etregress - Linear regression with endogenous treatment effects

Description Menu Options for maximum likelihood estimates Options for control-function estimates Stored results References Quick start Syntax Options for two-step consistent estimates Remarks and examples Methods and formulas Also see

Description

etregress estimates an average treatment effect (ATE) and the other parameters of a linear regression model augmented with an endogenous binary-treatment variable. Estimation is by full maximum likelihood, a two-step consistent estimator, or a control-function estimator.

In addition to the ATE, etregress can be used to estimate the average treatment effect on the treated (ATET) when the outcome may not be conditionally independent of the treatment.

Quick start

ATE and ATET from a linear regression model of y on x and endogenous binary treatment treat modeled by x and w

etregress y x, treat(treat = x w)

Same as above, but use a control-function estimator

etregress y x, treat(treat = x w) cfunction

With robust standard errors

etregress y x, treat(treat = x w) vce(robust)

Add the interaction between treat and continuous covariate x using factor variables

etregress y x i.treat#c.x, treat(treat = x w) vce(robust)

ATE after etregress with the required vce(robust) option and endogenous treatment interaction terms margins r.treat, vce(unconditional)

Same as above, but calculate ATET

margins, vce(unconditional) predict(cte) subpop(if treat==1)

Menu

 $Statistics > Causal \ inference/treatment \ effects > Endogenous \ treatment > Maximum \ likelihood \ estimator > Continuous \ outcomes$

Syntax

Basic syntax

```
etregress depvar [indepvars], \underline{tr}eat(depvar_t = indepvars_t) [\underline{two}step | \underline{cfunc}tion]
```

Full syntax for maximum likelihood estimates only

```
etregress depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight],
    treat(depvar<sub>t</sub> = indepvars<sub>t</sub> [, noconstant]) [etregress_ml_options]
```

Full syntax for two-step consistent estimates only

```
etregress depvar [ indepvars ] [ if ] [ in ] ,
    treat(depvart = indepvarstent [, noconstant]) twostep [ etregress_ts_options ]
```

Full syntax for control-function estimates only

```
etregress depvar [ indepvars ] [ if ] [ in ],
    treat(depvart = indepvarst [, noconstant]) cfunction [ etregress_cf_options ]
```

etregress — Linear regression with endogenous treatment effects 3

etregress_ml_options	Description
Model	
* <u>tr</u> eat()	equation for treatment effects
<u>nocons</u> tant	suppress constant term
poutcomes	use potential-outcome model with separate treatment and control group variance and correlation parameters
<pre><u>constraints(constraints)</u></pre>	apply specified linear constraints
SE/Robust	
vce(vcetype)	<pre>vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, opg, bootstrap, or jackknife</pre>
Reporting	
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
<u>fir</u> st	report first-step probit estimates
<u>ha</u> zard(<i>newvar</i>)	create newvar containing hazard from treatment equation
lrmodel	perform the likelihood-ratio model test instead of the default Wald test
<u>nocnsr</u> eport	do not display constraints
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>col</u> linear	keep collinear variables
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics
*treat(depvar $_t$ = indepvars $_t$ [,	<u>nocons</u> tant]) is required.

etregress_ts_options	Description
Model	
* <u>tr</u> eat()	equation for treatment effects
* <u>two</u> step	produce two-step consistent estimate
noconstant	suppress constant term
SE	
vce(<i>vcetype</i>)	<i>vcetype</i> may be conventional, <u>boot</u> strap, or <u>jackknife</u>
Reporting	
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
<u>fir</u> st	report first-step probit estimates
<u>ha</u> zard(<i>newvar</i>)	create <i>newvar</i> containing hazard from treatment equation
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics

*treat(depvar_t = indepvars_t [, $\underline{nocons}tant$]) and twostep are required.

etregress — Linear regression with endogenous treatment effects 4

etregress_cf_options	Description
Model	
* <u>tr</u> eat()	equation for treatment effects
* <u>cfunc</u> tion	produce control-function estimate
<u>nocons</u> tant	suppress constant term
<u>po</u> utcomes	use potential-outcome model with separate treatment and control group variance and correlation parameters
SE	
vce(<i>vcetype</i>)	<i>vcetype</i> may be <u>r</u> obust, <u>boot</u> strap, or <u>jackknife</u>
Reporting	
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
<u>fir</u> st	report first-step probit estimates
<u>ha</u> zard(<i>newvar</i>)	create newvar containing hazard from treatment equation
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics

indepvars and indepvars_t may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

depvar, indepvars, depvar, and indepvars, may contain time-series operators; see [U] 11.4.4 Time-series varlists.

bayesboot, bootstrap, by, collect, fp, jackknife, rolling, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.

aweights are not allowed with the jackknife prefix; see [R] jackknife.

twostep, cfunction, vce(), first, hazard(), lrmodel, and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.

pweights, aweights, fweights, and iweights are allowed with both maximum likelihood and control-function estimation; see [U] **11.1.6 weight**. No weights are allowed if twostep is specified.

collinear and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options for maximum likelihood estimates

Model

treat($depvar_t = indepvars_t$ [, noconstant]) specifies the variables and options for the treatment equation. It is an integral part of specifying a treatment-effects model and is required.

noconstant; see [R] Estimation options.

poutcomes specifies that a potential-outcome model with separate variance and correlation parameters for each of the treatment and control groups be used.

constraints(constraints); see [R] Estimation options.

SE/Robust

vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim, opg), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster *clustvar*), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting

level(#); see [R] Estimation options.

- first specifies that the first-step probit estimates of the treatment equation be displayed before estimation.
- hazard (*newvar*) will create a new variable containing the hazard from the treatment equation. The hazard is computed from the estimated parameters of the treatment equation.

lrmodel, nocnsreport; see [R] Estimation options.

```
display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels,
allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt),
sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.
```

Maximization

maximize_options: difficult, technique(algorithm_spec), iterate(#), [no]log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), ltolerance(#), nrtolerance(#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] Maximize. These options are seldom used.

Setting the optimization type to technique(bhhh) resets the default vcetype to vce(opg).

The following options are available with etregress but are not shown in the dialog box:

collinear, coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

Options for two-step consistent estimates

Model

treat($depvar_t = indepvars_t$ [, noconstant]) specifies the variables and options for the treatment equation. It is an integral part of specifying a treatment-effects model and is required.

twostep specifies that two-step consistent estimates of the parameters, standard errors, and covariance matrix be produced, instead of the default maximum likelihood estimates.

noconstant; see [R] Estimation options.

∫ SE Ù

vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (conventional) and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

vce(conventional), the default, uses the conventionally derived variance estimator for the two-step estimator of the treatment-effects model.

Reporting

level(#); see [R] Estimation options.

- first specifies that the first-step probit estimates of the treatment equation be displayed before estimation.
- hazard (*newvar*) will create a new variable containing the hazard from the treatment equation. The hazard is computed from the estimated parameters of the treatment equation.
- display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt), sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.

