

**Two-Stage Residual Inclusion Estimation: A Practitioners Guide to  
Stata Implementation**

by

**Joseph V. Terza**

**Department of Economics  
Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis  
Indianapolis, IN 46202**

**(July, 2016)**

## **Motivation: Smoking and Infant Birth Weight**

**-- As an example, we revisit the regression model of Mullahy (1997) in which**

**$Y$  = infant birth weight in lbs.**

**$X_p$  = number of cigarettes smoked per day during pregnancy.**

**-- We seek to regress  $Y$  on  $X_p$  with a view toward the estimation of (and drawing inferences regarding) the causal effect of the latter on the former.**

**Mullahy, J. (1997): "Instrumental-Variable Estimation of Count Data Models: Applications to Models of Cigarette Smoking Behavior," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 79, 586-593.**

## Motivation: Smoking and Infant Birthweight

-- Two complicating factors:

-- the regression specification is nonlinear because  $Y$  is non-negative.

--  $X_p$  is likely to be *endogenous* – correlated with unobservable variates that are also correlated with  $Y$ .

-- For example, unobserved unhealthy behaviors may be correlated with both smoking and infant birth weight.

-- If the endogeneity of  $X_p$  is not explicitly accounted for in estimation, effects on  $Y$  due to the unobservables will be attributed to  $X_p$  and the regression results will not be causally interpretable (CI).

## Remedy: Two-Stage Residual Inclusion

-- In the generic version of the above model

$Y$   $\equiv$  dependent variable

and the covariates include:

$X_p$   $\equiv$  endogenous regressor (usually a policy-relevant variable)

$X_o$   $\equiv$  vector of observable exogenous (non-endogenous) regressors

and

$X_u$   $\equiv$  unobservable variable that is correlated with  $X_p$  but not correlated  
with  $X_o$ .

-- The presence of  $X_u$  in the model embodies the endogeneity of  $X_p$ .

## Two-Stage Residual Inclusion (cont'd)

-- Following Terza et al. (2008), we posit the following model

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \mu(\mathbf{X}_p, \mathbf{X}_o, \mathbf{X}_u; \beta) + e \\ &= \mu(\mathbf{X}; \beta) + e \quad \text{[outcome regression]} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}_p = r(\mathbf{W}; \alpha) + \mathbf{X}_u \quad \text{[auxiliary regression]} \tag{2}$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  are the parameter vectors to be estimated

$$\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{X}_p \quad \mathbf{X}_o \quad \mathbf{X}_u]$$

$$\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{X}_o \quad \mathbf{W}^+]$$

$\mathbf{W}^+$  is a vector of identifying instrumental variables (IV)

$\mu(\ )$  and  $r(\ )$  are known functions

## Two-Stage Residual Inclusion (cont'd)

and  $e$  is the random error term, tautologically defined as

$$e = Y - \mu(\mathbf{X}; \beta)$$

so that  $E[e \mid \mathbf{X}] = 0$ .

## Two-Stage Residual Inclusion (cont'd)

-- The auxiliary regression specification in (2) implies that  $X_u$  can be written as the following function of  $W$  and  $\alpha$

$$X_u(W; \alpha) = X_p - r(W; \alpha). \quad (3)$$

-- Given (3), an alternative and equivalent, representation of (1) is

$$Y = \mu(X_p, X_o, X_u(W; \alpha); \beta) + e. \quad (4)$$

-- The  $\beta$  parameters in expression (1) are not directly estimable [e.g. via the nonlinear least squares method (NLS)] because  $X_u$  is unobservable.

## Two-Stage Residual Inclusion (cont'd)

-- Terza et al. (2008) show that the following two-stage protocol is consistent.

**First Stage:** Obtain a consistent estimate of  $\alpha$  by applying NLS to (2) and compute the *residual* as the following estimated version of (3)

$$\hat{X}_u = X_p - r(W; \hat{\alpha}) \quad (5)$$

where  $\hat{\alpha}$  is the first-stage estimate of  $\alpha$ .

**Second Stage:** Consistently estimate  $\beta$  by applying NLS to

$$Y = \mu(X_p, X_o, \hat{X}_u; \beta) + e^{2SRI} \quad (6)$$

where  $e^{2SRI}$  denotes the regression error term that is not identical to  $e$  due to the replacement of  $X_u$  with the residual  $\hat{X}_u$ .

