

Using Structures and Pointers in Mata to Estimate Panel Data Models with Attrition

Pierre Hoonhout

18 Sep 2015, Stata Users Group Meeting

1. Introduction

- Most panel data suffer from attrition
- In practical work, not much is done to deal with this problem (MCAR assumption).
- If attrition is taken into account, usually MAR (Selection on observables) is assumed.
- The reason is that it is much more difficult (read: impossible) to correct for Non-ignorable attrition (selection on unobservables).
- If refreshment samples are available, something can be done.
- The Stata-command **attrition_model** aims to make correcting for non-ignorable attrition easier.
- Its implementation shows the usefulness of structures and pointers in Mata.

2a. Panel Data with Attrition

The attrition problem can be visualized as follows:

sub-population	Z_1	Z_2	Z_3	X
Balanced Panel 3 (BP3)	observed	observed	observed	obs
Incomplete Panel 3 (IP3)	observed	observed	.	obs
Incomplete Panel 2 (IP2)	observed	.	.	obs

Just-identification of the population distribution (MAR):

$$\Pr(D_2 = 1|Z^2) = G_2(k_{20}(x) + k_{21}(Z_1, x))$$

$$\Pr(D_3 = 1|Z^3) = G_2(k_{30}(x) + k_{31}(Z^2, x))$$

2b. The Problem With Non-ignorable Attrition

- For a two-period panel, sometimes following attrition-model is used (HW):

$$\Pr(D_2 = 1|Z^2) = G_2(k_{20}(x) + k_{20}(Z_2, x))$$

- This model tries to allow for non-ignorable attrition. However, it is just-identified (like MAR).
- The HW and MAR models are therefore observationally equivalent in two-period panels.
- You can use HW, but an observationally equivalent solution can be derived from MAR.
- For this reason, there is no good reason to use non-ignorable

2c. Refreshment Samples

Additional information in the form of refreshment samples helps:

sub-population	Z_1	Z_2	Z_3	X
Balanced Panel 3 (BP3)	observed	observed	observed	obs
Incomplete Panel 3 (IP3)	observed	observed	.	obs
Incomplete Panel 2 (IP2)	observed	.	.	obs
Refreshment Sample 2 (RS2)	.	observed	.	obs
Refreshment Sample 2 (RS2)	.	.	observed	obs

2d. Identification With Refreshment Samples (SAN)

- Hoonhout and Ridder (2016) show that the SAN model just-identifies the population distribution:

$$\Pr(D_2 = 1|Z^2) = G_2(k_{20}(x) + k_{21}(Z_1, x) + k_{22}(Z_2, x))$$

$$\Pr(D_3 = 1|Z^3) = G_2(k_{30}(x) + k_{31}(Z^2, x) + k_{32}(Z_3, x))$$

- SAN stands for Sequential Additively Non-ignorable.
- This generalizes the two-period panel result of Hirano, Imbens, Ridder and Rubin (Econometrica, 2001).

3a. Estimation of θ (if $k(\cdot)$'s known)

- Hoonhout (2016) proposes an estimator for SAN attrition models. This estimator estimates a vector of parameters θ , defined by a set of moment-conditions $E[m(Z^3; \theta)] = 0$, free of attrition bias.
- It is a weighted GMM estimator, that solves (in the just-identified case):

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\Pr(D^3 = 1)}{G_3(\cdot)G_2(\cdot)} m(Z_i^3; \theta) I_i(BP3) \right] = 0$$

- This estimator is consistent, because

$$f(Z^3) = \frac{\Pr(D^3 = 1)}{\Pr(D^3 = 1|Z^3)} f(Z^3|D^3 = 1).$$

3b. How to Estimate the k-functions?