The following option is available with etregress but is not shown in the dialog box:

coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

Options for control-function estimates

Model

treat($depvar_t = indepvars_t$ [, noconstant]) specifies the variables and options for the treatment equation. It is an integral part of specifying a treatment-effects model and is required.

cfunction specifies that control-function estimates of the parameters, standard errors, and covariance matrix be produced instead of the default maximum likelihood estimates. cfunction is required.

noconstant; see [R] Estimation options.

poutcomes specifies that a potential-outcome model with separate variance and correlation parameters for each of the treatment and control groups be used.

SE]

vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust) and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option. Reporting

level(#); see [R] Estimation options.

- first specifies that the first-step probit estimates of the treatment equation be displayed before estimation.
- hazard(*newvar*) will create a new variable containing the hazard from the treatment equation. The hazard is computed from the estimated parameters of the treatment equation.

```
display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels,
allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt),
sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.
```

Maximization

```
maximize_options: iterate(#), [no]log, and from(init_specs); see [R] Maximize. These options are
seldom used.
```

init_specs is one of

```
matname [, skip copy]
```

 $\#\,[\,\#\dots]\,\,{\tt copy}$

The following option is available with etregress but is not shown in the dialog box:

coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Overview Basic examples Average treatment effect (ATE) Average treatment effect on the treated (ATET)

Overview

etregress estimates an ATE and the other parameters of a linear regression model that also includes an endogenous binary-treatment variable. In addition to the ATE, the parameters estimated by etregress can be used to estimate the ATET when the outcome is not conditionally independent of the treatment.

We call the model fit by etregress an endogenous treatment-regression model, although it is also known as an endogenous binary-variable model or as an endogenous dummy-variable model. The endogenous treatment-regression model is a specific endogenous treatment-effects model; it uses a linear model for the outcome and a normal distribution to model the deviation from the conditional independence assumption imposed by the estimators implemented in teffects; see [CAUSAL] teffects intro. In treatment-effects jargon, the endogenous binary-variable model is a linear potential-outcome model that allows for a specific correlation structure between the unobservables that affect the treatment and the unobservables that affect the potential outcomes. See [CAUSAL] etpoisson for an estimator that allows for a nonlinear outcome model and a similar model for the endogeneity of the treatment.

Heckman (1976, 1978) brought this model into the modern literature. Maddala (1983) derives the maximum likelihood and the control-function (CF) estimators of the model. Maddala (1983) also reviews some empirical applications and describes it as an endogenous-switching model. Barnow, Cain, and Goldberger (1981) provide another useful derivation of this model. They concentrate on deriving the conditions for which the self-selection bias of the simple OLS estimator of the treatment effect, δ , is nonzero and of a specific sign. Cameron and Trivedi (2005, sec. 16.7 and 25.3.4) and Wooldridge (2010, sec. 21.4.1) discuss the endogenous binary-variable model as an endogenous treatment-effects model and link it to recent work.

etregress performs CF estimation in one step by using the generalized method of moments (GMM) with stacked moments. See Newey (1984) and Wooldridge (2010, sec. 14.2) for a description of this technique. Many econometric and statistical models can be expressed as conditions on the population moments. The parameter estimates produced by GMM estimators make the sample-moment conditions as true as possible given the data. See [R] **gmm** for further information on GMM estimation and how Stata performs it. Two-step CF estimation is also supported by etregress.

Formally, the endogenous treatment-regression model is composed of an equation for the outcome y_j and an equation for the endogenous treatment t_j . The variables \mathbf{x}_j are used to model the outcome. When there are no interactions between t_j and \mathbf{x}_j , we have

$$\begin{split} y_j &= \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \delta t_j + \epsilon_j \\ t_j &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma} + u_j > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where \mathbf{w}_j are the covariates used to model treatment assignment, and the error terms ϵ_j and u_j are bivariate normal with mean zero and covariance matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & \rho\sigma \\ \rho\sigma & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The covariates \mathbf{x}_j and \mathbf{w}_j are unrelated to the error terms; in other words, they are exogenous. We call this the constrained model because the variance and correlation parameters are identical across the treatment and control groups.

This model can be generalized to a potential-outcome model with separate variance and correlation parameters for the treatment and control groups. The generalized model is

$$\begin{split} y_{0j} &= \mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0} + \epsilon_{0j} \\ y_{1j} &= \mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1} + \epsilon_{1j} \\ t_{j} &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathbf{w}_{j} \mathbf{\gamma} + u_{j} > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where y_{0j} is the outcome that person j obtains if person j selects treatment 0, and y_{1j} is the outcome that person j obtains if person j selects treatment 1. We never observe both y_{0j} and y_{1j} , only one or the other. We observe

$$y_j = t_j y_{1j} + (1 - t_j) y_{0j}$$

In this unconstrained model, the vector of error terms $(\epsilon_{0j}, \epsilon_{1j}, u_j)'$ comes from a mean zero trivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 & \sigma_{01} & \sigma_0 \rho_0 \\ \sigma_{01} & \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1 \rho_1 \\ \sigma_0 \rho_0 & \sigma_1 \rho_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The covariance σ_{01} cannot be identified because we never observe both y_{1j} and y_{0j} . However, identification of σ_{01} is not necessary to estimate the other parameters because all covariates and the outcome are observed in observations from each group. We normalize the treatment error variance to be 1 because we observe only whether an outcome occurs under treatment. More details are found in *Methods and formulas*.

Rather than showing two separate regression equations, etregress reports one outcome equation with interaction terms between the treatment and outcome covariates. etregress can fit the constrained and generalized potential-outcome models using either the default maximum likelihood estimator or the one-step CF estimator obtained with option cfunction. The two-step CF estimator provides consistent estimates for the constrained model.

Basic examples

When there are no interactions between the treatment variable and the outcome covariates in the constrained model, etregress directly estimates the ATE and the ATET.

Example 1: Basic example

We estimate the ATE of being a union member on wages of women in 1972 from a nonrepresentative extract of the National Longitudinal Survey on young women who were ages 14–26 in 1968. We will use the variables wage (wage), grade (years of schooling completed), smsa (an indicator for living in an SMSA—standard metropolitan statistical area), black (an indicator for being African-American), tenure (tenure at current job), and south (an indicator for living in the South).

We use etregress to estimate the parameters of the endogenous treatment-regression model.