Terza, J., Basu, A. and Rathouz, P. (2008): "Two-Stage Residual Inclusion Estimation: Addressing Endogeneity in Health Econometric Modeling," *Journal of Health Economics*, 27, 531-543.



## Two-Stage Residual Inclusion – Alternatives to NLS

- It is not necessary that NLS be implemented in either or both of the stages of 2SRI. Any consistent estimator will do.
- For instance, a maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) can be used in either, or both, of the stages.
- For MLE in the first stage, specify a known form for the conditional density of  $(X_p | W)$ , say  $g(X_p | W; \alpha)$ .
- Such an assumption would, of course, imply a formulation for  $r(W; \alpha)$  in (2) {the relevant conditional mean, i.e.  $r(W; \alpha) = E[X_p | W]$ }.
- In this case, the 2SRI first stage estimator would be the MLE of  $\alpha$ .

## **Two-Stage Residual Inclusion – Alternatives to NLS (cont'd)**

- Similarly for MLE in the second stage, specify a known form for the conditional density of  $(Y | X_p, W, X_u)$ , say  $f(Y | X_p, W, X_u; \alpha, \beta)$ .**
- The second stage estimator would then be the MLE of  $\beta$ .**
- In the vast majority of applied settings, the 2SRI estimates of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are very easy to obtain via standard regression commands offered by Stata.**

## Back to the Example: Smoking and Infant Birth Weight

To the above smoking and birth weight model we add

$$X_0 = [\text{PARITY} \quad \text{WHITE} \quad \text{MALE}]$$

$$W^+ = [\text{EDFATHER} \quad \text{EDMOTHER} \quad \text{FAMINCOM} \quad \text{CIGTAX}]$$

where

**PARITY** = birth order

**WHITE** = 1 if white, 0 otherwise

**MALE** = 1 if male, 0 otherwise

**EDFATHER** = paternal schooling in years

**EDMOTHER** = maternal schooling in years

**FAMINCOME** = family income

and

**CIGTAX** = cigarette tax.

## Smoking and Infant Birth Weight (cont'd)

-- Mullahy's (1997) regression model can be written as the following version of (1)

[see Terza (2006)]

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \exp(X_p\beta_p + X_o\beta_o + X_u\beta_u) + e \\ &= \exp(X\beta) + e \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where and  $\beta' = [\beta_p \quad \beta_o' \quad \beta_u]$ .

Terza, J. (2006): "Estimation of Policy Effects Using Parametric Nonlinear Models: A Contextual Critique of the Generalized Method of Moments," *Health Services and Outcomes Research Methodology*, 6, 177-198.

## Smoking and Infant Birth Weight (cont'd)

- In the original study, the model was estimated via a GMM procedure that does not require specification of an auxiliary regression for  $X_p$ .
- Mullahy's GMM method, though very clever, does not permit identification and estimation of  $\beta_u$ .
- This precludes a direct test of endogeneity because, under the assumed regression specification in (7),  $X_p$  is exogenous is iff  $\beta_u = 0$ .
- Such a test is, however, supported in the 2SRI estimation framework.
- We specify the relevant auxiliary regression as the following version of (2)

$$X_p = \exp(W\alpha) + X_u. \quad (8)$$

## Smoking and Infant Birth Weight (cont'd)

-- In this context the 2SRI protocol is:

**First Stage:** Consistently estimate  $\alpha$  by applying NLS to (8) and save the residuals as defined in (5). In this case

$$\hat{X}_u = X_p - \exp(W\hat{\alpha}) \quad (9)$$

where  $\hat{\alpha}$  is the NLS estimate of  $\alpha$ .

In Stata use

```
glm CIGSPREG PARITY WHITE MALE EDFATHER EDMOTHER ///  
    FAMINCOM CIGTAX88, ///  
    family(gaussian) link(log) vce(robust)  
predict xuhat, response
```

## Smoking and Infant Birth Weight (cont'd)

CIGSPREG	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
PARITY	.0413746	.0740355	0.56	0.576	-.1037323	.1864815
WHITE	.2788441	.244504	1.14	0.254	-.200375	.7580632
MALE	.1544697	.1801299	0.86	0.391	-.1985785	.5075179
EDFATHER	-.0341149	.0184968	-1.84	0.065	-.070368	.0021381
EDMOTHER	-.0991817	.0296607	-3.34	0.001	-.1573155	-.0410479
FAMINCOM	-.0183652	.0069294	-2.65	0.008	-.0319465	-.0047839
CIGTAX88	.0190194	.0132204	1.44	0.150	-.0068922	.0449309
_cons	2.043192	.3649598	5.60	0.000	1.327884	2.7585

