Description

dsges and dsgen1 do not allow lags of control variables to be included in the model. The structural form of the model that is required so that the model can be solved for its state-space form does not include lags of control variables. This entry shows how to fit a DSGE model that involves a lag of control variables by defining a new state variable and rewriting the equations.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

A model with a lagged endogenous variable
Parameter estimation

A model with a lagged endogenous variable

The model in (1)–(5) is similar to many in monetary economics in that it includes inertia in the interest rate because the interest rate depends on its lagged value.

\[
\begin{align*}
    p_t &= \beta E_t p_{t+1} + \kappa y_t \\
    y_t &= E_t y_{t+1} - (r_t - E_t p_{t+1} - \rho z_t) \\
    r_t &= \rho_r r_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_r) \left( \frac{1}{\beta} p_t + u_t \right) \\
    z_{t+1} &= \rho_z z_t + \epsilon_{t+1} \\
    u_{t+1} &= \rho_u u_t + \xi_{t+1}
\end{align*}
\]

Equation (1) specifies the structural equation for inflation \( p_t \). Inflation is a linear combination of expected future inflation \( E_t(p_{t+1}) \) and output growth \( y_t \). Equation (2) specifies the structural equation for output growth. Output growth is a linear combination of expected future output growth \( E_t(y_{t+1}) \), the interest rate \( r_t \), expected future inflation, and the state \( z_t \). The state \( z_t \) is the first-order autoregressive process that drives output growth. Equation (3) specifies the structural equation for the interest rate. The interest rate depends on its own lagged value, the inflation rate, and the state \( z_t \). The state \( u_t \) is the first-order autoregressive process that drives the interest rate. The control variables in this model are \( p_t, y_t \), and \( r_t \). The state variables are \( u_t \) and \( z_t \).

The term involving \( r_{t-1} \) in (3) is problematic because the lag of a control variable does not fit into the structure required to solve the model for the state-space form. We accommodate this term by defining a new state variable \( Lr_t \) that equals \( r_{t-1} \) and replacing \( r_{t-1} \) in (3) with this new state variable. We define a new state instead of new control because the lagged control is predetermined, which makes it exogenous. These changes yield the model in (6)–(11).
\[
p_t = \beta E_t p_{t+1} + \nu y_t \\
y_t = E_t y_{t+1} - (r_t - E_t p_{t+1} - \rho_z z_t) \\
r_t = \rho_r L r_t + (1 - \rho_r) \left( \frac{1}{\beta} p_t + u_t \right) \\
L r_{t+1} = r_t \\
z_{t+1} = \rho_z z_t + \epsilon_{t+1} \\
u_{t+1} = \rho_u u_t + \xi_{t+1}
\] (6) - (11)

**Parameter estimation**

We will estimate the parameters in the model in (6)–(11) using data on U.S. interest rate \( r \) and inflation \( p \).

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/usmacro2
(Federal Reserve Economic Data - St. Louis Fed, 2017-01-15)
. dsge (p = {beta}*F.p + {kappa}*y)
   > (y = F.y - (r - f.p - {rhoz}*z), unobserved)
   > (r = {rhor}*lr + (1-{rhor})*((1/{beta})*p + u))
   > (F.lr = r, state noshock)
   > (F.u = {rhou}*u, state)
   > (F.z = {rhoz}*z, state)
(setting technique to bfgs)
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -158313.11
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -5780.6489 (backed up)
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -1028.4602 (backed up)
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -1000.851 (backed up)
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -873.3804 (backed up)
(switching technique to nr)
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -855.55061 (not concave)
Iteration 6: log likelihood = -799.322 (not concave)
Iteration 7: log likelihood = -784.44106 (not concave)
Iteration 8: log likelihood = -776.37874 (not concave)
Iteration 9: log likelihood = -771.3587 (not concave)
Iteration 10: log likelihood = -767.02524 (not concave)
Iteration 11: log likelihood = -764.16952 (not concave)
Iteration 12: log likelihood = -761.74111 (not concave)
Iteration 13: log likelihood = -759.86071 (not concave)
Iteration 14: log likelihood = -758.21296
Iteration 15: log likelihood = -755.96039
Iteration 16: log likelihood = -754.36723
Iteration 17: log likelihood = -753.19361
Iteration 18: log likelihood = -753.07315
Iteration 19: log likelihood = -753.07026
Iteration 20: log likelihood = -753.07026
```
Ironically, the inertia term for the interest rate $r_{hor}$ was not needed in this model. The autoregressive state $u_t$ is probably modeling both the inertia and the persistence in the shocks to the interest rate.

### Also see

[DSGE] Intro 2 — Learning the syntax

[DSGE] Intro 4 — Writing a DSGE in a solvable form