Title stata.com

teffects nnmatch — Nearest-neighbor matching

Description Quick start Menu Syntax

Options Remarks and examples Stored results Methods and formulas

References Also see

Description

teffects nnmatch estimates the average treatment effect (ATE) and average treatment effect on the treated (ATET) from observational data by nearest-neighbor matching (NNM). NNM estimators impute the missing potential outcome for each subject by using an average of the outcomes of similar subjects that receive the other treatment level. Similarity between subjects is based on a weighted function of the covariates for each observation. The treatment effect is computed by taking the average of the difference between the observed and imputed potential outcomes for each subject. teffects nnmatch accepts a continuous, binary, count, fractional, or nonnegative outcome.

See [TE] teffects intro or [TE] teffects intro advanced for more information about estimating treatment effects from observational data.

Quick start

```
ATE of treat on y estimated by NNM on x1 and indicators for levels of categorical variable a teffects nnmatch (y x1 i.a) (treat)
```

```
As above, but estimate the ATET
```

```
teffects nnmatch (y x1 i.a) (treat), atet
```

Add continuous covariate x2 and perform bias correction

```
teffects nnmatch (y x1 x2 i.a) (treat), biasadj(x1 x2)
```

As above, and match exactly on values of a

```
teffects nnmatch (y x1 x2 i.a) (treat), biasadj(x1 x2) ematch(i.a)
```

With robust standard errors

```
teffects nnmatch (y x1 x2 i.a) (treat), vce(robust)
```

With four matches per observation

```
teffects nnmatch (y x1 x2 i.a) (treat), nneighbor(4)
```

Menu

```
{\it Statistics} > {\it Treatment effects} > {\it Continuous outcomes} > {\it Nearest-neighbor matching}
```

 ${\it Statistics} > {\it Treatment effects} > {\it Binary outcomes} > {\it Nearest-neighbor matching}$

Statistics > Treatment effects > Count outcomes > Nearest-neighbor matching

Statistics > Treatment effects > Fractional outcomes > Nearest-neighbor matching

Statistics > Treatment effects > Nonnegative outcomes > Nearest-neighbor matching

Syntax

```
teffects nnmatch (ovar omvarlist) (tvar) \left[if\right]\left[in\right]\left[weight\right] \left[ , stat options\left]
```

ovar is a binary, count, continuous, fractional, or nonnegative outcome of interest.

omvarlist specifies the covariates in the outcome model.

tvar must contain integer values representing the treatment levels. Only two treatment levels are allowed.

stat	Description
Stat	
ate	estimate average treatment effect in population; the default
atet	estimate average treatment effect on the treated
options	Description
Model	
<pre>nneighbor(#) biasadj(varlist) ematch(varlist)</pre>	specify number of matches per observation; default is nneighbor(1) correct for large-sample bias using specified variables match exactly on specified variables
SE/Robust	
vce(<i>vcetype</i>)	vcetype may be
	<pre>vce(robust [, nn(#)]); use robust Abadie-Imbens standard errors with # matches vce(iid); use default Abadie-Imbens standard errors</pre>
Reporting	
$\underline{1}$ evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
$\underline{\mathtt{dmv}}\mathtt{ariables}$	display names of matching variables
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Advanced	
<pre>cal iper(#)</pre>	specify the maximum distance for which two observations are potential neighbors
<pre>dtolerance(#)</pre>	set maximum distance between individuals considered equal
<pre>osample(newvar)</pre>	newvar identifies observations that violate the overlap assumption
$\underline{\mathtt{con}}\mathtt{trol}(\# \mathit{label})$	specify the level of tvar that is the control
$\underline{\mathtt{tle}}\mathtt{vel}(\# \mathit{label})$	specify the level of tvar that is the treatment
generate(stub)	generate variables containing the observation numbers of the nearest neighbors
metric(metric)	select distance metric for covariates
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics

metric	Description
<u>maha</u> lanobis	inverse sample covariate covariance; the default
<u>ivar</u> iance	inverse diagonal sample covariate covariance
<u>eucl</u> idean	identity
<u>mat</u> rix <i>matname</i>	user-supplied scaling matrix

omvarlist may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

by and statsby are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

fweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

coeflegend does not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

Model

nneighbor(#) specifies the number of matches per observation. The default is nneighbor(1). Each
observation is matched with at least the specified number of observations from the other treatment
level. nneighbor() must specify an integer greater than or equal to 1 but no larger than the
number of observations in the smallest treatment group.

biasadj(*varlist*) specifies that a linear function of the specified covariates be used to correct for a large-sample bias that exists when matching on more than one continuous covariate. By default, no correction is performed.

