cmmprobit — Multinomial probit choice model

Description	Quick start	Mei
Options	Remarks and examples	Sto
References	Also see	

nu Syntax red results Methods and formulas

Description

cmmprobit fits a multinomial probit (MNP) choice model that relaxes the independence of irrelevant alternatives (IIA) property that is characteristic of the cmclogit choice model and that is assumed by the MNP model fit by mprobit.

The command requires multiple observations for each case (representing one individual or decision maker), where each observation represents an alternative that may be chosen. cmmprobit allows two types of independent variables: alternative-specific variables, which vary across both cases and alternatives, and case-specific variables, which vary across only cases.

Quick start

```
Multinomial probit choice model of y on x1 using cmset data
cmmprobit y x1
```

```
Same as above, and include case-specific covariate x2
cmmprobit y x1, casevars(x2)
```

Same as above, but with factor covariance structure of dimension 1

cmmprobit y x1, casevars(x2) factor(1)

Common correlation parameter in utility errors for all pairs of alternatives cmmprobit y x1, correlation(exchangeable)

With the structural covariance parameterization cmmprobit y x1, structural

All standard deviations of the utility errors constrained to 1 cmmprobit y x1, stddev(homoskedastic)

Menu

 $Statistics > Choice \ models > Multinomial \ probit \ model$

Syntax

cmmprobit depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight] [, options]

depvar equal to 1 identifies the chosen alternatives, whereas a 0 indicates the alternatives that were not chosen.

options	Description
Model	
<u>casev</u> ars(<i>varlist</i>)	case-specific variables
<u>base</u> alternative($\# lbl str$)	alternative used for normalizing location
\underline{scale} alternative(# <i>lbl</i> <i>str</i>)	alternative used for normalizing scale
<u>nocons</u> tant	suppress the alternative-specific constant terms
altwise	use alternativewise deletion instead of casewise deletion
<pre>constraints(constraints)</pre>	apply specified linear constraints
Model 2	
<pre><u>corr</u>elation(correlation)</pre>	correlation structure of the utility errors
<u>std</u> dev(<i>stddev</i>)	variance structure of the utility errors
<u>fact</u> or(#)	use the factor covariance structure with dimension #
<u>struc</u> tural	use the structural covariance parameterization; default is the differenced covariance parameterization
SE/Robust	
vce(<i>vcetype</i>)	<pre>vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, opg, bootstrap, or jackknife</pre>
Reporting	
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
<u>notran</u> sform	do not transform variance-covariance estimates to the standard deviation and correlation metric
<u>nocnsr</u> eport	do not display constraints
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Integration	
<pre>intmethod(seqtype)</pre>	type of quasi-uniform or pseudo-uniform sequence
intpoints(#)	number of points in each sequence
<u>intb</u> urn(#)	starting index in the Hammersley or Halton sequence
<pre>intseed(code #)</pre>	pseudo-uniform random-number seed
<u>anti</u> thetics	use antithetic draws
nopivot	do not use integration interval pivoting
<u>initb</u> hhh(#)	use the BHHH optimization algorithm for the first # iterations
favor(speed space)	favor speed or space when generating integration points

Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>col</u> linear	keep collinear variables
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics
correlation	Description
<u>un</u> structured	one correlation parameter for each pair of alternatives; correlations with the basealternative() are zero; the default
<u>exc</u> hangeable	one correlation parameter common to all pairs of alternatives; correlations with the basealternative() are zero
<u>ind</u> ependent	constrain all correlation parameters to zero
pattern matname	user-specified matrix identifying the correlation pattern
<u>fix</u> ed <i>matname</i>	user-specified matrix identifying the fixed and free correlation
	parameters
stddev	Description
<u>het</u> eroskedastic	estimate standard deviation for each alternative; standard deviation for basealternative() and scalealternative() set to one
<u>hom</u> oskedastic	all standard deviations are one
<u>pat</u> tern <i>matname</i>	user-specified matrix identifying the standard deviation pattern
<u>fix</u> ed <i>matname</i>	user-specified matrix identifying the fixed and free standard deviations
seqtype	Description
<u>ham</u> mersley	Hammersley point set
<u>hal</u> ton	Halton point set
<u>ran</u> dom	uniform pseudo-random point set

You must cmset your data before using cmmprobit; see [CM] cmset.

indepvars and varlist may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

bootstrap, by, collect, jackknife, and statsby are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.

fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

collinear and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

Model

casevars (*varlist*) specifies the case-specific variables that are constant for each case(). If there are a maximum of J alternatives, there will be J - 1 sets of coefficients associated with casevars().

basealternative(#|lbl|str) specifies the alternative used to normalize the level of utility. The base alternative may be specified as a number when the alternatives variable is numeric, as a label when it is numeric and has a value label, or as a string when it is a string variable. The standard deviation for the utility error associated with the base alternative is fixed to one, and its correlations with all other utility errors are set to zero. The default is the first alternative when sorted. If a fixed or pattern matrix is given in the stddev() and correlation() options, the basealternative() will be implied by the fixed standard deviations and correlations in the matrix specifications. basealternative() cannot be equal to scalealternative().

scalealternative(#|lbl|str) specifies the alternative used to normalize the scale of the utility. The
scale alternative may be specified as a number, label, or string. The default is to use the second alternative when sorted. If a fixed or pattern matrix is given in the stddev() option, the
scalealternative() will be implied by the fixed standard deviations in the matrix specification.
scalealternative() cannot be equal to basealternative().

If a fixed or pattern matrix is given for the stddev() option, the base alternative and scale alternative are implied by the standard deviations and correlations in the matrix specifications, and they need not be specified in the basealternative() and scalealternative() options.

noconstant suppresses the J-1 alternative-specific constant terms.

altwise specifies that alternativewise deletion be used when omitting observations because of missing values in your variables. The default is to use casewise deletion; that is, the entire group of observations making up a case is omitted if any missing values are encountered. This option does not apply to observations that are excluded by the if or in qualifier or the by prefix; these observations are always handled alternativewise regardless of whether altwise is specified.

constraints(constraints); see [R] Estimation options.

Model 2

correlation(correlation) specifies the correlation structure of the utility (latent-variable) errors.

- correlation(unstructured) is the most general and has J(J-3)/2 + 1 unique correlation parameters. This is the default unless stddev() or structural is specified.
- correlation(exchangeable) provides for one correlation coefficient common to all utilities, except the utility associated with the basealternative() option.
- correlation(independent) assumes that all correlations are zero.
- correlation(pattern matname) and correlation(fixed matname) give you more flexibility
 in defining the correlation structure. See Covariance structures later in this entry for more infor mation.
- stddev(stddev) specifies the variance structure of the utility (latent-variable) errors.
 - stddev(heteroskedastic) is the most general and has J 2 estimable parameters. The standard deviations of the utility errors for the alternatives specified in basealternative() and scalealternative() are fixed to one.
 - stddev(homoskedastic) constrains all the standard deviations to equal one.
 - stddev(pattern matname) and stddev(fixed matname) give you added flexibility in defining the standard deviation parameters. See Covariance structures later in this entry for more information.

factor (#) requests that the factor covariance structure of dimension # be used. The factor () option can be used with the structural option but cannot be used with stddev() or correlation(). A # × J (or # × J - 1) matrix, C, is used to factor the covariance matrix as I + C'C, where I is the identity matrix of dimension J (or J - 1). The column dimension of C depends on whether the covariance is structural or differenced. The row dimension of C, #, must be less than or equal to floor[$\{J(J-1)/2 - 1\}/(J-2)$] because there are only J(J-1)/2 - 1 identifiable covariance parameters. This covariance parameterization may be useful for reducing the number of covariance parameters that need to be estimated.

