Bayesian regression models using the bayes prefix

Description

The `bayes` prefix fits **Bayesian regression models**. It provides Bayesian support for many likelihood-based estimation commands. The `bayes` prefix uses default or user-supplied priors for model parameters and estimates parameters using MCMC by drawing simulation samples from the corresponding posterior model. Also see [BAYES] `bayesmh` and [BAYES] `bayesmh evaluators` for fitting more general Bayesian models.

Quick start

Bayesian linear regression of $y$ on $x$, using default normal priors for the regression coefficients and an inverse-gamma prior for the variance

```
bayes: regress y x
```

As above, but use a standard deviation of 10 instead of 100 for the default normal priors and shape of 2 and scale of 1 instead of values of 0.01 for the default inverse-gamma prior

```
bayes, normalprior(10) igammaprior(2 1): regress y x
```

As above, but simulate four chains

```
bayes, normalprior(10) igammaprior(2 1) nchains(4): regress y x
```

Bayesian logistic regression of $y$ on $x1$ and $x2$, showing model summary without performing estimation

```
bayes, dryrun: logit y x1 x2
```

As above, but estimate model parameters and use uniform priors for all regression coefficients

```
bayes, prior({y: x1 x2 _cons}, uniform(-10,10)): logit y x1 x2
```

As above, but use a shortcut notation to refer to all regression coefficients

```
bayes, prior({y:}, uniform(-10,10)): logit y x1 x2
```

As above, but report odds ratios and use uniform priors for the slopes and a normal prior for the intercept

```
bayes, prior({y: x1 x2}, uniform(-10,10)) ///
    prior({y:_cons}, normal(0,10)) or: logit y x1 x2
```

Report odds ratios for the logit model on replay

```
bayes, or
```

Bayesian ordered logit regression of $y$ on $x1$ and $x2$, saving simulation results to `simdata.dta` and using a random-number seed for reproducibility

```
bayes, saving(simdata) rseed(123): ologit y x1 x2 x3
```

Bayesian multinomial regression of $y$ on $x1$ and $x2$, specifying 20,000 MCMC samples, setting length of the burn-in period to 5,000, and requesting that a dot be displayed every 500 simulations

```
bayes, mcmcsize(20000) burnin(5000) dots(500): mlogit y x1 x2
```
Bayesian Poisson regression of \( y \) on \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), putting regression slopes in separate blocks and showing block summary

\[
bayes, \ block({y:x1}) \ block({y:x2}) \ blocksummary: \ \text{poisson} \ y \ x_1 \ x_2
\]

Bayesian multivariate regression of \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) on \( x_1, x_2, \) and \( x_3 \), using Gibbs sampling and requesting 90% HPD credible interval instead of the default 95% equal-tailed credible interval

\[
bayes, \ \text{gibbs} \ \text{clevel}(90) \ \text{hpd}: \ \text{mvreg} \ y_1 \ y_2 = x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3
\]

As above, but use \text{mvreg}'s option \text{level()} instead of \text{bayes}'s option \text{clevel()}

\[
bayes, \ \text{gibbs} \ \text{hpd}: \ \text{mvreg} \ y_1 \ y_2 = x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3, \ \text{level}(90)
\]

Suppress estimates of the covariance matrix from the output

\[
bayes, \ \text{noshow}(\text{Sigma, matrix})
\]

Bayesian Weibull regression of \text{stset} survival-time outcome on \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \), specifying starting values of 1 for \( \{y:x1\} \) and of 2 for \( \{y:x2\} \)

\[
bayes, \ \text{initial}(\{y:x1\} \ 1 \ \{y:x2\} \ 2): \ \text{streg} \ x_1 \ x_2, \ \text{distribution(weibull)}
\]

Bayesian two-level linear regression of \( y \) on \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) with random intercepts by \text{id}

\[
bayes: \ \text{mixed} \ y \ x_1 \ x_2 || \ \text{id}:
\]
bayes — Bayesian regression models using the bayes prefix

Syntax

\texttt{bayes[\ , \ bayesopts]} : \texttt{estimation\_command[\ , \ estopts]}

\textit{estimation\_command} is a likelihood-based estimation command, and \textit{estopts} are command-specific estimation options; see \[\text{BAYES} \text{ Bayesian estimation}\] for a list of supported commands, and see the command-specific entries for the supported estimation options, \textit{estopts}.

\textit{bayesopts} \\
\begin{tabular}{lp{5in}}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Priors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>specify Gibbs sampling; available only with \texttt{regress} or \texttt{mvreg} for certain prior combinations</td>
<td>\texttt{gibbs}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specify standard deviation of default normal priors for regression coefficients and other real scalar parameters; default is \texttt{normalprior(100)}</td>
<td>\texttt{normalprior(#)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specify shape and scale of default inverse-gamma prior for variances; default is \texttt{igammaprior(0.01 \ 0.01)}</td>
<td>\texttt{igammaprior(# \ #)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specify degrees of freedom and, optionally, scale matrix of default inverse-Wishart prior for unstructured random-effects covariance prior for model parameters; this option may be repeated show model summary without estimation</td>
<td>\texttt{iwishartprior(# [\ldots])}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dryrun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>number of chains; default is to simulate one chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCMC sample size; default is \texttt{mcmcsize(10000)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>burn-in period; default is \texttt{burnin(2500)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thinning interval; default is \texttt{thinning(1)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>random-number seed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specify model parameters to be excluded from the simulation results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specify stubs for random-effects parameters for all levels; allowed only with multilevel models</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blocking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>maximum block size; default is \texttt{blocksize(50)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specify a block of model parameters; this option may be repeated display block summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do not block parameters by default</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initialization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>specify initial values for model parameters with a single chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specify initial values for \texttt{#th} chain; requires \texttt{nchains()}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specify initial values for all chains; requires \texttt{nchains()}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suppress the use of maximum likelihood estimates as starting values specify random initial values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>display initial values used for simulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>display output from the estimation command during initialization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*noisily
Adaptation

adaptation(adaptopts) control the adaptive MCMC procedure
scale(#) initial multiplier for scale factor; default is scale(2.38)
covariance(cov) initial proposal covariance; default is the identity matrix

Reporting

clevel(#) set credible interval level; default is clevel(95)
hpd display HPD credible intervals instead of the default equal-tailed credible intervals
eform_option display coefficient table in exponentiated form
remargl compute log marginal-likelihood
batch(#) specify length of block for batch-means calculations; default is batch(0)
saving(filename[, replace]) save simulation results to filename.dta
nomodelsummary suppress model summary
nomesummary suppress multilevel-structure summary; allowed only with multilevel models
chainsdetail display detailed simulation summary for each chain
[dots] suppress dots or display dots every 100 iterations and iteration numbers every 1,000 iterations; default is command-specific
dots(#[, every(#)]) display dots as simulation is performed
[no]show(paramref) specify model parameters to be excluded from or included in the output
showeffects(urref) specify that all or a subset of random-effects parameters be included in the output; allowed only with multilevel commands
melabel display estimation table using the same row labels as estimation_command; allowed only with multilevel commands
nogroup suppress table summarizing groups; allowed only with multilevel models
notable suppress estimation table
noheader suppress output header
title(string) display string as title above the table of parameter estimates
display_options control spacing, line width, and base and empty cells

Advanced

search(search_options) control the search for feasible initial values
corrlag(#) specify maximum autocorrelation lag; default varies
corrtol(#) specify autocorrelation tolerance; default is corrtol(0.01)

*Starred options are specific to the bayes prefix; other options are common between bayes and bayesmh.

The full specification of iwishartprior() is iwishartprior(#[matname][, relevel(levelvar)]).

Options prior() and block() may be repeated.

priorspec and paramref are defined in [BAYES] bayesmh.

paramref may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.
Options

**Priors**

`gibbs` specifies that Gibbs sampling be used to simulate model parameters instead of the default adaptive Metropolis–Hastings sampling. This option is allowed only with the `regress` and `mvreg` estimation commands. It is available only with certain prior combinations such as normal prior for regression coefficients and an inverse-gamma prior for the variance. Specifying the `gibbs` option is equivalent to specifying `block()`’s `gibbs` suboption for all default blocks of parameters. If you use the `block()` option to define your own blocks of parameters, the `gibbs` option will have no effect on those blocks, and an MH algorithm will be used to update parameters in those blocks unless you also specify `block()`’s `gibbs` suboption.

`normalprior(#)` specifies the standard deviation of the default normal priors. The default is `normalprior(100)`. The normal priors are used for scalar parameters defined on the whole real line; see Default priors for details.

`igammaprior(# #)` specifies the shape and scale parameters of the default inverse-gamma priors. The default is `igammaprior(0.01 0.01)`. The inverse-gamma priors are used for positive scalar parameters such as a variance; see Default priors for details. Instead of a number #, you can specify a missing value (.) to refer to the default value of 0.01.

`iwishartprior(# [matname] [, relevel(levelvar)])` specifies the degrees of freedom and, optionally, the scale matrix `matname` of the default inverse-Wishart priors used for unstructured covariances of random effects with multilevel models. The degrees of freedom # is a positive real scalar with the default value of $d + 1$, where $d$ is the number of random-effects terms at the level of hierarchy `levelvar`. Instead of a number #, you can specify a missing value (.) to refer to the default value. Matrix name `matname` is the name of a positive-definite Stata matrix with the default of $I(d)$, the identity matrix of dimension $d$. If `relevel(levelvar)` is omitted, the specified parameters are used for inverse-Wishart priors for all levels with unstructured random-effects covariances. Otherwise, they are used only for the prior for the specified level `levelvar`. See Default priors for details.

`prior(priorspec)` specifies a prior distribution for model parameters. This option may be repeated. A prior may be specified for any of the model parameters, except the random-effects parameters in multilevel models. Model parameters with the same prior specifications are placed in a separate block. Model parameters that are not included in prior specifications are assigned default priors; see Default priors for details. Model parameters may be scalars or matrices, but both types may not be combined in one prior statement. If multiple scalar parameters are assigned a single univariate prior, they are considered independent, and the specified prior is used for each parameter. You may assign a multivariate prior of dimension $d$ to $d$ scalar parameters. Also see Referring to model parameters in `bayesmh`.

All `prior()` distributions are allowed, but they are not guaranteed to correspond to proper posterior distributions for all likelihood models. You need to think carefully about the model you are building and evaluate its convergence thoroughly; see Convergence of MCMC in `bayesmh`.

`dryrun` specifies to show the summary of the model that would be fit without actually fitting the model. This option is recommended for checking specifications of the model before fitting the model. The model summary reports the information about the likelihood model and about priors for all model parameters.

**Simulation**

`nchains(#)` specifies the number of Markov chains to simulate. You must specify at least two chains. By default, only one chain is produced. Simulating multiple chains is useful for convergence
diagnostics and to improve precision of parameter estimates. Four chains are often recommended in
the literature, but you can specify more or less depending on your objective. The reported estimation
results are based on all chains. You can use \texttt{bayesstats summary} with option \texttt{sepchains} to see
the results for each chain. The reported acceptance rate, efficiencies, and log marginal-likelihood
are averaged over all chains. You can use option \texttt{chainsdetail} to see these simulation summaries
for each chain. Also see \textit{Convergence diagnostics using multiple chains} in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesmh} and
\textit{Gelman–Rubin convergence diagnostic} in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesstats grubin}.

\texttt{mcmcsize(\#)} specifies the target MCMC sample size. The default MCMC sample size is \texttt{mcmcsize(10000)}. The total number of iterations for the MH algorithm equals the sum of the burn-in
iterations and the MCMC sample size in the absence of thinning. If thinning is present, the total
number of MCMC iterations is computed as \texttt{burnin()} + (\texttt{mcmcsize()} - 1) \times \texttt{thinning()} + 1. Computation time of the MH algorithm is proportional to the total number of iterations. The MCMC sample size determines the precision of posterior summaries, which may be different for
different model parameters and will depend on the efficiency of the Markov chain. With multiple
chains, \texttt{mcmcsize()} applies to each chain. Also see \textit{Burn-in period and MCMC sample size} in
\texttt{[BAYES] bayesmh}.

\texttt{burnin(\#)} specifies the number of iterations for the burn-in period of MCMC. The values of parameters
simulated during burn-in are used for adaptation purposes only and are not used for estimation. The default is \texttt{burnin(2500)}. Typically, burn-in is chosen to be as long as or longer than the
adaptation period. The burn-in period may need to be larger for multilevel models because these
models introduce high-dimensional random-effects parameters and thus require longer adaptation
periods. With multiple chains, \texttt{burnin()} applies to each chain. Also see \textit{Burn-in period and MCMC sample size} in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesmh} and \textit{Convergence of MCMC} in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesmh}.

\texttt{thinning(\#)} specifies the thinning interval. Only simulated values from every \((1 + k \times \#)\)th iteration
for \(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\) are saved in the final MCMC sample; all other simulated values are discarded.
The default is \texttt{thinning(1)}; that is, all simulation values are saved. Thinning greater than one
is typically used for decreasing the autocorrelation of the simulated MCMC sample. With multiple
chains, \texttt{thinning()} applies to each chain. Also see \textit{Burn-in period and MCMC sample size} in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesmh} and \textit{Convergence of MCMC} in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesmh}.

\texttt{rseed(\#)} sets the random-number seed. This option can be used to reproduce results. With one
chain, \texttt{rseed(\#)} is equivalent to typing \texttt{set seed \#} prior to calling the \texttt{bayes} prefix; see \texttt{[R] set seed}. With multiple chains, you should use \texttt{rseed()} for reproducibility; see \textit{Reproducing results}
in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesmh}.

\texttt{exclude(paramref)} specifies which model parameters should be excluded from the final MCMC
sample. These model parameters will not appear in the estimation table, and postestimation
features for these parameters and log marginal-likelihood will not be available. This option is
useful for suppressing nuisance model parameters. For example, if you have a factor predictor
variable with many levels but you are only interested in the variability of the coefficients associated
with its levels, not their actual values, then you may wish to exclude this factor variable from the
simulation results. If you simply want to omit some model parameters from the output, see the
\texttt{noshow()} option. \texttt{paramref} can include individual random-effects parameters.

\texttt{restubs(restub1 restub2 \ldots)} specifies the stubs for the names of random-effects parameters. You
must specify stubs for all levels—one stub per level. This option overrides the default random-effects
stubs. See \textit{Likelihood model} for details about the default names of random-effects parameters.

\texttt{blocksize(\#)} specifies the maximum block size for the model parameters; default is \texttt{blocksize(50)}.
This option does not apply to random-effects parameters. Each group of random-effects parameters
is placed in one block, regardless of the number of random-effects parameters in that group.
**block**($paramref[, \ blockopts]$) specifies a group of model parameters for the blocked MH algorithm.

By default, model parameters, except the random-effects parameters, are sampled as independent blocks of 50 parameters or of the size specified in option **blocksize**(). Regression coefficients from different equations are placed in separate blocks. Auxiliary parameters such as variances and correlations are sampled as individual separate blocks, whereas the cutpoint parameters of the ordinal-outcome regressions are sampled as one separate block. With multilevel models, each group of random-effects parameters is placed in a separate block, and the **block()** option is not allowed with random-effects parameters. The **block()** option may be repeated to define multiple blocks. Different types of model parameters, such as scalars and matrices, may not be specified in one **block()**. Parameters within one block are updated simultaneously, and each block of parameters is updated in the order it is specified; the first specified block is updated first, the second is updated second, and so on. See Improving efficiency of the MH algorithm—blocking of parameters in [BAYES] bayesmh.

**blockopts** include **gibbs**, **split**, **scale()**, **covariance()**, and **adaptation()**.

**gibbs** specifies to use Gibbs sampling to update parameters in the block. This option is allowed only for hyperparameters and only for specific combinations of prior and hyperprior distributions; see Gibbs sampling for some likelihood-prior and prior-hyperprior configurations in [BAYES] bayesmh. For more information, see Gibbs and hybrid MH sampling in [BAYES] bayesmh. **gibbs** may not be combined with **scale()**, **covariance()**, or **adaptation()**.

**split** specifies that all parameters in a block are treated as separate blocks. This may be useful for levels of factor variables.

