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## Description

asmprobit fits a multinomial probit (MNP) model that allows alternative-specific and case-specific independent variables. Alternative-specific variables vary across both cases and alternatives; casespecific variables vary across only cases. By estimating the variance-covariance parameters of the latent-variable errors, the model allows you to relax the independence of irrelevant alternatives (IIA) property that is characteristic of the multinomial logistic model and that is assumed by the MNP model fit by mprobit. The command requires multiple observations for each case (individual or decision), where each observation represents an alternative that may be chosen.

## Quick start

Alternative-specific multinomial probit model of y from alternatives alts as a function of x 1 for cases identified by idvar

```
asmprobit y x1, case(idvar) alternatives(alts)
```

As above, and include case-specific covariate x 2
asmprobit $y$ x1, case(idvar) alternatives(alts) casevars(x2)
Common correlation parameter in latent-variable errors for all pairs of alternatives

```
asmprobit y x1, case(idvar) alternatives(alts) correlation(exchangeable)
```

With the structural covariance parameterization

```
asmprobit y x1, case(idvar) alternatives(alts) structural
```

All standard deviations of latent-variable errors constrained to 1

```
asmprobit y x1, case(idvar) alternatives(alts) stddev(homoskedastic)
```


## Menu

Statistics $>$ Categorical outcomes $>$ Alternative-specific multinomial probit

## Syntax

```
asmprobit depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight], case(varname)
alternatives(varname) [options]
```

depvar equal to 1 identifies the outcome or chosen alternatives, whereas a 0 indicates the alternatives that were not chosen.

```
options
```

Description

## Model

* case (varname)
* alternatives(varname)
casevars (varlist)
constraints (constraints)
collinear
use varname to identify cases
use varname to identify the alternatives available for each case
case-specific variables
apply specified linear constraints
keep collinear variables
Model 2
correlation(correlation)
stddev(stddev)
structural
factor (\#)
noconstant
basealternative(\#|lbl|str)
scalealternative(\#|lbl|str)
altwise
SE/Robust
vce (vcetype)

Reporting
level(\#)
notransform
nocnsreport
display_options
correlation structure of the latent-variable errors
variance structure of the latent-variable errors
use the structural covariance parameterization; default is the differenced covariance parameterization
use the factor covariance structure with dimension \#
suppress the alternative-specific constant terms
alternative used for normalizing location
alternative used for normalizing scale
use alternativewise deletion instead of casewise deletion
vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, opg, bootstrap, or jackknife
set confidence level; default is level(95)
do not transform variance-covariance estimates to the standard deviation and correlation metric
do not display constraints
control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling

## Integration

intmethod (seqtype)
intpoints(\#)
intburn(\#)
intseed (code|\#)
antithetics
nopivot
initbhhh (\#)
favor (speed $\mid$ space)
type of quasi- or pseudouniform point set
number of points in each sequence
starting index in the Hammersley or Halton sequence
pseudouniform random-number seed
use antithetic draws
do not use integration interval pivoting
use the BHHH optimization algorithm for the first \# iterations favor speed or space when generating integration points

stddev

## Description

heteroskedastic
homoskedastic
pattern matname
fixed matname
estimate standard deviation for each alternative; standard deviations for basealternative() and scalealternative() set to one all standard deviations are one user-specified matrix identifying the standard deviation pattern user-specified matrix identifying the fixed and free standard deviations
seqtype
hammersley
halton
random

Description
Hammersley point set
Halton point set
uniform pseudorandom point set

* case(varname) and alternatives(varname) are required.
indepvars and varlist may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.
bootstrap, by, jackknife, and statsby are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see $[R]$ bootstrap.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
coeflegend does not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.


## Options

[^0]casevars (varlist) specifies the case-specific variables that are constant for each case(). If there are a maximum of $J$ alternatives, there will be $J-1$ sets of coefficients associated with casevars (). constraints (constraints), collinear; see [R] estimation options.

Model 2
correlation(correlation) specifies the correlation structure of the latent-variable errors.
correlation(unstructured) is the most general and has $J(J-3) / 2+1$ unique correlation parameters. This is the default unless stdev() or structural are specified.
correlation(exchangeable) provides for one correlation coefficient common to all latent variables, except the latent variable associated with the basealternative() option.
correlation (independent) assumes that all correlations are zero.
correlation(pattern matname) and correlation(fixed matname) give you more flexibility in defining the correlation structure. See Variance structures later in this entry for more information.
stddev (stddev) specifies the variance structure of the latent-variable errors.
stddev (heteroskedastic) is the most general and has $J-2$ estimable parameters. The standard deviations of the latent-variable errors for the alternatives specified in basealternative() and scalealternative() are fixed to one.
stddev (homoskedastic) constrains all the standard deviations to equal one.
stddev (pattern matname) and stddev(fixed matname) give you added flexibility in defining the standard deviation parameters. See Variance structures later in this entry for more information.
structural requests the $J \times J$ structural covariance parameterization instead of the default $J-1 \times J-1$ differenced covariance parameterization (the covariance of the latent errors differenced with that of the base alternative). The differenced covariance parameterization will achieve the same MSL regardless of the choice of basealternative() and scalealternative(). On the other hand, the structural covariance parameterization imposes more normalizations that may bound the model away from its maximum likelihood and thus prevent convergence with some datasets or choices of basealternative() and scalealternative().
factor (\#) requests that the factor covariance structure of dimension \# be used. The factor () option can be used with the structural option but cannot be used with stddev() or correlation(). A $\# \times J$ (or $\# \times J-1$ ) matrix, $\mathbf{C}$, is used to factor the covariance matrix as $I+\mathbf{C}^{\prime} \mathbf{C}$, where $I$ is the identity matrix of dimension $J$ (or $J-1$ ). The column dimension of $\mathbf{C}$ depends on whether the covariance is structural or differenced. The row dimension of $\mathbf{C}$, \#, must be less than or equal to floor $((J(J-1) / 2-1) /(J-2)$ ), because there are only $J(J-1) / 2-1$ identifiable variance-covariance parameters. This covariance parameterization may be useful for reducing the number of covariance parameters that need to be estimated.
If the covariance is structural, the column of $\mathbf{C}$ corresponding to the base alternative contains zeros. The column corresponding to the scale alternative has a one in the first row and zeros elsewhere. If the covariance is differenced, the column corresponding to the scale alternative (differenced with the base) has a one in the first row and zeros elsewhere.
noconstant suppresses the $J-1$ alternative-specific constant terms.
basealternative (\#|lbl|str) specifies the alternative used to normalize the latent-variable location (also referred to as the level of utility). The base alternative may be specified as a number, label, or string. The standard deviation for the latent-variable error associated with the base alternative is fixed to one, and its correlations with all other latent-variable errors are set to zero. The default
is the first alternative when sorted. If a fixed or pattern matrix is given in the stddev() and correlation() options, the basealternative() will be implied by the fixed standard deviations and correlations in the matrix specifications. basealternative() cannot be equal to scalealternative().
scalealternative (\# |lbl|str) specifies the alternative used to normalize the latent-variable scale (also referred to as the scale of utility). The scale alternative may be specified as a number, label, or string. The default is to use the second alternative when sorted. If a fixed or pattern matrix is given in the stddev() option, the scalealternative() will be implied by the fixed standard deviations in the matrix specification. scalealternative() cannot be equal to basealternative().