- The only problem is estimation of the k-function.
- Under MAR is easy: probit $D_2 | Z_1$ and probit $D_3 | D_2, Z_1, Z_2$ (or GMM equivalent)
- SAN is difficult: probit $D_2 | Z_1, Z_2$ is not possible, as Z_2 is only partially observed. probit $D_3 | D_2, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3$ idem.
- Hoonhout and Ridder derive an information-theoretic interpretation for the SAN model. This implies that the k-functions satisfy a set of integral equations (infinitely many moment conditions).
- In order to get a finite number of moment equations, we discretize Z . We will denote the resulting set of dummy variables by $i.Z$, for notational convenience.
- We can use the resulting weights for weighted-GMM estimation. No discretization of Z is required in the moment conditions for the

3c. Moment Equations for k_{20} , k_{21} , k_{22}

If we discretize the (continuous) Z in two groups (one dummy $i.Z$), we obtain the following sample moment equations for the two-period panel:

$$\sum_{z_1} \sum_{z_2} \frac{\Pr(D^3 = 1)}{\Pr(D_2 = 1 | i.z^2; \alpha_2)} f(i.z^2 | D^2 = 1) = 1$$

$$\sum_{z_2} \frac{\Pr(D^2 = 1)}{\Pr(D^2 = 1 | i.z^2; \alpha_2)} f(i.z^2 | D^2 = 1) = \bar{f}(i.z_1) \quad \forall i.z_1$$

$$\sum_{z_1} \frac{\Pr(D^2 = 1)}{\Pr(D^2 = 1 | i.z^2; \alpha_2)} f(i.z^2 | D^2 = 1) = \bar{f}(i.z_2) \quad \forall i.z_2$$

where

$$\Pr(D_2 = 1 | i.z^2; \alpha_2) = G_2(\alpha_2' i.z^2).$$

This requires a lot of book-keeping...

3d. Moment Equations for k_{30}, k_{31}, k_{32}

A three-period panel also has the following sample moment equations (etcetera):

$$\sum_{z_1} \sum_{z_2} \sum_{z_3} \frac{\Pr(D^3 = 1)}{\Pr(D^3 = 1 | i, z^3; \alpha_3)} f(i, z^3 | D^3 = 1) = 1$$

$$\sum_{z_1} \frac{\Pr(D^3 = 1)}{\Pr(D^3 = 1 | i, z^3; \alpha_3)} f(i, z^3 | D^3 = 1) = \bar{f}(i, z^2) \quad \forall i, z^2$$

$$\sum_{z_1} \sum_{z_2} \frac{\Pr(D^3 = 1)}{\Pr(D^3 = 1 | i, z^3; \alpha_3)} f(i, z^3 | D^3 = 1) = \bar{f}(i, z_3) \quad \forall i, z_3$$

where

$$\Pr(D^3 = 1 | i, z^3; \alpha_3) = G_3(\alpha'_3 i, z^3) G_2(\alpha'_2 i, z^2).$$

This requires a lot of book-keeping...

3e. Obtaining Good Starting Values

1. initialization: get wave-2 MAR_ML estimates (using logit).
2. get wave-2 MAR_GMM estimates using MAR_ML as starting values.
3. get wave-2 SAN_GMM starting values using fixed $k(z^2)$ to estimate α_3 (coordinate ascent method).
4. get wave-2 SAN_GMM estimates using SAN_GMM starting values
5. get wave-3 SAN_GMM estimates in the same way (using wave-2 estimates as starting values). do this until wave T .
6. get θ estimates using "known" weights.
7. get $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_T, \theta$ estimates using all earlier estimates as starting values.

This requires a lot of book-keeping...

4a. The attrition_model commands

- **attrition_model specify** allows the user to specify the attrition models for each wave with attrition.
- **attrition_model estimate** estimates the k-functions (piecewise constant) and θ (simultaneously). No starting values are required.
- **attrition_model graph** provides a graph of intermediate and final estimates.