**scale(#)** specifies an initial multiplier for the scale factor corresponding to the specified block.

The initial scale factor is computed as $#/\sqrt{np}$ for continuous parameters and as $#/np$ for discrete parameters, where $np$ is the number of parameters in the block. The default is **scale(2.38)**. If specified, this option overrides the respective setting from the **scale()** option specified with the command. **scale()** may not be combined with **gibbs**.

**covariance(matname)** specifies a scale matrix $matname$ to be used to compute an initial proposal covariance matrix corresponding to the specified block. The initial proposal covariance is computed as $\rho \times Sigma$, where $\rho$ is a scale factor and $Sigma = matname$. By default, $Sigma$ is the identity matrix. If specified, this option overrides the respective setting from the **covariance()** option specified with the command. **covariance()** may not be combined with **gibbs**.

**adaptation(tarate())** and **adaptation(tolerance())** specify block-specific TAR and acceptance tolerance. If specified, they override the respective settings from the **adaptation()** option specified with the command. **adaptation()** may not be combined with **gibbs**.

**blocksummary** displays the summary of the specified blocks. This option is useful when **block()** is specified.

**noblocking** requests that no default blocking is applied to model parameters. By default, model parameters are sampled as independent blocks of 50 parameters or of the size specified in option **blocksize()**. For multilevel models, this option has no effect on random-effects parameters; blocking is always applied to them.

**initial(initspec)** specifies initial values for the model parameters to be used in the simulation.

With multiple chains, this option is equivalent to specifying option **init1()**. You can specify a parameter name, its initial value, another parameter name, its initial value, and so on. For example,
to initialize a scalar parameter \( \alpha \) to 0.5 and a 2x2 matrix \( \Sigma \) to the identity matrix \( I(2) \), you can type

\[
\text{bayes, initial({\alpha} 0.5 {\Sigma,m} I(2)) : ...}
\]

You can also specify a list of parameters using any of the specifications described in Referring to model parameters in \[\text{BAYES} \] \text{bayesmh}. For example, to initialize all regression coefficients from equations \( y_1 \) and \( y_2 \) to zero, you can type

\[
\text{bayes, initial({y1:} {y2:} 0) : ...}
\]

The general specification of \textit{initspec} is

\[
\text{paramref initval [paramref initval [...]]}
\]

where \textit{initval} is either a number, a Stata expression that evaluates to a number, or a Stata matrix for initialization of matrix parameters.

Curly braces may be omitted for scalar parameters but must be specified for matrix parameters. Initial values declared using this option override the default initial values or any initial values declared during parameter specification in the \textit{likelihood()} option. See Initial values for details.

\textit{init#(initspec)} specifies initial values for the model parameters for the \#th chain. This option requires option \textit{nchains()}. \textit{init1()} overrides the default initial values for the first chain, \textit{init2()} for the second chain, and so on. You specify initial values in \textit{init#()} just like you do in option \textit{initial()}. See Initial values for details.

\textit{initall(initspec)} specifies initial values for the model parameters for all chains. This option requires option \textit{nchains()}. You specify initial values in \textit{initall()} just like you do in option \textit{initial()}. You should avoid specifying fixed initial values in \textit{initall()} because then all chains will use the same initial values. \textit{initall()} is useful to specify random initial values when you define your own priors within \textit{prior()}’s \textit{density()} and \textit{logdensity()} suboptions. See Initial values for details.

\textit{nomleinitial} suppresses using maximum likelihood estimates (MLEs) as starting values for model parameters. With multiple chains, this option and discussion below apply only to the first chain. By default, when no initial values are specified, MLE values from \textit{estimation command} are used as initial values. For multilevel commands, MLE estimates are used only for regression coefficients. Random effects are assigned zero values, and random-effects variances and covariances are initialized with ones and zeros, respectively. If \textit{nomleinitial} is specified and no initial values are provided, the command uses ones for positive scalar parameters, zeros for other scalar parameters, and identity matrices for matrix parameters. \textit{nomleinitial} may be useful for providing an alternative starting state when checking convergence of MCMC. This option cannot be combined with \textit{initrandom}.

\textit{initrandom} specifies that the model parameters be initialized randomly. Random initial values are generated from the prior distributions of the model parameters. If you want to use fixed initial values for some of the parameters, you can specify them in the \textit{initial()} option or during parameter declarations in the \textit{likelihood()} option. Random initial values are not available for parameters with \textit{flat}, \textit{jeffreys}, \textit{density()}, \textit{logdensity()}, and \textit{jeffreys} priors; you must provide your own initial values for such parameters. This option cannot be combined with \textit{nomleinitial}. See Specifying initial values in \[\text{BAYES} \] \text{bayesmh} for details.

\textit{initsummary} specifies that the initial values used for simulation be displayed.

\textit{noisily} specifies that the output from the estimation command be shown during initialization. The estimation command is executed once to set up the model and calculate initial values for model parameters.
adaptation(adaptopts) controls adaptation of the MCMC procedure. Adaptation takes place every prespecified number of MCMC iterations and consists of tuning the proposal scale factor and proposal covariance for each block of model parameters. Adaptation is used to improve sampling efficiency. Provided defaults are based on theoretical results and may not be sufficient for all applications. See Adaptation of the MH algorithm in [BAYES] bayesmh for details about adaptation and its parameters.

adaptopts are any of the following options:

every(#) specifies that adaptation be attempted every #th iteration. The default is every(100).
To determine the adaptation interval, you need to consider the maximum block size specified in your model. The update of a block with \( k \) model parameters requires the estimation of a \( k \times k \) covariance matrix. If the adaptation interval is not sufficient for estimating the \( k(k + 1)/2 \) elements of this matrix, the adaptation may be insufficient.

maxiter(#) specifies the maximum number of adaptive iterations. Adaptation includes tuning of the proposal covariance and of the scale factor for each block of model parameters. Once the TAR is achieved within the specified tolerance, the adaptation stops. However, no more than # adaptation steps will be performed. The default is variable and is computed as \( \max\{25, \text{floor}(\text{burnin()}/\text{adaptation(every()))}\} \).
maxiter() is usually chosen to be no greater than \( (\text{mcmcsize()} + \text{burnin()})/\text{adaptation(every())} \).

miniter(#) specifies the minimum number of adaptive iterations to be performed regardless of whether the TAR has been achieved. The default is miniter(5). If the specified miniter() is greater than maxiter(), then miniter() is reset to maxiter(). Thus, if you specify maxiter(0), then no adaptation will be performed.

alpha(#) specifies a parameter controlling the adaptation of the AR. alpha() should be in \([0,1]\). The default is alpha(0.75).

beta(#) specifies a parameter controlling the adaptation of the proposal covariance matrix. beta() must be in \([0,1]\). The closer beta() is to zero, the less adaptive the proposal covariance. When beta() is zero, the same proposal covariance will be used in all MCMC iterations. The default is beta(0.8).

gamma(#) specifies a parameter controlling the adaptation rate of the proposal covariance matrix. gamma() must be in \([0,1]\). The larger the value of gamma(), the less adaptive the proposal covariance. The default is gamma(0).

tarate(#) specifies the TAR for all blocks of model parameters; this is rarely used. tarate() must be in \((0,1]\). The default AR is 0.234 for blocks containing continuous multiple parameters, 0.44 for blocks with one continuous parameter, and \(1/n_{\text{maxlev}}\) for blocks with discrete parameters, where \( n_{\text{maxlev}} \) is the maximum number of levels for a discrete parameter in the block.

tolerance(#) specifies the tolerance criterion for adaptation based on the TAR. tolerance() should be in \((0,1]\). Adaptation stops whenever the absolute difference between the current AR and TAR is less than tolerance(). The default is tolerance(0.01).
scale(#) specifies an initial multiplier for the scale factor for all blocks. The initial scale factor is computed as 
\[
\frac{#}{\sqrt{n_p}} \quad \text{for continuous parameters and} \quad \frac{#}{n_p} \quad \text{for discrete parameters, where} \quad n_p \quad \text{is the number of parameters in the block. The default is scale(2.38)}.
\]
covariance(cov) specifies a scale matrix cov to be used to compute an initial proposal covariance matrix. The initial proposal covariance is computed as \( \rho \times \Sigma \), where \( \rho \) is a scale factor and
$\Sigma = \text{matname}$. By default, $\Sigma$ is the identity matrix. Partial specification of $\Sigma$ is also allowed. The rows and columns of $\text{cov}$ should be named after some or all model parameters. According to some theoretical results, the optimal proposal covariance is the posterior covariance matrix of model parameters, which is usually unknown. This option does not apply to the blocks containing random-effects parameters.

`clevel(#)` specifies the credible level, as a percentage, for equal-tailed and HPD credible intervals. The default is `clevel(95)` or as set by `[BAYES] set clevel`.

`hpd` displays the HPD credible intervals instead of the default equal-tailed credible intervals.

`eform_option` causes the coefficient table to be displayed in exponentiated form; see `[R] eform_option`. The estimation command determines which `eform_option` is allowed (`eform(string)` and `eform` are always allowed).

`remargl` specifies to compute the log marginal-likelihood for multilevel models. It is not reported by default for multilevel models. Bayesian multilevel models contain many parameters because, in addition to regression coefficients and variance components, they also estimate individual random effects. The computation of the log marginal-likelihood involves the inverse of the determinant of the sample covariance matrix of all parameters and loses its accuracy as the number of parameters grows. For high-dimensional models such as multilevel models, the computation of the log marginal-likelihood can be time consuming, and its accuracy may become unacceptably low. Because it is difficult to access the levels of accuracy of the computation for all multilevel models, the log marginal-likelihood is not reported by default. For multilevel models containing a small number of random effects, you can use the `remargl` option to compute and display the log marginal-likelihood.

`batch(#)` specifies the length of the block for calculating batch means and MCSE using batch means. The default is `batch(0)`, which means no batch calculations. When `batch()` is not specified, MCSE is computed using effective sample sizes instead of batch means. Option `batch()` may not be combined with `corrlag()` or `corrtol()`.

`saving(filename[, replace])` saves simulation results in `filename.dta`. The `replace` option specifies to overwrite `filename.dta` if it exists. If the `saving()` option is not specified, the `bayes` prefix saves simulation results in a temporary file for later access by postestimation commands. This temporary file will be overridden every time the `bayes` prefix is run and will also be erased if the current estimation results are cleared. `saving()` may be specified during estimation or on replay.

The saved dataset has the following structure. Variable `_chain` records chain identifiers. Variable `_index` records iteration numbers. The `bayes` prefix saves only states (sets of parameter values) that are different from one iteration to another and the frequency of each state in variable `_frequency`. (Some states may be repeated for discrete parameters.) As such, `_index` may not necessarily contain consecutive integers. Remember to use `_frequency` as a frequency weight if you need to obtain any summaries of this dataset. Values for each parameter are saved in a separate variable in the dataset. Variables containing values of parameters without equation names are named as `eq0_p#`, following the order in which parameters are declared in the `bayes` prefix. Variables containing values of parameters with equation names are named as `eq#_p#`, again following the order in which parameters are defined. Parameters with the same equation names will have the same variable prefix `eq#`. For example,

```
  . bayes, saving(mcmc): ...
```
will create a dataset, mcmc.dta, with variable names eq1_p1 for \{y:x1\}, eq1_p2 for \{y:_cons\}, and eq0_p1 for \{var\}. Also see macros \e{parnames} and \e{varnames} for the correspondence between parameter names and variable names.

In addition, the bayes prefix saves variable _loglikelihood to contain values of the log likelihood from each iteration and variable _logposterior to contain values of the log posterior from each iteration.

nomodelsummary suppresses the detailed summary of the specified model. The model summary is reported by default.

nomesummary suppresses the summary about the multilevel structure of the model. This summary is reported by default for multilevel commands.

chainsdetail specifies that acceptance rates, efficiencies, and log marginal-likelihoods be reported separately for each chain. By default, the header reports these statistics averaged over all chains. This option requires option nchains().

donots, dots, and dots(#) specify to suppress or display dots during simulation. With multiple chains, these options affect all chains. dots(#) displays a dot every # iterations. During the adaptation period, a symbol a is displayed instead of a dot. If dots(..., every(#)) is specified, then an iteration number is displayed every #th iteration instead of a dot or a. dots(), every(#)) is equivalent to dots(1, every(#)). dots displays dots every 100 iterations and iteration numbers every 1,000 iterations; it is a synonym for dots(100, every(1000)). dots is the default with multilevel commands, and nodots is the default with other commands.

show(paramref) or noshow(paramref) specifies a list of model parameters to be included in the output or excluded from the output, respectively. By default, all model parameters (except random-effects parameters with multilevel models) are displayed. Do not confuse noshow() with exclude(), which excludes the specified parameters from the MCMC sample. When the noshow() option is specified, for computational efficiency, MCMC summaries of the specified parameters are not computed or stored in \e{()}.

paramref can include individual random-effects parameters.

showreffects and showreffects(reref) are used with multilevel commands and specify that all or a list reref of random-effects parameters be included in the output in addition to other model parameters. By default, all random-effects parameters are excluded from the output as if you have specified the noshow() option. This option computes, displays, and stores in \e{()} MCMC summaries for the random-effects parameters.

melabel specifies that the bayes prefix use the same row labels as estimation_command in the estimation table. This option is allowed only with multilevel commands. It is useful to match the estimation table output of bayes: mecmd with that of mecmd. This option implies nomesummary and nomodelsummary.

nogroup suppresses the display of group summary information (number of groups, average group size, minimum, and maximum) from the output header. This option is for use with multilevel commands.

notable suppresses the estimation table from the output. By default, a summary table is displayed containing all model parameters except those listed in the exclude() and noshow() options. Regression model parameters are grouped by equation names. The table includes six columns and reports the following statistics using the MCMC simulation results: posterior mean, posterior standard deviation, MCMC standard error or MCSE, posterior median, and credible intervals.

noheader suppresses the output header either at estimation or upon replay.

title(string) specifies an optional title for the command that is displayed above the table of the parameter estimates. The default title is specific to the specified likelihood model.
display_options: vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nofvlabel, 
fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.

search(search_options) searches for feasible initial values. search_options are on, repeat(#), and off.

search(on) is equivalent to search(repeat(500)). This is the default.

search(repeat(k)), k > 0, specifies the number of random attempts to be made to find a feasible initial-value vector, or initial state. The default is repeat(500). An initial-value vector is feasible if it corresponds to a state with positive posterior probability. If feasible initial values are not found after k attempts, an error will be issued. repeat(0) (rarely used) specifies that no random attempts be made to find a feasible starting point. In this case, if the specified initial vector does not correspond to a feasible state, an error will be issued.

search(off) prevents the command from searching for feasible initial values. We do not recommend specifying this option.

corrlag(#) specifies the maximum autocorrelation lag used for calculating effective sample sizes. The default is \( \min\{500, \text{mcmcsizer}/2\} \). The total autocorrelation is computed as the sum of all lag-k autocorrelation values for k from 0 to either corrlag() or the index at which the autocorrelation becomes less than corrtol() if the latter is less than corrlag(). Options corrlag() and batch() may not be combined.

corrtol(#) specifies the autocorrelation tolerance used for calculating effective sample sizes. The default is corrtol(0.01). For a given model parameter, if the absolute value of the lag-k autocorrelation is less than corrtol(), then all autocorrelation lags beyond the kth lag are discarded. Options corrtol() and batch() may not be combined.

Remarks and examples

Remarks and examples are presented under the following headings:

Using the bayes prefix
  Likelihood model
  Default priors
  Initial values
  Command-specific options
Introductory example
  Linear regression: A case of informative default priors
  Logistic regression with perfect predictors
  Multinomial logistic regression
  Generalized linear model
  Truncated Poisson regression
  Zero-inflated negative binomial model
  Parametric survival model
  Heckman selection model
  Multilevel models
    Two-level models
    Crossed-effects model
Video examples

For a general introduction to Bayesian analysis, see [BAYES] Intro. For a general introduction to Bayesian estimation using adaptive MH and Gibbs algorithms, see [BAYES] bayesmh. See [BAYES] Bayesian estimation for a list of supported estimation commands. For a quick overview example of all Bayesian commands, see Overview example in [BAYES] Bayesian commands.
Using the bayes prefix

The `bayes` prefix provides Bayesian estimation for many likelihood-based regression models. Simply prefix your estimation command with `bayes` to get Bayesian estimates—`bayes: estimation_command`; see `[BAYES] Bayesian estimation` for a list of supported commands. Also see `[BAYES] bayesmh` for other Bayesian models.