. use https:// (NLS Women 14–	-	ss.com/data	l/r19/uni	.on3		
. etregress wa	age age grade	smsa black	tenure,	treat(uni	on = south bla	ck tenure)
Iteration 0: Iteration 1: Iteration 2: Iteration 3: Iteration 4:	Log likelihoo Log likelihoo Log likelihoo	d = -3053.6 d = -3051.5 d = -3051.	629 847 575			
Linear regress Estimator: Max Log likelihood	kimum likeliho	0	ntment		Number of obs Wald chi2(6) Prob > chi2	= 681.89
	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]

	Coefficient	Std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
wage						
age	.1487409	.0193291	7.70	0.000	.1108566	.1866252
grade	.4205658	.0293577	14.33	0.000	.3630258	.4781058
smsa	.9117044	.1249041	7.30	0.000	.6668969	1.156512
black	7882471	.1367078	-5.77	0.000	-1.05619	5203048
tenure	.1524015	.0369596	4.12	0.000	.0799621	.2248409
1.union	2.945815	.2749621	10.71	0.000	2.4069	3.484731
_cons	-4.351572	.5283952	-8.24	0.000	-5.387208	-3.315936
union						
south	5807419	.0851111	-6.82	0.000	7475566	4139271
black	.4557499	.0958042	4.76	0.000	.2679771	.6435226
tenure	.0871536	.0232483	3.75	0.000	.0415878	.1327195
_cons	8855758	.0724506	-12.22	0.000	-1.027576	7435753
/athrho	6544347	.0910314	-7.19	0.000	832853	4760164
/lnsigma	.7026769	.0293372	23.95	0.000	.645177	.7601767
rho	5746478	.060971			682005	4430476
sigma	2.019151	.0592362			1.906325	2.138654
lambda	-1.1603	.1495097			-1.453334	8672668
LR test of ind	dep. eqns. (rh	.0 = 0):	chi2(1) =	19.84	Prob > chi	2 = 0.0000

The likelihood-ratio test in the footer indicates that we can reject the null hypothesis of no correlation between the treatment-assignment errors and the outcome errors. The estimated correlation between the treatment-assignment errors and the outcome errors, ρ , is -0.575. The negative relationship indicates that unobservables that raise observed wages tend to occur with unobservables that lower union membership. We discuss some details about this parameter in the technical note below.

The estimated ATE of being a union member is 2.95. The ATET is the same as the ATE in this case because the treatment indicator variable has not been interacted with any of the outcome covariates, and the correlation and variance parameters are identical across the control and treatment groups.

4

Technical note

The results for the ancillary parameters ρ and σ require explanation. For numerical stability during optimization, etregress does not directly estimate ρ or σ . Instead, etregress estimates the inverse hyperbolic tangent of ρ ,

$$\operatorname{atanh} \rho = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right)$$

and $\ln \sigma$. Also etregress reports $\lambda = \rho \sigma$, along with an estimate of the standard error of the estimate and the confidence interval.

In contrast to the constrained model, etregress directly estimates the ATE only when there are no interactions between the treatment variable and the outcome covariates in the unconstrained model.

Example 2: Allowing group-specific variance and correlation

We estimate the ATE of having health insurance on the natural logarithm of total out-of-pocket prescription drug expenditures from a simulated random sample of individuals between the ages of 25 and 64. We will use the variables lndrug (natural logarithm of spending on prescription drugs), age (age of the individual), chron (whether the individual has a chronic condition), lninc (natural logarithm of income), married (marriage status), and work (employment status). Our treatment is whether the person has health insurance, ins. We allow the outcome error variance and correlation parameters to vary between the treated (insured) and control (uninsured) groups in this example, rather than constraining them to be equal as in example 1.

We use etregress to estimate the parameters of the endogenous treatment-effects model. To estimate separate variance and correlation parameters for each of the control and treatment groups, we specify the poutcomes option. We specify the cfunction option to use the CF estimator.

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/drugexp (Prescription drug expenditures)		
<pre>. etregress lndrug chron age lninc, treat(ins=age marrie > cfunction</pre>	d lninc	work) poutcomes
Iteration 0: GMM criterion $Q(b) = 2.279e-15$ Iteration 1: GMM criterion $Q(b) = 1.842e-28$		
Linear regression with endogenous treatment Estimator: Control function	Number	of obs = 6,000

.....

11

	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
lndrug						
chron	.4671725	.0319731	14.61	0.000	.4045064	.5298387
age	.1021359	.00292	34.98	0.000	.0964128	.1078589
lninc	.0550672	.0225036	2.45	0.014	.0109609	.0991735
1.ins	8598836	.3483648	-2.47	0.014	-1.542666	1771011
_cons	1.665539	.2527527	6.59	0.000	1.170153	2.160925
ins						
age	.021142	.0022961	9.21	0.000	.0166416	.0256424
married	.084631	.0359713	2.35	0.019	.0141286	.1551334
lninc	.1023032	.0225009	4.55	0.000	.0582022	.1464041
work	.288418	.0372281	7.75	0.000	.2154522	.3613837
_cons	622993	.108795	-5.73	0.000	8362273	4097587
/athrho0	.4035094	.1724539	2.34	0.019	.0655059	.7415129
/lnsigma0	.3159269	.0500476	6.31	0.000	.2178353	.4140184
/athrho1	.7929459	.2986601	2.66	0.008	.2075829	1.378309
/lnsigma1	.1865347	.0613124	3.04	0.002	.0663646	.3067048
rho0	.3829477	.1471637			.0654124	.6300583
sigma0	1.37153	.0686418			1.243382	1.512885
lambda0	.5252243	.226367			.0815532	.9688954
rho1	.6600746	.1685343			.2046518	.880572
sigma1	1.205066	.0738855			1.068616	1.35894
lambda1	.7954338	.2513036			.3028878	1.28798
Wald test of	indep. (rho0 =	rho1 = 0):	chi2(2)	= 8.88	Prob > chi	2 = 0.0118

The Wald test reported in the footer indicates that we can reject the null hypothesis of no correlation between the treatment-assignment errors and the outcome errors for the control and treatment groups. The estimate of the correlation of the treatment-assignment errors for the control group (ρ_0) is positive, indicating that unobservables that increase spending on prescription drugs tend to occur with unobservables that increase health insurance coverage. Because ρ_1 is also positive, we make the same interpretation for individuals with insurance. The estimate ρ_1 is larger than the estimate ρ_0 , indicating a stronger relationship between the unobservables and treatment outcomes in the treated group.

The estimated ATE of having health insurance is -0.86. Note that while the ATE and ATET were the same in example 1, that is not the case here. We show how to calculate the ATET for a potential-outcome model in example 6.

The estimate of the outcome error standard-deviation parameter for the control group (σ_0) is slightly larger than that of the treatment-group parameter (σ_1), indicating a greater variability in the unobservables among the untreated group.

Average treatment effect (ATE)

When there is a treatment variable and outcome covariate interaction, the parameter estimates from etregress can be used by margins to estimate the ATE, the average difference of the treatment potential outcomes and the control potential outcomes.

Example 3: Allowing interactions between treatment and outcome covariates, ATE

In example 1, the coefficients on the outcome covariates do not vary by treatment level. The differences in wages between union members and nonmembers are modeled as a level shift captured by the coefficient on the indicator for union membership. In this example, we use factor-variable notation to allow some of the coefficients to vary over treatment level and then use margins (see [R] margins) to estimate the ATE. (See [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables for an introduction to factor-variable notation.)