If the covariance is structural, the column of C corresponding to the base alternative contains zeros. The column corresponding to the scale alternative has a one in the first row and zeros elsewhere. If the covariance is differenced, the column corresponding to the scale alternative (differenced with the base) has a one in the first row and zeros elsewhere.

structural requests the $J \times J$ structural covariance parameterization instead of the default $J-1 \times J-1$ differenced covariance parameterization (the covariance of the utility errors differenced with that of the base alternative). The differenced covariance parameterization will achieve the same MSL regardless of the choice of basealternative() and scalealternative(). On the other hand, the structural covariance parameterization imposes more normalizations that may bound the model away from its maximum likelihood and thus prevent convergence with some datasets or choices of basealternative() and scalealternative().

SE/Robust

vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim, opg), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster *clustvar*), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

If specifying vce(bootstrap) or vce(jackknife), you must also specify basealternative() and scalealternative().

Reporting

level(#); see [R] Estimation options.

notransform prevents retransforming the Cholesky-factored covariance estimates to the correlation and standard deviation metric. notransform may not be specified on replay.

This option has no effect if structural is not specified because the default differenced covariance estimates have no interesting interpretation as correlations and standard deviations. notransform also has no effect if the correlation() and stddev() options are specified with anything other than their default values. Here it is generally not possible to factor the covariance matrix, so optimization is already performed using the standard deviation and correlation representations.

nocnsreport; see [R] Estimation options.

display_options: noci, nopvalues, <u>noomit</u>ted, vsquish, noemptycells, <u>base</u>levels,

<u>allbase</u>levels, <u>nofvlab</u>el, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(*style*), cformat(%*fmt*), pformat(%*fmt*), sformat(%*fmt*), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.

Integration

- intmethod(hammersley|halton|random) specifies the method of generating the point sets used in the quasi-Monte Carlo integration of the multivariate normal density. intmethod(hammersley), the default, uses the Hammersley sequence; intmethod(halton) uses the Halton sequence; and intmethod(random) uses a sequence of uniform random numbers.
- intpoints (#) specifies the number of points to use in the Monte Carlo integration. If option intmethod(hammersley) or intmethod(halton) is used, the default is 500 + floor $[2.5\sqrt{N_c\{\ln(k+5)+v\}}]$, where N_c is the number of cases, k is the number of coefficients in the model, and v is the number of covariance parameters. If intmethod(random) is used, the number of points is the above times 2. Larger values of intpoints() provide better approximations of the log likelihood at the cost of additional computation time.
- intburn(#) specifies where in the Hammersley or Halton sequence to start, which helps reduce the correlation between the sequences of each dimension. The default is intburn(0). This option may not be specified with intmethod(random).
- intseed(code | #) specifies the seed to use for generating the uniform pseudo-random sequence. This
 option may be specified only with intmethod(random). code refers to a string that records the state
 of the random-number generator runiform(); see [R] set seed. An integer value # may be used also.
 The default is to use the current seed value from Stata's uniform random-number generator, which
 can be obtained from c(rngstate).
- antithetics specifies that antithetic draws be used. The antithetic draw for the J-1 vector uniform-random variables, \mathbf{x} , is $1 \mathbf{x}$.
- nopivot turns off integration interval pivoting. By default, cmmprobit will pivot the wider intervals of integration to the interior of the multivariate integration. This improves the accuracy of the quadrature estimate. However, discontinuities may result in the computation of numerical second-order derivatives using finite differencing (for the Newton-Raphson optimize technique, tech(nr)) when few simulation points are used, resulting in a non-positive-definite Hessian. cmmprobit uses the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno optimization algorithm, by default, which does not require computing the Hessian numerically using finite differencing.
- initbhhh(#) specifies that the Berndt-Hall-Hall-Hausman (BHHH) algorithm be used for the initial # optimization steps. This option is the only way to use the BHHH algorithm along with other optimization techniques. The algorithm switching feature of ml's technique() option cannot include bhhh.
- favor(speed | space) instructs cmmprobit to favor either speed or space when generating the integration points. favor(speed) is the default. When favoring speed, the integration points are generated once and stored in memory, thus increasing the speed of evaluating the likelihood. This speed increase can be seen when there are many cases or when the user specifies many integration points, intpoints(#). When favoring space, the integration points are generated repeatedly with each likelihood evaluation.

For unbalanced data, where the number of alternatives varies with each case, the estimates computed using intmethod(random) will vary slightly between favor(speed) and favor(space). This is because the uniform sequences will not be identical, even when initiating the sequences using the same uniform seed, intseed($code \mid \#$). For favor(speed), ncase blocks of intpoints(#) × J-2 uniform points are generated, where J is the maximum number of alternatives. For favor(space), the column dimension of the matrices of points varies with the number of alternatives that each case has.

Maximization

maximize_options: difficult, technique(algorithm_spec), iterate(#), [no]log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), ltolerance(#), nrtolerance(#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] Maximize.

The following options may be particularly useful in obtaining convergence with cmmprobit: difficult, technique(*algorithm_spec*), nrtolerance(#), nonrtolerance, and from(*init_specs*).

If technique() contains more than one algorithm specification, bhhh cannot be one of them. To use the BHHH algorithm with another algorithm, use the initbhhh() option, and specify the other algorithm in technique().

Setting the optimization type to technique(bhhh) resets the default vcetype to vce(opg).

The default optimization technique is technique(bfgs).

The following options are available with cmmprobit but are not shown in the dialog box:

collinear, coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Introduction The multinomial probit model Covariance structures Applying constraints to correlation parameters Convergence problems

Introduction

cmmprobit fits a multinomial probit (MNP) choice model. The dependent variable is a 0/1 variable indicating which alternative was chosen by an individual or decision maker. The data for each decision maker compose one case, consisting of multiple Stata observations, one for each available alternative.

The choice model can include alternative-specific variables, which vary across both cases and alternatives, and case-specific variables, which vary across only cases. The MNP model allows the random-error term to have a multivariate normal distribution, which can be both heteroskedastic and correlated across the alternatives.

cmmprobit with its correlation() and stddev() options gives you many choices for modeling the covariance of the error term. Also important for specifying the covariance is setting one alternative to be the basealternative() and another to be the scalealternative(). The default choices (the default base alternative is the lowest value of the alternatives variable, and the default scale alternative is the second lowest) may be fine for your model, but you should understand their importance for specifying the covariance parameterization of the model. Note that when we talk about covariance parameters for cmmprobit models, we are referring to the correlation parameters set by correlation() and the standard deviation parameters set by stddev(). See Covariance structures below.

If there are no alternative-specific variables in your model, covariance parameters are not identifiable. For such a model to converge, you would need to use correlation(independent) and stddev(homoskedastic). A better alternative is to use mprobit, which is geared specifically toward models with only case-specific variables. See [R] mprobit. An alternative to MNP that allows a nested correlation structure for the covariance is the nested logit model. See [CM] **nlogit**.

The multinomial probit model

In the MNP model, there are a set of J unordered alternatives, one of which is chosen by each decision maker. These outcomes are modeled by a regression on alternative-specific and case-specific covariates. Underlying the model are J utilities (latent variables),

$$\eta_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_i\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{ij}$$

where *i* denotes cases and *j* denotes alternatives. \mathbf{x}_{ij} is a $1 \times p$ vector of alternative-specific variables, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a $p \times 1$ vector of parameters, \mathbf{z}_i is a $1 \times q$ vector of case-specific variables, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j$ is a $q \times 1$ vector of parameters for the *j*th alternative, and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i = (\xi_{i1}, \dots, \xi_{iJ})$ is distributed as multivariate normal with mean zero and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$.

The *i*th decision maker selects the alternative whose utility η_{ij} is highest.

Because the MNP model allows the covariance of $\boldsymbol{\xi}_i$ to have a general structure, it does not impose the IIA property inherent in multinomial logistic and conditional logistic models. The MNP model permits the probability of choosing one alternative over another to depend on the remaining alternatives.