Similarly to the `bayesmh` command, the `bayes` prefix sets up a Bayesian posterior model, uses MCMC to simulate parameters of this model, and summarizes and reports results. The process of specifying a Bayesian model is similar to that described in `Setting up a posterior model` in `[BAYES] bayesmh`, except the likelihood model is now determined by the specified `estimation_command` and default priors are used for model parameters. The `bayes` prefix and the `bayesmh` command share the same methodology of MCMC simulation and the same summarization and reporting of simulation results; see `[BAYES] bayesmh` for details. In the following sections, we provide information specific to the `bayes` prefix.

Likelihood model

With the `bayes` prefix, the likelihood component of the Bayesian model is determined by the prefixed estimation command, and all posterior model parameters are defined by the likelihood model. For example, the parameters of the model

```
bayes: streg age smoking, distribution(lognormal)
```

are the regression coefficients and auxiliary parameters you see when you fit

```
streg age smoking, distribution(lognormal)
```

All estimation commands have regression coefficients as their model parameters. Some commands have additional parameters such as variances and correlation coefficients.

The `bayes` prefix typically uses the likelihood parameterization and the naming convention of the estimation command to define model parameters, but there are exceptions. For example, the `truncreg` command uses the standard deviation parameter `{sigma}` to parameterize the likelihood, whereas `bayes: truncreg` uses the variance parameter `{sigma2}`.

Most model parameters are scalar parameters supported on the whole real line such as regression coefficients, log-transformed positive parameters, and atanh-transformed correlation coefficients. For example, positive scalar parameters are the variance parameters in `bayes: regress`, `bayes: tobit`, and `bayes: truncreg`, and matrix parameters are the covariance matrix `{Sigma, matrix}` in `bayes: mvreg` and covariances of random effects in multilevel commands such as `bayes: meglm`.

The names of model parameters are provided in the model summary displayed by the `bayes` prefix. Knowing these names is useful when specifying the prior distributions, although the `bayes` prefix does provide default priors; see `Default priors`. You can use the `dryrun` option with the `bayes` prefix to see the names of model parameters prior to the estimation. In general, the names of regression coefficients are formed as `{depvar: indepvar}`, where `depvar` is the name of the specified dependent variable and `indepvar` is the name of an independent variable. There are exceptions such as `bayes: streg`, for which `depvar` is replaced with `_t`. Variance parameters are named `{sigma2}`, log-standard-deviation parameters are named `{lnsigma}`, atanh-transformed correlation parameters are named `{athrho}`, and the covariance matrix of `bayes: mvreg` is named `{Sigma, matrix}` (or `{Sigma, m}` for short).

For multilevel models such as `bayes: meglm`, in addition to regression coefficients and variance components, the `bayes` prefix also estimates random-effects parameters. This is different from the corresponding frequentist commands, such as `meglm`, in which random effects are integrated out and thus are not among the final model parameters. (They can be predicted after estimation.) As such, the
Bayes prefix has its own naming convention for model parameters of multilevel commands. Before moving on, you should be familiar with the syntax of the multilevel commands; see, for example, Syntax in [ME] meglm.

The regression coefficients are labeled as usual, \{depvar:indepvar\}. Random-effects parameters are labeled as outlined in tables 1 and 2. You can change the default names by specifying the restubs() option. The common syntax of \{rename\} is \{restub#\}, where restub is a capital letter, U for the level specified first, or a sequence of capital letters that is unique to each random-effects level, and # refers to the group of random effects at that level: 0 for random intercepts, 1 for random coefficients associated with the variable specified first in the random-effects equation, 2 for random coefficients associated with the variable specified second, and so on. The full syntax of \{rename\}, \{fullrename\}, is \{restub#[levelvar]\}, where levelvar is the variable identifying the level of hierarchy and is often omitted from the specification for brevity. Random effects at the observation level or crossed effects, specified as _all: R.varname with multilevel commands, are labeled as \{U0\}, \{V0\}, \{W0\}, and so on. Random effects at nesting levels, or nested effects, are labeled using a sequence of capital letters starting with the letter corresponding to the top level. For example, the multilevel model

```
.bayes: melogit y x1 x2 || id1: x1 x2 || id2: x1 || id3:
```

will have random-effects parameters \{U0\}, \{U1\}, and \{U2\} to represent, respectively, random intercepts, random coefficients for x1, and random coefficients for x2 at the id1 level; parameters \{UU0\} and \{UU1\} for random intercepts and random coefficients for x1 at the id2 level; and random intercepts \{UUU0\} at the id3 level. See Multilevel models for more examples. Also see Different ways of specifying model parameters for how to refer to individual random effects during postestimation.

Table 1. Random effects at nesting levels of hierarchy (nested effects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
<th>Random effects</th>
<th>{rename}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lev1</td>
<td>Random intercepts</td>
<td>{U0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Random coefficients</td>
<td>{U1}, {U2}, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lev1&gt;lev2</td>
<td>Random intercepts</td>
<td>{UU0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Random coefficients</td>
<td>{UU1}, {UU2}, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lev1&gt;lev2&gt;lev3</td>
<td>Random intercepts</td>
<td>{UUU0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Random coefficients</td>
<td>{UUU1}, {UUU2}, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Random effects at the observation level, _all (crossed effects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hierarchy</th>
<th>Random effects</th>
<th>{rename}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lev1</td>
<td>Random intercepts</td>
<td>{U0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lev2</td>
<td>Random intercepts</td>
<td>{V0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lev3</td>
<td>Random intercepts</td>
<td>{W0}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variance components for independent random effects are labeled as \{rename:sigma2\}. In the above example, there are six variance components: \{U0:sigma2\}, \{U1:sigma2\}, \{U2:sigma2\}, \{UU0:sigma2\}, \{UU1:sigma2\}, and \{UUU0:sigma2\}. 


Covariance matrices of correlated random effects are labeled as \{restub\:Sigma,matrix\} (or \{restub\:Sigma,m\} for short), where restub is the letter stub corresponding to the level at which random effects are defined. For example, if we specify an unstructured covariance for the random effects at the id1 and id2 levels (with cov(un) short for covariance(unstructured))

```
. bayes: melogit y x1 x2 || id1: x1 x2, cov(un) || id2: x1, cov(un) || id3:
```

we will have two covariance matrix parameters, a 3 × 3 covariance \{U:Sigma,m\} at the id1 level and a 2 × 2 covariance \{UU:Sigma,m\} at the id2 level, and the variance component \{UUU0:sigma2\} at the id3 level.

For Gaussian multilevel models such as `bayes: mixed`, the error variance component is labeled as \{e.depvar:sigma2\}.

Also see command-specific entries for the naming convention of additional parameters such as cutpoints with ordinal models or over dispersion parameters with negative binomial models.

**Default priors**

For convenience, the `bayes` prefix provides default priors for model parameters. The priors are chosen to be general across models and are fairly uninformative for a typical combination of a likelihood model and dataset. However, the default priors may not always be appropriate. You should always inspect their soundness and, if needed, override the prior specification for some or all model parameters using the `prior()` option.

All scalar parameters supported on the whole real line, such as regression coefficients and log-transformed positive parameters, are assigned a normal distribution with zero mean and variance \(\sigma_{\text{prior}}^2\), \(N(0, \sigma_{\text{prior}}^2)\), where \(\sigma_{\text{prior}}\) is given by the `normalprior()` option. The default value for \(\sigma_{\text{prior}}\) is 100, and thus the default priors for these parameters are \(N(0, 10000)\). These priors are fairly uninformative for parameters of moderate size but may become informative for large-scale parameters. See the *Linear regression: A case of informative default priors* example below.

All positive scalar parameters, such as the variance parameters in `bayes: regress` and `bayes: tobit`, are assigned an inverse-gamma prior with shape parameter \(\alpha\) and scale parameter \(\beta\), \(\text{InvGamma}(\alpha, \beta)\). The default values for \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are 0.01, and thus the default prior for these parameters is \(\text{InvGamma}(0.01, 0.01)\).

All cutpoint parameters of ordinal-outcome models, such as `bayes: ologit` and `bayes: oprobit` are assigned flat priors, improper uniform priors with a constant density of 1, equivalent to specifying the `flat` prior option. The reason for this choice is that the cutpoint parameters are sensitive to the range of the outcome variables, which is usually unknown a priori.

For multilevel models with `independent` and `identity` random-effects covariance structures, variances of random effects are assigned inverse-gamma priors, \(\text{InvGamma}(0.01, 0.01)\). For `unstructured` random-effects covariances, covariance matrix parameters are assigned fairly uninformative inverse-Wishart priors, \(\text{InvWishart}(d + 1, I(d))\), where \(d\) is the dimension of the random-effects covariance matrix and \(I(d)\) is the identity matrix of dimension \(d\). Setting the degrees-of-freedom parameter of the inverse-Wishart prior to \(d + 1\) is equivalent to specifying uniform on \((-1, 1)\) distributions for the individual correlation parameters.

The model summary displayed by the `bayes` prefix describes the chosen default priors, which you can see prior to estimation if you specify `bayes`'s `dryrun` option. You can use the `prior()` option repeatedly to override the default prior specifications for some or all model parameters.
Initial values

By default, the `bayes` prefix uses the ML estimates from the prefixed estimation command as initial values for all scalar model parameters.

For example, the specification

```
. bayes: logit y x
```

will use the ML estimates from

```
. logit y x
```

as default initial values for the regression coefficients.

You can override the default initial values by using the `initial()` option; see *Specifying initial values* in [BAYES] bayesmh.

If the `nomleinitial` option is specified, instead of using the estimates from the prefixed command, all scalar model parameters are initialized with zeros, except for the variance parameters, which are initialized with ones.

The covariance matrix parameter `{Sigma, matrix}` of `bayes: mvreg` is always initialized with the identity matrix.

For multilevel models, regression coefficients are initialized using the ML estimates from the corresponding model without random effects, variances of random effects are initialized with ones, covariances of random effects are initialized with zeros, and random effects themselves are initialized with zeros.

With multiple chains, the following default initialization takes place. The first chain is initialized as described above. The subsequent chains use random initial values. In general, random initial values are generated from the prior distributions. For some improper priors such as `flat` and `jeffreys`, to avoid extremely large values, random initial values are sampled from a normal distribution with the mean centered at the initial values of the first chain and with standard deviations proportional to the magnitudes of the respective initial estimates.

See *Specifying initial values* in [BAYES] bayesmh for more information about default initial values and for how to specify your own.

Command-specific options

Not all command-specific options, that is, options specified with the estimation command, are applicable within the Bayesian framework. One example is the group of maximum-likelihood optimization options such as `technique()` and `gradient`. For a list of supported options, refer to the entry specific to each command; see [BAYES] Bayesian estimation for a list of commands.

Some of the command-specific reporting options, such as `eform_option` and display options, can be specified either with `estimation_command` or with the `bayes` prefix. For example, to obtain estimates of odds ratios instead of coefficients after the logit model, you can specify the `or` option with the command

```
. bayes: logit y x, or
```

or with the `bayes` prefix

```
. bayes, or: logit y x
```

You can also specify this option on replay with the `bayes` prefix

```
. bayes: logit y x
. bayes, or
```
Introductory example

We start with a simple linear regression model applied to *womenswage.dta*, which contains income data for a sample of working women.

```
use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/womenswage
(Wages of women)
```

Suppose we want to regress women’s yearly income, represented by the *wage* variable, on their age, represented by the *age* variable. We can fit this model using the `regress` command.

```
regress wage age
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>Number of obs = 488</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>3939.49247</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3939.49247</td>
<td>F(1, 486) = 43.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>43984.4891</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>90.503064</td>
<td>Prob &gt; F = 0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>47923.9816</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>98.406533</td>
<td>R-squared = 0.0822</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| wage | Coef.  | Std. Err. | t     | P>|t| | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|------|--------|-----------|-------|------|----------------------|
| age  | .399348| .0605289  | 6.60  | 0.000| .2804173 .5182787    |
| _cons| 6.033077| 1.791497  | 3.37  | 0.001| 2.513041 9.553112    |

> Example 1: Bayesian simple linear regression

We can fit a corresponding Bayesian regression model by simply adding `bayes:` in front of the `regress` command. Because the `bayes` prefix is simulation based, we set a random-number seed to get reproducible results.
. set seed 15
. bayes: regress wage age

Burn-in ...
Simulation ...

Model summary

Likelihood:
\[ \text{wage} \sim \text{regress(xb\_wage,\{sigma2\})} \]

Priors:
\[ \{\text{wage:age } _\text{cons}\} \sim \text{normal}(0,10000) \]  
\[ \{\text{sigma2}\} \sim \text{igamma}(0.01,0.01) \]  

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form \( \text{xb\_wage} \).

Bayesian linear regression MCMC iterations = 12,500
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling Burn-in = 2,500
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Number of obs = 488
Acceptance rate = .3739
Efficiency: min = .1411
avg = .1766
max = .2271

Log marginal-likelihood = -1810.1432

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Equal-tailed [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\text{wage}</td>
<td>.4008591</td>
<td>.0596579</td>
<td>.001586</td>
<td>.4005088</td>
<td>.2798807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\text{age}</td>
<td>5.969069</td>
<td>1.737247</td>
<td>.043218</td>
<td>5.997571</td>
<td>2.60753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>90.76252</td>
<td>5.891887</td>
<td>.123626</td>
<td>90.43802</td>
<td>79.71145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

The Bayesian model has two regression coefficient parameters, \{\text{wage:age}\} and \{\text{wage:cons}\}, and a positive scalar parameter, \{\text{sigma2}\}, representing the variance of the error term. The model summary shows the default priors used for the model parameters: \text{normal}(0, 10000) for the regression coefficients and \text{igamma}(0.01, 0.01) for the variance parameter. The default priors are provided for convenience and should be used with caution. These priors are fairly uninformative in this example, but this may not always be the case; see the example in Linear regression: A case of informative default priors.

The first two columns of the \texttt{bayes} prefix’s estimation table report the posterior means and standard deviations of the model parameters. We observe that for the regression coefficients \{\text{wage:age}\} and \{\text{wage:cons}\}, the posterior means and standard deviations are very similar to the least-square estimates and their standard errors as reported by the \texttt{regress} command. The posterior mean estimate for \{\text{sigma2}\}, 90.76, is close to the residual mean squared estimate, 90.50, listed in the ANOVA table of the \texttt{regress} command. The estimation table of the \texttt{bayes} prefix also reports Monte Carlo standard errors (MCSEs), medians, and equal-tailed credible intervals.

The Bayesian estimates are stochastic in nature and, by default, are based on an MCMC sample of size 10,000. It is important to verify that the MCMC simulation has converged; otherwise, the Bayesian estimates cannot be trusted. The simulation efficiencies reported in the header of the estimation table can serve as useful initial indicators of convergence problems. The minimum efficiency in our example is about 0.14, and the average efficiency is about 0.17. These numbers are typical for the MH sampling algorithm used by \texttt{bayes} and do not indicate convergence problems; see example 1 in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesstats grubin} for convergence diagnostics using multiple chains for this example. Also see Convergence of MCMC in \texttt{[BAYES] bayesmh} for details about convergence diagnostics.
Example 2: Predictions

There are several postestimation commands available after the `bayes` prefix; see [BAYES] Bayesian postestimation. Among them is the `bayesstats summary` command, which we can use to compute simple predictions. Suppose that we want to predict the expected wage of a 40-year-old woman conditional on the above fitted posterior model. Based on our model, this expected wage corresponds to the linear combination \( \{wage : _{\text{cons}}\} + \{wage : age\} \times 40 \). We name this expression `wage40` and supply it to the `bayesstats summary` command.