We begin by estimating the parameters of the model in which the coefficients on black and tenure differ for union members and nonmembers. We specify the vce(robust) option because we need to specify vce(unconditional) when we use margins below.

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/union3
(NLS Women 14-24 in 1968)
. etregress wage age grade smsa i.union#c.(black tenure),
> treat(union = south black tenure) vce(robust)
Iteration 0: Log pseudolikelihood = -3614.6714
Iteration 1: Log pseudolikelihood = -3218.8152
Iteration 2: Log pseudolikelihood = -3057.0115
Iteration 3: Log pseudolikelihood = -3049.3081
Iteration 4: Log pseudolikelihood = -3049.2838
Iteration 5: Log pseudolikelihood = -3049.2838
Linear regression with endogenous treatment
Number of obs = 1,210
Estimator: Maximum likelihood
Log pseudolikelihood = -3049.2838
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf	interval]
wage						
age	.1489075	.0207283	7.18	0.000	.1082809	.1895342
grade	.4200493	.0377621	11.12	0.000	.3460371	.4940616
smsa	.9232615	.1201486	7.68	0.000	.6877746	1.158748
union#						
c.black						
0	6685582	.1444213	-4.63	0.000	9516187	3854977
1	-1.1831	.2574817	-4.59	0.000	-1.687755	6784455
union# c.tenure						
C. Cenure O	.168746	.0503107	3.35	0.001	.0701388	.2673532
1	.0836367	.0903669	0.93	0.355	0934792	.2607526
1.union	3.342859	.5586863	5.98	0.000	2.247854	4.437864
_cons	-4.42566	.6493003	-6.82	0.000	-5.698265	-3.153055
union						
south	5844678	.0833069	-7.02	0.000	7477464	4211893
black	.4740688	.093241	5.08	0.000	.2913197	.6568178
tenure	.0874297	.0253892	3.44	0.001	.0376678	.1371916
_cons	8910484	.0746329	-11.94	0.000	-1.037326	7447706
/athrho	6733149	.2215328	-3.04	0.002	-1.107511	2391185
/lnsigma	.7055907	.0749711	9.41	0.000	.55865	.8525313
rho	5871562	.1451589			8031809	234663
sigma	2.025042	.1518197			1.748311	2.345577
lambda	-1.189016	.3631079			-1.900695	4773378

The results indicate that the coefficients on black differ by union membership and that the coefficient on tenure for nonmembers is positive, while the coefficient on tenure for members is 0. The model fits well overall, so we proceed with interpretation. Because we interacted the treatment variable with two of the covariates, the estimated coefficient on the treatment level is not an estimate of the ATE. Below we use margins to estimate the ATE from these results. We specify the vce(unconditional) option to obtain the standard errors for the population ATE instead of the sample ATE. We specify the contrast(nowald) option to suppress the Wald tests, which margins displays by default for contrasts.

. margins r.u	nion, vce(unco	onditional) co	ontrast(nowal	d)	
Contrasts of p	predictive man	rgins		Number	of obs = 1,210
Expression: L:	inear predict:	ion, predict()	1		
		Jnconditional std. err.	[95% conf.	interval]	
union (1 vs 0)	3.042688	.5305151	2.002898	4.082478	

The ATE estimate is essentially the same as the one produced by the constrained model in example 1. \triangleleft

We can use the same methods above to obtain the ATE in an unconstrained model.

Example 4: Treatment interactions and group-specific variance and correlation, ATE

In example 2, the coefficients on the outcome covariates do not vary by treatment level. Suppose we believe that the effect of having a chronic condition on out-of-pocket spending differs between the insured and uninsured. Again, we use an interaction term. Because we are using a CF estimator, the variance-covariance of the estimator (VCE) is already robust so we do not specify vce(robust).

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/drugexp (Prescription drug expenditures) . etregress lndrug i.ins#i.chron age lninc, treat(ins=age married lninc work) > poutcomes cfunction Iteration 0: GMM criterion Q(b) = 2.279e-15 Iteration 1: GMM criterion Q(b) = 2.883e-28 Linear regression with endogenous treatment Number of obs = 6,000 Estimator: Control function

	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
lndrug						
ins#chron						
0 1	.3798705	.0720713	5.27	0.000	.2386132	.5211277
1 1	.4957773	.0352571	14.06	0.000	.4266746	.5648801
age	.1022045	.0029228	34.97	0.000	.0964758	.1079331
lninc	.0548917	.0225219	2.44	0.015	.0107497	.0990337
1.ins	89703	.3493058	-2.57	0.010	-1.581657	2124031
_cons	1.691336	.2531222	6.68	0.000	1.195225	2.187446
ins						
age	.021142	.0022961	9.21	0.000	.0166416	.0256424
married	.084631	.0359713	2.35	0.019	.0141286	.1551334
lninc	.1023032	.0225009	4.55	0.000	.0582022	.1464041
work	.288418	.0372281	7.75	0.000	.2154522	.3613837
_cons	622993	.108795	-5.73	0.000	8362273	4097587
/athrho0	.4046007	.1725597	2.34	0.019	.0663899	.7428115
/lnsigma0	.3157561	.0501956	6.29	0.000	.2173746	.4141376
/athrho1	.7950592	.2992825	2.66	0.008	.2084763	1.381642
/lnsigma1	.1868903	.0614281	3.04	0.002	.0664934	.3072871
rho0	.3838786	.1471308			.0662925	.6308408
sigma0	1.371296	.0688329			1.24281	1.513065
lambda0	.5264111	.2264197			.0826366	.9701856
rho1	.6612655	.1684146			.2055076	.8813184
sigma1	1.205495	.0740512			1.068754	1.359731
lambda1	.7971523	.2514293			.3043599	1.289945

The results indicate that the coefficient on chron differs by whether an individual has insurance. The

model fits well overall, so we proceed with interpretation.

Because we interacted the treatment variable with one of the covariates, the estimated coefficient on the treatment level is not an estimate of the ATE. Below we use margins to estimate the ATE from these results. We specify the vce(unconditional) option to obtain the standard errors for the population ATE instead of the sample ATE. We specify the contrast(nowald) option to suppress the Wald tests.

. margins r.ins, vce(unconditional) contrast(nowald)					
Contrasts of p	predictive man	rgins		Number	of obs = 6,000
Expression: L:	inear predicti	ion, predict()			
		Jnconditional std. err.	[95% conf.	interval]	
ins (1 vs 0)	8632045	.3484924	-1.546237	1801718	

The ATE estimate is similar to the one produced by the constrained model in example 2.

Average treatment effect on the treated (ATET)

2.968977

When there is a treatment variable and outcome covariate interaction, the parameter estimates from etregress can be used by margins to estimate the ATET, the average difference of the treatment potential outcomes and the control potential outcomes on the treated population.