For example, consider the choice of travel mode between two cities, air, train, bus, or car, as a function of the travel mode cost, travel time (alternative-specific variables), and an individual's income (a case-specific variable). The probability of choosing air travel over a bus may not be independent of the train alternative because both bus and train travel are public ground transportation. That is, the probability of choosing air travel is $\Pr(\eta_{air} > \eta_{bus}, \eta_{air} > \eta_{train}, \eta_{air} > \eta_{car})$, and the two events $\eta_{air} > \eta_{bus}$ and $\eta_{air} > \eta_{train}$ may be correlated.

The added flexibility of the MNP model does impose a significant computational burden because of the need to evaluate probabilities from the multivariate normal distribution. These probabilities are evaluated using a simulation technique because a closed-form solution does not exist. See *Methods and formulas* for more information.

Not all the J sets of regression coefficients α_j are identifiable, nor are all J(J+1)/2 elements of the variance–covariance matrix Ω . As described by Train (2009, sec. 2.5), the model requires normalization because both the location (level) and scale of the utilities are irrelevant. Increasing each utility by a constant does not change which η_{ij} is the maximum for decision maker *i*, nor does multiplying them by a constant.

To normalize location, we choose an alternative, say, k, and take the difference between the utility k and the J-1 others,

$$v_{ijk} = \eta_{ij} - \eta_{ik}$$

$$= (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \mathbf{x}_{ik})\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_k) + \xi_{ij} - \xi_{ik}$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\delta}_{ij'}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_i\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j'} + \epsilon_{ij'}$$

$$= \lambda_{ij'} + \epsilon_{ij'}$$
(1)

where j' = j if j < k and j' = j - 1 if j > k, so that j' = 1, ..., J - 1.

We can now work with the $(J-1) \times (J-1)$ covariance matrix $\Sigma_{(k)}$ for $\epsilon'_i = (\epsilon_{i1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{i,J-1})$. The *k*th alternative here is the basealternative() in cmmprobit. From (1), the probability that decision maker *i* chooses alternative *k*, for example, is

$$\begin{split} \Pr(i \text{ chooses } k) &= \Pr(v_{i1k} \leq 0, \dots, v_{i,J-1,k} \leq 0) \\ &= \Pr(\epsilon_{i1} \leq -\lambda_{i1}, \dots, \epsilon_{i,J-1} \leq -\lambda_{i,J-1}) \end{split}$$

To normalize for scale, one of the diagonal elements of $\Sigma_{(k)}$ must be fixed to a constant. In cmmprobit, this is the error variance for the alternative specified by scalealternative(). Thus, there are a total of, at most, J(J-1)/2 - 1 identifiable variance-covariance parameters. See *Covariance structures* below for more on this issue.

In fact, the model is slightly more general in that not all decision makers need to have faced all J alternatives. The model allows for situations in which the choice sets are unbalanced. That is, some decision makers chose among all possible alternatives, whereas other decision makers were given a choice among a subset of them, and perhaps other decision makers were given a choice among a different subset. The number of observations for each case is equal to the number of alternatives the decision maker faced.

Example 1: Default covariance parameterization

Application of MNP models is common in the analysis of transportation data. Greene (2018, ex. 18.3, 839) uses travel-mode choice data between Sydney and Melbourne to demonstrate estimating parameters of various discrete choice models. The data contain information on 210 individuals' choices of travel mode. The four alternatives are air, train, bus, and car, with indices 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

One alternative-specific variable is travelcost, a measure of generalized cost of travel that is equal to the sum of in-vehicle cost and a wagelike measure times the amount of time spent traveling. A second alternative-specific variable is the terminal time, termtime, which is zero for car transportation. Household income, income, is a case-specific variable.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/travel
(Modes of travel)
```

```
. list id mode choice travelcost termtime income in 1/12, sepby(id)
```

	id	mode	choice	travel~t	termtime	income
1.	1	Air	0	70	69	35
2.	1	Train	0	71	34	35
з.	1	Bus	0	70	35	35
4.	1	Car	1	30	0	35
5.	2	Air	0	68	64	30
6.	2	Train	0	84	44	30
7.	2	Bus	0	85	53	30
8.	2	Car	1	50	0	30
9.	3	Air	0	129	69	40
10.	3	Train	0	195	34	40
11.	3	Bus	0	149	35	40
12.	3	Car	1	101	0	40

Before we can fit our MNP model, we must cmset our data. The first argument to cmset is the case ID variable. For these data, it is the variable id, which identifies individuals. The second argument is the alternatives variable, which identifies the alternatives that could have been chosen. In this instance, it is the variable mode, which gives the choices of travel mode.

We cmset the data and also run cmtab to see a tabulation of the chosen alternatives.

```
. cmset id mode
     Case ID variable: id
Alternatives variable: mode
. cmtab, choice(choice)
Tabulation of chosen alternatives (choice = 1)
Travel mode
alternative
                    Freq.
                               Percent
                                               Cum.
          s
        Air
                       58
                                 27.62
                                              27.62
                       63
                                 30.00
                                              57.62
      Train
        Bus
                       30
                                 14.29
                                              71.90
        Car
                       59
                                 28.10
                                             100.00
      Total
                      210
                                100.00
```

The model of travel choice is

 $\eta_{ij} = \beta_1 \texttt{travelcost}_{ij} + \beta_2 \texttt{termtime}_{ij} + \alpha_{1j} \texttt{income}_i + \alpha_{0j} + \xi_{ij}$

The alternatives can be grouped as air and ground travel. With this in mind, we want the air alternative to be the basealternative() and choose train as the scaling alternative. Because these are the first and second alternatives in the mode variable, they are the defaults for basealternative() and scalealternative(), respectively.

```
. cmmprobit choice travelcost termtime, casevars(income)
Iteration 0:
             Log simulated-likelihood = -201.33776
             Log simulated-likelihood = -201.00395
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 1:
             Log simulated-likelihood = -200.80016
Iteration 2:
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 3:
             Log simulated-likelihood = -200.79329
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 4:
             Log simulated-likelihood = -200.54677
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 5:
             Log simulated-likelihood = -200.52959
                                                     (backed up)
             Log simulated-likelihood = -197.81655
Iteration 6:
Iteration 7:
             Log simulated-likelihood = -196.60511
Iteration 8:
             Log simulated-likelihood = -195.23981
             Log simulated-likelihood = -194.97557
Iteration 9:
Iteration 10: Log simulated-likelihood = -193.8367
Iteration 11: Log simulated-likelihood = -192.71514
Iteration 12: Log simulated-likelihood = -192.62109
Iteration 13: Log simulated-likelihood = -192.29533
Iteration 14: Log simulated-likelihood = -191.79816
Iteration 15: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.6148
Iteration 16: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.47993
Iteration 17: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.22168
Iteration 18: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.17784
Iteration 19: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.11022
Iteration 20: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.10726
Iteration 21: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.0955
Iteration 22: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09542
Iteration 23: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09343
Iteration 24: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.0933
```

Iteration 25: Iteration 26:	Log simulated	l-likelihood l-likelihood	= -190. = -190.	09323 09322		
Multinomial p Case ID varia	robit choice m ble: id		Number o Number o	f obs f cases	= 840 = 210	
Alternatives	variable: mode	Alts per	= 4 = 4.0 = 4			
Integration s Integration p Log simulated	equence: oints: -likelihood =	Hammersley 600 -190.09322		Wald Prob	chi2(5) > chi2	= 32.16 = 0.0000
choice	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% con	f. interval]
mode travelcost termtime	0097691 0377086	.0027817 .0093869	-3.51 -4.02	0.000	0152211 0561066	0043171 0193107
Air	(base alter	mative)				
Train income _cons	0292031 .561912	.0089218 .3945781	-3.27 1.42	0.001 0.154	0466894 2114469	0117168 1.335271
Bus income cons	0127548 0572901	.00793 .4789444	-1.61 -0.12	0.108 0.905	0282973 9960038	.0027876 .8814236
Car income _cons	0049142 -1.832941	.0077449 .8171904	-0.63 -2.24	0.526 0.025	0200939 -3.434605	.0102656 2312773
/lnl2_2 /lnl3_3	5490422 6018061	.3889427 .3355375	-1.41 -1.79	0.158 0.073	-1.311356 -1.259447	.2132714 .0558352
/12_1 /13_1 /13_2	1.132598 .971829 .5201047	.2125209 .2350542 .2851798	5.33 4.13 1.82	0.000 0.000 0.068	.7160651 .5111312 0388374	1.549132 1.432527 1.079047

(mode=Air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=Train is the alternative normalizing scale)

By default, the differenced covariance parameterization is used, so the covariance matrix for this model is 3×3 . There are two free variances to estimate and three correlations. To help ensure that the covariance matrix remains positive definite, cmmprobit uses the square root transformation, where it optimizes on the Cholesky-factored variance–covariance. To ensure that the diagonal elements of the Cholesky estimates remain positive, we use a log transformation.

The estimates labeled /lnl2_2 and /lnl3_3 in the coefficient table are the log-transformed diagonal elements of the Cholesky matrix. The estimates labeled /l2_1, /l3_1, and /l3_2 are the off-diagonal entries for elements (2, 1), (3, 1), and (3, 2) of the Cholesky matrix.

The transformed parameters of the differenced covariance parameterization are difficult to interpret. You can view the untransformed covariance and correlation using the estat command. Typing