```
.bayesstats summary (wage40: {wage:_cons} + {wage:age}*40)
Posterior summary statistics  MCMC sample size =  10,000
  wage40 : {wage:_cons} + {wage:age}*40

  Equal-tailed
      Mean  Std. Dev.  MCSE     Median  [95% Cred. Interval]
    wage40   22.00343   .81679  .024045   21.99231   20.39435   23.6718
```

The posterior mean estimate for the expected wage is about 22 with a 95% credible interval between 20.39 and 23.67.

Example 3: Gibbs sampling

The `bayes` prefix uses adaptive MH as its default sampling algorithm. However, in the special case of linear regression, a more efficient Gibbs sampling is available. We can request Gibbs sampling by specifying the `gibbs` option.
. set seed 15
. bayes, gibbs: regress wage age
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary

Likelihood:
   wage ~ normal(xb_wage,{sigma2})

Priors:
   {wage:age _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (1)
   {sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_wage.

Bayesian linear regression
Gibbs sampling
   MCMC iterations = 12,500
   Burn-in = 2,500
   MCMC sample size = 10,000
   Number of obs = 488
   Acceptance rate = 1
   Log marginal-likelihood = -1810.087
   Efficiency = 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wage</td>
<td>0.3999669</td>
<td>0.0611328</td>
<td>0.000611</td>
<td>0.4005838</td>
<td>0.2787908 - 0.518693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>2.012074</td>
<td>1.804246</td>
<td>0.018042</td>
<td>2.000808</td>
<td>2.488816 - 9.549921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>6.012074</td>
<td>1.804246</td>
<td>0.018042</td>
<td>6.000808</td>
<td>2.488816 - 9.549921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma2</td>
<td>90.84221</td>
<td>5.939353</td>
<td>0.059395</td>
<td>90.54834</td>
<td>79.8132 - 103.0164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

The posterior summary results obtained by Gibbs sampling and MH sampling are very close except for the MCSEs. The Gibbs sampler reports substantially lower MCSEs than the default sampler because of its higher efficiency. In fact, in this example, the Gibbs sampler achieves the highest possible efficiency of 1.

Linear regression: A case of informative default priors

Our example in *Introductory example* used the default priors, which were fairly uninformative for those data and that model. This may not always be true. Consider a linear regression model using the familiar auto.dta. Let us regress the response variable price on the covariate length and factor variable foreign.
Example 4: Default priors

We first fit a Bayesian regression model using the bayes prefix with default priors. Because the range of the outcome variable price is at least an order of magnitude larger than the range of the predictor variables length and foreign, we anticipate that some of the model parameters may have large scale, and longer adaptation may be necessary for the MCMC algorithm to reach optimal sampling for these parameters. We allow for longer adaptation by increasing the burn-in period from the default value of 2,500 to 5,000.

. set seed 15
. bayes, burnin(5000): regress price length i.foreign
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary

Likelihood:
  price ~ regress(xb_price,{sigma2})

Priors:
  {price:length 1.foreign _cons} ~ normal(0,10000)  \( (1) \)
  {sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_price.
Bayesian linear regression
Random walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling

MCMC iterations = 15,000
Burn-in = 5,000
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Number of obs = 74
Acceptance rate = .3272
Efficiency: min = .05887
avg = .1093
max = .1958

Log marginal-likelihood = -699.23257

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>price</td>
<td>33.03301</td>
<td>1.80186</td>
<td>.060848</td>
<td>33.07952</td>
<td>29.36325 36.41022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>32.77011</td>
<td>98.97104</td>
<td>4.07922</td>
<td>34.3237</td>
<td>-164.1978 222.0855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>-8.063175</td>
<td>102.9479</td>
<td>3.34161</td>
<td>-9.110308</td>
<td>-205.9497 196.9341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>-8.063175</td>
<td>102.9479</td>
<td>3.34161</td>
<td>-9.110308</td>
<td>-205.9497 196.9341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma2</td>
<td>7538628</td>
<td>1297955</td>
<td>29334.9</td>
<td>7414320</td>
<td>5379756 1.04e+07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

The posterior mean estimates of the regression coefficients are smaller (in absolute value) than the corresponding estimates from the `regress` command, because the default prior for the coefficients, `normal(0, 10000)`, is informative and has a strong shrinkage effect. For example, the least-square estimate of the constant term from `regress` is about $-11.621$, and its scale is much larger than the default prior standard deviation of 100. As a result, the default prior shrinks the estimate of the constant toward 0 and, specifically, to $-8.06$.

You should be aware that the default priors are provided for convenience and are not guaranteed to be uninformative in all cases. They are designed to have little effect on model parameters, the maximum likelihood estimates of which are of moderate size, say, less than 100 in absolute value. For large-scale parameters, as in this example, the default priors can become informative.

Example 5: Flat priors

Continuing with example 4, we can override the default priors using the `prior()` option. We can, for example, apply the completely uninformative `flat` prior, a prior with the density of 1, for the coefficient parameters.

```stata
. set seed 15
. bayes, prior({price:}, flat) burnin(5000): regress price length i.foreign
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary

Likelihood:
  price ~ regress(xb_price,{sigma2})
Priors:
  {price:length 1.foreign _cons} ~ 1 (flat)  
  {sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_price.
```
Bayesian linear regression
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling

MCMC iterations = 15,000
Burn-in = 5,000
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Number of obs = 74
Acceptance rate = .3404
Efficiency: min = .07704
avg = .1086
max = .1898

Log marginal-likelihood = -669.62603

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>price</td>
<td>89.51576</td>
<td>16.27187</td>
<td>.586237</td>
<td>89.60969</td>
<td>57.96996 - 122.7961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>2795.683</td>
<td>770.6359</td>
<td>26.0589</td>
<td>2787.139</td>
<td>1305.773 - 4298.785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>-11478.83</td>
<td>3202.027</td>
<td>113.271</td>
<td>-11504.65</td>
<td>-17845.87 - 5244.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma2</td>
<td>6270294</td>
<td>1089331</td>
<td>25002.1</td>
<td>6147758</td>
<td>4504695 - 8803268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for some model parameters.

The posterior mean estimates for the coefficient parameters are now close to the least-square estimates from `regress`. For example, the posterior mean estimate for `{price:_cons}` is about $-11,479$, whereas the least-square estimate is $-11,621$.

However, the flat priors should be used with caution. Flat priors are improper and may result in improper posterior distributions for which Bayesian inference cannot be carried out. You should thus choose the priors carefully, accounting for the properties of the likelihood model.

### Example 6: Zellner’s $g$-prior

A type of prior specific to the normal linear regression model is Zellner’s $g$-prior. We can apply it to our example using the `zellnersg0()` prior. For this prior, we need to specify the dimension of the prior, which is the number of regression coefficients (3), a degree of freedom (50) and the variance parameter of the error term in the regression model, `{sigma2}; the mean parameter is assumed to be 0 by `zellnersg0()`. See example 9 in [BAYES] bayesmh for more details about Zellner’s $g$-prior.

```
.set seed 15
.bayes, prior({price:}, zellnersg0(3, 50, {sigma2})) burnin(5000):
> regress price length i.foreign
```

Likelihood:

```
  price ~ regress(xb_price,{sigma2})
```

Priors:

```
{price:length 1.foreign _cons} ~ zellnersg(3,50,0,{sigma2}) (1)  
{sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)
```

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form `xb_price`.  

Bayesian linear regression
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling

MCMC iterations = 15,000
Burn-in = 5,000
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Number of obs = 74
Acceptance rate = .3019
Efficiency: min = .06402
avg = .105
max = .1944

Log marginal-likelihood = -697.84862

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>price</td>
<td>87.53039</td>
<td>16.24762</td>
<td>.569888</td>
<td>87.72965</td>
<td>55.5177 - 119.9915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>2759.267</td>
<td>794.043</td>
<td>31.3829</td>
<td>2793.241</td>
<td>1096.567 - 4202.283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foreign</td>
<td>-11223.95</td>
<td>3211.553</td>
<td>113.34</td>
<td>-11308.39</td>
<td>-17534.25 - 4898.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>-11223.95</td>
<td>3211.553</td>
<td>113.34</td>
<td>-11308.39</td>
<td>-17534.25 - 4898.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma2</td>
<td>6845242</td>
<td>1159035</td>
<td>26286.9</td>
<td>6716739</td>
<td>4978729 - 9521252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for some model parameters.

We see that using this Zellner’s $g$-prior has little effect on the coefficient parameters, and the simulated posterior mean estimates are close to the least-square estimates from `regress`.

Logistic regression with perfect predictors

Let’s revisit the example in *Logistic regression model: A case of nonidentifiable parameters* of [BAYES] bayesmh. The example uses heartswitz.dta to model the binary outcome disease, the presence of a heart disease, using the predictor variables restecg, isfbs, age, and male. The dataset is a sample from Switzerland.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/heartswitz, clear
(Subset of Switzerland heart disease data from UCI Machine Learning Repository)
```

Example 7: Perfect prediction

The logistic regression model for these data is

```
. logit disease restecg isfbs age male
(output omitted)
```

To fit a Bayesian logistic regression, we prefix the `logit` command with `bayes`. We also specify the `noisily` option to show the estimation output of the `logit` command, which is run by the `bayes` prefix to set up the model and compute starting values for the parameters.
. set seed 15
. bayes, noisily: logit disease restecg isfbs age male

note: restecg != 0 predicts success perfectly
    restecg dropped and 17 obs not used
note: isfbs != 0 predicts success perfectly
    isfbs dropped and 3 obs not used
note: male != 1 predicts success perfectly
    male dropped and 2 obs not used

Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -4.2386144
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -4.2358116
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -4.2358076
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -4.2358076

Logistic regression

|          | Coef.     | Std. Err. | z    | P>|z|       | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|---------------------|
| disease  |           |           |      |           |                     |
| restecg  | 0 (omitted)|          |      |           |                     |
| isfbs    | 0 (omitted)|          |      |           |                     |
| age      | -0.0097846| .1313502  | -0.07| 0.941     | -0.2672263 .2476572 |
| male     | 0 (omitted)|          |      |           |                     |
| _cons    | 3.763893  | 7.423076  | 0.51 | 0.612     | -10.78507 18.31285  |

Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary

Likelihood:
    disease ~ logit(xb_disease)
Prior:
    {disease:age _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (1)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_disease.

Bayesian logistic regression
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MCMC iterations = 12,500</th>
<th>Burn-in = 2,500</th>
<th>MCMC sample size = 10,000</th>
<th>Number of obs = 26</th>
<th>Acceptance rate = .2337</th>
<th>Efficiency: min = .1076</th>
<th>avg = .1113</th>
<th>max = .115</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log marginal-likelihood = -14.795726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>disease</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
<th>Equal-tailed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>restecg</td>
<td>(omitted)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isfbs</td>
<td>(omitted)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>-.0405907</td>
<td>.1650514</td>
<td>.004868</td>
<td>-.0328198</td>
<td>-.4005246 .2592641</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>(omitted)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>6.616447</td>
<td>9.516872</td>
<td>.290075</td>
<td>5.491008</td>
<td>-8.852858 .28.99392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

As evident from the output of the logit command, the covariates restecg, isfbs, and male are dropped because of perfect prediction. Although these predictors cannot be identified using the likelihood alone, they can be identified, potentially, in a posterior model with an informative prior. The default prior normal(0, 10000), used by the bayes prefix for the regression coefficients, is not
informative enough to resolve the perfect prediction, and we must override it with a more informative prior.

Example 8: Informative prior

In the example in Logistic regression model: A case of nonidentifiable parameters of \texttt{bayesmh}, we use information from another similar dataset, \texttt{hearthungary.dta}, to come up with informative priors for the regression coefficients. We use the same priors with the \texttt{bayes} prefix. We specify the \texttt{asis} option with the \texttt{logit} command to prevent dropping the perfect predictors from the model. We also specify the \texttt{nomleinitial} option to prevent the \texttt{bayes} prefix from trying to obtain ML estimates to use as starting values; reliable ML estimates cannot be provided by the \texttt{logit} command when the perfect predictors are retained.

\begin{verbatim}
. set seed 15
. bayes, prior({disease:restecg age}, normal(0,10))
  > prior({disease:isfbs male}, normal(1,10))
  > prior({disease:_cons}, normal(-4,10)) nomleinitial:
  > logit disease restecg isfbs age male, asis
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary

Likelihood:
  disease ~ logit(xb_disease)

Priors:
  {disease:restecg age} ~ normal(0,10) (1)
  {disease:isfbs male} ~ normal(1,10) (1)
  {disease:_cons} ~ normal(-4,10) (1)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_disease.

Bayesian logistic regression
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
MCMC iterations = 12,500
Burn-in = 2,500
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Number of obs = 48
Acceptance rate = .2121
Efficiency: min = .01885
avg = .04328
max = .06184

Log marginal-likelihood = -11.006071

\begin{verbatim}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Equal-tailed [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>restecg</td>
<td>1.965122</td>
<td>2.315475</td>
<td>.115615</td>
<td>1.655961</td>
<td>-2.029873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isfbs</td>
<td>1.708631</td>
<td>2.726071</td>
<td>.113734</td>
<td>1.607439</td>
<td>-3.306837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>.1258811</td>
<td>.0707431</td>
<td>.003621</td>
<td>.1245266</td>
<td>-.0016807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>.2671381</td>
<td>2.237349</td>
<td>.162967</td>
<td>.3318061</td>
<td>-4.106425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>-2.441911</td>
<td>2.750613</td>
<td>.110611</td>
<td>-2.538183</td>
<td>-7.596747</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\end{verbatim}
\end{verbatim}

For this posterior model with informative priors, we successfully estimate all regression parameters in the logistic regression model.

The informative prior in this example is based on information from an independent dataset, \texttt{hearthungary.dta}, which is a sample of observations on the same heart condition and predictor attributes as \texttt{heartswitz.dta} but sampled from Hungary’s population. Borrowing information from independent datasets to construct informative priors is justified only when the datasets are compatible with the currently analyzed data.
Multinomial logistic regression

Consider the health insurance dataset, sysdsn1.dta, to model the insurance outcome, insure, which takes the values Indemnity, Prepaid, and Uninsure, using the predictor variables age, male, nonwhite, and site. This model is considered in more detail in example 4 in [R] mlogit.

.First, we use the mlogit command to fit the model

```
  . use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/sysdsn1, clear
  (Health insurance data)
  . mlogit insure age male nonwhite i.site, nolog
  Multinomial logistic regression
  Number of obs = 615
  LR chi2(10) = 42.99
  Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
  Log likelihood = -534.36165  Pseudo R2 = 0.0387

           | Coef.     Std. Err.   z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------|-----------|-----------|-----|--------|-----------------------------
Indemnity    |           |           |     |        |                            |
Prepaid      |           |           |     |        |                            |
age          | -.011745  |  .0061946 | -1.90| 0.058  | -.0238862                 | .0003962 |
            | .5616934  |  .2027465 |  2.77| 0.006  | .1643175                  | .9590693 |
            | .9747768  |  .2363213 |  4.12| 0.000  | .5115955                  | 1.437958 |
male         |           |           |     |        |                            |
            | .1130359  |  .2101903 |  0.54| 0.591  | -.2989296                 | .5250013 |
nonwhite     |            |           |     |        |                            |
            | -.5879879 |  .2279351 | -2.58| 0.010  | -1.034733                 | -.1412433|
site         |           |           |     |        |                            |
            | .2697127  |  .3284422 |  0.82| 0.412  | -.3740222                 | .9134476 |
            |           |           |     |        |                            |
Uninsure     |           |           |     |        |                            |
age          | -.0077961 |  .0114418 | -0.68| 0.496  | -.0302217                 | .0146294 |
            | .4518496  |  .3674867 |  1.23| 0.219  | -.268411                  | 1.17211  |
            | .2170589  |  .4256361 |  0.51| 0.610  | -.6171725                 | 1.05129  |
male         |           |           |     |        |                            |
            | 1.211563  |  .4705127 | -2.25| 0.010  | -2.023375                 | -.2893747|
nonwhite     |           |           |     |        |                            |
            | -.2078123 |  .3662926 | -0.57| 0.570  | -.9257327                 | .510108  |
site         |           |           |     |        |                            |
            | 1.286943  |  .5923219 | -2.17| 0.030  | -2.447872                 | -.1260134|
            |           |           |     |        |                            |
            |           |           |     |        |                            |

Next, we use the bayes prefix to perform Bayesian estimation of the same multinomial logistic regression model.