Example 5: Allowing interactions between treatment and outcome covariates, ATET

The ATET may differ from the ATE in example 3 because the interaction between the treatment variable and some outcome covariates makes the ATE and the ATET vary over outcome covariate values. Below we use margins to estimate the ATET by specifying the subpop (union) option, which restricts the sample used by margins to union members.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/union3
(NLS Women 14-24 in 1968)
. etregress wage age grade smsa i.union#c.(black tenure),
> treat(union = south black tenure) vce(robust)
 (output omitted)
. margins r.union, vce(unconditional) contrast(nowald) subpop(union)
Contrasts of predictive margins
                                                         Number of obs
                                                                         = 1,210
                                                         Subpop. no. obs =
                                                                             253
Expression: Linear prediction, predict()
                          Unconditional
                            std. err.
                                           [95% conf. interval]
                 Contrast
       union
   (1 vs 0)
```

.5358456

The estimated ATET and ATE are close, indicating that the average predicted outcome for the treatment group is similar to the average predicted outcome for the whole population.

1.918739

4.019215

4

Example 6: Treatment interactions and group-specific variance and correlation, ATET

The ATET may differ from the ATE in example 4 because the interaction between the treatment variable and some outcome covariates makes the ATE and the ATET vary over values of the covariate in the outcome equation. Even if there is no interaction between treatment assignment and a covariate in the outcome equation, the estimated ATE and ATET will differ if the variances of the outcome errors and their correlations with the treatment-assignment errors differ across the control and treatment groups.

We can estimate the ATET of having health insurance by using the conditional treatment effect (conditional on exogenous covariates and treatment level) obtained using the predict, cte and the margins commands; see *Methods and formulas* below and [CAUSAL] **etregress postestimation** for more details about the use of predict after etregress.

We restrict estimation to the treated subpopulation by specifying the subpop(ins) option with margins.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/drugexp
(Prescription drug expenditures)
. etregress lndrug i.ins#i.chron age lninc,
> treat(ins = age married lninc work) poutcomes cfunction
 (output omitted)
. margins, predict(cte) subpop(ins) vce(unconditional)
Predictive margins
                                                         Number of obs
                                                                         = 6,000
                                                         Subpop. no. obs = 4,556
Expression: Conditional treatment effect, predict(cte)
                           Unconditional
                             std. err.
                                                 P>|z|
                                                            [95% conf. interval]
                   Margin
                                            7.
       _cons
                -.7558373
                             .3827579
                                         -1.97
                                                  0.048
                                                           -1.506029
                                                                        -.0056457
```

In absolute value, the treatment effect on the treated of -0.76 is smaller than the population average effect of -0.86 that we found in example 4.

4

Stored results

etregress (maximum likelihood) stores the following in e():

Scal	ars

e(N)	number of observations
e(k)	number of parameters
e(k_eq)	number of equations in e(b)
e(k_eq_model)	number of equations in overall model test
e(k_aux)	number of auxiliary parameters
e(k_dv)	number of dependent variables
e(df_m)	model degrees of freedom
e(11)	log likelihood
e(11_0)	log likelihood, constant-only model (lrmodel only)
e(N_clust)	number of clusters
e(lambda)	estimate of λ in constrained model
e(selambda)	standard error of λ in constrained model
e(sigma)	estimate of σ in constrained model
e(lambda0)	estimate of λ_0 in potential-outcome model
e(selambda0)	standard error of λ_0 in potential-outcome model

e(sigma0)	estimate of σ_0 in potential-outcome model
e(lambda1)	estimate of λ_1 in potential-outcome model
e(selambda1)	standard error of λ_1 in potential-outcome model
e(sigma1)	estimate of σ_1 in potential-outcome model
e(chi2)	χ^2
e(chi2_c)	χ^2 for comparison test
e(p)	<i>p</i> -value for model test
e(p_c)	<i>p</i> -value for comparison test
e(rho)	estimate of ρ in constrained model
e(rho0)	estimate of ρ_0 in potential-outcome model
e(rho1)	estimate of ρ_1 in potential-outcome model
e(rank)	rank of e(V)
e(rank0)	rank of e(V) for constant-only model
e(ic)	number of iterations
e(rc)	return code
e(converged)	1 if converged, 0 otherwise
•	
Macros	
e(cmd)	etregress
e(cmdline)	command as typed
e(depvar)	name of dependent variable
e(hazard)	variable containing hazard
e(wtype)	weight type
e(wexp)	weight expression
e(title)	title in estimation output
e(title2)	secondary title in estimation output
e(clustvar)	name of cluster variable
e(chi2type)	Wald or LR; type of model χ^2 test
e(chi2_ct)	Wald or LR; type of model χ^2 test corresponding to e(chi2_c)
e(vce)	vcetype specified in vce()
e(vcetype)	title used to label Std. err.
e(opt)	type of optimization
e(which)	max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
e(method)	ml
e(ml_method)	type of ml method
e(user)	name of likelihood-evaluator program
e(technique)	maximization technique
e(properties)	b V
e(predict)	program used to implement predict
e(footnote)	program used to implement the footnote display
e(marginsok)	predictions allowed by margins
e(asbalanced)	factor variables fvset as asbalanced
e(asobserved)	factor variables fvset as asobserved
Matrices	
e(b)	coefficient vector
e(Cns)	constraints matrix
e(ilog)	iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
e(gradient)	gradient vector
e(gladient) e(V)	variance–covariance matrix of the estimators
e(V) e(V_modelbased)	model-based variance
	niouor ousou varianou
Functions	
e(sample)	marks estimation sample

In addition to the above, the following is stored in r():

Matrices

r(table)

matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, *p*-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in r () are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

etregress (two-step) stores the following in e():

Scalars	
e(N)	number of observations
e(df_m)	model degrees of freedom
e(lambda)	λ
e(selambda)	standard error of λ
e(sigma)	estimate of sigma
e(chi2)	χ^2
e(p)	<i>p</i> -value for model test
e(rho)	ρ
e(rank)	rank of e(V)
Macros	
e(cmd)	etregress
e(cmdline)	command as typed
e(depvar)	name of dependent variable
e(hazard)	variable containing hazard
e(title)	title in estimation output
e(title2)	secondary title in estimation output
e(chi2type)	Wald or LR; type of model χ^2 test
e(vce)	vcetype specified in vce()
e(method)	twostep
e(properties)	b V
e(predict)	program used to implement predict
e(footnote)	program used to implement the footnote display
e(marginsok)	predictions allowed by margins
e(marginsnotok)	predictions disallowed by margins
e(asbalanced)	factor variables fvset as asbalanced
e(asobserved)	factor variables fvset as asobserved
Matrices	
e(b)	coefficient vector
e(V)	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
Functions	
e(sample)	marks estimation sample

In addition to the above, the following is stored in r():

Matrices r(table)

matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, p-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in r() are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

etregress (control-function) stores the following in e():