If a fixed or pattern matrix is given for the stddev() option, the base alternative and scale alternative are implied by the standard deviations and correlations in the matrix specifications, and they need not be specified in the basealternative() and scalealternative() options.
altwise specifies that alternativewise deletion be used when marking out observations due to missing values in your variables. The default is to use casewise deletion; that is, the entire group of observations making up a case is deleted if any missing values are encountered. This option does not apply to observations that are marked out by the if or in qualifier or the by prefix.

SE/Robust
vce (vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim, opg), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.
If specifying vce(bootstrap) or vce(jackknife), you must also specify basealternative() and scalealternative().

Reporting
level (\#); see [R] estimation options.
notransform prevents retransforming the Cholesky-factored variance-covariance estimates to the correlation and standard deviation metric.

This option has no effect if structural is not specified because the default differenced variancecovariance estimates have no interesting interpretation as correlations and standard deviations. notransform also has no effect if the correlation() and stddev() options are specified with anything other than their default values. Here it is generally not possible to factor the variancecovariance matrix, so optimization is already performed using the standard deviation and correlation representations.
nocnsreport; see [R] estimation options.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(\#), fvwrapon(style), cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\% fmt), sformat (\% fint), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

## Integration

intmethod(hammersley $\mid$ halton $\mid$ random) specifies the method of generating the point sets used in the quasi-Monte Carlo integration of the multivariate normal density. intmethod (hammersley), the default, uses the Hammersley sequence; intmethod (halton) uses the Halton sequence; and intmethod (random) uses a sequence of uniform random numbers.
intpoints(\#) specifies the number of points to use in the quasi-Monte Carlo integration. If this option is not specified, the number of points is $50 \times J$ if intmethod(hammersley) or intmethod(halton) is used and $100 \times J$ if intmethod (random) is used. Larger values of intpoints() provide better approximations of the log likelihood, but at the cost of added computation time.
intburn(\#) specifies where in the Hammersley or Halton sequence to start, which helps reduce the correlation between the sequences of each dimension. The default is 0 . This option may not be specified with intmethod(random).
intseed (code $\mid \#$ ) specifies the seed to use for generating the uniform pseudorandom sequence. This option may be specified only with intmethod (random). code refers to a string that records the state of the random-number generator runiform(); see [R] set seed. An integer value \# may be used also. The default is to use the current seed value from Stata's uniform random-number generator, which can be obtained from c(rngstate).
antithetics specifies that antithetic draws be used. The antithetic draw for the $J-1$ vector uniform-random variables, $\mathbf{x}$, is $1-\mathbf{x}$.
nopivot turns off integration interval pivoting. By default, asmprobit will pivot the wider intervals of integration to the interior of the multivariate integration. This improves the accuracy of the quadrature estimate. However, discontinuities may result in the computation of numerical secondorder derivatives using finite differencing (for the Newton-Raphson optimize technique, tech (nr)) when few simulation points are used, resulting in a non-positive-definite Hessian. asmprobit uses the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno optimization algorithm, by default, which does not require computing the Hessian numerically using finite differencing.
initbhhh (\#) specifies that the Berndt-Hall-Hall-Hausman (BHHH) algorithm be used for the initial \# optimization steps. This option is the only way to use the BHHH algorithm along with other optimization techniques. The algorithm switching feature of ml's technique() option cannot include bhhh.
favor(speed|space) instructs asmprobit to favor either speed or space when generating the integration points. favor (speed) is the default. When favoring speed, the integration points are generated once and stored in memory, thus increasing the speed of evaluating the likelihood. This speed increase can be seen when there are many cases or when the user specifies a large number of integration points, intpoints (\#). When favoring space, the integration points are generated repeatedly with each likelihood evaluation.

For unbalanced data, where the number of alternatives varies with each case, the estimates computed using intmethod (random) will vary slightly between favor (speed) and favor (space). This is because the uniform sequences will not be identical, even when initiating the sequences using the same uniform seed, intseed (code $\mid \#$ ). For favor (speed), ncase blocks of intpoints(\#) $\times$ $J-2$ uniform points are generated, where $J$ is the maximum number of alternatives. For favor (space), the column dimension of the matrices of points varies with the number of alternatives that each case has.
$\sqrt{\text { Maximization }}$
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate(\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance (\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize.

The following options may be particularly useful in obtaining convergence with asmprobit: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), nrtolerance(\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs).

If technique() contains more than one algorithm specification, bhhh cannot be one of them. To use the BHHH algorithm with another algorithm, use the initbhhh() option and specify the other algorithm in technique().
Setting the optimization type to technique (bhhh) resets the default vcetype to vce (opg).
The following option is available with asmprobit but is not shown in the dialog box:
coeflegend; see [R] estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

stata.com
Remarks are presented under the following headings:
Introduction
Variance structures

## Introduction

The MNP model is used with discrete dependent variables that take on more than two outcomes that do not have a natural ordering. The stochastic error terms are assumed to have a multivariate normal distribution that is heteroskedastic and correlated. Say that you have a set of $J$ unordered alternatives that are modeled by a regression of both case-specific and alternative-specific covariates. A "case" refers to the information on one decision maker. Underlying the model is the set of $J$ latent variables (utilities),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{i j}=\mathbf{x}_{i j} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{z}_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}+\xi_{i j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ denotes cases and $j$ denotes alternatives. $\mathbf{x}_{i j}$ is a $1 \times p$ vector of alternative-specific variables, $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is a $p \times 1$ vector of parameters, $\mathbf{z}_{i}$ is a $1 \times q$ vector of case-specific variables, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}$ is a $q \times 1$ vector of parameters for the $j$ th alternative, and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}=\left(\xi_{i 1}, \ldots, \xi_{i J}\right)$ is distributed multivariate normal with mean zero and covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. The decision maker selects the alternative whose latent variable is highest.

Because the MNP model allows for a general covariance structure in $\xi_{i j}$, it does not impose the IIA property inherent in multinomial logistic and conditional logistic models. That is, the MNP model permits the odds of choosing one alternative over another to depend on the remaining alternatives. For example, consider the choice of travel mode between two cities: air, train, bus, or car, as a function of the travel mode cost, travel time (alternative-specific variables), and an individual's income (a case-specific variable). The odds of choosing air travel over a bus may not be independent of the train alternative because both bus and train travel are public ground transportation. That is, the probability of choosing air travel is $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\eta_{\text {air }}>\eta_{\text {bus }}, \eta_{\text {air }}>\eta_{\text {train }}, \eta_{\text {air }}>\eta_{\text {car }}\right)$, and the two events $\eta_{\text {air }}>\eta_{\text {bus }}$ and $\eta_{\text {air }}>\eta_{\text {train }}$ may be correlated.