```
  . bayes: mlogit insure age male nonwhite i.site
  Burn-in ... Simulation ... Model summary

Likelihood:
  Prepaid Uninsure ~ mlogit(xb_Prepaid,xb_Uninsure)

Priors:
  {Prepaid:age male nonwhite i.site _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (1)
  {Uninsure:age male nonwhite i.site _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (2)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_Prepaid.
(2) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_Uninsure.
Bayesian multinomial logistic regression
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
Base outcome: Indemnity

\[
\text{Log marginal-likelihood} = -614.49286
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prepaid</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>-0.0125521</td>
<td>0.006247</td>
<td>0.000396</td>
<td>-0.0125871 -0.024602 -0.0005809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>0.5462718</td>
<td>0.2086422</td>
<td>0.012818</td>
<td>0.5573004 0.1263754 0.9271802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonwhite</td>
<td>0.9796293</td>
<td>0.2275709</td>
<td>0.015746</td>
<td>0.9737777 0.53642 1.401076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.098451</td>
<td>0.214039</td>
<td>0.012887</td>
<td>0.0994476 -0.3172914 0.5260208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.6043961</td>
<td>0.2348319</td>
<td>0.011596</td>
<td>-0.6072807 -1.045069 -0.1323191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>0.3183984</td>
<td>0.3309283</td>
<td>0.021325</td>
<td>0.3219128 -0.3423583 0.956505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uninsure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>-0.008377</td>
<td>0.0118479</td>
<td>0.000581</td>
<td>-0.0082922 -0.0323571 0.0140366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>0.4687524</td>
<td>0.3637416</td>
<td>0.02376</td>
<td>0.4748359 -0.2495656 1.147333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonwhite</td>
<td>0.1755361</td>
<td>0.42708</td>
<td>0.022566</td>
<td>0.198253 -0.7214481 0.938098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.298562</td>
<td>0.4746333</td>
<td>0.033628</td>
<td>-1.27997 -2.258622 -0.419035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.2057122</td>
<td>0.3533365</td>
<td>0.020695</td>
<td>-0.2009649 -0.904768 0.4924401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>-1.305083</td>
<td>0.5830491</td>
<td>0.02451</td>
<td>-1.296332 -2.463954 -0.1758435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

For this model and these data, the default prior specification of the \texttt{bayes} prefix is fairly uninformative and, as a result, the posterior mean estimates for the parameters are close to the ML estimates obtained with \texttt{mlogit}.

We can report posterior summaries for the relative-risk ratios instead of the regression coefficients. This is equivalent to applying an exponential transformation, $\exp(b)$, to the simulated values of each of the regression coefficients, $b$, and then summarizing them. We can obtain relative-risk ratio summaries by replaying the \texttt{bayes} command with the \texttt{rrr} option specified. We use the already available simulation results from the last estimation and do not refit the model. We could have also specified the \texttt{rrr} option during the estimation.

\ [. bayes, rrr

Model summary

Likelihood:
Prepaid Uninsure ~ \texttt{mlogit(xb_Prepaid, xb_Uninsure)}

Priors:
\{Prepaid:age male nonwhite i.site _cons\} ~ normal(0,10000) (1)
\{Uninsure:age male nonwhite i.site _cons\} ~ normal(0,10000) (2)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form \texttt{xb_Prepaid}.
(2) Parameters are elements of the linear form \texttt{xb_Uninsure}.
Bayesian multinomial logistic regression
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
Base outcome: Indemnity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RRR</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>95% Cred. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>.987546</td>
<td>.0061686</td>
<td>.000391</td>
<td>.9874918</td>
<td>.9756982, .9994192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>1.764212</td>
<td>.3634348</td>
<td>.022268</td>
<td>1.745953</td>
<td>1.134708, 2.527372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonwhite</td>
<td>2.732931</td>
<td>.6240495</td>
<td>.042568</td>
<td>2.647929</td>
<td>1.709875, 4.059566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.129077</td>
<td>.2450092</td>
<td>.015242</td>
<td>1.104561</td>
<td>.7281185, 1.692189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.5617084</td>
<td>.1338774</td>
<td>.00665</td>
<td>.5448304</td>
<td>.3516675, .8760614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>1.451983</td>
<td>.4904589</td>
<td>.029972</td>
<td>1.379764</td>
<td>.7100938, 2.60259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>.9917276</td>
<td>.0117452</td>
<td>.000575</td>
<td>.991742</td>
<td>.9681608, 1.014136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>1.699605</td>
<td>.6045513</td>
<td>.040763</td>
<td>1.60775</td>
<td>.7791391, 3.149782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nonwhite</td>
<td>1.301138</td>
<td>.5448086</td>
<td>.027742</td>
<td>1.219271</td>
<td>.4860479, 2.555117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.3045686</td>
<td>.1461615</td>
<td>.009698</td>
<td>.2780457</td>
<td>.1044944, .6604046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.8663719</td>
<td>.3155926</td>
<td>.01806</td>
<td>.8179411</td>
<td>.4046357, 1.636304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>.3203309</td>
<td>.1976203</td>
<td>.008063</td>
<td>.2735332</td>
<td>.0850978, .8387492</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: _cons estimates baseline relative risk for each outcome.
Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

Generalized linear model

Consider the insecticide experiment dataset, beetle.dta, to model the number of beetles killed, \( r \), on the number of subjected beetles, \( n \); the type of beetles, \( beetle \); and the log-dose of insecticide, \( ldose \). More details can be found in example 2 of [R] glm.

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/beetle, clear
Consider a generalized linear model with a binomial family and a complementary log-log link function for these data.

```
. glm r i.beetle ldose, family(binomial n) link(cloglog) nolog
Generalized linear models
Optimization : ML
Number of obs = 24
Residual df = 20
Scale parameter = 1
Deviance = 73.76505595 (1/df) Deviance = 3.688253
Pearson = 71.8901173 (1/df) Pearson = 3.594506
Variance function: V(u) = u*(1-u/n) [Binomial]
Link function : g(u) = ln(-ln(1-u/n)) [Complementary log-log]
Deviance = 73.76505595 (1/df) Deviance = 3.688253
Pearson = 71.8901173 (1/df) Pearson = 3.594506
```

| r             | Coef.            | Std. Err. | z  | P>|z| | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|----|-----|---------------------|
| beetle        |                  |           |    |     |                     |
| Red flour     | -.0910396        | .1076132  | -0.85 | 0.398 | -.3019576 - .1198783|
| Mealworm      | -1.836058        | .1307125  | -14.05 | 0.000 | -2.09225 - 1.579867 |
| ldose         | 19.41558         | .9954265  | 19.50 | 0.000 | 17.46458 - 21.36658 |
| _cons         | -34.84602        | 1.79333   | -19.43 | 0.000 | -38.36089 - 31.33116 |

To fit a Bayesian generalized linear model with default priors, we type

```
. set seed 15
. bayes: glm r i.beetle ldose, family(binomial n) link(cloglog)
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary

Likelihood:
  r ~ glm(xb_r)
Prior:
  {r:i.beetle ldose _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (1)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_r.

Bayesian generalized linear models
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
Family : binomial n
Link : complementary log-log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>r</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Equal-tailed [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>beetle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red flour</td>
<td>-.0903569</td>
<td>.106067</td>
<td>.004527</td>
<td>-.093614</td>
<td>-.2964984 - .112506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mealworm</td>
<td>-1.843952</td>
<td>.130297</td>
<td>.004603</td>
<td>-1.848374</td>
<td>-2.091816 - 1.594582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ldose</td>
<td>19.52814</td>
<td>.9997765</td>
<td>.054106</td>
<td>19.52709</td>
<td>17.6146 21.6217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>-35.04832</td>
<td>1.800461</td>
<td>.096777</td>
<td>-35.0574</td>
<td>-38.81427 - 31.61378</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.
The posterior mean estimates of the regression parameters are not that different from the ML estimates obtained with glm.

If desired, we can request highest posterior density intervals be reported instead of default equal-tailed credible intervals by specifying the hpd option. We can also change the credible-interval level; for example, to request 90% credible intervals, we specify the `clevel(90)` option. We also could specify these options during estimation.

```
.bayes, clevel(90) hpd
```

**Model summary**

```
Likelihood:  
r ~ glm(xb_r)
```

**Prior:**

```
{r:i.beetle ldose _cons} ~ normal(0,10000)
```

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_r.

**Bayesian generalized linear models**

- MCMC iterations = 12,500
- Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
  - Burn-in = 2,500
  - MCMC sample size = 10,000
- Family : binomial n
  - Number of obs = 24
- Link : complementary log-log
  - Scale parameter = 1
  - Acceptance rate = .2003
  - Efficiency: min = .03414
    avg = .05094
    max = .08012
- Log marginal-likelihood = -102.9776

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>r</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[90% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beetle</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.0903569</td>
<td>.106067</td>
<td>.004527</td>
<td>-.093614</td>
<td>-.2444412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red flour</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.843952</td>
<td>.130297</td>
<td>.004603</td>
<td>-1.848374</td>
<td>-2.03979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mealworm</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.52814</td>
<td>.9997765</td>
<td>.054106</td>
<td>19.52709</td>
<td>17.86148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ldose</td>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>-35.04832</td>
<td>1.800461</td>
<td>.096777</td>
<td>-35.0574</td>
<td>-37.96057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

---

**Truncated Poisson regression**

The semiconductor manufacturing dataset, `probe.dta`, contains observational data of failure rates, `failure`, of silicon wafers with `width`, `width`, and depth, `depth`, tested at four different probes, `probe`. A wafer is rejected if more than 10 failures are detected. See example 2 in [R] `tpoisson`.

```
.use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/probe, clear
```

We fit a truncated Poisson regression model with a truncation point of 10. We suppress the constant regression term from the likelihood equation using the `noconstant` option to retain all four probe levels by including `ibn.probe` in the list of covariates, which declares `probe` to be a factor variable with no base level.

```
```

We use `https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/probe, clear` to load the data. We then fit a truncated Poisson regression model with the `noconstant` option to suppress the constant term. The model is specified as:

```
.bayes, noconstant
```

The likelihood function is:

```
r ~ tpoisson()
```

The prior specification is:

```
{r:0.beetle ldose _cons} ~ normal(0,10000)
```

The model summary includes information on the MCMC iterations, burn-in, and acceptance rate. The log marginal-likelihood is `-102.9776`.

The posterior estimates for the regression parameters are presented in a table. The table shows the mean, standard deviation, Monte Carlo standard error, median, and highest posterior density (HPD) interval for each parameter.

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.
. tpoisson failures ibn.probe depth width, noconstant ll(10) nolog

Truncated Poisson regression

Limits: lower = 10  Number of obs = 88
upper = +inf  Wald chi2(6) = 11340.50
Log likelihood = -239.35746  Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

| probe  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z    | P>|z|  | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|--------|-------|-----------|------|------|----------------------|
| 1      | 2.714025    | .0752617  | 36.06 | 0.000 | 2.566515 - 2.861536  |
| 2      | 2.602722    | .0692732  | 37.57 | 0.000 | 2.466949 - 2.738495  |
| 3      | 2.725459    | .0721299  | 37.79 | 0.000 | 2.584087 - 2.868311  |
| 4      | 3.139437    | .0377137  | 83.24 | 0.000 | 3.065519 - 3.213354  |

| depth  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z    | P>|z|  | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|--------|-------|-----------|------|------|----------------------|
|        | -.0005034   | .0033375  | -0.15 | 0.880 | -.0070447 - .006038  |
| width  | .0330225    | .015573   | 2.12  | 0.034 | .0025001 - .063545   |

Example 9: Default priors

We first apply the bayes prefix with default priors to perform Bayesian estimation of the model. The estimation takes a little longer, so we specify the dots option to see the progress.

. set seed 15
. bayes, dots: tpoisson failures ibn.probe depth width, noconstant ll(10)
Burn-in 2500 eeeeee1000.......2000.... done
Simulation 10000 ..........1000.......2000.........3000.........4000.......... > 5000.........6000.........7000.........8000.........9000.........10000 done
Model summary

Likelihood:
  failures ~ tpoisson(xb_failures)
Prior:
  {failures:i.probe depth width} ~ normal(0,10000)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_failures.

Bayesian truncated Poisson regression
  MCMC iterations = 12,500
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
  Burn-in = 2,500
  MCMC sample size = 10,000
Limits: lower = 10
  Number of obs = 88
upper = +inf
  Acceptance rate = .1383
  Efficiency: min = .004447
  avg = .01322
Log marginal-likelihood = -288.22663

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>failures</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>95% Cred. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.689072</td>
<td>.0696122</td>
<td>.008596</td>
<td>2.688881</td>
<td>2.557394 - 2.833737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.581567</td>
<td>.0644141</td>
<td>.00966</td>
<td>2.588534</td>
<td>2.436973 - 2.701187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.712054</td>
<td>.0695932</td>
<td>.008415</td>
<td>2.717959</td>
<td>2.55837 - 2.844429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.13308</td>
<td>.0397521</td>
<td>.004592</td>
<td>3.133433</td>
<td>3.055979 - 3.208954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depth</td>
<td>-0.000404</td>
<td>.0033313</td>
<td>.00165</td>
<td>-0.000504</td>
<td>-0.0067928 - 0.0061168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>width</td>
<td>0.036127</td>
<td>.0165308</td>
<td>.001281</td>
<td>0.0360637</td>
<td>0.001239 - 0.067552</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.
Note: There is a high autocorrelation after 500 lags.
With the default prior specification, the posterior mean estimates for the regression parameters are similar to the ML estimates obtained with the tpoisson command. However, the bayes prefix issues a high autocorrelation warning note and reports a minimum efficiency of only 0.004. The posterior model with default priors seems to be somewhat challenging for the MH sampler. We could allow for longer burn-in and increase the MCMC sample size to improve the MCMC convergence and increase the estimation precision. Instead, we will provide an alternative prior specification that will increase the model flexibility and improve its fit to the data.

Example 10: Hyperpriors

We now assume that the four probe coefficients, \( \{\text{failures:ibn.probe}\} \), have a normal prior distribution with mean parameter \( \{\text{probe_mean}\} \) and a variance of 10,000. It is reasonable to assume that all four probes have positive failure rates and that \( \{\text{probe_mean}\} \) is a positive hyperparameter. We decide to assign \( \{\text{probe_mean}\} \) a gamma(2, 1) hyperprior, which is a distribution with a positive domain and a mean of 2. We use this prior for the purpose of illustration; this prior is not informative for this model and these data. We initialize \( \{\text{probe_mean}\} \) with 1 to give it a starting value compatible with its hyperprior.