Scalars	
e(N)	number of observations
e(k)	number of parameters
e(k_eq)	number of equations in e(b)
e(k_aux)	number of auxiliary parameters
e(k_dv)	number of dependent variables
e(lambda)	estimate of λ in constrained model
e(selambda)	standard error of λ in constrained model
e(sigma)	estimate of σ in constrained model
e(lambda0)	estimate of λ_0 in potential-outcome model
e(selambda0)	standard error of λ_0 in potential-outcome model
e(sigmaO)	estimate of σ_0 in potential-outcome model
e(lambda1)	estimate of λ_1 in potential-outcome model
e(selambda1)	standard error of λ_1 in potential-outcome model
e(sigma1)	estimate of σ_1 in potential-outcome model
e(chi2_c)	χ^2 for comparison test
e(p_c)	<i>p</i> -value for comparison test
e(rho)	estimate of ρ in constrained model
e(rho0)	estimate of ρ_0 in potential-outcome model
e(rho1)	estimate of ρ_1 in potential-outcome model
e(rank)	rank of e(V)
e(converged)	1 if converged, 0 otherwise
Macros	
e(cmd)	etregress
e(cmdline)	command as typed
e(depvar)	name of dependent variable
e(hazard)	variable containing hazard
e(wtype)	weight type
e(wexp)	weight expression
e(title)	title in estimation output
e(title2)	secondary title in estimation output
e(chi2_ct)	Wald; type of model χ^2 test corresponding to e(chi2_c)
e(vce)	vcetype specified in vce()
e(vcetype)	title used to label Std. err.
e(method)	cfunction
e(properties)	b V
e(predict)	program used to implement predict
e(footnote)	program used to implement the footnote display
e(marginsok)	predictions allowed by margins
e(asbalanced)	factor variables fvset as asbalanced
e(asobserved)	factor variables fvset as asobserved
Matrices	
e(b)	coefficient vector
e(V)	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
Functions	
e(sample)	marks estimation sample
· · ·	4

In addition to the above, the following is stored in r():

Matrices

r(table)

matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, *p*-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in r() are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

Methods and formulas

Maddala (1983, 117–122 and 223–228) derives both the maximum likelihood and the CF estimators implemented here. Greene (2012, 890–894) also provides an introduction to the treatment-effects model. Cameron and Trivedi (2005, sections 16.7 and 25.3.4) and Wooldridge (2010, section 21.4.1) discuss the endogenous binary-variable model as an endogenous treatment-effects model and link it to recent work.

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

Constrained model General potential-outcome model Average treatment effect Average treatment effect on the treated

Constrained model

The primary regression equation of interest is

$$y_j = \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + \delta t_j + \epsilon_j \tag{1}$$

where t_j is a binary-treatment variable that is assumed to stem from an unobservable latent variable:

$$t_j^* = \mathbf{w}_j \mathbf{\gamma} + u_j$$

The decision to obtain the treatment is made according to the rule

$$t_j = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t_j^* > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where ϵ and u are bivariate normal with mean zero and covariance matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 & \rho\sigma \\ \rho\sigma & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Interactions between \mathbf{x}_j and the treatment t_j are also allowed in (1). The likelihood function for this model is given in Maddala (1983, 122). Greene (2000, 180) discusses the standard method of reducing a bivariate normal to a function of a univariate normal and the correlation ρ . The following is the log likelihood for observation j,

$$\ln L_j = \begin{cases} \ln \Phi \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{w}_j \mathbf{\gamma} + (y_j - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} - \delta) \rho / \sigma}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_j - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} - \delta}{\sigma} \right)^2 - \ln(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma) \quad t_j = 1\\ \ln \Phi \left\{ \frac{-\mathbf{w}_j \mathbf{\gamma} - (y_j - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}) \rho / \sigma}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_j - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}}{\sigma} \right)^2 - \ln(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma) \quad t_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution.

In the maximum likelihood estimation, σ and ρ are not directly estimated. Rather $\ln \sigma$ and $\operatorname{atanh} \rho$ are directly estimated, where

$$\operatorname{atanh} \rho = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho} \right)$$

The standard error of $\lambda = \rho \sigma$ is approximated through the delta method, which is given by

 $\operatorname{Var}(\lambda) \approx \mathbf{D} \operatorname{Var}\{(\operatorname{atanh} \rho \ \ln \sigma)\} \mathbf{D}'$

where **D** is the Jacobian of λ with respect to atanh ρ and $\ln \sigma$.

Maddala (1983, 120–122) also derives the CF estimator as a two-step estimator. This estimator is implemented here. We will discuss it and then discuss the one-step CF estimator that is also implemented.

For the two-step estimator, probit estimates of the treatment equation

$$\Pr(t_j = 1 \mid \mathbf{w}_j) = \Phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

are obtained in the first stage. From these estimates, the hazard, h_j , for each observation j is computed as

$$h_j = \begin{cases} \phi(\mathbf{w}_j \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) / \Phi(\mathbf{w}_j \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) & t_j = 1 \\ \\ -\phi(\mathbf{w}_j \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) / \{1 - \Phi(\mathbf{w}_j \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})\} & t_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

where ϕ is the standard normal density function. If

$$d_j = h_j(h_j + \mathbf{w}_j\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})$$

then

$$\begin{split} E\left(y_{j} \mid t_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{w}_{j}\right) &= \mathbf{x}_{j}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \delta t_{j} + \rho\sigma h_{j}\\ \mathrm{Var}\left(y_{j} \mid t_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{w}_{j}\right) &= \sigma^{2}\left(1 - \rho^{2}d_{j}\right) \end{split}$$

The two-step parameter estimates of β and δ are obtained by augmenting the regression equation with the hazard h. Thus the regressors become [**x t** h], and the additional parameter estimate β_h is obtained on the variable containing the hazard. A consistent estimate of the regression disturbance variance is obtained using the residuals from the augmented regression and the parameter estimate on the hazard

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\mathbf{e}'\mathbf{e} + \beta_h^2 \sum_{j=1}^N d_j}{N}$$

The two-step estimate of ρ is then

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\beta_h}{\hat{\sigma}}$$

To understand how the consistent estimates of the coefficient covariance matrix based on the augmented regression are derived, let $\mathbf{A} = [\mathbf{x} \mathbf{t} h]$ and \mathbf{D} be a square diagonal matrix of size N with $(1 - \hat{\rho}^2 d_i)$ on the diagonal elements. The conventional VCE is

$$\mathbf{V}_{\text{twostep}} = \hat{\sigma}^{2} (\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A})^{-1} (\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Q}) (\mathbf{A}' \mathbf{A})^{-1}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q} = \hat{\rho}^{\,2}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{V_p}(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{D}\mathbf{A})$$

and V_p is the variance-covariance estimate from the probit estimation of the treatment equation.