```
. estat covariance
```

	Train	Bus	Car
Train Bus Car	2 1.601736 1.374374	1.616288 1.401054	1.515069

Note: Covariances are for alternatives differenced with Air.

gives the covariance estimates, and typing

. estat correlation

	Train	Bus	Car
Train Bus Car	1.0000 0.8909 0.7895	1.0000 0.8953	1.0000

Note: Correlations are for alternatives differenced with Air.

gives the correlation estimates.

The pairwise correlations among the choices of train, bus, or car relative to the choice of air are all large. This is telling us that after controlling for travel cost and terminal time, the utilities for train, bus, and car relative to the utility of air are similar. To look more closely at the relative differences in utilities of train, bus, and car, we might want to make each one of them in turn the base alternative and examine those models.

After you have fit what you consider your final model, you should run the same model again, but this time setting intpoints(#), the number of integration points in the simulated likelihood to a larger number. In this example, we see from the header that the default number of points was 600. We would run our model again using, say, 2000 points and see by how much the coefficient or covariance parameter estimates change. If changes are small compared with standard errors, we can have confidence in the numerical soundness of the simulation used to compute the likelihood. See Setting the number of integration points in [CM] Intro 5 for more information.

Example 2: Reducing the number of covariance parameters

We can reduce the number of covariance parameters in the model by using the factor model by Cameron and Trivedi (2005). For large models with many alternatives, the parameter reduction can be dramatic, but for our example, we will use factor (1), a one-dimension factor model, to reduce by 3 the number of parameters associated with the covariance matrix.

. cmmprobit cl	noice travelco	st termtime	, caseva	rs(income) factor(1)	
Iteration 0: Iteration 1: Iteration 2: Iteration 2: Iteration 3: Iteration 4: Iteration 5: Iteration 6: Iteration 6: Iteration 7: Iteration 8: Iteration 9: Iteration 10: Iteration 11: Iteration 12: Iteration 13: Iteration 14: Iteration 15:	Log simulated Log simulated	-likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood -likelihood	= -201. = -201. = -200. = -200. = -200. = -198. = -198. = -197. = -197. = -197. = -196. = -196. = -196.	33776 00464 (t) 80379 (t) 80009 (t) 55104 (t) 68574 26038 71947 .7005 32998 87087 85618 85618 856478 85472 85472	packed up) packed up) packed up) packed up) packed up)	
Multinomial p	robit choice m	odel		Number o	of obs =	840
Case ID varial	ole: id			Number o	of cases =	210
Alternatives	variable: mode			Alts per	case: min =	4
					avg =	4.0
Integration a		Hommorglow			max =	4
Integration be	oints:	600		Wald	chi2(5) =	107.88
Log simulated	-likelihood =	-196.85472		Prob	> chi2 =	0.0000
	I					
choice	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
mode						
travelcost	0093706	.0036333	-2.58	0.010	0164918	0022494
termtime	0593265	.0064585	-9.19	0.000	0719849	0466682
Air	(base alter	native)				,
income	- 0373607	0098226	-3 80	0 000	- 0566127	- 0181087
cons	.1094541	.3949657	0.28	0.782	6646645	.8835727
Bus						
income	015879	.0112245	-1.41	0.157	0378785	.0061206
	-1.082191	.4678666	-2.31	0.021	-1.999193	1651896
Car						
income	.0042621	.0092623	0.46	0.645	0138917	.0224159
_cons	-3.76572	.5541552	-6.80	0.000	-4.851844	-2.679596
/c1_2	1.182696	.3061336	3.86	0.000	.5826855	1.782707
/c1_3	1.228152	.3404839	3.61	0.000	.5608163	1.895489

(mode=Air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=Train is the alternative normalizing scale)

The estimates labeled /c1_2 and /c1_3 in the coefficient table are the factor loadings. These factor loadings produce the following differenced covariance estimates:

estat	covariance

	Train	Bus	Car
Train Bus Car	2 1.182696 1.228152	2.398771 1.452531	2.508358

Note: Covariances are for alternatives differenced with Air.

They also produce the following correlation estimates:

. estat correlation

	Train	Bus	Car
Train Bus	1.0000	1 0000	
Car	0.5483	0.5922	1.0000

Note: Correlations are for alternatives differenced with Air.

Covariance structures

The matrix Ω has J(J+1)/2 distinct elements because it is symmetric. Selecting a base alternative, normalizing its error variance to one, and constraining the correlations between its error and the other errors reduce the number of estimable parameters by J. Moreover, selecting a scale alternative and normalizing its error variance to one also reduce the number by one. Hence, there are at most m = J(J-1)/2 - 1 estimable parameters in Ω .

In practice, estimating all m parameters can be difficult, so one must often place more restrictions on the parameters. The cmmprobit command provides the correlation() option to specify restrictions on the J(J-3)/2 + 1 correlation parameters not already restricted as a result of choosing the base alternatives, and it provides stddev() to specify restrictions on the J-2 standard deviations not already restricted as a result of choosing the base and scale alternatives.

When the structural option is used, cmmprobit fits the model by assuming that all m parameters can be estimated, which is equivalent to specifying correlation(unstructured) and stddev(heteroskedastic). The unstructured correlation structure means that all J(J-3)/2 + 1 of the remaining correlation parameters will be estimated, and the heteroskedastic specification means that all J-2 standard deviations will be estimated. With these default settings, the log likelihood is maximized with respect to the Cholesky decomposition of Ω , and then the parameters are transformed to the standard deviation and correlation form.

The correlation(exchangeable) option forces the J(J-3)/2 + 1 correlation parameters to be equal, and correlation(independent) forces all the correlations to be zero. Using the stddev(homoskedastic) option forces all J standard deviations to be one. These options may help in obtaining convergence for a model if the default options do not produce satisfactory results. In fact, when you fit a complex model, it may be advantageous to first fit a simple model with only a few covariance parameters (that is, constraining some elements of the covariance) and then remove the constraints one at a time.

4

Advanced users may wish to specify alternative covariance structures of their own choosing, and the next few paragraphs explain how to do so.

correlation (pattern *matname*) allows you to give the name of a $J \times J$ matrix that identifies a correlation structure. Sequential positive integers starting at 1 are used to identify each correlation parameter. For example, if there are three correlation parameters, they are identified by 1, 2, and 3. The integers can be repeated to indicate that correlations with the same number should be constrained to be equal. A zero or a missing value (.) indicates that the correlation is to be set to zero. cmmprobit considers only the elements of the matrix *below* the main diagonal.

Suppose that you have a model with four alternatives, numbered 1, 2, 3, and 4, and alternative 1 is the base. The unstructured and exchangeable correlation structures identified in the 4×4 lower triangular matrices are

	unstructured			exchangeable								
	1	2	3	4				1	2	3	4	
1	(•						1	(•				
2	0						2	0				
3	0	1	•				3	0	1			
4	0/	2	3	.)			4	0/	1	1	.]	

In cmmprobit, these correlation structures correspond to correlation(unstructured) and correlation(exchangeable), respectively, even though the correlations corresponding to the base alternative are set to zero. More formally: these terms are appropriate when considering the $(J-1)\times(J-1)$ submatrix $\Sigma_{(k)}$ defined in *The multinomial probit model* above.

You can also use the correlation(fixed *matname*) option to specify a matrix that specifies fixed and free parameters. Here the free parameters (those that are to be estimated) are identified by a missing value, and nonmissing values represent correlations that are to be taken as given. Below is a correlation structure that would set the correlations of alternative 1 to be 0.5:

	1	2	3	4
1	(•			
2	0.5			
3	0.5			
4	0.5			. /

The row and column numbers of the elements of the pattern and fixed matrices correspond to the order of the alternative levels.

To specify the structure of the standard deviations—the diagonal elements of Ω —you can use the stddev(pattern matname) option, where matname is a $1 \times J$ matrix. Sequential positive integers starting at 1 are used to identify each standard deviation parameter. The integers can be repeated to indicate that standard deviations with the same number are to be constrained to be equal. A missing value indicates that the corresponding standard deviation is to be set to one. Suppose that in example 1 with four alternatives, you wish to set the first and second standard deviations to one and constrain the third and fourth standard deviations to be equal. The following pattern matrix will do this:

Using the stddev(fixed *matname*) option allows you to identify the fixed and free standard deviations. Fixed standard deviations are entered as positive real numbers, and free parameters are identified with missing values. For example, to constrain the first and second standard deviations to equal 0.5 and to allow the third and fourth to be estimated, you would use this fixed matrix:

	1	2	3	4
1	(0.5	0.5	•	•)

When supplying either the pattern or the fixed matrices, you must ensure that the model is properly scaled. At least two standard deviations must be constant for the model to be scaled. A warning is issued if cmmprobit detects that the model is not scaled.

Example 3: Optional structural covariance parameterization

In example 1, we used the differenced covariance parameterization, the default. We now use the structural option to view the J-2 standard deviation estimates and the (J-1)(J-2)/2 correlation estimates. By default, air is the base alternative, so its standard deviation will be constrained to 1, and its correlations with the other alternatives will be constrained to 0. By default, train is the scale alternative, and its standard deviation will be constrained to 1.