. test (EDFATHER = 0) (EDMOTHER = 0) (FAMINCOM = 0) (CIGTAX88 = 0)

- ( 1) [CIGSPREG]EDFATHER = 0
- ( 2) [CIGSPREG]EDMOTHER = 0
- ( 3) [CIGSPREG]FAMINCOM = 0
- ( 4) [CIGSPREG]CIGTAX88 = 0

chi2( 4) = 49.33  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

## Smoking and Infant Birthweight (cont'd)

**Second Stage:** Consistently estimate  $\beta$  by applying NLS to this version of (6)

$$Y = \exp(X_p\beta_p + X_o\beta_o + \hat{X}_u\beta_u) + e^{2SRI} \quad (10)$$

In Stata use

```
glm BIRTHWTLB CIGSPREG PARITY WHITE MALE Xuhat, ///
    family(gaussian) link(log) vce(robust)
```

BIRTHWTLB	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
CIGSPREG	-.0140086	.0034369	-4.08	0.000	-.0207447	-.0072724
PARITY	.0166603	.0048853	3.41	0.001	.0070854	.0262353
WHITE	.0536269	.0117985	4.55	0.000	.0305023	.0767516
MALE	.0297938	.0088815	3.35	0.001	.0123864	.0472011
Xuhat	.0097786	.0034545	2.83	0.005	.003008	.0165492
_cons	1.948207	.0157445	123.74	0.000	1.917348	1.979066



## Standard Errors in a 2SRI Setting: Bootstrapping

- The standard errors (t-z-statistics, p-values) of the estimates of the elements of  $\hat{\beta}$  (the 2SRI elements of  $\beta$ ) as displayed in the above Stata output are not correct (i.e. cannot be used to estimate asymptotic confidence intervals or to conduct asymptotic hypothesis tests).
- Bootstrapping can be used to approximate the asymptotically correct standard errors (ACSE) for  $\hat{\beta}$  (500 replications).

# Stata Code for Bootstrapping

```

/*****
** Begin Stata program for bootstrapping.      **
*****/
program twosri, eclass
tempname b V
capture drop Xuhat

/*****
** Apply GLM for the 2SRI first stage.          **
*****/
glm CIGSPREG PARITY WHITE MALE EDFATHER EDMOTHER FAMINCOM CIGTAX88, ///
family(gaussian) link(log) vce(robust)

/*****
** Save the first stage residuals.              **
*****/
predict Xuhat, response

/*****
** Apply GLM for the 2SRI second stage.        **
*****/
glm BIRTHWTLB CIGSPREG PARITY WHITE MALE Xuhat, ///
family(gaussian) link(log) vce(robust)

/*****
** End Stata program for bootstrapping.        **
*****/
matrix `b' = e(b)
ereturn post `b'
end

```

## Stata Code for Bootstrapping (cont'd)

```

/*****
** Bootstrap.                               **
*****/
bootstrap _b, reps(3000) seed (10101) nodots nowarn: twosri

```

### 2SRI Results (n = 1,388; 500 replications)

	Observed Coef.	Bootstrap Std. Err.	z	P> z	Normal-based [95% Conf. Interval]	
CIGSPREG	-.0140086	.0038255	-3.66	0.000	-.0215063	-.0065108
PARITY	.0166603	.005216	3.19	0.001	.0064372	.0268835
WHITE	.0536269	.0133074	4.03	0.000	.0275449	.079709
MALE	.0297938	.0094097	3.17	0.002	.0113511	.0482364
Xuhat	.0097786	.0038694	2.53	0.011	.0021947	.0173625
_cons	1.948207	.0170106	114.53	0.000	1.914867	1.981547

## Standard Errors in a 2SRI Setting: ACSE

- How good are the bootstrapped standard errors (BSE)? To evaluate this we need the true ACSE.
- Underlying the ACSE is the estimated asymptotically correct covariance matrix of  $\hat{\beta}$  --  $EACCM(\hat{\beta})$ .
- The ACSE are the square roots of the diagonal elements of the  $EACCM(\hat{\beta})$ .
- Terza (2016) shows that the exact form of the  $EACCM(\hat{\beta})$  depends on the method implemented in the second stage of 2SRI – NLS or MLE.

