.

Notes:

- (1) `predict` can also calculate treatment-effect statistics. See [\[ERM\] predict treatment](#).
- (2) `predict` can also make predictions for the other equations in addition to the main-equation predictions discussed here. It can also compute some rarely used statistics. See [\[ERM\] predict advanced](#).

## Options for statistics

Main

`pr`, the default, calculates the predicted probability of a positive outcome. In each observation, the prediction is the probability conditioned on the covariates. Results depend on how complications are handled, which is determined by the *asfmethod* and *counterfactual* options.

`xb` specifies that the linear prediction be calculated ignoring all complications.

## Options for asfmethod

Main

`asf`, `fixedasf`, and `noasf` determine whether and how the average structural function (ASF) of the specified statistic is computed. These options are not allowed with `xb`.

`asf`, the default, calculates the ASF of the statistic. Thus, the default when no *statistic* is specified is the ASF of the probability of a positive outcome.

`asf` computes the statistic conditional on the errors of the endogenous variable equations. Put another way, it is the statistic accounting for the correlation of the endogenous covariates with the errors of the outcome equation. Derivatives and contrasts based on `asf` have a structural interpretation. See [margins](#) for computing derivatives and contrasts.

`fixedasf` calculates a fixed ASF. It is the specified statistic computed using only the coefficients and variables of the outcome equation. `fixedasf` does not condition on the errors of the endogenous variable equations. Contrasts between two fixed counterfactuals averaged over the whole sample have a potential-outcome interpretation. Intuitively, it is as if the values of the covariates were fixed at a value exogenously by fiat. See [margins](#) for computing derivatives and contrasts.

To be clear, derivatives and contrasts between two fixed counterfactuals using the default `asf` option also have a potential-outcome interpretation. And, unlike `fixedasf`, they retain that interpretation when computed over subpopulations for both linear and nonlinear models.

`noasf` calculates the statistic using the linear prediction with no adjustment. For extended regression models, this is computationally equivalent to `fixedasf`. So `fixedasf` and `noasf` are synonyms.

## Option for counterfactuals

Main

`target(valspecs)` specifies counterfactual predictions. You specify a list of variables from the main equation and values for them. Those values override the values of the variables calculating  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots$ . Use of `target()` is discussed in [Remarks and examples](#) of [\[ERM\] eregress predict](#).

## Remarks and examples

[stata.com](#)

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

[Using predict after eprobit](#)  
[How to think about nonlinear models](#)

## Using predict after eprobit

Predictions after fitting models with `eprobit` or `xteprobit` are handled the same as they are after fitting models with `eregress` and `xteregress`. The issues are the same. See [\[ERM\] eregress predict](#).

### How to think about nonlinear models

Probit is a nonlinear model, and yet we just said that predictions after fitting models with `eprobit` and `xteprobit` are handled the same as they are after fitting models with `eregress`. That statement is partly true, not misleading, but false in its details.

The regression-based discussion that we routed you to is framed in terms of expected values. In the nonlinear models, it needs to be framed in terms of distributional assumptions about the errors. For instance, `predict` after `eprobit` does not predict the expected value (mean) of  $e_i.y$ . It calculates the probability that  $e_i.y$  exceeds  $-\mathbf{x}_i\beta$ . These details matter hugely in implementation but can be glossed over for understanding the issues. For a full treatment of the issues, see *Methods and formulas* in [ERM] `eprobit`.

### Methods and formulas

See *Methods and formulas* in [ERM] `eprobit postestimation`.

### Also see

[ERM] `eprobit postestimation` — Postestimation tools for `eprobit` and `xteprobit`

[ERM] `eprobit` — Extended probit regression