```
. cmmprobit choice travelcost termtime, casevars(income) structural
Iteration 0:
             Log simulated-likelihood = -201.33776
Iteration 1: Log simulated-likelihood = -201.00424
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 2: Log simulated-likelihood = -200.80141
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 3: Log simulated-likelihood = -200.79567
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 4: Log simulated-likelihood = -200.55267
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 5: Log simulated-likelihood = -200.53715
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 6: Log simulated-likelihood = -199.76054
Iteration 7: Log simulated-likelihood = -198.60402
Iteration 8: Log simulated-likelihood = -197.81905
Iteration 9: Log simulated-likelihood = -195.04024
Iteration 10: Log simulated-likelihood = -193.77392
                                                     (backed up)
Iteration 11: Log simulated-likelihood = -192.64657
Iteration 12: Log simulated-likelihood = -192.42437
Iteration 13: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.84776
Iteration 14: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.34744
Iteration 15: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.25259
Iteration 16: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.14042
Iteration 17: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.1239
Iteration 18: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.11142
Iteration 19: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.10248
Iteration 20: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09721
Iteration 21: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09429
Iteration 22: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09354
Iteration 23: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09329
Iteration 24: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09322
Iteration 25: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09321
Reparameterizing to correlation metric and refining estimates
Iteration 0: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09321
Iteration 1: Log simulated-likelihood = -190.09321
```

Multinomial p Case ID varia	robit choice n ble: id	Number o Number o	of obs = of cases =	840 210		
Alternatives	variable: mode	e		Alts per	case: min = avg = max =	4 4.0 4
Integration s	equence:	Hammersley				
Integration p Log simulated	oints: -likelihood =	600 -190.09321		Wald Prob	chi2(5) = > chi2 =	32.15 0.0000
choice	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
mode						
travelcost	009769	.0027817	-3.51	0.000	0152211	0043169
termtime	0377166	.0093909	-4.02	0.000	0561223	0193108
Air	(base alter	rnative)				
Train						
income	0292123	.0089235	-3.27	0.001	046702	0117226
_cons	.5619727	.394604	1.42	0.154	211437	1.335382
Bus						
income	0127564	.0079299	-1.61	0.108	0282987	.0027858
_cons	057269	.4789789	-0.12	0.905	9960504	.8815123
Car						
income	0049143	.0077456	-0.63	0.526	0200954	.0102668
_cons	-1.833353	.8173825	-2.24	0.025	-3.435394	2313131
/lnsigma3	2422848	.492656	-0.49	0.623	-1.207873	.7233032
/lnsigma4	3315386	.6489737	-0.51	0.609	-1.603504	.9404266
/atanhr3 2	1.011829	.3874629	2.61	0.009	.2524152	1.771242
/atanhr4_2	.578313	.3936403	1.47	0.142	1932078	1.349834
/atanhr4_3	.8904187	.5589308	1.59	0.111	2050654	1.985903
sigma1	1	(base alter	native)			
sigma2	1	(scale alte	ernative)			
sigma3	.7848326	.3866525			.2988322	2.061231
sigma4	.7178185	.4658453			.2011904	2.561074
rho3_2	.7665173	. 1598096			.2471877	.9437454
rho4_2	.5214382	.2866104			1908391	.874014
rho4_3	.7116005	.2759021			2022385	.963018

(mode=Air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=Train is the alternative normalizing scale)

. estimates store full

When comparing this output with that of example 1, we see that we have achieved the same log likelihood. That is, the structural parameterization using air as the base alternative and train as the scale alternative applied no restrictions on the model. This will not always be the case. We leave it up to you to try different base and scale alternatives, and you will see that not all the different combinations will achieve the same log likelihood. This is not true for the differenced covariance parameterization: it will always achieve the same log likelihood (and the maximum possible likelihood) regardless of the base and scale alternatives. This is why it is the default parameterization.

For an exercise, we can compute the differenced covariance displayed in example 1 by using the following ado-code.

		Air	Train	Bus	Car		
	Air	1					
	Train	0	1				
	Bus	0	.6015877	.6159622			
	Car	0	.3742979	.4008925	.5152634		
. 1 mat	. return list matrices:						
	r(cov) : 4 x 4						
. г	. matrix cov = r(cov)						
. I	. matrix M = $(1,-1,0,0 \setminus 1,0,-1,0 \setminus 1,0,0,-1)$						
. I	<pre>matrix cov1 = M*cov*M'</pre>						
. г	. matrix list cov1						

r3

1.5152634

r2

1.6159622

. estat covariance

symmetric cov1[3,3]
 r1

1.6015877

2

r3 1.3742979 1.4008925

r1

r2

The slight difference in the regression coefficients between the results here and example 1 reflects the accuracy of the [M-5] **ghk()** algorithm using 600 points from the Hammersley sequence.

4

Example 4: Exchangeable correlation matrix

We now fit a model with an exchangeable correlation matrix and compare this model with the one in example 3 using a likelihood-ratio test.

. cmmprobit cl	noice travelco	ost termtime,	caseva	rs(income)	correlation	(exchangeable)
(output omitted	!)					
Multinomial p Case ID varia	robit choice n ole: id	nodel		Number of Number of	obs = cases =	840 210
Alternatives v	variable: mode	9		Alts per	case: min = avg = max =	4 4.0 4
Integration so Integration po Log simulated	equence: pints: -likelihood =	Hammersley 600 -190.46413		Wald c Prob >	hi2(5) = chi2 =	53.58 0.0000
choice	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
mode travelcost termtime	008464 034535	.0020451 .0072813	-4.14 -4.74	0.000 0.000	0124723 0488061	0044557 020264
Air	(base alter	rnative)				
Train income _cons	0290381 .552031	.008323 .3719408	-3.49 1.48	0.000 0.138	0453508 1769595	0127254 1.281021
Bus income _cons	0132539 0051467	.0074135 .4338001	-1.79 -0.01	0.074 0.991	027784 8553792	.0012762
Car income _cons	0060867 -1.565633	.0066376	-0.92 -2.36	0.359 0.018	0190962 -2.86562	.0069228 2656464
/lnsigmaP1 /lnsigmaP2	3555 -1.308023	.1971535 .8859477	-1.80 -1.48	0.071 0.140	7419137 -3.044449	.0309138 .428402
/atanhrP1	1.116886	.3764578	2.97	0.003	.3790423	1.85473
sigma1 sigma2 sigma3 sigma4	1 1 .700823 .2703539	(base altern (scale alter .1381697 .2395194	ative) native)		.4762017 .0476225	1.031397 1.534803
rho3_2 rho4_2 rho4_3	.8064831 .8064831 .8064831	.131604 .131604 .131604			.3618755 .3618755 .3618755	.9521894 .9521894 .9521894

(mode=Air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=Train is the alternative normalizing scale)

. estat covariance

	Air	Train	Bus	Car
Air	1			
Train	0	1		
Bus	0	.5652019	.4911528	
Car	0	.2180358	.1528045	.0730912

. estat correlation

	Air	Train	Bus	Car
Air Train Bus Car	1.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	1.0000 0.8065 0.8065	1.0000 0.8065	1.0000

. lrtest full .
Likelihood-ratio test
Assumption: . nested within full
LR chi2(2) = 0.74
Prob > chi2 = 0.6901

The likelihood-ratio test suggests that a common correlation is a plausible hypothesis, but this could be an artifact of the small sample size.

The labeling of the standard deviation and correlation estimates has changed from /lnsigma and /atanhr, in the previous example, to /lnsigmaP and /atanhrP. The "P" identifies the parameter's index in the pattern matrices used by cmmprobit. The pattern matrices are stored in e(stdpattern) and e(corpattern).

4

Applying constraints to correlation parameters

Another way to fit the model with the exchangeable correlation structure in example 4 is to use the constraint command to define the constraints on the /atanhr correlation parameters explicitly and then apply those to cmmprobit with the structural option.