An alternative to MNP that will allow a nested correlation structure in $\xi_{i j}$ is the nested logit model (see [R] nlogit).

The added flexibility of the MNP model does impose a significant computation burden because of the need to evaluate probabilities from the multivariate normal distribution. These probabilities are evaluated using simulation techniques because a closed-form solution does not exist. See Methods and formulas for more information.

Not all the $J$ sets of regression coefficients $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}$ are identifiable, nor are all $J(J+1) / 2$ elements of the variance-covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. As described by Train (2009, sec. 2.5), the model requires normalization because both the location (level) and scale of the latent variable are irrelevant. Increasing the latent variables by a constant does not change which $\eta_{i j}$ is the maximum for decision maker $i$, nor does multiplying them by a constant. To normalize location, we choose an alternative, indexed by $k$, say, and take the difference between the latent variable $k$ and the $J-1$ others,

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{i j k} & =\eta_{i j}-\eta_{i k} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{x}_{i j}-\mathbf{x}_{i k}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{z}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k}\right)+\xi_{i j}-\xi_{i k} \\
& =\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i j^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{z}_{i} \gamma_{j^{\prime}}+\epsilon_{i j^{\prime}}  \tag{2}\\
& =\lambda_{i j^{\prime}}+\epsilon_{i j^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j^{\prime}=j$ if $j<k$ and $j^{\prime}=j-1$ if $j>k$, so that $j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, J-1$. One can now work with the $(J-1) \times(J-1)$ covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(k)}$ for $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\epsilon_{i 1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{i, J-1}\right)$. The $k$ th alternative here is the basealternative() in asmprobit. From (2), the probability that decision maker $i$ chooses alternative $k$, for example, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}(i \text { chooses } k) & =\operatorname{Pr}\left(v_{i 1 k} \leq 0, \ldots, v_{i, J-1, k} \leq 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\epsilon_{i 1} \leq-\lambda_{i 1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{i, J-1} \leq-\lambda_{i, J-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To normalize for scale, one of the diagonal elements of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(k)}$ must be fixed to a constant. In asmprobit, this is the error variance for the alternative specified by scalealternative(). Thus there are a total of, at most, $J(J-1) / 2-1$ identifiable variance-covariance parameters. See Variance structures below for more on this issue.

In fact, the model is slightly more general in that not all cases need to have faced all $J$ alternatives. The model allows for situations in which some cases chose among all possible alternatives, whereas other cases were given a choice among a subset of them, and perhaps other cases were given a choice among a different subset. The number of observations for each case is equal to the number of alternatives faced.

The MNP model is often motivated using a random-utility consumer-choice framework. Equation (1) represents the utility that consumer $i$ receives from good $j$. The consumer purchases the good for which the utility is highest. Because utility is ordinal, all that matters is the ranking of the utilities from the alternatives. Thus one must normalize for location and scale.

## $>$ Example 1

Application of MNP models is common in the analysis of transportation data. Greene (2018, ex. 18.3, 839) uses travel-mode choice data between Sydney and Melbourne to demonstrate estimating parameters of various discrete choice models. The data contain information on 210 individuals' choices of travel mode. The four alternatives are air, train, bus, and car, with indices $1,2,3$, and 4 , respectively. One alternative-specific variable is travelcost, a measure of generalized cost of travel that is equal to the sum of in-vehicle cost and a wagelike measure times the amount of time spent traveling. A second alternative-specific variable is the terminal time, termtime, which is zero for car transportation. Household income, income, is a case-specific variable.
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r15/travel
. list id mode choice travelcost termtime income in $1 / 12$, sepby(id)

|  | id | mode | choice | travel ~t | termtime | income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1 | air | 0 | 70 | 69 | 35 |
| 2. | 1 | train | 0 | 71 | 34 | 35 |
| 3. | 1 | bus | 0 | 70 | 35 | 35 |
| 4. | 1 | car | 1 | 30 | 0 | 35 |
| 5. | 2 | air | 0 | 68 | 64 | 30 |
| 6. | 2 | train | 0 | 84 | 44 | 30 |
| 7. | 2 | bus | 0 | 85 | 53 | 30 |
| 8. | 2 | car | 1 | 50 | 0 | 30 |
| 9. | 3 | air | 0 | 129 | 69 | 40 |
| 10. | 3 | train | 0 | 195 | 34 | 40 |
| 11. | 3 | bus | 0 | 149 | 35 | 40 |
| 12. | 3 | car | 1 | 101 | 0 | 40 |

The model of travel choice is

$$
\eta_{i j}=\beta_{1} \text { travelcost }_{i j}+\beta_{2} \text { termtime }_{i j}+\alpha_{1 j} \text { income }_{i}+\alpha_{0 j}+\xi_{i j}
$$

The alternatives can be grouped as air and ground travel. With this in mind, we set the air alternative to be the basealternative() and choose train as the scaling alternative. Because these are the first and second alternatives in the mode variable, they are also the defaults.

| Alternative-specific multinomial probit | Number of obs | = | 840 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case variable: id | Number of cases | = | 210 |
| Alternative variable: mode | Alts per case: $\begin{aligned} & \min \\ & \text { avg } \\ & \text { max }\end{aligned}$ | = | 4 |
|  |  |  | 4.0 |
|  |  | = | 4 |
| Integration sequence: Hammersley |  |  |  |
| Integration points: 200 | Wald chi2(5) | = | 32.05 |
| Log simulated-likelihood $=-190.09418$ | Prob > chi2 | = | 0.0000 |


| choice | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Con | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mode <br> travelcost termtime | $\begin{array}{r} -.00977 \\ -.0377095 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0027834 \\ & .0094088 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.51 \\ & -4.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0152253 \\ & -.0561504 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0043146 \\ & -.0192686 \end{aligned}$ |
| air | (base alternative) |  |  |  |  |  |
| train | $\begin{array}{r} -.0291971 \\ .5616376 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0089246 \\ & .3946551 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.27 \\ 1.42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.001 \\ & 0.155 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.046689 \\ -.2118721 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.0117052 \\ 1.335147 \end{array}$ |
| income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _cons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bus | $\begin{aligned} & -.0127503 \\ & -.0571364 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0079267 \\ & .4791861 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.61 \\ & -0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.108 \\ & 0.905 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0282863 \\ & -.9963239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0027857 \\ .882051 \end{array}$ |
| income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _cons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| car | $\begin{aligned} & -.0049086 \\ & -1.833393 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0077486 \\ & .8186156 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.63 \\ & -2.24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.526 \\ & 0.025 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.0200957 \\ -3.43785 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0102784 \\ -.2289357 \end{array}$ |
| income _cons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { /lnl2_2 } \\ & \text { /lnl3_3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.5502039 \\ & -.6005552 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3905204 \\ & .3353292 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.41 \\ & -1.79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.159 \\ & 0.073 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.31561 \\ -1.257788 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .2152021 \\ & .0566779 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /12_1 | 1.131518 | . 2124817 | 5.33 | 0.000 | . 7150612 | 1.547974 |
| /13_1 | $\begin{aligned} & .9720669 \\ & .5197214 \end{aligned}$ | . 2352116 | 4.13 | 0.000 | $\begin{array}{r} .5110606 \\ -.0411325 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.433073 \\ & 1.080575 \end{aligned}$ |
| /13_2 |  | . 2861552 | 1.82 | 0.069 |  |  |