Terza, J.V. (2016): “Simpler Standard Errors for Two-Stage Optimization Estimators,” the *Stata Journal*, 16, 368-385.

## EACCM( $\hat{\beta}$ ) When 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage of 2SRI is NLS

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{NLS}'\text{NLS}} \right)^{-1} \left( \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{NLS}'\text{NLS}} \right) \left( \mathbf{n} \widehat{\text{AVAR}}^* (\hat{\alpha}) \right) \left( \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{NLS}'\text{NLS}} \right)' \left( \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{NLS}'\text{NLS}} \right)^{-1} \\ & \quad + \left( \mathbf{n} \widehat{\text{AVAR}}^* (\hat{\beta}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\widehat{\text{AVAR}}^* (\hat{\alpha})$  and  $\widehat{\text{AVAR}}^* (\hat{\beta})$  are the estimated covariance matrices obtained from the first and second stage packaged regression outputs, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}^{\text{NLS}} & \equiv (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{K}_{\alpha}) \text{ matrix whose typical (ith) row (i = 1, \dots, n) is} \quad (12) \\ & \quad \nabla_{\alpha} \mu(\mathbf{X}_{\text{pi}}, \mathbf{X}_{\text{oi}}, [\mathbf{X}_{\text{pi}} - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\alpha})]; \hat{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{NLS}} & = (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{K}_{\beta}) \text{ matrix whose typical (ith) row (i = 1, \dots, n) is} \quad (13) \\ & \quad \nabla_{\beta} \mu(\mathbf{X}_{\text{pi}}, \mathbf{X}_{\text{oi}}, [\mathbf{X}_{\text{pi}} - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\alpha})]; \hat{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{K}_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{K}_{\beta}$  are the dimensions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively

$\nabla_{\mathbf{t}} s(\mathbf{t})$  is the gradient of the scalar function,  $s$ , with respect to the vector  $\mathbf{t}$ .  
and  $i$  denotes the  $i$ th observation in a sample of size  $n$ .

## EACCM( $\hat{\beta}$ ) When 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage of 2SRI is MLE

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( n \widehat{\text{AVAR}} * (\hat{\beta}) \right) \left( \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{MLE}'} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}^{\text{MLE}} \right) \left( n \widehat{\text{AVAR}} * (\hat{\alpha}) \right) \left( \mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{MLE}'} \mathbf{B}_{\alpha}^{\text{MLE}} \right)' \left( n \widehat{\text{AVAR}} * (\hat{\beta}) \right) \\ & \quad + \left( n \widehat{\text{AVAR}} * (\hat{\beta}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$\mathbf{B}_{\alpha}^{\text{MLE}} \equiv (n \times \mathbf{K}_{\alpha})$  matrix whose typical (ith) row ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) is

$$\nabla_{\alpha} f(Y_i | \mathbf{X}_{pi}, \mathbf{W}_i, [\mathbf{X}_{pi} - r(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\alpha})]); \hat{\beta}$$

$\mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{MLE}} = (n \times \mathbf{K}_{\beta})$  matrix whose typical (ith) row ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) is

$$\nabla_{\beta} f(Y_i | \mathbf{X}_{pi}, \mathbf{W}_i, [\mathbf{X}_{pi} - r(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\alpha})]); \hat{\beta}.$$

## Back to the Smoking and Birth Weight Example

-- In this case

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(\mathbf{X}_p, \mathbf{X}_o, [\mathbf{X}_p - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{W}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})]; \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ & = \exp(\mathbf{X}_p \boldsymbol{\beta}_p + \mathbf{X}_o \boldsymbol{\beta}_o + [\mathbf{X}_p - \exp(\mathbf{W}\boldsymbol{\alpha})] \boldsymbol{\beta}_u) \end{aligned}$$

and because the 2<sup>nd</sup> stage of our 2SRI estimator is NLS, the following versions of (12) and (13) are relevant:

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mu(\mathbf{X}_{pi}, \mathbf{X}_{oi}, [\mathbf{X}_{pi} - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})]; \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = -\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_u \exp(\mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \exp(\mathbf{W}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) \mathbf{W}_i \quad (14)$$

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mu(\mathbf{X}_{pi}, \mathbf{X}_{oi}, [\mathbf{X}_{pi} - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})]; \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \exp(\mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \mathbf{X}_i \quad (15)$$

where  $\mathbf{X}_i = [\mathbf{X}_{pi} \quad \mathbf{X}_{oi} \quad \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{ui}]$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' = [\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_p \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_o' \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_u]$ .