```
. set seed 15
. bayes, prior({failures:ibn.probe}, normal({probe_mean}, 10000))
  > prior({probe_mean}, gamma(2, 1)) initial({probe_mean} 1) dots:
  > tpoisson failures ibn.probe depth width, noconstant ll(10)
Burn-in 2500 aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa10000 aaaaaaaaaa2000 aaaaaa done
Simulation 10000 ............1000 ..........2000 ........3000 ........4000 ........
  > 5000 ..........6000 ........7000 ........8000 ........9000 ........10000 done
Model summary
Likelihood:
  failures ~ tpoisson(xb_failures)
Priors:
  \{failures:i.probe\} ~ normal({probe_mean}, 10000) (1)
  \{failures:depth width\} ~ normal(0, 10000) (1)
Hyperprior:
  \{probe_mean\} ~ gamma(2, 1)
```

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form \( \text{xb_failures} \).
Bayesian truncated Poisson regression
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling

MCMC iterations = 12,500
Burn-in = 2,500
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Number of obs = 88
Acceptance rate = .304
Efficiency: min = .04208
avg = .0775
max = .127

Log marginal-likelihood = -287.91504

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Equal-tailed [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>failures probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.703599</td>
<td>.0770656</td>
<td>.003757</td>
<td>2.704613 2.551404 2.848774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.592738</td>
<td>.0711972</td>
<td>.002796</td>
<td>2.594628 2.446274 2.728821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.716223</td>
<td>.0755001</td>
<td>.003549</td>
<td>2.719622 2.568376 2.863064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.137069</td>
<td>.0388127</td>
<td>.001317</td>
<td>3.136773 3.062074 3.211616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depth</td>
<td>-.000461</td>
<td>.0033562</td>
<td>.000109</td>
<td>-.0004457 -.0067607 .0062698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>width</td>
<td>.0337508</td>
<td>.0152654</td>
<td>.000532</td>
<td>.0337798 .003008 .0622191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>probe_mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.051072</td>
<td>1.462867</td>
<td>.041051</td>
<td>1.71286</td>
<td>.2211973 5.809428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for some model parameters.

The MCMC simulation achieves an average efficiency of about 8% with no indication of convergence problems. The posterior mean estimates for the regression parameters are similar to the ML estimates; moreover, the MCMC standard errors are much lower than those achieved by the previous model with default priors. By introducing the hyperparameter {probe_mean}, we have improved the goodness of fit of the model.

---

**Zero-inflated negative binomial model**

In this example, we consider a Bayesian model using zero-inflated negative binomial likelihood. We revisit example 1 in [R] zinb, which models the number of fish caught by visitors to a national park. The probability that a particular visitor fished is assumed to depend on the variables child and camper, which are supplied as covariates to the inflate() option of zinb.
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/fish, clear
. zinb count persons livebait, inflate(child camper) nolog

Zero-inflated negative binomial regression
Number of obs = 250
Nonzero obs = 108
Zero obs = 142

Inflation model = logit
LR chi2(2) = 82.23
Log likelihood = -401.5478 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

| Coef. Std. Err.  z   P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval] |
|------------------|------------------|------|------------------|
| count            |                  |      |                  |
| persons          | .9742984   .1034938  9.41  0.000  .7714543  1.177142 |
| livebait         | 1.557523   .4124424  3.78  0.000  .7491503  2.365895 |
| _cons            | -2.730064   .476953  -5.72  0.000  -3.664874  -1.795253 |

| inflate          |                  |      |                  |
| child            | 3.185999   .7468551  4.27  0.000  1.72219   4.649808 |
| camper           | -2.020951  .872054   -2.32  0.020  -3.730146  -.3117567 |
| _cons            | -2.695385  .8929071   -3.02  0.003  -4.44545   -.9453189 |

| /lnalpha         | .5110429   .1816816   2.81  0.005   .1549535   .8671323 |
| alpha            | 1.667029   .3028685   1.167604   2.380076 |

Let’s fit a Bayesian model with default normal prior distributions.

. set seed 15
. bayes, dots: zinb count persons livebait, inflate(child camper)
Burn-in 2500 aaaaaaaaaaaa1000 aaaaaaaa2000 aaaaaa done
> 5000.............1000.............2000.............3000.............4000.............
> 5000.............1000.............2000.............3000.............4000.............
> 5000.............1000.............2000.............3000.............4000.............
> 5000.............1000.............2000.............3000.............4000.............
Model summary

Likelihood:
count ~ zinb(xb_count,xb_inflate,{lnalpha})
Priors:
{count:persons livebait _cons} ~ normal(0,10000)  (1)
{inflate:child camper _cons} ~ normal(0,10000)  (2)
{lnalpha} ~ normal(0,10000)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_count.
(2) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_inflate.
Bayesian zero-inflated negative binomial model  MCMC iterations = 12,500
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling  Burn-in = 2,500
Inflation model: logit  Number of obs = 250
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Acceptance rate = .3084
Efficiency: min = .03716
avg = .0791
max = .1613

Log marginal-likelihood = -438.47876

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>persons</td>
<td>.9851217</td>
<td>.1084239</td>
<td>.003601</td>
<td>.985452</td>
<td>.7641609 1.203561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>livebait</td>
<td>1.536074</td>
<td>.4083865</td>
<td>.013509</td>
<td>1.515838</td>
<td>.753823 2.3539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>-2.805915</td>
<td>.4700702</td>
<td>.014974</td>
<td>-2.795244</td>
<td>-3.73847 -1.89491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inflate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>child</td>
<td>46.95902</td>
<td>36.33974</td>
<td>1.87977</td>
<td>38.77997</td>
<td>3.612863 138.3652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>camper</td>
<td>-46.123</td>
<td>36.34857</td>
<td>1.88567</td>
<td>-37.66796</td>
<td>-137.4568 -2.544566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>-46.62439</td>
<td>36.36232</td>
<td>1.88355</td>
<td>-38.5171</td>
<td>-137.5522 -3.272469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnalpha</td>
<td>.7055935</td>
<td>.1591234</td>
<td>.003962</td>
<td>.7048862</td>
<td>.3959316 1.025356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

The posterior mean estimates for the main regression coefficients \{count:persons\}, \{count:livebait\}, and \{count:_cons\} are relatively close to the ML estimates from the \texttt{zinb} command, but the inflation coefficients, \{inflate:child\}, \{inflate:camper\}, and \{inflate:_cons\}, are quite different. For example, \texttt{zinb} estimates \{inflate:_cons\} are about $-2.7$, whereas the corresponding posterior mean estimate is about $-46.6$. To explain this large discrepancy, we draw the diagnostic plot of \{inflate:_cons\}. 
The marginal posterior distribution of \{\text{inflate:}_\text{cons}\} is highly skewed to the left, and it is apparent that its posterior mean is much smaller than its posterior mode. In large samples, under proper noninformative priors, the posterior mode estimator and the ML estimator are equivalent. Therefore, it is not surprising that the posterior mean of \{\text{inflate:}_\text{cons}\} is much smaller than its ML estimate. We can obtain a rough estimate of the posterior mode in this example.

First, we need to save the simulation results in a dataset, say, \text{sim\_zinb.dta}. You can do this during estimation or on replay by specifying the \text{saving()} option with the \text{bayes} prefix.

```
. bayes, saving(sim_zinb)
```
Next, we load the dataset and identify the variable that represents the parameter \{inflate:_cons\}.

```
. use sim_zinb, clear
. describe
Contains data from sim_zinb.dta
obs: 6,874
vars: 12 8 Mar 2019 10:44

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variable name</th>
<th>storage</th>
<th>display</th>
<th>value</th>
<th>variable label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_chain</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chain identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_index</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>Iteration number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_loglikelihood</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>Log likelihood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_logposterior</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>Log posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq1_p1</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>{count:persons}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq1_p2</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>{count:livebait}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq1_p3</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>{count:_cons}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq2_p1</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>{inflate:child}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq2_p2</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>{inflate:camper}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq2_p3</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>{inflate:_cons}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq0_p1</td>
<td>double</td>
<td>%10.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>{lnalpha}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_frequency</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>%8.0g</td>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency weight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Sorted by:

Variable eq2_p3 with the variable label \{inflate:_cons\} contains MCMC estimates for the \{inflate:_cons\} parameter.

We use the `egen`'s `mode()` function to generate a constant variable, `mode`, which contains the mode estimate for \{inflate:_cons\}.

```
. egen mode = mode(eq2_p3)
. display mode[1]
-3.417458
```

The mode estimate for \{inflate:_cons\} is about $-3.42$, and it is indeed much closer to the ML estimate of $-2.70$ than its posterior mean estimate.

The inflation parameter $\alpha$ in the likelihood of the zero-inflated negative binomial model is log-transformed, and it is represented by \{lnalpha\} in our posterior model. To summarize the simulation result for $\alpha$ directly, we can use the `bayesstats summary` command to exponentiate \{lnalpha\}.

```
. bayesstats summary (alpha: exp({lnalpha}))
Posterior summary statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MCMC sample size = 10,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>alpha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2.050889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>.3292052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCSE</td>
<td>.008191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>2.023616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[95% Cred. Interval]</td>
<td>1.485768 - 2.788087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

**Parametric survival model**

Consider example 7 in [ST] streg, which analyzes the effect of a hip-protection device, age, and sex on the risk of hip fractures in patients. The survival dataset is hip3.dta with time to event variable `time1` and failure variable `fracture`. The data are already stset.
use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/hip3, clear
(hip fracture study)
.stset
-> stset time1, id(id) failure(fracture) time0(time0)
   id: id
   failure event: fracture != 0 & fracture < .
obs. time interval: (time0, time1]
exit on or before: failure
206  total observations
  0  exclusions

206  observations remaining, representing
148  subjects
37  failures in single-failure-per-subject data
1,703  total analysis time at risk and under observation
at risk from t = 0
earliest observed entry t = 0
last observed exit t = 39

It is assumed that the hazard curves for men and women have different shapes. We use the streg command to fit a model with Weibull survival distribution and the ancillary variable male to account for the difference between men and women.

.streg protect age, distribution(weibull) ancillary(male) nolog
   failure _d: fracture
   analysis time _t: time1
   id: id
Weibull PH regression
No. of subjects = 148  Number of obs = 206
No. of failures = 37
Time at risk = 1703
LR chi2(2) = 39.80
Log likelihood = -69.323532  Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

|     | Coef.  | Std. Err. |    z  | P>|z| |   [95% Conf. Interval]   |
|-----|--------|-----------|-------|------|---------------------------|
| _t  |        |           |       |      |                           |
| protect | -2.130058 | .3567005 | -5.97 | 0.000 | -2.829178 | -1.430938 |
| age   | 0.0939131 | .0341107 | 2.75  | 0.006 | 0.0270573 | 0.1607689 |
| _cons | -10.17575 | 2.551821 | -3.99 | 0.000 | -15.17722 | -5.174269 |
| ln_p  |        |           |       |      |                           |
| male  | -.4887189 | .185608  | -2.63 | 0.008 | -.8525039 | -.1249339 |
| _cons | .4540139 | .1157915 | 3.92  | 0.000 | .2270667 | .6809611 |

We then perform Bayesian analysis of the same model using the bayes prefix. We apply more conservative normal priors, normal(0, 100), by specifying the normalprior(10) option. To allow for longer adaptation of the MCMC sampler, we increase the burn-in period to 5,000, burnin(5000).
. set seed 15
. bayes, normalprior(10) burnin(5000) dots:
> streg protect age, distribution(weibull) ancillary(male)
    failure _d: fracture
    analysis time _t: time1
    id: id
Burn-in 5000
Simulations 10000
Model summary
Likelihood:
    _t ~ streg_weibull(xb__t,xb_ln_p)
Priors:
{t:protect age _cons} ~ normal(0,100) (1)
{ln_p:male _cons} ~ normal(0,100) (2)
(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb__t.
(2) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_ln_p.

Bayesian Weibull PH regression
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
MCMC iterations = 15,000
Burn-in = 5,000
MCMC sample size = 10,000
No. of subjects = 148
No. of failures = 37
No. at risk = 1703
Acceptance rate = .3418
Efficiency: min = .01
            avg = .03421
Log marginal-likelihood = -91.348814

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Equal-tailed [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_t</td>
<td>protect</td>
<td>-2.114715</td>
<td>.3486032</td>
<td>.017409</td>
<td>-2.105721 -2.818483 -1.46224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>age</td>
<td>.0859305</td>
<td>.0328396</td>
<td>.001403</td>
<td>.0862394 .0210016 .1518009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ln_p</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>-.5753907</td>
<td>.2139477</td>
<td>.014224</td>
<td>-.5468488 -1.07102 -.2317242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>.4290642</td>
<td>.11786</td>
<td>.011786</td>
<td>.4242712 .203933 .6548229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

The posterior mean estimates for the regression parameters {_t:protect}, {_t:age}, and {_t:_cons} are close to the estimates reported by the streg command. However, the estimate for {ln_p:male} is somewhat different. If we inspect the diagnostic plot for {ln_p:male}, we will see that the reason for this is the asymmetrical shape of its marginal posterior distribution.
As evident from the density plot, the posterior distribution of \{\ln p: male\} is skewed to the left, so the posterior mean estimate, $-0.58$, is expected to be smaller than the ML estimate, $-0.49$, given that we used fairly uninformative priors; see *Zero-inflated negative binomial model* for the comparison of posterior mean, posterior mode, and ML estimates for highly skewed posterior distributions.

**Heckman selection model**

> **Example 11**

A representative example of a Heckman selection model is provided by *wagenwk.dta*, which contains observations on the income of women who choose to work. See example 1 in [R] *heckman*.

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/womenwk, clear

The women’s income (wage) is assumed to depend on their education (educ) and their age (age). In addition, the selection decision, or the choice of a woman to work, is assumed to depend on their marital status (married), number of children (children), education, and age. We fit this selection model using the *heckman* command.
We then apply the `bayes` prefix to perform Bayesian estimation of the Heckman selection model.