(mode=air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=train is the alternative normalizing scale)
. estimates store full
By default, the differenced covariance parameterization is used, so the covariance matrix for this model is $3 \times 3$. There are two free variances to estimate and three correlations. To help ensure that the covariance matrix remains positive definite, asmprobit uses the square root transformation, where it optimizes on the Cholesky-factored variance-covariance. To ensure that the diagonal elements of the Cholesky estimates remain positive, we use the log transformation. The estimates labeled /lnl2_2 and /lnl3_3 in the coefficient table are the log-transformed diagonal elements of the Cholesky matrix. The estimates labeled /12_1, /13_1, and /13_2 are the off-diagonal entries for elements $(2,1),(3,1)$, and $(3,2)$ of the Cholesky matrix.

Although the transformed parameters of the differenced covariance parameterization are difficult to interpret, you can view them untransformed by using the estat command. Typing
. estat correlation

|  | train | bus | car |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| train | 1.0000 |  |  |
| bus | 0.8909 | 1.0000 |  |
| car | 0.7895 | 0.8951 | 1.0000 |

Note: Correlations are for alternatives differenced with air.
gives the correlations, and typing
. estat covariance

|  | train | bus | car |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| train | 2 |  |  |
| bus | 1.600208 | 1.613068 |  |
| car | 1.37471 | 1.399703 | 1.515884 |

Note: Covariances are for alternatives differenced with air. gives the (co)variances.

We can reduce the number of covariance parameters in the model by using the factor model by Cameron and Trivedi (2005). For large models with many alternatives, the parameter reduction can be dramatic, but for our example we will use factor(1), a one-dimension factor model, to reduce by 3 the number of parameters associated with the covariance matrix.

```
. asmprobit choice travelcost termtime, case(id) alternatives(mode)
> casevars(income) factor(1)
```

    (output omitted)
    | Alternative-specific multinomial probit | Number of obs | $=$ | 840 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Case variable: id | Number of cases | $=$ | 210 |
| Alternative variable: mode | Alts per case: min | $=$ | 4 |
|  |  | avg | $=$ |
|  |  | max | $=$ |


| Integration sequence: | Hammersley |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Integration points: | 200 | Wald chi2(5) | $=$ | 107.85 |
| log |  |  |  |  |


| choice | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Con | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mode |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| travelcost | -. 0093696 | . 0036329 | -2.58 | 0.010 | -. 01649 | -. 0022492 |
| termtime | -. 0593173 | . 0064585 | -9.18 | 0.000 | -. 0719757 | -. 0466589 |
| air | (base alternative) |  |  |  |  |  |
| train | $\begin{array}{r} -.0373511 \\ .1092322 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0098219 \\ & .3949529 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.80 \\ 0.28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 0.782 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0566018 \\ & -.6648613 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.0181004 \\ .8833257 \end{array}$ |
| income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _cons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bus | $\begin{aligned} & -.0158793 \\ & -1.082181 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0112239 \\ & .4678732 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.41 \\ & -2.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.157 \\ & 0.021 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0378777 \\ & -1.999196 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0061191 \\ -.1651666 \end{array}$ |
| income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _cons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| car | $\begin{array}{r} .0042677 \\ -3.765445 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0092601 \\ & .5540636 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.46 \\ -6.80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.645 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0138817 \\ & -4.851389 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0224171 \\ -2.6795 \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _cons |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /c1_2$/ c 1 \_3$ | 1.182805 | $\begin{aligned} & .3060299 \\ & .3401237 \end{aligned}$ | 3.86 | 0.000 | . 5829972 | 1.782612 |
|  | 1.227705 |  | 3.61 | 0.000 | . 5610747 | 1.894335 |

(mode=air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=train is the alternative normalizing scale)
The estimates labeled /c1_2 and /c1_3 in the coefficient table are the factor loadings. These factor loadings produce the following differenced covariance estimates:
. estat covariance

|  | train | bus | car |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| train | 2 |  |  |
| bus | 1.182805 | 2.399027 |  |
| car | 1.227705 | 1.452135 | 2.507259 |

Note: Covariances are for alternatives differenced with air.

## Variance structures

The matrix $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ has $J(J+1) / 2$ distinct elements because it is symmetric. Selecting a base alternative, normalizing its error variance to one, and constraining the correlations between its error and the other errors reduces the number of estimable parameters by $J$. Moreover, selecting a scale alternative and normalizing its error variance to one reduces the number by one, as well. Hence, there are at most $m=J(J-1) / 2-1$ estimable parameters in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$.

In practice, estimating all $m$ parameters can be difficult, so one must often place more restrictions on the parameters. The asmprobit command provides the correlation() option to specify restrictions on the $J(J-3) / 2+1$ correlation parameters not already restricted as a result of choosing the base alternatives, and it provides stddev() to specify restrictions on the $J-2$ standard deviations not already restricted as a result of choosing the base and scale alternatives.

When the structural option is used, asmprobit fits the model by assuming that all $m$ parameters can be estimated, which is equivalent to specifying correlation(unstructured) and stddev(heteroskedastic). The unstructured correlation structure means that all $J(J-3) / 2+1$ of the remaining correlation parameters will be estimated, and the heteroskedastic specification means that all $J-2$ standard deviations will be estimated. With these default settings, the log likelihood is maximized with respect to the Cholesky decomposition of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$, and then the parameters are transformed to the standard deviation and correlation form.

The correlation (exchangeable) option forces the $J(J-3) / 2+1$ correlation parameters to be equal, and correlation(independent) forces all the correlations to be zero. Using the stddev (homoskedastic) option forces all $J$ standard deviations to be one. These options may help in obtaining convergence for a model if the default options do not produce satisfactory results. In fact, when fitting a complex model, it may be advantageous to first fit a simple one and then proceed with removing the restrictions one at a time.

Advanced users may wish to specify alternative variance structures of their own choosing, and the next few paragraphs explain how to do so.
correlation(pattern matname) allows you to give the name of a $J \times J$ matrix that identifies a correlation structure. Sequential positive integers starting at 1 are used to identify each correlation parameter: if there are three correlation parameters, they are identified by 1,2 , and 3 . The integers can be repeated to indicate that correlations with the same number should be constrained to be equal. A zero or a missing value (.) indicates that the correlation is to be set to zero. asmprobit considers only the elements of the matrix below the main diagonal.