## Back to the Smoking and Birth Weight Example: Walking Through the Stata Code

-- After the 2SRI first stage, use the following to save the vector of first-stage coefficient estimates and its corresponding estimated covariance matrix so that they are accessible in Mata:

```
mata: alphahat=st_matrix("e(b)">'
```

```
mata: Valphahat=st_matrix("e(V)")
```

The first statement yields  $\hat{\alpha}$ .

The second statement yields  $\widehat{AVAR} * (\hat{\alpha})$ .



## Back to the Example: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- After the 2SRI second stage, use the following to save the vector of second-stage coefficient estimates and its corresponding estimated covariance matrix so that they are accessible in Mata (also single out  $\hat{\beta}_u$ ):

```
mata: betahat=st_matrix("e(b)">'
```

```
mata: Vbetahat=st_matrix("e(V)">'
```

```
mata: Bu=betahat[5]
```

The first statement yields  $\hat{\beta}$ .

The second statement yields  $\widehat{AVAR} * (\hat{\beta})$ .

The third statement yields  $\hat{\beta}_u$ .

## Back to the Example: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- Construct  $X$  and  $W$  matrices, where  $X$  is the matrix whose columns are  $x_p$ ,  $x_0$  and a constant term (a column vector of 1s); and  $W$  has columns  $x_0$ ,  $w_{plus}$  and a constant term. Make sure that the ordering of the columns of  $X$  and  $W$  (including the constant term) conforms to the ordering of the estimated coefficients in  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}$ .

```
putmata CIGSPREG BIRTHWTLB PARITY WHITE MALE EDFATHER ///  
  
        EDMOTHER FAMINCOM CIGTAX88 Xuhat  
  
mata: X=CIGSPREG, PARITY, WHITE, MALE, ///  
  
        Xuhat, J(rows(PARITY),1,1)  
  
mata: W=PARITY, WHITE, MALE, EDFATHER, EDMOTHER, ///  
  
        FAMINCOM, CIGTAX88, J(rows(PARITY),1,1)
```

## Back to the Example: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- Use  $\hat{\beta}$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_u$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}$ ,  $\mathbf{X}$ , and  $\mathbf{W}$  to construct the two gradient matrices needed to calculate the correct standard errors for  $\hat{\beta}$  -- based on (14) and (15):

```
mata: Bbeta=exp(X*betahat):*X
```

```
mata: Balpha=-Bu:*exp(X*betahat):*exp(W*alphahat):*W
```

The first yields  $\mathbf{B}_{\beta}^{\text{NLS}}$  based on (15).

The second yields  $\mathbf{B}_{\alpha}^{\text{NLS}}$  based on (14).

## Back to the Example: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- Calculate the EACCM of  $\hat{\beta}$  based on (11).

```
mata: B1=Bbeta'* Bbeta
```

```
mata: B2=Bbeta'*Balpha
```

```
mata: EACCM=invsym(B1)*B2*Valphahat*B2'*invsym(B1)+Vbetahat
```

-- Calculate the vector of asymptotically correct standard errors for betahat

```
mata: ACSE=sqrt(diagonal(Dhat))
```

## Back to the Example: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- Calculate the vector of asymptotically correct t-statistics to be used to test the conventional null hypothesis regarding the elements of  $\beta$  (viz.,  $H_0 : \beta_k = 0$ , where  $\beta_k$  denotes the kth element of  $\beta$ )

```
mata: tstats=betahat:/ACSE
```