```stata
. set seed 15
. bayes, dots: heckman wage educ age, select(married children educ age)
Burn-in 2500
Simulation 10000
> 5000
Model summary
Likelihood:
   wage ~ heckman(xb_wage,xb_select,{athrho} {lnsigma})
Priors:
   {wage:education age _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (1)
   {select:married children education age _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (2)
   {athrho lnsigma} ~ normal(0,10000)
```

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form `xb_wage`.
(2) Parameters are elements of the linear form `xb_select`.

---

### Heckman Selection Model

- **Number of obs:** 2,000
- **Selected:** 1,343
- **Nonselected:** 657
- **Wald chi2(2):** 508.44

**Log likelihood:** -5178.304

**Coefficients:**

| Variable  | Coef.    | Std. Err. | z     | P>|z|  | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|------|---------------------|
| wage      | 0.9899537| 0.0532565 | 18.59 | 0.000| 0.8855729 1.094334  |
| education |          |           |       |      |                     |
| age       | 0.2131294| 0.0206031 | 10.34 | 0.000| 0.1727481 0.2535108 |
| _cons     | 0.4857752| 1.077037  | 0.45  | 0.652| -1.625179 2.59673  |
| select    |          |           |       |      |                     |
| married   | 0.4451721| 0.0673954 | 6.61  | 0.000| 0.3130794 0.5772647 |
| children  | 0.4387068| 0.0277828 | 15.79 | 0.000| 0.3842534 0.4931601 |
| education | 0.0557318| 0.0107349 | 5.19  | 0.000| 0.0346917 0.0767718 |
| age       | 0.0365098| 0.0041533 | 8.79  | 0.000| 0.0283694 0.0446502 |
| _cons     | -2.491015| 0.1893402 | -13.16| 0.000| -2.862115 -2.119915 |
| /athrho   | 0.8742086| 0.1014225 | 8.62  | 0.000| 0.6754241 1.072993  |
| /lnsigma  | 1.792559 | 0.27598   | 64.95 | 0.000| 1.738468 1.84665   |
| rho       | 0.7035061| 0.0512264 | 13.82 | 0.000| 0.5985365 0.8985862 |
| sigma     | 6.004797 | 0.1567202 | 38.53 | 0.000| 5.68862 6.338548   |
| lambda    | 4.224412 | 0.3992265 | 10.61 | 0.000| 3.441942 5.006881  |

**LR test of indep. eqns. (rho = 0):** chi2(1) = 61.20  Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
Bayesian Heckman selection model
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling

MCMC iterations = 12,500
Burn-in = 2,500
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Number of obs = 2,000
Selected = 1,343
Nonselected = 657
Acceptance rate = 0.3484
Efficiency: min = 0.0231
avg = 0.0365
max = 0.0501

Log marginal-likelihood = -5260.2024

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal-tailed</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wage</td>
<td>.9919131</td>
<td>.051865</td>
<td>.002609</td>
<td>.9931531 .8884407 1.090137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>education</td>
<td>.2131372</td>
<td>.0209631</td>
<td>.001071</td>
<td>.2132548 .1720535 .2550835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>.4696264</td>
<td>1.089225</td>
<td>.0716</td>
<td>.4406188 -1.612032 2.65116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>select</td>
<td>.4461775</td>
<td>.0681721</td>
<td>.003045</td>
<td>.4456493 .3178532 .5785857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>married</td>
<td>.4401305</td>
<td>.0255465</td>
<td>.001156</td>
<td>.4402145 .3911135 .4903804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>children</td>
<td>.0559983</td>
<td>.0104231</td>
<td>.000484</td>
<td>.0556755 .0360289 .076662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>education</td>
<td>.0364752</td>
<td>.0042497</td>
<td>.000248</td>
<td>.0362858 .0280584 .0449843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age</td>
<td>-2.494424</td>
<td>.18976</td>
<td>.011327</td>
<td>-2.498414 -2.861266 -2.114334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>.868392</td>
<td>.099374</td>
<td>.005961</td>
<td>.8699977 .6785641 1.062718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>athrho</td>
<td>1.793428</td>
<td>.0269513</td>
<td>.001457</td>
<td>1.793226 1.740569 1.846779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lnsigma</td>
<td>1.793428</td>
<td>.0269513</td>
<td>.001457</td>
<td>1.793226 1.740569 1.846779</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

The posterior mean estimates for the Bayesian model with default normal priors are similar to the ML estimates obtained with the heckman command.

We can calculate posterior summaries for the correlation parameter, $\rho$, and the standard error, $\sigma$, in their natural scale by inverse-transforming the model parameters \{athrho\} and \{lnsigma\} using the bayesstats summary command. We also include posterior summaries for the selectivity effect $\lambda = \rho \sigma$.

. bayesstats summary (rho:1-2/(exp(2*{athrho})+1))
> (sigma:exp({lnsigma}))
> (lambda:exp({lnsigma})*(1-2/(exp(2*{athrho})+1)))

Posterior summary statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equal-tailed</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rho</td>
<td>.6970522</td>
<td>.0510145</td>
<td>.003071</td>
<td>.701373 .5905851 .7867018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma</td>
<td>.012205</td>
<td>.1621422</td>
<td>.008761</td>
<td>.088807 5.700587 6.339366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lambda</td>
<td>4.196646</td>
<td>.3937209</td>
<td>.024351</td>
<td>4.216209 3.411479 4.946325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Again, the posterior mean estimates of $\rho$, $\sigma$, and $\lambda$ agree with the ML estimates reported by heckman.
Multilevel models

The `bayes` prefix supports several multilevel commands such as `mixed` and `meglm`; see [BAYES] Bayesian estimation. Multilevel models introduce effects at different levels of hierarchy such as hospital effects and doctor-nested-within-hospital effects, which are often high-dimensional. These effects are commonly referred to as random effects in frequentist models. Bayesian multilevel models estimate random effects together with other model parameters. In contrast, frequentist multilevel models integrate random effects out, but provide ways to predict them after estimation, conditional on other estimated model parameters. Thus, in addition to regression coefficients and variance components (variances and covariances of random effects), Bayesian multilevel models include random effects themselves as model parameters. With a slight abuse of the terminology, we will sometimes refer to regression coefficients as fixed effects, keeping in mind that they are still random quantities from a Bayesian perspective.

Multilevel models are more difficult to simulate from because of the existence of high-dimensional random-effects parameters. They typically require longer burn-in periods to achieve convergence and larger MCMC sample sizes to obtain precise estimates of random effects and variance components.

Prior specification is particularly important for multilevel models. Using noninformative priors for all model parameters will likely result in nonconvergence or high autocorrelation of the MCMC sample, especially with small datasets. The default priors provided by the `bayes` prefix are chosen to be fairly uninformative, which may often lead to low simulation efficiencies for model parameters and, especially, for variance components; see Default priors. So, do not be surprised to see high autocorrelation with default priors, and be prepared to investigate various prior specifications during your analysis. For example, you may need to use the `iwishartprior()` option to increase the degrees of freedom and to specify a different scale matrix of the inverse-Wishart prior distribution used for the covariance matrices of random effects.

To change the default priors, you will need to know the names of the model parameters. See Likelihood model to learn how the `bayes` prefix labels the parameters. You can specify your own name stubs for the groups of random-effects parameters using the `restubs()` option. After simulation, see Different ways of specifying model parameters for how to refer to individual random effects to evaluate MCMC convergence or to obtain their MCMC summaries.

By default, the `bayes` prefix does not compute or display MCMC summaries of individual random effects to conserve computation time and space. You can specify the `showreffects()` or `show()` option to compute and display them for chosen groups of random effects.

Also, the `bayes` prefix does not compute the log marginal-likelihood by default for multilevel models. The computation involves the inverse of the determinant of the sample covariance matrix of all parameters and loses accuracy as the number of parameters grows. For high-dimensional models such as multilevel models, the computation can be time consuming, and its accuracy may become unacceptably low. Because it is difficult to access the levels of accuracy of the computation for all multilevel models, the log marginal-likelihood is not computed by default. For multilevel models containing a small number of random effects, you can use the `remargl` option to compute and display it.

Assessing convergence of MCMC for multilevel models is challenging because of the high dimensionality. Technically, the convergence of all parameters, including the random-effects parameters, must be explored. In practice, this may not always be feasible. Many applications focus on the regression coefficients and variance components and treat random-effects parameters as nuisance. In this case, it may be sufficient to check convergence only for the parameters of interest, especially because their convergence is adversely affected whenever there are convergence problems for many of the random-effects parameters. If the random-effects parameters are of primary interest in your study, you should evaluate their convergence. For models with a small to moderate number of random-effects
parameters, it may be beneficial to always check the convergence of the random-effects parameters. Also see *Convergence of MCMC* in [BAYES] bayesmh.

Two-level models

Consider example 1 from [ME] mixed that analyzed the weight gain of 48 pigs over 9 successive weeks. Detailed Bayesian analysis of these data using bayesmh are presented in *Panel-data and multilevel models* in [BAYES] bayesmh. Here, we use bayes: mixed to fit Bayesian two-level random-intercept and random-coefficient models to these data.

Example 12: Random-intercept model, using option melabel

We first consider a simple random-intercept model of dependent variable weight on covariate week with variable id identifying pigs. The random-intercept model assumes that all pigs share a common growth rate but have different initial weight.

For comparison purposes, we first use the mixed command to fit this model by maximum likelihood.

```
. mixed weight week || id:
Performing EM optimization:
Performing gradient-based optimization:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -1014.9268
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -1014.9268
Computing standard errors:
Mixed-effects ML regression Number of obs = 432
Group variable: id Number of groups = 48
Obs per group:
  min = 9
  avg = 9.0
  max = 9
Wald chi2(1) = 25337.49
Log likelihood = -1014.9268 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

| Coef.  Std. Err. | z     | P>|z|   | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------|-------|------|-------|----------------------|
| weight   |       |      |       |                      |
| week     | 6.209896 | .0390124 | 159.18 | 0.000 | 6.133433 | 6.286359 |
| _cons    | 19.35561 | .5974059 | 32.40  | 0.000 | 18.18472 | 20.52651 |

Random-effects Parameters        | Estimate  | Std. Err. | [95% Conf. Interval] |
id: Identity                      |           |          |                     |
| var(_cons)                        | 14.81751  | 3.124226 | 9.801716 | 22.40002 |
| var(Residual)                     | 4.383264  | .3163348 | 3.805112 | 5.04926 |

LR test vs. linear model: chibar2(01) = 472.65 Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0000
```
To fit a Bayesian analog of this model, we simply prefix the `mixed` command with `bayes`. We also specify the `melabel` option with `bayes` to label model parameters in the output table as `mixed` does.

```
. set seed 15
. bayes, melabel: mixed weight week || id:

Bayesian multilevel regression
Metropolis-Hastings and Gibbs sampling

Note: Gibbs sampling is used for regression coefficients and variance components
Burn-in 2500 aaaaaaaaaa1000 aaaaaaaaaa2000 aaaaaa done
Simulation 10000 ........1000........2000........3000........4000........
> 5000........6000........7000........8000........9000........10000 done

Bayesian multilevel regression
MCMC iterations = 12,500
Metropolis-Hastings and Gibbs sampling
Burn-in = 2,500
MCMC sample size = 10,000

Group variable: id
Number of groups = 48
Obs per group:
  min = 9
  avg = 9.0
  max = 9
Number of obs = 432
Acceptance rate = .8112
Efficiency: min = .007005
  avg = .5064
  max = 1

Log marginal-likelihood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>95% Cred. Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>6.209734</td>
<td>.0390718</td>
<td>.000391</td>
<td>6.209354</td>
<td>6.133233 6.285611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week _cons</td>
<td>19.46511</td>
<td>.6239712</td>
<td>.07455</td>
<td>19.48275</td>
<td>18.2534 20.67396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id var(_cons)</td>
<td>15.7247</td>
<td>3.436893</td>
<td>.049048</td>
<td>15.26104</td>
<td>10.31182 23.60471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>var(Residual)</td>
<td>4.411155</td>
<td>.3193582</td>
<td>.004397</td>
<td>4.396044</td>
<td>3.834341 5.080979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

The estimates of posterior means and posterior standard deviations are similar to the ML estimates and standard errors from `mixed`. The results are also close to those from `bayesmh` in example 23 in `bayesmh`.

The average efficiency of the simulation is about 51% and there is no indication of any immediate convergence problems, but we should investigate convergence more thoroughly; see, for example, example 5 in `Bayesian commands` and, more generally, Convergence of MCMC in `bayesmh`.

Because Bayesian multilevel models are generally slower than other commands, the `bayes` prefix displays dots by default with multilevel commands. You can specify the `nodots` option to suppress them.

Also, as we described in `Multilevel models`, the log marginal-likelihood is not computed for multilevel models by default because of the high dimensionality of the models. This is also described in the help file that appears when you click on Log marginal-likelihood in the output header in the Results window. For models with a small number of random effects, you can specify the `remargl` option to compute the log marginal-likelihood.
An important note about `bayes`: mixed is the default simulation method. Most `bayes` prefix commands use an adaptive MH algorithm to sample model parameters. The high-dimensional nature of multilevel models greatly decreases the simulation efficiency of this algorithm. For Gaussian multilevel models, such as `bayes: mixed`, model parameters can be sampled using a more efficient, albeit slower, Gibbs algorithm under certain prior distributions. The default priors used for regression coefficients and variance components allow the `bayes` prefix to use Gibbs sampling for these parameters with the `mixed` command. If you change the prior distributions or the default blocking structure for some parameters, Gibbs sampling may not be available for those parameters and an adaptive MH sampling will be used instead.

Example 13: Random-intercept model, default output

When we specified the `melabel` option with `bayes` in example 12, we intentionally suppressed some of the essential output from `bayes: mixed`. Here is what we would have seen had we not specified `melabel`.

```
. bayes
Multilevel structure

id
   {U0}: random intercepts

Model summary

Likelihood:
   weight ~ normal(xb_weight,{e.weight:sigma2})

Priors:
   {weight:week _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (1)
   {U0} ~ normal(0,{U0:sigma2}) (1)
   {e.weight:sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)

Hyperprior:
   {U0:sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)
```

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_weight.
Bayesian multilevel regression
Metropolis-Hastings and Gibbs sampling

Group variable: id

Number of groups = 48

Obs per group:
  min = 9
  avg = 9.0
  max = 9

Number of obs = 432
Acceptance rate = .8112
Efficiency: min = .007005
           avg = .5064
           max = 1

Log marginal-likelihood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>6.209734</td>
<td>.0390718</td>
<td>.000391</td>
<td>6.209354</td>
<td>6.133233 6.285611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week</td>
<td>19.46511</td>
<td>.6239712</td>
<td>.07455</td>
<td>19.48275</td>
<td>18.2534 20.67396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id U0:sigma2</td>
<td>15.7247</td>
<td>3.436893</td>
<td>.049048</td>
<td>15.26104</td>
<td>10.31182 23.60471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.weight sigma2</td>
<td>4.411155</td>
<td>.3193582</td>
<td>.004397</td>
<td>4.396044</td>
<td>3.834341 5.080979</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

Let’s go over the default output in detail, starting with the model summary. For multilevel models, in addition to the model summary, which describes the likelihood model and prior distributions, the \texttt{bayes} prefix displays information about the multilevel structure of the model.

Multilevel structure

\{U0\}: random intercepts

Our multilevel model has one set of random effects, labeled as \{U0\}, which represent random intercepts at the id level. Recall that in Bayesian models, random effects are not integrated out but estimated together with other model parameters. So, \{U0\}, or using its full name \{U0[id]\}, represent random-effects parameters in our model. See \textit{Likelihood model} to learn about the default naming convention for random-effects parameters.
According to the model summary below, the likelihood of the model is a normal linear regression with the linear predictor containing regression parameters \{weight:week\} and \{weight:_cons\} and random-effects parameters \{U0\}, and with the error variance labeled as \{e.weight:sigma2\}. Regression coefficients \{weight:week\} and \{weight:_cons\} have default normal priors with zero means and variances of 10,000. The random intercepts \{U0\} are normally distributed with mean zero and variance \{U0:sigma2\}. The variance components, error variance \{e.weight:sigma2\}, and random-intercept variance \{U0:sigma2\} have default inverse-gamma priors, \text{InvGamma}(0.01, 0.01). The random-intercept variance is a hyperparameter in our model.

Model summary

| Likelihood: | weight ~ normal(xb_weight,{e.weight:sigma2}) |
| Priors: | \{weight:week _cons\} ~ normal(0,10000) (1) \{U0\} ~ normal(0,{U0:sigma2}) (1) \{e.weight:sigma2\} ~ \text{igamma}(0.01,0.01) |
| Hyperprior: | \{U0:sigma2\} ~ \text{igamma}(0.01,0.01) |

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_weight.

The default output table of \texttt{bayes: mixed} uses the names of model parameters as they are defined by the \texttt{bayes} prefix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week</td>
<td>6.209734</td>
<td>0.0390718</td>
<td>0.000391</td>
<td>6.209354</td>
<td>6.133233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>19.46511</td>
<td>0.6239712</td>
<td>0.07455</td>
<td>19.48275</td>
<td>18.2534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U0:sigma2</td>
<td>15.72474</td>
<td>3.436893</td>
<td>0.049048</td>
<td>15.26104</td>
<td>10.31182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma2</td>
<td>4.411155</td>
<td>0.3193582</td>
<td>0.004397</td>
<td>4.396044</td>
<td>3.834341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

Becoming familiar with the native parameter names of the \texttt{bayes} prefix is important for prior specification and for later postestimation. The \texttt{melabel} option is provided for easier comparison of the results between the \texttt{bayes} prefix and the corresponding frequentist multilevel command.

Example 14: Displaying random effects

By default, the \texttt{bayes} prefix does not compute or display MCMC summaries for the random-effects parameters to conserve space and computational time. You can specify the \texttt{showreffects()} or \texttt{show()} option to display specific random effects. For example, continuing example 13, we can display the random-effects estimates for the first five pigs as follows.
These posterior mean estimates of random-effects parameters should be comparable with those predicted by \texttt{predict, reffects} after \texttt{mixed}. Posterior standard deviations, however, will generally be larger than the corresponding standard errors of random effects predicted after \texttt{mixed}, because the latter do not incorporate the uncertainty about the estimated model parameters.

You can also use \texttt{[BAYES] bayesstats summary} to obtain MCMC summaries of random-effects parameters after estimation:

\begin{verbatim}
. bayesstats summary {U0[1/5]}
(output omitted)
\end{verbatim}

If you decide to use the \texttt{showreffects} option to display all random-effects parameters, beware of the increased computation time for models with many random effects. Then, the \texttt{bayes} prefix will compute and display the MCMC summaries for only the first $M$ random-effects parameters, where $M$ is the maximum matrix dimension ($c(max\_matdim)$. The number of parameters displayed and stored in $e(b)$ cannot exceed $c(max\_matdim)$. You can specify the \texttt{show()} option with \texttt{bayes} or use \texttt{bayesstats summary} to obtain results for other random-effects parameters.

\subsection*{Example 15: Random-coefficient model}

Continuing example 13, let’s consider a random-coefficient model that allows the growth rate to vary among pigs.

Following \texttt{mixed}'s specification, we include the random slope for \texttt{week} at the \texttt{id} level by specifying the \texttt{week} variable in the random-effects equation.
. set seed 15
. bayes: mixed weight week || id: week
note: Gibbs sampling is used for regression coefficients and variance
components
Burn-in 2500 aaaaaaaaa1000 aaaaaaaaa2000 aaaaaa done
Simulation 10000 ........1000........2000........3000........4000.........
> 5000........6000........7000........8000........9000........10000 done
Multilevel structure

id
   {U0}: random intercepts
   {U1}: random coefficients for week

Model summary
Likelihood:
   weight ~ normal(xb_weight, {e.weight:sigma2})

Priors:
   {weight:week _cons} ~ normal(0,10000) (1)
   {U0} ~ normal(0, {U0:sigma2}) (1)
   {U1} ~ normal(0, {U1:sigma2}) (1)
   {e.weight:sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)

Hyperpriors:
   {U0:sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)
   {U1:sigma2} ~ igamma(.01,.01)

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_weight.