Suppose that you have a model with four alternatives, numbered $1-4$, and alternative 1 is the base. The unstructured and exchangeable correlation structures identified in the $4 \times 4$ lower triangular matrices are

| unstructured |  |  |  |  | exchangeable |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 3 4 | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { d } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ | 1 | 3 | . | 1 2 3 4 | $\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { b } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ |  |  | 1 |  |

asmprobit labels these correlation structures unstructured and exchangeable, even though the correlations corresponding to the base alternative are set to zero. More formally: these terms are appropriate when considering the $(J-1) \times(J-1)$ submatrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(k)}$ defined in the Introduction above.

You can also use the correlation(fixed matname) option to specify a matrix that specifies fixed and free parameters. Here the free parameters (those that are to be estimated) are identified by a missing value, and nonmissing values represent correlations that are to be taken as given. Below is a correlation structure that would set the correlations of alternative 1 to be 0.5 :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\\
\\
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4
\end{gathered}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
0.5 & \cdot & & \\
0.5 & \cdot & \cdot & \\
0.5 & \cdot & \cdot & .
\end{array}\right)
$$

The order of the elements of the pattern or fixed matrices must be the same as the numeric order of the alternative levels.

To specify the structure of the standard deviations-the diagonal elements of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$-you can use the stddev (pattern matname) option, where matname is a $1 \times J$ matrix. Sequential positive integers starting at 1 are used to identify each standard deviation parameter. The integers can be repeated to indicate that standard deviations with the same number are to be constrained to be equal. A missing value indicates that the corresponding standard deviation is to be set to one. In the four-alternative example mentioned above, suppose that you wish to set the first and second standard deviations to one and that you wish to constrain the third and fourth standard deviations to be equal; the following pattern matrix will do that:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c} 
\\
1
\end{array} \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
(\cdot & \cdot & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using the stddev (fixed matname) option allows you to identify the fixed and free standard deviations. Fixed standard deviations are entered as positive real numbers, and free parameters are identified with missing values. For example, to constrain the first and second standard deviations to equal one and to allow the third and fourth to be estimated, you would use this fixed matrix:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lllll} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & (1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot
\end{array}\right)
$$

When supplying either the pattern or the fixed matrices, you must ensure that the model is properly scaled. At least two standard deviations must be constant for the model to be scaled. A warning is issued if asmprobit detects that the model is not scaled.

The order of the elements of the pattern or fixed matrices must be the same as the numeric order of the alternative levels.

## > Example 2

In example 1, we used the differenced covariance parameterization, the default. We now use the structural option to view the $J-2$ standard deviation estimates and the $(J-1)(J-2) / 2$ correlation estimates. Here we will fix the standard deviations for the air and train alternatives to 1 and the correlations between air and the rest of the alternatives to 0 .


| choice | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Con | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mode travelcost termtime | $\begin{aligned} & -.0097703 \\ & -.0377103 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0027834 \\ & .0094092 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.51 \\ & -4.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.0152257 \\ -.056152 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0043149 \\ & -.0192687 \end{aligned}$ |
| air | (base alternative) |  |  |  |  |  |
| train |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income | -. 0291975 | . 0089246 | -3.27 | 0.001 | -. 0466895 | -. 0117055 |
| _cons | . 5616448 | . 3946529 | 1.42 | 0.155 | -. 2118607 | 1.33515 |
| bus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income | -. 01275 | . 0079266 | -1.61 | 0.108 | -. 0282858 | . 0027858 |
| _cons | -. 0571664 | . 4791996 | -0.12 | 0.905 | -. 9963803 | . 8820476 |
| car |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income | -. 0049085 | . 0077486 | -0.63 | 0.526 | -. 0200955 | . 0102785 |
| _cons | -1.833444 | . 8186343 | -2.24 | 0.025 | -3.437938 | -. 22895 |
| /lnsigma3 | -. 2447428 | . 4953363 | -0.49 | 0.621 | -1.215584 | . 7260985 |
| /lnsigma4 | -. 3309429 | . 6494493 | -0.51 | 0.610 | -1.60384 | . 9419543 |
| /atanhr3_2 | 1.01193 | . 3890994 | 2.60 | 0.009 | . 249309 | 1.774551 |
| /atanhr4_2 | . 5786576 | . 3940461 | 1.47 | 0.142 | -. 1936586 | 1.350974 |
| /atanhr4_3 | . 8885204 | . 5600561 | 1.59 | 0.113 | -. 2091693 | 1.98621 |
| sigma1 |  | (base alternative) |  |  |  |  |
| sigma2 | 1 | (scale alternative) |  |  |  |  |
| sigma3 | . 7829059 | . 3878017 |  |  | . 2965368 | 2.067 |
| sigma4 | . 7182462 | . 4664645 |  |  | . 2011227 | 2.564989 |
| rho3_2 | . 766559 | . 1604596 |  |  | . 244269 | . 9441061 |
| rho4_2 | . 5216891 | . 2868027 |  |  | -. 1912734 | . 874283 |
| rho4_3 | . 7106622 | . 277205 |  |  | -. 2061713 | . 9630403 |

(mode=air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=train is the alternative normalizing scale)
When comparing this output to that of example 1 , we see that we have achieved the same log likelihood. That is, the structural parameterization using air as the base alternative and train as the scale alternative applied no restrictions on the model. This will not always be the case. We leave it up to you to try different base and scale alternatives, and you will see that not all the different combinations will achieve the same log likelihood. This is not true for the differenced covariance parameterization: it will always achieve the same log likelihood (and the maximum possible likelihood) regardless of the base and scale alternatives. This is why it is the default parameterization.

For an exercise, we can compute the differenced covariance displayed in example 1 by using the following ado-code.

```
. estat covariance
\begin{tabular}{|r|rrrr|}
\hline & air & train & bus & car \\
\hline air & 1 & & & \\
train & 0 & 1 & & \\
bus & 0 & .6001436 & .6129416 & \\
car & 0 & .3747012 & .399619 & .5158776 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
. return list
matrices:
            r(cov) : 4 x 4
. matrix cov = r(cov)
. matrix M = (1,-1,0,0 \ 1,0,-1,0 \ 1,0,0,-1)
. matrix cov1 = M*cov*M'
. matrix list cov1
symmetric cov1[3,3]
    r1 r2 r3
r1 rrr (r)
r3 1.3747012 1.399619 1.5158776
```

The slight difference in the regression coefficients between the example 1 and example 2 coefficient tables reflects the accuracy of the [M-5] ghk() algorithm using 200 points from the Hammersley sequence.