## Back to the Example: Results ACSE vs. BSE

### 2SRI Second Stage, GMM and NLS Estimates

Variable	2SRI				GMM		OLS	
	Estimate	Correct t-stat	Bootstrp t-stat (500reps)	Raw t-stat	Estimate	t-stat	Estimate	t-stat
<b>CIGS</b>	<b>-0.01</b>	<b>-3.68</b>	<b>-3.66</b>	<b>-4.08</b>	<b>-0.01</b>	<b>-3.46</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>-5.62</b>
<b>PARITY</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>3.18</b>	<b>3.19</b>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>3.33</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>2.99</b>
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>4.22</b>	<b>4.03</b>	<b>4.55</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>4.44</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>4.75</b>
<b>MALE</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>3.13</b>	<b>3.17</b>	<b>3.35</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>2.95</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>2.90</b>
<b>X<sub>u</sub></b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>2.83</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>--</b>	<b>--</b>
<b>Constant</b>	<b>1.95</b>	<b>117.64</b>	<b>114.53</b>	<b>123.74</b>	<b>1.94</b>	<b>121.71</b>	<b>1.93</b>	<b>133.70</b>

n = 1,388

## Back to the Example: Bootstrapping Results

**n = 1,388**

**CPU Time for ACSE = 0.618 (secs.)**

<b>Replications</b>	<b>% Avg. Absolute Bias</b>	<b>% Max. Absolute Bias</b>	<b>CPU Time (secs.)</b>
<b>100</b>	<b>7.10%</b>	<b>10.20%</b>	<b>16.967</b>
<b>250</b>	<b>5.20%</b>	<b>8.30%</b>	<b>42.390</b>
<b>500</b>	<b>1.80%</b>	<b>4.70%</b>	<b>83.691</b>
<b>1000</b>	<b>1.20%</b>	<b>2.70%</b>	<b>218.160</b>
<b>2000</b>	<b>1.90%</b>	<b>3.50%</b>	<b>340.284</b>
<b>3000</b>	<b>1.11%</b>	<b>3.58%</b>	<b>489.870</b>

## ACSE vs. BSE: Caveats

- ACSE requires special programming (e.g. in Mata) but so does BSE (Stata programming).**
- BSE is only an approximation to ACSE, not clear how good that approximation is in a particular empirical context. Some sense of convergence must be achieved but this can be time consuming.**
- Elapsed computation time for ACSE in this example ( $n = 1,388$ ) was less than a second.**



## **ACSE vs. BSE: Caveats (cont'd)**

- Elapsed computation time for BSE in this example ( $n = 1,388$ ; 500 replications) was 1.5 minutes, for analytic samples in health econ and health services research of sizes in the 10s of thousands, this may be an issue.**
- Convergence issues. For unstable estimation routines due to data or modeling issues, BSE may be additionally biased.**

## The Example: Alternative Specification

- A large proportion of the analysis sample are non-smokers.
- For the auxiliary regression we used the modified two-part model of Mullahy (1998).

-- The two-parts of the auxiliary regression are (i.e. the first stage of 2SRI):

**Part 1:** Estimate  $\alpha_1$  by regressing  $I(X_p > 0)$  on  $W$  using probit analysis and the full sample, where  $I(C)$  is the index function = 1 if condition  $C$  holds, 0 otherwise.

**Part 2:** Estimate  $\alpha_2$  by applying NLS to

$$(X_p > 0) = \exp(W\alpha) + v$$

using the subsample of smokers (i.e., those for whom  $X_p > 0$ ).

Mullahy, J. (1998). "Much ado about two: reconsidering retransformation and the two-part model in health econometrics." *Journal of Health Economics* 17(3): 247-281.

## The Example: Alternative Specification (cont'd)

-- Second stage of 2SRI:

Consistently estimate  $\beta$  by applying NLS to this version of (6)

$$Y = \exp(X_p\beta_p + X_o\beta_o + \hat{X}_u\beta_u) + e^{2SRI} \quad (10)$$

with  $\hat{X}_u = X_p - \Phi(W\hat{\alpha}_1)\exp(W\hat{\alpha}_2)$  -- the residuals from the first-stage two-part model, where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is normal cdf.

-- Note that in the two-part model for the auxiliary regression

$$E[X_p | W] = \Phi(W\alpha_1)\exp(W\alpha_2).$$

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code

-- First part of 2SRI first stage:

```
/******  
** Generate the binary smoking variable.      **  
*****/  
gen ANYCIGS=CIGSPREG>0  
  
/******  
** 2SRI first stage first part probit estimates.**  
*****/  
probit ANYCIGS PARITY WHITE MALE EDFATHER EDMOTHER ///  
      FAMINCOM CIGTAX88  
predict CIGPROB  
test EDFATHER EDMOTHER FAMINCOM CIGTAX88
```

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- After the first part of the 2SRI first stage, use the following to save the vector of first part first-stage coefficient estimates and its corresponding estimated covariance matrix so that they are accessible in Mata:

```
mata: alpha1hat=st_matrix("e(b)">'
```

```
mata: Valpha1hat=st_matrix("e(V)")
```

The first statement yields  $\hat{\alpha}_1$ .