Bayesian multilevel regression
Metropolis-Hastings and Gibbs sampling
Group variable: id

Acceptance rate = .7473
Efficiency: min = .003057
avg = .07487
max = .1503
Log marginal-likelihood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Equal-tailed [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>6.233977</td>
<td>.0801192</td>
<td>.01449</td>
<td>6.237648</td>
<td>6.052684 – 6.387741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U0:sigma2</td>
<td>7.05525</td>
<td>1.649394</td>
<td>.050935</td>
<td>6.844225</td>
<td>4.466329 – 10.91587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U1:sigma2</td>
<td>.3941786</td>
<td>.0901945</td>
<td>.002717</td>
<td>.3825387</td>
<td>.2526756 – .6044887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.weight</td>
<td>1.613775</td>
<td>.1261213</td>
<td>.003254</td>
<td>1.609296</td>
<td>1.386427 – 1.880891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.
Note: There is a high autocorrelation after 500 lags.
In addition to random intercepts \( \{U_0\} \), we now have random coefficients for \( \text{week} \), labeled as \( \{U_1\} \), with the corresponding variance parameter \( \{U_1:\sigma^2\} \). Compared with the random-intercept model, by capturing the variability of slopes on \( \text{week} \), we reduced the estimates of the error variance and the random-intercept variance.

The average simulation efficiency decreased to only 7%, and we now see a note about a high autocorrelation after 500 lags. We can use, for example, `bayesgraph diagnostics` to verify that the high autocorrelation in this example is not an indication of nonconvergence but rather of a slow mixing of our MCMC sample. If we use `bayesstats ess`, we will see that the coefficient on \( \text{weight} \) and the constant term have the lowest efficiency, which suggests that these parameters are likely to be correlated with some of the random-effects estimates. If we want to reduce the autocorrelation and improve precision of the estimates for these parameters, we can increase the MCMC sample size by specifying the `mcmcsize()` option or thin the MCMC chain by specifying the `thinning()` option.

Example 16: Random-coefficient model, unstructured covariance

In example 15, we assumed independence between random intercepts \( \{U_0\} \) and random slopes on \( \text{week} \), \( \{U_1\} \). We relax this assumption here by specifying an unstructured covariance matrix.

Before we proceed with estimation, let's review our model summary first by specifying the `dryrun` option.

```
. bayes, dryrun: mixed weight week || id: week, covariance(unstructured)
```

```
Multilevel structure

id

\{U_0\}: random intercepts
\{U_1\}: random coefficients for week

```

```
Model summary

Likelihood:
weight ~ normal(xb_weight,\(\{e.weight:sigma2\}\))

Priors:
\{weight:week \_cons\} ~ normal(0,10000)
\{U0\}\{U1\} ~ mvnormal(2,\{U:Sigma,m\}) (1)
\{e.weight:sigma2\} ~ igamma(.01,.01)

Hyperpriors:
\{U:Sigma,m\} ~ iwishart(2,3,I(2))
```

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form \( xb_weight \).

The prior distributions for random effects \( \{U_0\} \) and \( \{U_1\} \) are no longer independent. Instead, they have a joint prior—a bivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix parameter \( \{U:Sigma,m\} \), which is short for \( \{U:Sigma,matrix\} \). The random-effects stub \( U \) is used to label the covariance matrix. The covariance matrix \( \{U:Sigma,m\} \) is assigned a fairly uninformative inverse-Wishart prior with three degrees of freedom and an identity scale matrix; see Default priors for details.
Let's now fit the model but suppress the model summary for brevity.

```
. set seed 15
. bayes, nomodelsummary: mixed weight week || id: week, covariance(unstructured)
  note: Gibbs sampling is used for regression coefficients and variance components

Burn-in 2500
Simulation 10000

done

Multilevel structure

id

  {U0}: random intercepts
  {U1}: random coefficients for week

Bayesian multilevel regression
Metropolis-Hastings and Gibbs sampling
Group variable: id

MCMC iterations = 12,500
Burn-in = 2,500
MCMC sample size = 10,000
Number of groups = 48
Obs per group:
  min = 9
  avg = 9.0
  max = 9
Number of obs = 432
Acceptance rate = .7009
Efficiency:
  min = .003683
  avg = .07461
  max = .1602

Log marginal-likelihood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>6.207086</td>
<td>0.0878022</td>
<td>0.014469</td>
<td>6.204974</td>
<td>6.041093 – 6.384891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week</td>
<td>19.39551</td>
<td>0.4077822</td>
<td>0.050353</td>
<td>19.40187</td>
<td>18.53869 – 20.1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>19.39551</td>
<td>0.4077822</td>
<td>0.050353</td>
<td>19.40187</td>
<td>18.53869 – 20.1993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

id

  U:Sigma_1_1  | 6.872161 | 1.627769 | 0.061568 | 6.673481 | 4.282284 – 10.62194 |
  U:Sigma_2_1  | -0.086637 | 0.2702822 | 0.009861 | -0.0796118 | -0.645439 – 0.434123 |
  U:Sigma_2_2  | 0.399525  | 0.0904532 | 0.002488 | 0.3885861 | 0.2575883 – 0.6104775 |

sigma2

  e.weight     | 1.611889 | 1.263131 | 0.003155 | 1.605368 | 1.381651 – 1.872563 |

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.
Note: There is a high autocorrelation after 500 lags.

The 95% credible interval for the covariance between {U0} and {U1}, labeled as {U:Sigma_2_1} in the output, is [−0.65, 0.43], which suggests independence between {U0} and {U1}. 
The high autocorrelation note is due to the lower sampling efficiency of some of the regression coefficients as can be seen from the output of `bayesstats ess`:

```
.bayesstats ess
Efficiency summaries MCMC sample size = 10,000
Efficiency:  min = .003683
        avg = .07461
        max = .1602

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ESS</th>
<th>Corr. time</th>
<th>Efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week</td>
<td>36.83</td>
<td>271.55</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>65.58</td>
<td>152.48</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U:Sigma_1_1</td>
<td>698.99</td>
<td>14.31</td>
<td>0.0699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U:Sigma_2_1</td>
<td>751.20</td>
<td>13.31</td>
<td>0.0751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U:Sigma_2_2</td>
<td>1321.67</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.1322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma2</td>
<td>1602.39</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>0.1602</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

We explore the impact of this high autocorrelation on MCMC convergence in example 17.

Example 17: Random-coefficient model, multiple chains

We continue with the random-coefficient model with unstructured covariance from example 16. Some of the parameters such as the coefficients `{weight:week}` and `{weight:_cons}` have low sampling efficiency, which raises convergence and precision concerns. Simulating multiple Markov chains of the model may help address these concerns.

We will simulate three chains by specifying the `nchains(3)` option. We will use the `rseed(15)` option to ensure reproducibility with multiple chains; see Reproducing results in [BAYES] bayesmh. We will also suppress various model summaries by specifying the `nomodelsummary` and `nomesummary` options.

When using multiple chains to assess convergence, it is important to apply overdispersed initial values for different chains. It is difficult to quantify overdispersion because it is specific to the data and model. The default initialization provided by the `bayes: mixed` command may or may not be sufficient. To be certain, we recommend that you provide initial values explicitly, at least for the main parameters of interest. In the following specification, we provide initial values for the two regression coefficients referred to as `{weight:}, the variance parameter `{e.weight:sigma2}, and the covariance matrix `{U:Sigma, matrix}. We try to generate initial values that are sufficiently separated. For example, we use `rnormal(-10, 100)` for the regression coefficients in the second chain and `rnormal(10, 100)` in the third chain. Specifying initial values for the random effects `{U0} and `{U1} would be more tedious, so we let them be sampled from their corresponding prior distributions. Because the hyperparameters of these priors have overdispersed initial values, we indirectly provide some overdispersion for the initial random effects as well.
``` stata
.bayes, nchains(3) rseed(15) nomodelsummary nomesummary
> init2({weight:} rnormal(-10,100) {e.weight:sigma2} 0.1 {U:Sigma,m} 100*I(2))
> init3({weight:} rnormal(10,100) {e.weight:sigma2} 100 {U:Sigma,m} (10,-5\[-5,10])):
> mixed weight week || id: week, covariance(unstructured)

note: Gibbs sampling is used for regression coefficients and variance components

Chain 1
Burn-in 2500 aaaaaaaaaa1000aaaaaaa2000aaaaa done
Simulation 10000 ........1000.........2000.........3000.........4000.........
> 5000.........6000.........7000.........8000.........9000.........10000 done

Chain 2
Burn-in 2500 aaaaaaaaaa1000aaaaaaa2000aaaaa done
Simulation 10000 ........1000.........2000.........3000.........4000.........
> 5000.........6000.........7000.........8000.........9000.........10000 done

Chain 3
Burn-in 2500 aaaaaaaaaa1000aaaaaaa2000aaaaa done
Simulation 10000 ........1000.........2000.........3000.........4000.........
> 5000.........6000.........7000.........8000.........9000.........10000 done

Bayesian multilevel regression
Number of chains = 3
Metropolis-Hastings and Gibbs sampling
Per MCMC chain:
  Iterations = 12,500
  Burn-in = 2,500
  Sample size = 10,000

Group variable: id

Number of groups = 48
Obs per group:
  min = 9
  avg = 9.0
  max = 9

Number of obs = 432
Avg acceptance rate = .6981
Avg efficiency: min = .003059
  avg = .07659
  max = .1663

Log marginal-likelihood
Max Gelman-Rubin Rc = 1.055

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>[95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td>6.201475</td>
<td>.0874855</td>
<td>.009133</td>
<td>6.200176</td>
<td>6.032975  6.374917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week _cons</td>
<td>19.3941</td>
<td>.4344171</td>
<td>.035266</td>
<td>19.38919</td>
<td>18.52954  20.2323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td>6.863804</td>
<td>1.6219</td>
<td>.035988</td>
<td>6.653249</td>
<td>4.329726  10.62575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U:Sigma_1_1</td>
<td>-.0799526</td>
<td>.2684949</td>
<td>.005546</td>
<td>-.0723027</td>
<td>-.6351419  .4354943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U:Sigma_2_1</td>
<td>.3983365</td>
<td>.0890525</td>
<td>.001378</td>
<td>.3869276</td>
<td>.258562  .6048894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.weight sigma2</td>
<td>1.612452</td>
<td>1.254983</td>
<td>.001777</td>
<td>1.605632</td>
<td>1.383175  1.874105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.
Note: Default initial values are used for multiple chains.
Note: There is a high autocorrelation after 500 lags in at least one of the chains.

While the sampling efficiency of the chains is about the same as in example 16, having three MCMC samples instead of one improves the precision of the estimation results, as evident from the lower MCMC errors for all model parameters.
```
Let’s compute Gelman–Rubin diagnostics as a convergence check. We can already see in the header of \texttt{bayes: mixed} that the maximum Gelman–Rubin statistic $R_c$ of 1.055 is close to 1.

\begin{verbatim}
. bayesstats grubin
Gelman-Rubin convergence diagnostic
Number of chains = 3
MCMC size, per chain = 10,000
Max Gelman-Rubin $R_c$ = 1.055383

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$R_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>weight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>week</td>
<td>1.006404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>1.055383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>id</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U:Sigma_1_1</td>
<td>1.000567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U:Sigma_2_1</td>
<td>1.001168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U:Sigma_2_2</td>
<td>1.002119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.weight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sigma2</td>
<td>0.9999899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Convergence rule: $R_c < 1.1$
\end{verbatim}

The convergence diagnostic estimates $R_c$ for all reported parameters are lower than 1.1, suggesting the convergence of the chains. We can also explore MCMC convergence visually; see \texttt{[BAYES] bayesgraph}.

**Crossed-effects model**

Let’s revisit example 4 from \texttt{[ME] meglm}, which analyzes salamander cross-breeding data. Two populations of salamanders are considered: whiteside males and females (variables \texttt{wsm} and \texttt{wsf}) and roughbutt males and females (variables \texttt{rbm} and \texttt{rbf}). Male and female identifiers are recorded in the \texttt{male} and \texttt{female} variables. The outcome binary variable $y$ indicates breeding success or failure.
In example 4 of [ME] `meglm`, we fit a crossed-effects logistic regression for successful mating, in which the effects of `male` and `female` were crossed. For the purpose of illustration, we will fit a crossed-effects probit regression here using `meglm` with the probit link.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r16/salamander
. meglm y wsm##wsf || _all: R.male || female:, family(bernoulli) link(probit)
```

```
mixed-effects GLM
Family: Bernoulli
Link: probit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Variable</th>
<th>No. of Groups</th>
<th>Observations per Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_all</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Note: crossed random-effects model specified; option intmethod(laplace) implied.
Bayesian regression models using the `bayes` prefix

Integration method: laplace

Log likelihood = -208.11182  Wald chi2(3) = 40.61
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

|     | Coef.    | Std. Err. | z     | P>|z|  | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|-----|----------|-----------|-------|------|----------------------|
| 1.wsm | -.4122062| .2606844 | -1.58 | 0.114| -.9231383 .0987259  |
| 1.wsf | -1.720334| .3185787 | -5.40 | 0.000| -2.344736 -1.095931 |
| wsm#wsf | 2.121125 | .3590666 | 5.91  | 0.000| 1.417368 2.824883  |
| _cons | .5950983 | .2215291 | 2.69  | 0.007| .1609093 1.029287  |

LR test vs. probit model: chi2(2) = 29.35  Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

To fit the corresponding Bayesian model, we prefix the above command with `bayes:`.

```
. set seed 15
. bayes: meglm y wsm##wsf || _all: R.male || female:, family(bernoulli) link(probit)
```

**Model summary**

**Likelihood:**
\[ y \sim \text{meglm}(\text{xb}_y) \]

**Priors:**
\[
\{y:1.wsm 1.wsf 1.wsm#1.wsf _cons\} \sim \text{normal}(0,10000)
\{U0\} \sim \text{normal}(0,{U0: sigma2})
\{V0\} \sim \text{normal}(0,{V0: sigma2})
\]

**Hyperpriors:**
\[
\{U0: sigma2\} \sim \text{igamma}(0.01,0.01)
\{V0: sigma2\} \sim \text{igamma}(0.01,0.01)
\]

(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form \( \text{xb}_y \).
Bayesian multilevel GLM  
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling  
MCMC iterations = 12,500  
Burn-in = 2,500  
MCMC sample size = 10,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group Variable</th>
<th>No. of Groups</th>
<th>Observations per Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_all</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Family : Bernoulli  
Number of obs = 360  
Link : probit  
Acceptance rate = .3223  
Efficiency: min = .008356  
avg = .02043  
max = .02773

Log marginal-likelihood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>MCSE</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Equal-tailed [95% Cred. Interval]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.wsm</td>
<td>-.411886</td>
<td>.28122</td>
<td>.016889</td>
<td>-.4158334</td>
<td>-.9645049 .156521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.wsf</td>
<td>-1.722195</td>
<td>.3329918</td>
<td>.023312</td>
<td>-1.713574</td>
<td>-2.381169 -1.094443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wsm#wsf</td>
<td>2.110366</td>
<td>.3671998</td>
<td>.022643</td>
<td>2.09234</td>
<td>1.443113 2.831923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
<td>.5858733</td>
<td>.2512646</td>
<td>.015407</td>
<td>.5906893</td>
<td>.0812177 1.077352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

male  
U0:sigma2 | .4291858 | .2195246 | .024015 | .3876708 | .1347684 .9648611 |

female  
V0:sigma2 | .4928416 | .2189307 | .019043 | .4576824 | .1648551 1.003193 |

Note: Default priors are used for model parameters.

The variance components for male and female, {U0:sigma2} and {V0:sigma2}, are slightly higher than the corresponding ML estimates, but the regression coefficients are similar.
Video examples

- Introduction to Bayesian statistics, part 1: The basic concepts
- Introduction to Bayesian statistics, part 2: MCMC and the Metropolis–Hastings algorithm
- A prefix for Bayesian regression in Stata
- Bayesian linear regression using the bayes prefix
- Bayesian linear regression using the bayes prefix: How to specify custom priors
- Bayesian linear regression using the bayes prefix: Checking convergence of the MCMC chain
- Bayesian linear regression using the bayes prefix: How to customize the MCMC chain

Stored results

In addition to the results stored by `bayesmh`, the `bayes` prefix stores the following in `e()`:

Scalars:
- `e(priorsigma)`: standard deviation of default normal priors
- `e(priorshape)`: shape of default inverse-gamma priors
- `e(priorscale)`: scale of default inverse-gamma priors
- `e(blocksize)`: maximum size for blocks of model parameters

Macros:
- `e(prefix)`: `bayes`
- `e(cmdname)`: command name from `estimation_command`
- `e(cmd)`: same as `e(cmdname)`
- `e(command)`: estimation command line

Methods and formulas

See `Methods and formulas` in [BAYES] bayesmh.
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