We now fit the model using the exchangeable correlation matrix and compare the models with a likelihood-ratio test.


| choice | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Con | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mode <br> travelcost termtime | $\begin{aligned} & -.0084636 \\ & -.0345394 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0020452 \\ & .0072812 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4.14 \\ & -4.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.012472 \\ -.0488103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0044551 \\ & -.0202684 \end{aligned}$ |
| air | (base alternative) |  |  |  |  |  |
| train |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income | -. 0290357 | . 0083226 | -3.49 | 0.000 | -. 0453477 | -. 0127237 |
| _cons | . 5517445 | . 3719913 | 1.48 | 0.138 | -. 177345 | 1.280834 |
| bus |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income | -. 0132562 | . 0074133 | -1.79 | 0.074 | -. 0277859 | . 0012735 |
| _cons | -. 0052517 | . 4337932 | -0.01 | 0.990 | -. 8554707 | . 8449673 |
| car |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| income | -. 0060878 | . 006638 | -0.92 | 0.359 | -. 0190981 | . 0069224 |
| _cons | -1.565918 | . 6633007 | -2.36 | 0.018 | -2.865964 | -. 265873 |
| /lnsigmaP1 | -. 3557589 | . 1972809 | -1.80 | 0.071 | -. 7424222 | . 0309045 |
| /lnsigmaP2 | -1.308596 | . 8872957 | -1.47 | 0.140 | -3.047663 | . 4304719 |
| /atanhrP1 | 1.116589 | . 3765488 | 2.97 | 0.003 | . 3785667 | 1.854611 |
| sigma1 | 1 | (base alternative) <br> (scale alternative) |  |  |  |  |
| sigma2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sigma3 | . 7006416 | . 1382232 |  |  | . 4759596 | 1.031387 |
| sigma4 | . 2701992 | . 2397466 |  |  | . 0474697 | 1.537983 |
| rho3_2 | . 8063791 | . 131699 |  |  | . 3614621 | . 9521783 |
| rho4_2 | . 8063791 | . 131699 |  |  | . 3614621 | . 9521783 |
| rho4_3 | . 8063791 | . 131699 |  |  | . 3614621 | . 9521783 |

(mode=air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=train is the alternative normalizing scale)
. lrtest full .
Likelihood-ratio test $\quad$ LR chi2(2) $=0.75$
(Assumption: . nested in full) Prob > chi2 = 0.6882
The likelihood-ratio test suggests that a common correlation is a plausible hypothesis, but this could be an artifact of the small sample size. The labeling of the standard deviation and correlation estimates has changed from /lnsigma and /atanhr, in the previous example, to /lnsigmaP and /atanhrP. The " P " identifies the parameter's index in the pattern matrices used by asmprobit. The pattern matrices are stored in e(stdpattern) and e(corpattern).

## - Technical note

Another way to fit the model with the exchangeable correlation structure in example 2 is to use the constraint command to define the constraints on the rho parameters manually and then apply those.

```
. constraint 1 [atanhr3_2]_cons = [atanhr4_2]_cons
. constraint 2 [atanhr3_2]_cons = [atanhr4_3]_cons
. asmprobit choice travelcost termtime, case(id) alternatives(mode)
> casevars(income) constraints(1 2) structural
```

With this method, however, we must keep track of what parameterization of the rhos is used in estimation, and that depends on the options specified.

## > Example 3

In the last example, we used the correlation(exchangeable) option, reducing the number of correlation parameters from three to one. We can explore a two-correlation parameter model by specifying a pattern matrix in the correlation() option. Suppose that we wish to have the correlation between train and bus be equal to the correlation between bus and car and to have the standard deviations for the bus and car equations be equal. We will use air as the base category and train as the scale category.

```
. matrix define corpat = J(4, 4, .)
. matrix corpat[3,2] = 1
. matrix corpat[4,3] = 1
. matrix corpat[4,2] = 2
. matrix define stdpat = J(1, 4, .)
. matrix stdpat[1,3] = 1
. matrix stdpat[1,4] = 1
. asmprobit choice travelcost termtime, case(id) alternatives(mode)
> casevars(income) correlation(pattern corpat) stddev(pattern stdpat)
(output omitted)
```

| Alternative-specific multinomial probit | Number of obs | 840 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case variable: id | Number of cases | 210 |
| Alternative variable: mode | Alts per case: min | 4 |
|  | avg | 4.0 |
|  | max | 4 |
| Integration sequence: Hammersley |  |  |
| Integration points: 200 | Wald chi2(5) | 41.67 |
| Log simulated-likelihood = -190.12871 | Prob > chi2 | 0.0000 |


| choice | Coef . | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mode travelcost termtime | $\begin{aligned} & -.0100335 \\ & -.0385731 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0026203 \\ .008608 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.83 \\ & -4.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.000 \\ & 0.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0151692 \\ & -.0554445 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0048979 \\ & -.0217018 \end{aligned}$ |
| air | (base alternative) |  |  |  |  |  |
| train <br> income _cons | $\begin{array}{r} -.029271 \\ .56528 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0089739 \\ & .4008037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.26 \\ 1.41 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.001 \\ & 0.158 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0468595 \\ & -.2202809 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -.0116824 \\ 1.350841 \end{array}$ |
| bus <br> income _cons | $\begin{aligned} & -.0124658 \\ & -.0741685 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0080043 \\ & .4763422 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1.56 \\ & -0.16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.119 \\ & 0.876 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0281539 \\ & -1.007782 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0032223 \\ .859445 \end{array}$ |
| car <br> income _cons | $\begin{aligned} & -.0046905 \\ & -1.897931 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .0079934 \\ & .7912106 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.59 \\ & -2.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.557 \\ & 0.016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -.0203573 \\ & -3.448675 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .0109763 \\ -.3471867 \end{array}$ |
| /lnsigmaP1 | -. 197697 | . 2751269 | -0.72 | 0.472 | -. 7369359 | . 3415418 |
| /atanhrP1 <br> /atanhrP2 | $\begin{aligned} & .9704403 \\ & .5830923 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .3286981 \\ & .3690419 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.95 \\ & 1.58 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.003 \\ & 0.114 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .3262038 \\ -.1402165 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.614677 \\ & 1.306401 \end{aligned}$ |
| sigma1 <br> sigma2 <br> sigma3 <br> sigma4 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ .8206185 \\ .8206185 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (base alte } \\ & \text { (scale alt } \\ & .2257742 \\ & .2257742 \end{aligned}$ | ative) <br> native) |  | $\begin{aligned} & .4785781 \\ & .4785781 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.407115 \\ & 1.407115 \end{aligned}$ |
| rho3_2 rho4_2 <br> rho4_3 | $\begin{aligned} & .7488977 \\ & .5249094 \\ & .7488977 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .1443485 \\ & .2673598 \\ & .1443485 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} .3151056 \\ -.1393048 \\ .3151056 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .9238482 \\ .863362 \\ .9238482 \end{array}$ |

(mode=air is the alternative normalizing location)
(mode=train is the alternative normalizing scale)
In the call to asmprobit, we did not need to specify the basealternative() and scalealternative() options because they are implied by the specifications of the pattern matrices.

- Technical note

If you experience convergence problems, try specifying nopivot, increasing intpoints(), specifying antithetics, specifying technique(nr) with difficult, or specifying a switching algorithm in the technique() option. As a last resort, you can use the nrtolerance() and showtolerance options. Changing the base and scale alternative in the model specification can also affect convergence if the structural option is used.