```
. constraint 1 [atanhr3_2]_cons = [atanhr4_2]_cons
. constraint 2 [atanhr3_2]_cons = [atanhr4_3]_cons
. cmmprobit choice travelcost termtime, casevars(income) constraints(1 2)
> structural
```

With this method, however, we must keep track of what correlation parameterizations are used in estimation, and that depends on the options specified.

Example 5: Specifying a pattern matrix for the correlation

In the last example, we used the correlation(exchangeable) option, reducing the number of correlation parameters from three to one. We can explore a two-parameter correlation model by specifying a pattern matrix in the correlation() option. Recall the description given in *Covariance structures*: pattern matrices are specified using missing values or zeros to indicate correlations set to zero, and a pattern of sequential integers 1, 2, ... to indicate correlations constrained to be equal. All correlations in positions with a 1 are made equal, all those marked with a 2 are equal, etc.

```
. matrix corpat = J(4, 4, .)
. matrix corpat[3,2] = 1
. matrix corpat[4,3] = 1
. matrix corpat[4,2] = 2
. matrix list corpat
corpat[4,4]
   c1 c2 c3 c4
r1
    .
        .
r2
        .
r3
      1.
   .
                .
      2 1
r4
```

<pre>. cmmprobit cl > correlation (output omitted</pre>	hoice travelco (pattern corpa !)	ost termtime, at)	caseva	rs(income)		
Multinomial pr Case ID varial	robit choice m ble: id	nodel		Number of Number of	obs = cases =	840 210
Alternatives	llternatives variable: mode				case: min = avg = max =	4 4.0 4
Integration se Integration pe Log simulated	equence: pints: -likelihood =	Hammersley 600 -190.11427		Wald c Prob >	chi2(5) = chi2 =	40.14 0.0000
choice	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
mode						
travelcost termtime	0099047 0385161	.0027288 .0085542	-3.63 -4.50	0.000	0152531 055282	0045563 0217501
Air	(base alter	rnative)				
Train						
income _cons	0294176 .5623591	.0089726 .3985858	-3.28 1.41	0.001 0.158	0470036 2188547	0118317 1.343573
Bus						
income _cons	0126298 0790918	.0080906 .4743972	-1.56 -0.17	0.119 0.868	0284871 -1.008893	.0032276 .8507097
Car						
income _cons	0048661 -1.880932	.0079038 .7879178	-0.62 -2.39	0.538 0.017	0203573 -3.425223	.0106251 3366416
/lnsigmaP1 /lnsigmaP2	169762 2570832	.3069501 .4928909	-0.55 -0.52	0.580 0.602	7713733 -1.223132	.4318492 .7089652
/atanhrP1 /atanhrP2	.9761636 .5716999	.3285363 .3792762	2.97 1.51	0.003 0.132	.3322443 1716678	1.620083 1.315067
sigma1 sigma2 sigma3 sigma4	1 1 .8438656 .7733039	(base altern (scale alter .2590247 .3811544	ative) native)		.4623777 .2943071	1.540103 2.031888
rho3_2 rho4_2 rho4_3	.7514003 .5166066 .7514003	.1430439 .278054 .1430439			.320536 1700011 .320536	.9246362 .865552 .9246362

(mode=Air is the alternative normalizing location) (mode=Train is the alternative normalizing scale)

We display the covariance and correlation estimates:

. estat covariance

	Air	Train	Bus	Car
Air Train Bus Car	1 0 0 0	1 .6340809 .3994939	.7121092 .4903372	. 5979989

	Air	Train	Bus	Car
Air	1.0000			
Train	0.0000	1.0000		
Bus	0.0000	0.7514	1.0000	
Car	0.0000	0.5166	0.7514	1.0000

The alternative air is the basealternative(), so its standard deviation is 1 and its correlation with other alternatives is 0. Because train is the scalealternative(), its standard deviation is 1. The missing values in our pattern matrix were specified consistently with these settings.

The correlation of bus and train and the correlation of bus and car are equal because of the specification of "1 1" in the matrix corpat. There was only one "2" in corpat, so the correlation of train and car was unconstrained.

4

Convergence problems

If you experience convergence problems, first try increasing intpoints(), the number of points used to compute the integral in the simulated likelihood. See *Setting the number of integration points* in [CM] Intro 5.

Second, examine your model specification, and reduce the number of variance and correlation parameters you are estimating. Start with a simple model with only one or two parameters, and then increase the number of parameters one by one. When the true variance for one or more elements of the variance–covariance matrix is zero, the model will have problems converging, as it should because the model is misspecified.

If you are using the structural option, note that changing the basealternative() and scalealternative() can affect convergence because different specifications for them can possibly specify different models.

Third, try using different starting values, such as convergent results from a simpler model. See the from() option in [R] Maximize.

Finally, you may want to try specifying nopivot, specifying antithetics, specifying technique(nr) with difficult, or specifying a switching algorithm in the technique() option. If you wish to use the BHHH algorithm along with another maximization algorithm in technique(), you must specify the initbhhh(#) option, where # is the number of BHHH iterations to use before switching to the algorithm specified in technique(). See technique(*algorithm_spec*) in [R] Maximize.

Stored results

cmmprobit stores the following in e():

Scalars

	e(N)	number of observations
	e(N_case)	number of cases
	e(N_ic)	N for Bayesian information criterion (BIC)
	e(N_clust)	number of clusters
	e(k)	number of parameters
	e(k_alt)	number of alternatives
	e(k_indvars)	number of alternative-specific variables
	e(k_casevars)	number of case-specific variables
	e(k_sigma)	number of variance estimates
	e(k_rho)	number of correlation estimates
	e(k_eq)	number of equations in e(b)
	e(k_eg_model)	number of equations in overall model test
	e(df_m)	model degrees of freedom
	e(11)	log simulated-likelihood
	e(const)	constant indicator
	e(i base)	hase alternative index
	e(i scale)	scale alternative index
	e(mc points)	number of Monte Carlo replications
	e (me_points)	starting sequence index
	e(mc_build)	antithetics indicator
	e(mc_antituetics)	2^{2}
		χ
	e(p)	<i>p</i> -value for model test
	e(fullcov)	1 if atmustrated according of a thermise
	e(structcov)	Chalastry factored covariance, 0 otherwise
	e(cholesky)	cholesky-lactored covariance indicator
	e(alt_min)	minimum number of alternatives
	e(alt_avg)	average number of alternatives
	e(alt_max)	maximum number of alternatives
	e(rank)	rank of e (V)
	e(ic)	number of iterations
	e(rc)	return code
	e(converged)	1 if converged, 0 otherwise
Ma	cros	
	e(cmd)	cmmprobit
	e(cmdline)	command as typed
	e(depvar)	name of dependent variable
	e(caseid)	name of case ID variable
	e(altvar)	name of alternatives variable
	e(altegs)	alternative equation names
	e(alt#)	alternative labels
	e(wtvpe)	weight type
	e(wexp)	weight expression
	e(marktype)	casewise or altwise, type of markout
	e(kev N ic)	cases, key for N for Bayesian information criterion (BIC)
	e(title)	title in estimation output
	e(clustvar)	name of cluster variable
	e(correlation)	correlation structure