4b. attrition_model specify

```
local k20 = ""
local k21 = i.z1
local k22 = i.z2

local k30 = ""
local k31 = i.z1##i.z2
local k32 = i.z3

attrition model specify ///
  (attr2: (`k20') (`k21') (`k22'), data=CP2RS2) ///
  (attr3: (`k30') (`k31') (`k32'), data=CP3RS2RS3) ///
  (pop: &pop_mef, options)
```

4c. Mata Structures and Pointers

- **Structures:** allows you to organize a collection of several scalars, vectors and matrices. The resulting structure object can be passed to other routines.
- **Pointers:** a pointer is an object that contains the address of another object:

```
. mata:
```

```
-----  
: X = (1,2,3,4)
```

```
: p = &X
```

```
: p
```

```
0x6000037ff6c8
```

```
: *p
```

```
      1   2   3   4  
+-----+  
1 | 1   2   3   4 |
```

4d. The structure attrition_model (1)

```
mata:
  struct attrition_model {
    // 1. basic information:
    real scalar T //number of waves
    real matrix N //rows: N_P, N_CP, N_BP, N_IP, N_RS
                  //columns: t=2, t=3, ..., t=T

    // 2. information about the k-functions
    // k20, k21, k22, k30, k31, k32, ...
    pointer(transmorphic matrix) matrix k_info
    // assign: k_info[i,j]=&J(5,5,1) dereference: *(k_info[i,j])
    // columns (3*(T-1))+1: (k20,k21,k22), (k30,k31,k32), ..., (pop)
  }
end
```

4e. The structure attrition_model (2)

- The structure includes a variable that is of type "matrix of pointers."
- Each **column** of this matrix describes a k-function. That is, if $T = 3$ the columns are $k_{20}, k_{21}, k_{22}, k_{30}, k_{31}, k_{32}$.
- Each **row** describes particular information for each k-function.
 - For instance, the first row contains the parameter-names of $\alpha_{20}, \dots, \alpha_{32}$.
 - The second row stores the estimated values (for use in the estimation in later waves).
 - Another row contains the MAR estimates of the k-function parameters (estimated at the time of initialization of the structure, within attrition_specify).
- This structure facilitates the book-keeping enormously.

4f. Initializing the Structure

Once the user specifies the model, the number of waves T is known. `attrition_model specify` calls the following function:

```
mata
  struct attrition_model scalar function
    init_attrition_model(real scalar T) {

      struct attrition_model scalar aM
      real scalar i, j

      aM.T = T
      aM.N = J(5, T-1, .) // rows:    N_P, N_CP, N_BP, N_IP, N_RS
                        // columns: t=2, t=3, ..., t=T
      aM.k_info = J(4, 3*(T-1) + 1, NULL)
      // now, the dimensions are known.
      // assignment is now possible using aM.k_info[i,j] = &A
    }
}
```

4g. Accessing Values of a Structure

Instances of a structure cannot be accessed interactively:

```
transmorphic get_aM(struct attrition_model scalar aM,  
                    string scalar mata_obj, real scalar i, real scalar j){  
  
    if (mata_obj == "T") return(aM.T)  
    if (mata_obj == "N") return(aM.N[i,j])  
    if (mata_obj == "k_info") {  
        return(*(aM.k_info[i,j]))  
    }  
}
```

For changing the values you need a similar function (set_aM)

4h. attrition_model estimate

- Many estimations are done here, before arriving at the final estimates.
- All the estimations are similar but different.
- The idea is to write a **single moment-evaluator-function**. This moment-evaluator function morphs automatically into the moment-evaluator-function that is required for the current estimation.
- This can be achieved because the **gmm-command allows us to pass extra arguments to the moment-evaluator function**. We will simply pass the structure aM (of type attrition_model) to the evaluator function. With this information it can morph as required.

5. Conclusion

The `attrition_model` command provides a relatively straightforward way to obtain panel-data model estimates that are corrected for (potentially non-ignorable) attrition. The user can specify each of the k-functions separately, to keep the number of nuisance parameters within bounds.

1. All the book-keeping in `attrition_model estimate` is relegated to one or more instances of a structure. This structure is passed to the moment-evaluator function.
2. This structure contains a matrix of pointers. The columns of that matrix does the book-keeping for one k-function.