Because simulation methods are used to obtain multivariate normal probabilities, the estimates obtained have a limited degree of precision. Moreover, the solutions are particularly sensitive to the starting values used. Experimenting with different starting values may help in obtaining convergence, and doing so is a good way to verify previous results.

If you wish to use the BHHH algorithm along with another maximization algorithm, you must specify the initbhhh(\#) option, where \# is the number of BHHH iterations to use before switching to the algorithm specified in technique (). The BHHH algorithm uses an outer-product-of-gradients approximation for the Hessian, and asmprobit must perform the gradient calculations differently than for the other algorithms.

## - Technical note

If there are no alternative-specific variables in your model, the variance-covariance matrix parameters are not identifiable. For such a model to converge, you would therefore need to use correlation(independent) and stddev(homoskedastic). A better alternative is to use mprobit, which is geared specifically toward models with only case-specific variables. See [R] mprobit.

## Stored results

asmprobit stores the following in e():
Scalars

```
e(N)
e(N_case)
e(k)
e(k_alt)
e(k_indvars)
e(k_casevars)
e(k_sigma)
e(k_rho)
e(k_eq)
e(k_eq_model)
e(df_m)
e(ll)
e(N_clust)
e(const)
e(i_base)
e(i_scale)
e(mc_points)
e(mc_burn)
e(mc_antithetics)
e(chi2)
e(p)
e(fullcov)
e(structcov)
e(cholesky)
e(alt_min)
e(alt_avg)
e(alt_max)
e(rank)
e(ic)
e(rc)
e(converged)
```

Macros

```
e(cmd)
e(cmdline)
e(depvar)
e(indvars)
e(casevars)
e(case)
e(altvar)
e(alteqs)
e(alt#)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(clustvar)
e(correlation)
e(stddev)
e(chi2type)
e(vce)
e(vcetype)
e(opt)
e(which)
e(ml_method)
e(mc_method)
e(mc_rngstate)
e(user)
e(technique)
e(datasignature)
```

number of observations
number of cases
number of parameters
number of alternatives
number of alternative-specific variables
number of case-specific variables
number of variance estimates
number of correlation estimates
number of equations in $e(b)$
number of equations in overall model test
model degrees of freedom
$\log$ simulated-likelihood
number of clusters
constant indicator
base alternative index
scale alternative index
number of Monte Carlo replications
starting sequence index
antithetics indicator
$\chi^{2}$
$p$-value for model test
unstructured covariance indicator
1 if structured covariance, 0 otherwise
Cholesky-factored covariance indicator
minimum number of alternatives
average number of alternatives
maximum number of alternatives
rank of e(V)
number of iterations
return code
1 if converged, 0 otherwise
asmprobit
command as typed
name of dependent variable
alternative-specific independent variable
case-specific variables
variable defining cases
variable defining alternatives
alternative equation names
alternative labels
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
name of cluster variable
correlation structure
variance structure
Wald, type of model $\chi^{2}$ test
vcetype specified in vce()
title used to label Std. Err.
type of optimization
$\max$ or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
type of ml method
technique used to generate sequences
random-number state used
name of likelihood-evaluator program
maximization technique
the checksum

```
e(datasignaturevars)
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(mfx_dlg)
e(predict)
e(marginsnotok)
e(asbalanced)
e(asobserved)
```

Matrices
e(b)
e(Cns)
e(stats)
e(stdpattern)
e(stdfixed)
e(altvals)
e(altfreq)
e(alt_casevars)
e(corpattern)
e(corfixed)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V)
e(V_modelbased)

Functions
e(sample)
variables used in calculation of checksum
b V
program used to implement estat
program used to implement estat mfx dialog
program used to implement predict
predictions disallowed by margins
factor variables fvset as asbalanced
factor variables fvset as asobserved
coefficient vector
constraints matrix
alternative statistics
variance pattern
fixed and free standard deviations
alternative values
alternative frequencies
indicators for estimated case-specific coefficients-e (k_alt) $\times e$ (k_casevars) correlation structure
fixed and free correlations
iteration $\log$ (up to 20 iterations)
gradient vector
variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
model-based variance
marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

The simulated maximum likelihood estimates for the MNP are obtained using ml; see $[\mathrm{R}] \mathrm{ml}$. The likelihood evaluator implements the Geweke-Hajivassiliou-Keane (GHK) algorithm to approximate the multivariate distribution function (Geweke 1989; Hajivassiliou and McFadden 1998; Keane and Wolpin 1994). The technique is also described in detail by Genz (1992), but Genz describes a more general algorithm where both lower and upper bounds of integration are finite. We briefly describe the GHK simulator and refer you to Bolduc (1999) for the score computations.

As discussed earlier, the latent variables for a $J$-alternative model are $\eta_{i j}=\mathbf{x}_{i j} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{z}_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}+\xi_{i j}$, for $j=1, \ldots, J, i=1, \ldots, n$, and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\xi_{i, 1}, \ldots, \xi_{i, \mathrm{~J}}\right) \sim \operatorname{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Omega})$. The experimenter observes alternative $k$ for the $i$ th observation if $k=\arg \max \left(\eta_{i j}, j=1, \ldots, J\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{i j^{\prime}} & =\eta_{i j}-\eta_{i k} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{x}_{i j}-\mathbf{x}_{i k}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{z}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j}-\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k}\right)+\xi_{i j}-\xi_{i k} \\
& =\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i j^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{z}_{i} \gamma_{j^{\prime}}+\epsilon_{i j^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j^{\prime}=j$ if $j<k$ and $j^{\prime}=j-1$ if $j>k$, so that $j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, J-1$. Further, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{i}=$ $\left(\epsilon_{i 1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{i, \mathrm{~J}-1}\right) \sim \operatorname{MVN}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(k)}\right) . \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is indexed by $k$ because it depends on the choice made. We denote the deterministic part of the model as $\lambda_{i j^{\prime}}=\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i j^{\prime}} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{z}_{j} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j^{\prime}}$, and the probability of this event is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i}\right. & =k)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(v_{i 1} \leq 0, \ldots, v_{i, J-1} \leq 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\epsilon_{i 1} \leq-\lambda_{i 1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{i, J-1} \leq-\lambda_{i, J-1}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& =(2 \pi)^{-(J-1) / 2}\left|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(k)}\right|^{-1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{-\lambda_{i 1}} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{-\lambda_{i, J-1}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{(k)}^{-1} \mathbf{z}\right) d \mathbf{z}
\end{align*}
$$

## Simulated likelihood

For clarity in the discussion that follows, we drop the index denoting case so that for an arbitrary observation $\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{J-1}\right), \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{J-1}\right)$, and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\prime}=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{J-1}\right)$.