The second statement yields  $\widehat{AVAR} * (\hat{\alpha}_1)$ .

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- Second part of 2SRI first stage:

```

/*****
** 2SRI first stage second part probit NLS      **
** estimates.                                  **
*****/
glm CIGSPREG PARITY WHITE MALE EDFATHER EDMOTHER ///
    FAMINCOM CIGTAX88 if ANYCIGS==1, ///
    family(gaussian) link(log) vce(robust)

predict CIGMEAN

test EDFATHER EDMOTHER FAMINCOM CIGTAX88

/*****
** Generate the first-stage residuals.          **
*****/
gen Xuhat=CIGSPREG-CIGPROB*CIGMEAN
```

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- After the second part of the 2SRI first stage, use the following to save the vector of second part first-stage coefficient estimates and its corresponding estimated covariance matrix so that they are accessible in Mata:

```
mata: alpha2hat=st_matrix("e(b)">'
```

```
mata: Valpha2hat=st_matrix("e(V)")
```

The first statement yields  $\hat{\alpha}_2$ .

The second statement yields  $\widehat{AVAR}^*(\hat{\alpha}_2)$ .

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- 2SRI second stage:

```
/******  
** 2SRI second stage NLS estimates. **  
*****/  
glm BIRTHWTLB CIGSPREG PARITY WHITE MALE Xuhat, ///  
family(gaussian) link(log) vce(robust)
```



## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- After the 2SRI second stage, use the following to save the vector of second-stage coefficient estimates and its corresponding estimated covariance matrix so that they are accessible in Mata (also single out  $\hat{\beta}_u$ ):

```
mata: betahat=st_matrix("e(b)">'
```

```
mata: Vbetahat=st_matrix("e(V)')
```

```
mata: Bu=betahat[5]
```

The first statement yields  $\hat{\beta}$ .

The second statement yields  $\widehat{AVAR} * (\hat{\beta})$ .

The third statement yields  $\hat{\beta}_u$ .

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- In this case the relevant version of  $\mu(\cdot)$  in (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mathbf{X}_p, \mathbf{X}_o, [\mathbf{X}_p - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{W}; \boldsymbol{\alpha})]; \boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ = \exp(\mathbf{X}_p \boldsymbol{\beta}_p + \mathbf{X}_o \boldsymbol{\beta}_o + [\mathbf{X}_p - \Phi(\mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1) \exp(\mathbf{W} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2)] \boldsymbol{\beta}_u) \end{aligned}$$

and the requisite gradients for the EACCM and ACSE (i.e. for  $\mathbf{B}_\alpha^{\text{NLS}}$  and  $\mathbf{B}_\beta^{\text{NLS}}$ ) are

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha \mu(\mathbf{X}_{pi}, \mathbf{X}_{oi}, [\mathbf{X}_{pi} - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})]; \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \\ = -[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_u \exp(\mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \exp(\mathbf{W}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_2) \phi(\mathbf{W} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_1) \mathbf{W}_i \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_u \exp(\mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \exp(\mathbf{W}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_2) \Phi(\mathbf{W} \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_1) \mathbf{W}_i] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nabla_\beta \mu(\mathbf{X}_{pi}, \mathbf{X}_{oi}, [\mathbf{X}_{pi} - \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})]; \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \exp(\mathbf{X}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \mathbf{X}_i.$$

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- Use  $\hat{\beta}$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_u$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}$ ,  $X$ , and  $W$  to construct the two gradient matrices needed to calculate the correct standard errors for  $\hat{\beta}$  -- based on (14) and (15):

```
/******  
** Set up the two gradient matrices for the ACSE**  
*****/  
mata: Bbeta=exp(X*betahat):*X  
mata:Balpha1=-Bu:*exp(X*betahat):*normalden(W*alpha1hat)  
      :*exp(W*alpha2hat):*W  
mata: Balpha2=-Bu:*exp(X*betahat):*normal(W*alpha1hat)  
      :*exp(W*alpha2hat):*W  
mata: Balpha=Balpha1,Balpha2
```

The first yields  $B_{\beta}^{\text{NLS}}$  based on (15).