The one-step CF estimator is a GMM estimator with stacked moments. See Newey (1984) and Wooldridge (2010, sec. 14.2) for a description of this technique. Many econometric and statistical models can be expressed as conditions on the population moments. The parameter estimates produced by GMM estimators make the sample-moment conditions as true as possible given the data.

Under CF estimation, as in maximum likelihood estimation, we directly estimate at anh ρ and $\ln\sigma$ rather than ρ and σ , so the parameter vector is

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}', \delta, \boldsymbol{\gamma}', \operatorname{atanh} \rho, \ln \sigma)'$$

In this case, we have separate error functions for the treatment assignment

$$u_t(t_j, \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \begin{cases} \phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \big/ \Phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) & t_j = 1 \\ \\ -\phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \big/ \{1 - \Phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma})\} & t_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

for the outcome mean

$$u_m(y_j,t_j,\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{w}_j,\boldsymbol{\theta}) = y_i - \mathbf{x}_j\boldsymbol{\beta} - \delta t_j - \rho \sigma u_{t,j}$$

and for the outcome variance

$$u_v(y_j, t_j, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = u_{m,j}^2 - \sigma^2 \left[1 - \rho^2 \left\{ u_{t,j}(u_{t,j} + \mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right\} \right]$$

We calculate the hazard, h_j , prior to estimation from a probit regression of the treatment t_j on the treatment covariates \mathbf{w}_j . Let $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_j = (\mathbf{x}_j, t_j, h_j)$. Now we define

$$\mathbf{Z}_j = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\tilde{z}}_j & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{w}_j & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$s_j(y_j,t_j,\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{w}_j,\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{Z}_j' \begin{bmatrix} u_{m,j} \\ u_{t,j} \\ u_{v,j} \end{bmatrix}$$

The CF estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is the value of θ that satisfies the sample-moment conditions

$$\mathbf{0} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \mathbf{s}_{j}(y_{j}, t_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{w}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

The Huber/White/robust sandwich estimator is consistent for the VCE. See Wooldridge (2010, chap. 14), Cameron and Trivedi (2005, chap. 6), and Newey and McFadden (1994).

The formula is

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}} = (1/N)\overline{\mathbf{G}}\ \overline{\mathbf{S}}\ \overline{\mathbf{G}}'$$

where

$$\overline{\mathbf{G}} = \left\{ (1/N) \sum_{i} \frac{\partial s_{j}(y_{j}, t_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{w}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} \right\}^{-1}$$

and

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}} = (1/N)\sum_i s_j(y_j,t_j,\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{w}_j,\boldsymbol{\theta}) s_j(y_j,t_j,\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{w}_j,\boldsymbol{\theta})'$$

The matrix $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ is not symmetric because our estimator comes from stacking the moment conditions instead of optimizing one objective function. The implication is that the robust formula should always be used because, even under correct specification, the nonsymmetric $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ and the symmetric $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ converge to different matrices.

General potential-outcome model

Equation (1) can be generalized to a potential-outcome model with separate variance and correlation parameters for the control and treatment groups.

The generalized model is

$$\begin{split} y_{0j} &= \mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0} + \epsilon_{0j} \\ y_{1j} &= \mathbf{x}_{j} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1} + \epsilon_{1j} \\ t_{j} &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \mathbf{w}_{j} \mathbf{\gamma} + u_{j} > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where y_{0j} is the outcome that person j obtains if person j selects treatment 0, and y_{1j} is the outcome that person j obtains if person j selects treatment 1. We never observe both y_{0j} and y_{1j} , only one or the other. We observe

$$y_{i} = t_{i}y_{1i} + (1 - t_{i})y_{0i}$$

In this unconstrained model, the vector of error terms $(\epsilon_{0j}, \epsilon_{1j}, u_j)'$ comes from a mean zero trivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_0^2 & \sigma_{01} & \sigma_0 \rho_0 \\ \sigma_{01} & \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1 \rho_1 \\ \sigma_0 \rho_0 & \sigma_1 \rho_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The likelihood function for this model is given in Maddala (1983, 224).

$$\ln f_j = \begin{cases} \ln \Phi \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{w}_j \mathbf{\gamma} + (y_j - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_1) \rho_1 / \sigma_1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_1^2}} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_j - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_1}{\sigma_1} \right)^2 - \ln(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1), \quad t_j = 1\\ \\ \ln \Phi \left\{ \frac{-\mathbf{w}_j \mathbf{\gamma} - (y_j - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) \rho_0 / \sigma_0}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_0^2}} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_j - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_0}{\sigma_0} \right)^2 - \ln(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0), \quad t_j = 0\\ \\ \ln L = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \ln f_j \end{cases}$$

where $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution, and w_j is an optional weight. The covariance between ϵ_{0j} and ϵ_{1j} , σ_{01} , cannot be estimated because the potential outcomes y_{0j} and y_{1j} are never observed simultaneously.

As in the constrained model, σ_0 and σ_1 are not directly estimated in the maximum likelihood estimation; rather, $\ln \sigma_0$ and $\ln \sigma_1$ are estimated.

The parameters ρ_0 and ρ_1 are also not directly estimated; rather, atanh ρ_0 and atanh ρ_1 are directly estimated.

The new parameter vector is

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_0', \boldsymbol{\beta}_1', \boldsymbol{\gamma}', \operatorname{atanh} \rho_0, \ln \sigma_0, \operatorname{atanh} \rho_1, \ln \sigma_1)'$$

The CF estimator for this potential-outcome model uses new error functions for the outcome mean

$$\begin{split} u_m(y_j,t_j,\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{w}_j,\pmb{\theta}) &= y_i - t_j(\mathbf{x}_j \pmb{\beta}_1 + \rho_1 \sigma_1 u_{t,j}) \\ &- (1-t_j)(\mathbf{x}_j \pmb{\beta}_0 + \rho_0 \sigma_0 u_{t,j}) \end{split}$$

and for the outcome variances

$$\begin{split} & u_{v,0}(y_j, t_j, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (1 - t_j) \left(u_{m,j}^2 - \sigma_0^2 \left[1 - \rho_0^2 \left\{ u_{t,j}(u_{t,j} + \mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right\} \right] \right) \\ & u_{v,1}(y_j, t_j, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = t_j \left(u_{m,j}^2 - \sigma_1^2 \left[1 - \rho_1^2 \left\{ u_{t,j}(u_{t,j} + \mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \right\} \right] \right) \end{split}$$

These error functions are derived based on the identities

$$\begin{split} E\left(y_{j} \mid t_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{w}_{j}\right) &= t_{j}(\mathbf{x}_{j}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{1} + \rho_{1}\sigma_{1}u_{t,j}) + (1 - t_{j})(\mathbf{x}_{j}\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0} + \rho_{0}\sigma_{0}u_{t,j})\\ \operatorname{Var}\left(y_{j} \mid t_{j} = 0, \mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{w}_{j}\right) &= \sigma_{0}^{2}\left[1 - \rho_{0}^{2}\left\{u_{t,j}(u_{t,j} + \mathbf{w}_{j}\boldsymbol{\gamma})\right\}\right]\\ \operatorname{Var}\left(y_{j} \mid t_{j} = 1, \mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{w}_{j}\right) &= \sigma_{1}^{2}\left[1 - \rho_{1}^{2}\left\{u_{t,j}(u_{t,j} + \mathbf{w}_{j}\boldsymbol{\gamma})\right\}\right] \end{split}$$