	e(stddev)	variance structure
	e(chi2tupe)	Wald type of model χ^2 test
	e(uce)	wature specified in χ_{cost}
		title used to label Std. err
	e(vcetype)	tune of optimization
		type of optimization
	e(WIIICI)	max of min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
	e(mr_mernod)	type of mr method

<pre>e(mc_method) e(mc_rngstate) e(user) e(technique) e(datasignature) e(datasignaturevars) e(properties) e(estat_cmd) e(predict) e(marginsok) e(marginsnotok) e(asbalanced)</pre>	technique used to generate sequences random-number state used name of likelihood-evaluator program maximization technique the checksum variables used in calculation of checksum b V program used to implement estat program used to implement predict predictions allowed by margins predictions disallowed by margins factor variables fvset as asbalanced
e(asobserved)	factor variables fvset as asobserved
Matrices	
e(b)	coefficient vector
e(stats)	alternative statistics
e(stdpattern)	variance pattern
e(stdfixed)	fixed and free standard deviations
e(altvals)	alternative values
e(altfreq)	alternative frequencies
e(alt_casevars)	indicators for estimated case-specific coefficients—e(k_alt) × e(k_casevars)
e(corpattern)	correlation structure
e(corfixed)	fixed and free correlations
e(Cns)	constraints matrix
e(ilog)	iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
e(gradient)	gradient vector
e(V)	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
e(V_modelbased)	model-based variance
Functions	
e(sample)	marks estimation sample

In addition to the above, the following is stored in r():

Matrices

r(table)

matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, p-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in r() are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

Methods and formulas

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

Overview Simulated likelihood

Overview

The simulated maximum-likelihood estimates for the MNP are obtained using m1; see [R] ml. The likelihood evaluator implements the Geweke–Hajivassiliou–Keane (GHK) algorithm to approximate the multivariate distribution function (Geweke 1989; Hajivassiliou and McFadden 1998; Keane and Wolpin 1994). The technique is also described in detail by Genz (1992), but Genz describes a more general algorithm where both lower and upper bounds of integration are finite. We briefly describe the GHK simulator and refer you to Bolduc (1999) for the score computations.

As discussed earlier, the utilities (latent variables) for a *J*-alternative model are $\eta_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_i\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j + \xi_{ij}$, for $j = 1, \ldots, J$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\boldsymbol{\xi}'_i = (\xi_{i,1}, \ldots, \xi_{i,J}) \sim \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Omega})$. The experimenter observes alternative k for the *i*th observation if $k = \arg \max(\eta_{ij}, j = 1, \ldots, J)$. Let

$$\begin{split} v_{ij'} &= \eta_{ij} - \eta_{ik} \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \mathbf{x}_{ik})\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_j - \boldsymbol{\alpha}_k) + \xi_{ij} - \xi_{ik} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\delta}_{ij'}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_i\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j'} + \epsilon_{ij'} \end{split}$$

where j' = j if j < k and j' = j-1 if j > k, so that j' = 1, ..., J-1. Further, $\epsilon_i = (\epsilon_{i1}, ..., \epsilon_{i,J-1}) \sim MVN(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_{(k)})$. Σ is indexed by k because it depends on the choice made. We denote the deterministic part of the model as $\lambda_{ij'} = \delta_{ij'}\beta + \mathbf{z}_j\gamma_{j'}$, and the probability of this event is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(y_{i} = k) &= \Pr(v_{i1} \leq 0, \dots, v_{i,J-1} \leq 0) \\ &= \Pr(\epsilon_{i1} \leq -\lambda_{i1}, \dots, \epsilon_{i,J-1} \leq -\lambda_{i,J-1}) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-(J-1)/2} |\mathbf{\Sigma}_{(k)}|^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{-\lambda_{i1}} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{-\lambda_{i,J-1}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}'\mathbf{\Sigma}_{(k)}^{-1}\mathbf{z}\right) d\mathbf{z} \end{aligned}$$
(2)

Simulated likelihood

For clarity in the discussion that follows, we drop the index denoting case so that for an arbitrary observation $v' = (v_1, \ldots, v_{J-1})$, $\lambda' = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{J-1})$, and $\epsilon' = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{J-1})$.

The Cholesky-factored variance–covariance, $\Sigma = LL'$, is lower triangular,

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0\\ l_{21} & l_{22} & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots\\ l_{J-1,1} & l_{J-1,2} & \dots & l_{J-1,J-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the correlated utility errors can be expressed as linear functions of uncorrelated normal variates, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, where $\boldsymbol{\zeta}' = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{J-1})$ and $\zeta_j \sim \text{iid } N(0, 1)$. We now have $\boldsymbol{\upsilon} = \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, and by defining

$$z_{j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{11}} & \text{for } j = 1\\ -\frac{\lambda_{j} + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} l_{ji}\zeta_{i}}{l_{jj}} & \text{for } j = 2, \dots, J-1 \end{cases}$$
(3)

we can express the probability statement (2) as the product of conditional probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(y_i = k) &= \Pr\left(\zeta_1 \le z_1\right) \Pr\left(\zeta_2 \le z_2 \mid \zeta_1 \le z_1\right) \cdots \\ \Pr\left(\zeta_{J-1} \le z_{J-1} \mid \zeta_1 \le z_1, \dots, \zeta_{J-2} \le z_{J-2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{split} \Pr(v_1 \leq 0) &= \Pr(\lambda_1 + l_{11}\zeta_1 \leq 0) \\ &= \Pr\left(\zeta_1 \leq -\frac{\lambda_1}{l_{11}}\right) \\ \Pr(v_2 \leq 0) &= \Pr(\lambda_2 + l_{21}\zeta_1 + l_{22}\zeta_2 \leq 0) \\ &= \Pr\left(\zeta_2 \leq -\frac{\lambda_2 + l_{21}\zeta_1}{l_{22}} \mid \zeta_1 \leq -\frac{\lambda_1}{l_{11}}\right) \end{split}$$

The Monte Carlo algorithm then must make draws from the truncated standard normal distribution. It does so by generating J - 1 uniform variates, $\delta_j, j = 1, ..., J - 1$, and computing

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{j} = \begin{cases} \Phi^{-1} \left\{ \delta_{1} \Phi \left(-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{11}} \right) \right\} \text{for } j = 1 \\ \Phi^{-1} \left\{ \delta_{j} \Phi \left(\frac{-\lambda_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} l_{ji} \tilde{\zeta}_{i}}{l_{jj}} \right) \right\} & \text{for } j = 2, \dots, J-1 \end{cases}$$