The next three yield  $B_{\alpha}^{\text{NLS}}$  based on (14).

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- Calculate the EACCM of  $\hat{\beta}$  based on (11).

```
mata: B1=Bbeta' * Bbeta
```

```
mata: B2=Bbeta' * Balpha
```

```
mata: EACCM=invsym(B1) * B2 * Valphahat * B2' * invsym(B1) + Vbetahat
```

-- Calculate the vector of asymptotically correct standard errors for betahat

```
mata: ACSE=sqrt(diagonal(Dhat))
```

## Alternative Specification: Walking Through the Stata Code (cont'd)

-- Calculate the vector of asymptotically correct t-statistics to be used to test the conventional null hypothesis regarding the elements of  $\beta$  (viz.,  $H_0 : \beta_k = 0$ , where  $\beta_k$  denotes the kth element of  $\beta$ )

```
mata: tstats=betahat:/ACSE
```

## Alternative Specification: Bootstrapping

```
_/*****  
** Begin Stata program for bootstrapping.      **  
*****/  
program twosri, eclass  
tempname b V  
tempvar coeff  
tempvar CIGPROB  
tempvar CIGMEAN  
tempvar a1  
tempvar a2  
capture drop Xuhat  
  
/*****  
** Obtain the first stage first part probit      **  
** estimates.                                   **  
*****/  
probit ANYCIGS PARITY WHITE MALE EDFATHER EDMOTHER ///  
      FAMINCOM CIGTAX88  
predict `CIGPROB'  
matrix `a1'=e(b)
```

## Alternative Specification: Bootstrapping

```

/*****
** Obtain the first stage second part NLS      **
** estimates.                                  **
*****/
glm CIGSPREG PARITY WHITE MALE EDFATHER EDMOTHER ///
    FAMINCOM CIGTAX88 if ANYCIGS==1, ///
    family(gaussian) link(log) vce(robust)
predict `CIGMEAN'
matrix `a2'=e(b)

/*****
** Save the first stage residuals.             **
*****/
gen Xuhat=CIGSPREG-`CIGPROB'*`CIGMEAN'
```

## Alternative Specification: Bootstrapping

```

/*****
** Obtain the second stage NLS estimates.      **
*****/
glm BIRTHWTLB CIGSPREG PARITY WHITE MALE Xuhat, ///
    family(gaussian) link(log) vce(robust)

matrix `b' = e(b)
ereturn post `b'

/*****
** End Stata program for bootstrapping.      **
*****/
end

*****/
** Bootstrap.                                **
*****/
bootstrap _b, reps(3000) seed (10101) nodots nowarn: twosri

```



## Alternative Specification: Results ACSE vs. BSE

	<b>2SRI</b>			
<b>Variable</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Correct t-stat</b>	<b>Bootstrp t-stat (500reps)</b>	<b>Raw t-stat</b>
<b>CIGS</b>	<b>-0.01</b>	<b>-4.07</b>	<b>-3.86</b>	<b>-4.41</b>
<b>PARITY</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>3.36</b>	<b>3.45</b>	<b>3.66</b>
<b>WHITE</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>4.45</b>	<b>4.19</b>	<b>4.61</b>
<b>MALE</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>2.80</b>	<b>2.86</b>	<b>2.90</b>
<b>X<sub>u</sub></b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>2.66</b>	<b>2.56</b>	<b>2.89</b>
<b>Constant</b>	<b>1.94</b>	<b>124.67</b>	<b>124.84</b>	<b>129.70</b>

## Alternative Specification: Bootstrapping Results

**n = 1,388**

**CPU Time for ACSE = 0.561 (secs.)**

<b>Replications</b>	<b>% Avg. Absolute Bias</b>	<b>% Max. Absolute Bias</b>	<b>CPU Time (secs.)</b>
<b>100</b>	<b>4.20%</b>	<b>6.70%</b>	<b>11.372</b>
<b>250</b>	<b>3.77%</b>	<b>7.61%</b>	<b>27.384</b>
<b>500</b>	<b>3.31%</b>	<b>6.16%</b>	<b>54.973</b>
<b>1000</b>	<b>2.00%</b>	<b>3.63%</b>	<b>109.343</b>
<b>2000</b>	<b>1.90%</b>	<b>3.24%</b>	<b>218.333</b>
<b>3000</b>	<b>1.63%</b>	<b>2.59%</b>	<b>338.598</b>