We calculate the hazard, h_j , prior to estimation from a probit regression of the treatment, t_j , on the treatment covariates, \mathbf{w}_j . Let $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_j = {\{\mathbf{x}_j, t_j h_j, (1 - t_j) h_j\}}$. Now we define

$$\mathbf{Z}_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_{j} & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{w}_{j} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$s_j(y_j, t_j, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{Z}_j' \begin{bmatrix} u_{m,j} \\ u_{t,j} \\ u_{v,0,j} \\ u_{v,1,j} \end{bmatrix}$$

The CF estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is the value of θ that satisfies the sample-moment conditions

$$\mathbf{0} = \frac{1}{N} \sum\nolimits_i \mathbf{s}_j(y_j, t_j, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

The Huber/White/robust sandwich estimator is consistent for the VCE. See Wooldridge (2010, chap. 14), Cameron and Trivedi (2005, chap. 6), and Newey and McFadden (1994).

The formula is

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}} = (1/N)\overline{\mathbf{G}} \,\overline{\mathbf{S}} \,\overline{\mathbf{G}}'$$

where

$$\overline{\mathbf{G}} = \left\{ (1/N) \sum_{i} \frac{\partial s_{j}(y_{j}, t_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j}, \mathbf{w}_{j}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}} \right\}^{-1}$$

and

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}} = (1/N) \sum_{i} s_j(y_j, t_j, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta}) s_j(y_j, t_j, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_j, \boldsymbol{\theta})^{\prime}$$

The matrix $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ is not symmetric because our estimator comes from stacking the moment conditions instead of optimizing one objective function. The implication is that the robust formula should always be used because, even under correct specification, the nonsymmetric $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ and the symmetric $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$ converge to different matrices.

Average treatment effect

The ATE is the average difference of the treated potential outcomes and the control potential outcomes.

By the law of iterated expectations, the ATE is

$$\begin{split} E(y_{1j} - y_{0j}) &= E\{E(y_{1j} - y_{0j} | \mathbf{x}_j, \epsilon_{0j}, \epsilon_{1j})\} \\ &= E(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \epsilon_1 - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_0 - \epsilon_0) \\ &= E\left\{\mathbf{x}_j (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)\right\} \end{split}$$

This expectation can be estimated as a predictive margin when $\mathbf{x}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$ varies in \mathbf{x}_j . Otherwise, the ATE is estimated as the coefficient of t_j in the model.

Average treatment effect on the treated

The ATE is the average difference of the treated potential outcomes and the control potential outcomes on the treated population.

The conditional means of the potential outcomes y_{tj} , $t \in (0, 1)$ for exogenous covariates \mathbf{x}_j and treatment covariates \mathbf{w}_j at treatment $t_j = 1$ are

$$E(y_{tj}|\mathbf{x}_j,\mathbf{w}_j,t_j=1) = \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_t + \rho_t \sigma_t \phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \big/ \Phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

By the law of iterated expectations, the ATET is

$$\begin{split} E(y_{1j} - y_{0j} | t_j = 1) &= E\{E(y_{1j} - y_{0j} | \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{w}_j, t_j = 1)\} \\ &= E\{\mathbf{x}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0) + (\rho_1 \sigma_1 - \rho_0 \sigma_0)\phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \big/ \Phi(\mathbf{w}_j \boldsymbol{\gamma}) | t_j = 1\} \end{split}$$

This expectation can be estimated as a predictive margin on the treated population when $\mathbf{x}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_0)$ varies in \mathbf{x}_j or when the variance and correlation parameters differ by treatment group. Otherwise, the ATET is estimated as the coefficient of t_j in the model.

References

- Barnow, B. S., G. G. Cain, and A. S. Goldberger. 1981. "Issues in the analysis of selectivity bias". In Evaluation Studies Review Annual, edited by E. W. Stromsdorfer and G. Farkas, vol. 5: 123–126. Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Brave, S., and T. Walstrum. 2014. Estimating marginal treatment effects using parametric and semiparametric methods. *Stata Journal* 14: 191–217.
- Brown, G. K., and T. Mergoupis. 2011. Treatment interactions with nonexperimental data in Stata. *Stata Journal* 11: 545–555.
- Cameron, A. C., and P. K. Trivedi. 2005. *Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Cerulli, G. 2015. ctreatreg: Command for fitting dose-response models under exogenous and endogenous treatment. Stata Journal 15: 1019–1045.

------. 2022. Econometric Evaluation of Socio-Economic Programs: Theory and Applications. 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer.

Greene, W. H. 2000. Econometric Analysis. 4th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

- ------. 2012. Econometric Analysis. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Heckman, J. J. 1976. "The common structure of statistical models of truncation, sample selection and limited dependent variables and a simple estimator for such models". In *Annals of Economic and Social Measurement*, edited by S. V. Berg, vol. 5: 475–492. Cambridge, MA: National Bureau of Economic Research.

——. 1978. Dummy endogenous variables in a simultaneous equation system. Econometrica 46: 931–959. https: //doi.org/10.2307/1909757.

- Maddala, G. S. 1983. Limited-Dependent and Qualitative Variables in Econometrics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511810176.
- Nannicini, T. 2007. Simulation-based sensitivity analysis for matching estimators. Stata Journal 7: 334-350.
- Newey, W. K. 1984. A method of moments interpretation of sequential estimators. *Economics Letters* 14: 201–206. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1765(84)90083-1.
- Newey, W. K., and D. L. McFadden. 1994. "Large sample estimation and hypothesis testing". In Handbook of Econometrics, edited by R. F. Engle and D. L. McFadden, vol. 4: 2111–2245. Amsterdam: Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1573-4412(05)80005-4.
- Nichols, A. 2007. Causal inference with observational data. Stata Journal 7: 507-541.
- Vella, F., and M. Verbeek. 1998. Whose wages do unions raise? A dynamic model of unionism and wage rate determination for young men. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 13: 163–183. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-1255(199803/04)13:2<163::AID-JAE460>3.0.CO;2-Y.

Wooldridge, J. M. 2010. Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data. 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Also see

- [CAUSAL] etregress postestimation Postestimation tools for etregress
- [CAUSAL] etpoisson Poisson regression with endogenous treatment effects
- [ERM] eregress Extended linear regression
- [R] heckman Heckman selection model
- [R] **probit** Probit regression
- [R] regress Linear regression
- [SVY] svy estimation Estimation commands for survey data
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, and Mata are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow and NetCourseNow are trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.