Define \tilde{z}_i by replacing $\tilde{\zeta}_i$ for ζ_i in (3) so that the simulated probability for the *l*th draw is

$$p_l = \prod_{j=1}^{J-1} \Phi(\tilde{z}_j)$$

To increase accuracy, the bounds of integration, λ_j , are ordered so that the largest integration intervals are on the inside. The rows and columns of the variance–covariance matrix are pivoted accordingly (Genz 1992).

For a more detailed description of the GHK algorithm in Stata, see Gates (2006).

Repeated draws are made, say, N, and the simulated likelihood for the *i*th case, denoted \hat{L}_i , is computed as

$$\widehat{L}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} p_l$$

The overall log simulated-likelihood is $\sum_i \log \hat{L}_i$.

If the true likelihood is L_i , the error bound on the approximation can be expressed as

$$|\widehat{L}_i - L_i| \leq V(L_i) D_N\{(\delta_i)\}$$

where $V(L_i)$ is the total variation of L_i and D_N is the discrepancy, or nonuniformity, of the set of abscissas. For the uniform pseudo-random sequence, δ_i , the discrepancy is of order $O\{(\log \log N/N)^{1/2}\}$. The order of discrepancy can be improved by using quasi-random sequences.

Quasi-Monte Carlo integration is carried out by cmmprobit by replacing the uniform deviates with either the Halton or the Hammersley sequences. These sequences spread the points more evenly than the uniform random sequence and have a smaller order of discrepancy, $O\left[\{(\log N)^{J-1}\}/N\right]$ and $O\left[\{(\log N)^{J-2}\}/N\right]$, respectively. The Halton sequence of dimension J-1 is generated from the first J-1 primes, p_k , so that on draw l we have $\mathbf{h}_l = \{r_{p_1}(l), r_{p_2}(l), \ldots, r_{p_{J-1}}(l)\}$, where

$$r_{p_k}(l) = \sum_{j=0}^q b_{jk}(l) p_k^{-j-1} \in (0,1)$$

is the radical inverse function of l with base p_k so that $\sum_{j=0}^q b_{jk}(l)p_k^j = l$, where $p_k^q \le l < p_k^{q+1}$ (Fang and Wang 1994).

This function is demonstrated with base $p_3 = 5$ and l = 33, which generates $r_5(33)$. Here q = 2, $b_{0,3}(33) = 3$, $b_{1,5}(33) = 1$, and $b_{2,5}(33) = 1$, so that $r_5(33) = 3/5 + 1/25 + 1/625$.

The Hammersley sequence uses an evenly spaced set of points with the first J-2 components of the Halton sequence

$$\mathbf{h}_{l} = \left\{\frac{2l-1}{2N}, r_{p_{1}}(l), r_{p_{2}}(l), \dots, r_{p_{J-2}}(l)\right\}$$

for l = 1, ..., N.

For a more detailed description of the Halton and Hammersley sequences, see Drukker and Gates (2006).

Computations for the derivatives of the simulated likelihood are taken from Bolduc (1999). Bolduc gives the analytical first-order derivatives for the log of the simulated likelihood with respect to the regression coefficients and the parameters of the Cholesky-factored variance-covariance matrix. cmmprobit uses these analytical first-order derivatives and numerical second-order derivatives.

This command supports the clustered version of the Huber/White/sandwich estimator of the variance using vce(robust) and vce(cluster *clustvar*). See [P] **_robust**, particularly *Maximum likelihood estimators* and *Methods and formulas*. Specifying vce(robust) is equivalent to specifying vce(cluster *caseid*), where *caseid* is the variable that identifies the cases.

References

- Bolduc, D. 1999. A practical technique to estimate multinomial probit models in transportation. *Transportation Research*. Part B, *Methodological* 33: 63–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-2615(98)00028-9.
- Bunch, D. S. 1991. Estimability in the multinomial probit model. *Transportation Research*. Part B, Methodological 25: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-2615(91)90009-8.
- Cameron, A. C., and P. K. Trivedi. 2005. Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Cappellari, L., and S. P. Jenkins. 2003. Multivariate probit regression using simulated maximum likelihood. *Stata Journal* 3: 278–294.
- Drukker, D. M., and R. Gates. 2006. Generating Halton sequences using Mata. Stata Journal 6: 214-228.
- Fang, K.-T., and Y. Wang. 1994. Number-theoretic Methods in Statistics. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Gates, R. 2006. A Mata Geweke-Hajivassiliou-Keane multivariate normal simulator. Stata Journal 6: 190-213.
- Genz, A. 1992. Numerical computation of multivariate normal probabilities. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics 1: 141–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/10618600.1992.10477010.
- Geweke, J. 1989. Bayesian inference in econometric models using Monte Carlo integration. *Econometrica* 57: 1317–1339. https://doi.org/10.2307/1913710.
- Geweke, J., and M. P. Keane. 2001. "Computationally intensive methods for integration in econometrics". In Handbook of Econometrics, edited by J. J. Heckman and E. E. Leamer, vol. 5: 3463–3568. Amsterdam: Elsevier. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S1573-4412(01)05009-7.
- Greene, W. H. 2018. Econometric Analysis. 8th ed. New York: Pearson.
- Haan, P., and A. Uhlendorff. 2006. Estimation of multinomial logit models with unobserved heterogeneity using maximum simulated likelihood. Stata Journal 6: 229–245.
- Hajivassiliou, V. A., and D. L. McFadden. 1998. The method of simulated scores for the estimation of LDV models. Econometrica 66: 863–896. https://doi.org/10.2307/2999576.
- Hole, A. R. 2007. Fitting mixed logit models by using maximum simulated likelihood. Stata Journal 7: 388-401.
- Keane, M. P., and K. I. Wolpin. 1994. The solution and estimation of discrete choice dynamic programming models by simulation and interpolation: Monte Carlo evidence. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 76: 648–672. https: //doi.org/10.2307/2109768.
- Luedicke, J. 2016a. Flexible discrete choice modeling using a multinomial probit model, part 1. The Stata Blog: Not Elsewhere Classified. https://blog.stata.com/2016/06/28/flexible-discrete-choice-modeling-using-a-multinomialprobit-model-part-1/.
 - 2016b. Flexible discrete choice modeling using a multinomial probit model, part 2. The Stata Blog: Not Elsewhere Classified. https://blog.stata.com/2016/07/05/flexible-discrete-choice-modeling-using-a-multinomial-probit-modelpart-2/.
- Train, K. E. 2009. Discrete Choice Methods with Simulation. 2nd ed. New York: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511805271.

Also see

- [CM] cmmprobit postestimation Postestimation tools for cmmprobit
- [CM] cmclogit Conditional logit (McFadden's) choice model
- [CM] cmmixlogit Mixed logit choice model
- [CM] cmroprobit Rank-ordered probit choice model
- [CM] cmset Declare data to be choice model data
- [CM] margins Adjusted predictions, predictive margins, and marginal effects
- [CM] nlogit Nested logit regression
- [R] mlogit Multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression
- [R] mprobit Multinomial probit regression
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.