The Cholesky-factored variance-covariance, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^{\prime}$, is lower triangular,

$$
\mathbf{L}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
l_{11} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
l_{21} & l_{22} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
l_{J-1,1} & l_{J-1,2} & \ldots & l_{J-1, J-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the correlated latent-variable errors can be expressed as linear functions of uncorrelated normal variates, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}=\mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\zeta}$, where $\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\prime}=\left(\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{J-1}\right)$ and $\zeta_{j} \sim \operatorname{iid} \mathrm{~N}(0,1)$. We now have $\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\zeta}$, and by defining

$$
z_{j}= \begin{cases}-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{11}} & \text { for } j=1  \tag{4}\\ -\frac{\lambda_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} l_{j i} \zeta_{i}}{l_{j j}} & \text { for } j=2, \ldots, J-1\end{cases}
$$

we can express the probability statement (3) as the product of conditional probabilities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i}=k\right) & =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\zeta_{1} \leq z_{1}\right) \operatorname{Pr}\left(\zeta_{2} \leq z_{2} \mid \zeta_{1} \leq z_{1}\right) \cdots \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(\zeta_{J-1} \leq z_{J-1} \mid \zeta_{1} \leq z_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{J-2} \leq z_{J-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(v_{1} \leq 0\right) & =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\lambda_{1}+l_{11} \zeta_{1} \leq 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\zeta_{1} \leq-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{11}}\right) \\
\operatorname{Pr}\left(v_{2} \leq 0\right) & =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\lambda_{2}+l_{21} \zeta_{1}+l_{22} \zeta_{2} \leq 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\left.\zeta_{2} \leq-\frac{\lambda_{2}+l_{21} \zeta_{1}}{l_{22}} \right\rvert\, \zeta_{1} \leq-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{11}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Monte Carlo algorithm then must make draws from the truncated standard normal distribution. It does so by generating $J-1$ uniform variates, $\delta_{j}, j=1, \ldots, J-1$, and computing

$$
\widetilde{\zeta}_{j}= \begin{cases}\Phi^{-1}\left\{\delta_{1} \Phi\left(-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l_{11}}\right)\right\} & \text { for } j=1 \\ \Phi^{-1}\left\{\delta_{j} \Phi\left(\frac{-\lambda_{j}-\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} l_{j i} \widetilde{\zeta}_{i}}{l_{j j}}\right)\right\} & \text { for } j=2, \ldots, J-1\end{cases}
$$

Define $\widetilde{z}_{j}$ by replacing $\widetilde{\zeta}_{i}$ for $\zeta_{i}$ in (4) so that the simulated probability for the $l$ th draw is

$$
p_{l}=\prod_{j=1}^{J-1} \Phi\left(\widetilde{z}_{j}\right)
$$

To increase accuracy, the bounds of integration, $\lambda_{j}$, are ordered so that the largest integration intervals are on the inside. The rows and columns of the variance-covariance matrix are pivoted accordingly (Genz 1992).

For a more detailed description of the GHK algorithm in Stata, see Gates (2006).
Repeated draws are made, say, $N$, and the simulated likelihood for the $i$ th case, denoted $\widehat{L}_{i}$, is computed as

$$
\widehat{L}_{i}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} p_{l}
$$

The overall simulated $\log$ likelihood is $\sum_{i} \log \widehat{L}_{i}$.
If the true likelihood is $L_{i}$, the error bound on the approximation can be expressed as

$$
\left|\widehat{L}_{i}-L_{i}\right| \leq V\left(L_{i}\right) D_{N}\left\{\left(\delta_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

where $V\left(L_{i}\right)$ is the total variation of $L_{i}$ and $D_{N}$ is the discrepancy, or nonuniformity, of the set of abscissas. For the uniform pseudorandom sequence, $\delta_{i}$, the discrepancy is of order $O\left\{(\log \log N / N)^{1 / 2}\right\}$. The order of discrepancy can be improved by using quasirandom sequences.

Quasi-Monte Carlo integration is carried out by asmprobit by replacing the uniform deviates with either the Halton or the Hammersley sequences. These sequences spread the points more evenly than the uniform random sequence and have a smaller order of discrepancy, $O\left[\left\{(\log N)^{J-1}\right\} / N\right]$ and $O\left[\left\{(\log N)^{J-2}\right\} / N\right]$, respectively. The Halton sequence of dimension $J-1$ is generated from the first $J-1$ primes, $p_{k}$, so that on draw $l$ we have $\mathbf{h}_{l}=\left\{r_{p_{1}}(l), r_{p_{2}}(l), \ldots, r_{p_{J-1}}(l)\right\}$, where

$$
r_{p_{k}}(l)=\sum_{j=0}^{q} b_{j k}(l) p_{k}^{-j-1} \in(0,1)
$$

is the radical inverse function of $l$ with base $p_{k}$ so that $\sum_{j=0}^{q} b_{j k}(l) p_{k}^{j}=l$, where $p_{k}^{q} \leq l<p_{k}^{q+1}$ (Fang and Wang 1994).

This function is demonstrated with base $p_{3}=5$ and $l=33$, which generates $r_{5}(33)$. Here $q=2$, $b_{0,3}(33)=3, b_{1,5}(33)=1$, and $b_{2,5}(33)=1$, so that $r_{5}(33)=3 / 5+1 / 25+1 / 625$.

The Hammersley sequence uses an evenly spaced set of points with the first $J-2$ components of the Halton sequence

$$
\mathbf{h}_{l}=\left\{\frac{2 l-1}{2 N}, r_{p_{1}}(l), r_{p_{2}}(l), \ldots, r_{p_{J-2}}(l)\right\}
$$

for $l=1, \ldots, N$.
For a more detailed description of the Halton and Hammersley sequences, see Drukker and Gates (2006).

Computations for the derivatives of the simulated likelihood are taken from Bolduc (1999). Bolduc gives the analytical first-order derivatives for the $\log$ of the simulated likelihood with respect to the regression coefficients and the parameters of the Cholesky-factored variance-covariance matrix. asmprobit uses these analytical first-order derivatives and numerical second-order derivatives.

This command supports the clustered version of the Huber/White/sandwich estimator of the variance using vce (robust) and vce(cluster clustvar). See [P] _robust, particularly Maximum likelihood estimators and Methods and formulas. Specifying vce (robust) is equivalent to specifying vce(cluster casevar), where casevar is the variable that identifies the cases.
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## Also see

[R] asmprobit postestimation - Postestimation tools for asmprobit
[R] asclogit - Alternative-specific conditional logit (McFadden's choice) model
$[\mathrm{R}]$ asmixlogit - Alternative-specific mixed logit regression
$[\mathrm{R}]$ asroprobit - Alternative-specific rank-ordered probit regression
[R] mlogit — Multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression
[R] mprobit - Multinomial probit regression
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands


[^0]:    Model
    case (varname) specifies the variable that identifies each case. This variable identifies the individuals or entities making a choice. case() is required.
    alternatives(varname) specifies the variable that identifies the alternatives for each case. The number of alternatives can vary with each case; the maximum number of alternatives is 20 . alternatives() is required.