Abadie and Imbens (2006, 2011) show that nearest-neighbor matching estimators are not consistent when matching on two or more continuous covariates and propose a bias-corrected estimator that is consistent. The correction term uses a linear function of variables specified in biasadj(); see example 3.

ematch(varlist) specifies that the variables in varlist match exactly. All variables in varlist must be numeric and may be specified as factors. teffects nnmatch exits with an error if any observations do not have the requested exact match.

Stat

stat is one of two statistics: ate or atet. ate is the default.

ate specifies that the average treatment effect be estimated.

atet specifies that the average treatment effect on the treated be estimated.

SE/Robust

vce(vcetype) specifies the standard errors that are reported. By default, teffects nnmatch uses two matches in estimating the robust standard errors.

vce(robust [, nn(#)]) specifies that robust standard errors be reported and that the requested number of matches be used optionally.

vce(iid) specifies that standard errors for independently and identically distributed data be reported.

The standard derivative-based standard-error estimators cannot be used by teffects nnmatch, because these matching estimators are not differentiable. The implemented methods were derived by Abadie and Imbens (2006, 2011, 2012); see *Methods and formulas*.

As discussed in Abadie and Imbens (2008), bootstrap estimators do not provide reliable standard errors for the estimator implemented by teffects nnmatch.

Reporting

level(#); see [R] estimation options.

dmvariables specifies that the matching variables be displayed.

display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels,
 allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt),
 sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Advanced

caliper(#) specifies the maximum distance at which two observations are a potential match. By default, all observations are potential matches regardless of how dissimilar they are.

The distance is based on *omvarlist*. If an observation does not have at least nneighbor (#) matches, teffects nnmatch exits with an error message. Use option osample (newvar) to identify all observations that are deficient in matches.

dtolerance(#) specifies the tolerance used to determine exact matches. The default value is
dtolerance(sqrt(c(epsdouble))).

Integer-valued variables are usually used for exact matching. The dtolerance() option is useful when continuous variables are used for exact matching.

osample(newvar) specifies that indicator variable newvar be created to identify observations that violate the overlap assumption. This variable will identify all observations that do not have at least nneighbor(#) matches in the opposite treatment group within caliper(#) (for metric() distance matching) or dtolerance(#) (for ematch(varlist) exact matches).

The vce(robust, nn(#)) option also requires at least # matches in the same treatment group within the distance specified by caliper(#) or within the exact matches specified by dtolerance(#).

The average treatment effect on the treated, option atet, using vce(iid) requires only nneighbor(#) control group matches for the treated group.

- control(#|label) specifies the level of tvar that is the control. The default is the first treatment level. You may specify the numeric level # (a nonnegative integer) or the label associated with the numeric level. control() and tlevel() may not specify the same treatment level.
- tlevel(#|label) specifies the level of tvar that is the treatment for the statistic atet. The default is the second treatment level. You may specify the numeric level # (a nonnegative integer) or the label associated with the numeric level. tlevel() may only be specified with statistic atet. tlevel() and control() may not specify the same treatment level.
- generate(stub) specifies that the observation numbers of the nearest neighbors be stored in the new variables stub1, stub2, This option is required if you wish to perform postestimation based on the matching results. The number of variables generated may be more than nneighbors(#) because of tied distances. These variables may not already exist.
- metric(*metric*) specifies the distance matrix used as the weight matrix in a quadratic form that transforms the multiple distances into a single distance measure; see *Nearest-neighbor matching estimator* in *Methods and formulas* for details.

The following option is available with teffects nnmatch but is not shown in the dialog box: coeflegend; see [R] estimation options.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

The NNM method of treatment-effect estimation imputes the missing potential outcome for each individual by using an average of the outcomes of similar subjects that receive the other treatment level. Similarity between subjects is based on a weighted function of the covariates for each observation. The average treatment effect (ATE) is computed by taking the average of the difference between the observed and potential outcomes for each subject.

teffects nnmatch determines the "nearest" by using a weighted function of the covariates for each observation. By default, the Mahalanobis distance is used, in which the weights are based on the inverse of the covariates' variance-covariance matrix. teffects nnmatch also allows you to request exact matching for categorical covariates. For example, you may want to force all matches to be of the same gender or race.

NNM is nonparametric in that no explicit functional form for either the outcome model or the treatment model is specified. This flexibility comes at a price; the estimator needs more data to get to the true value than an estimator that imposes a functional form. More formally, the NNM estimator converges to the true value at a rate slower than the parametric rate, which is the square root of the sample size, when matching on more than one continuous covariate, teffects nnmatch uses bias correction to fix this problem. teffects psmatch implements an alternative to bias correction; the method matches on a single continuous covariate, the estimated treatment probabilities. See [TE] teffects intro or [TE] teffects intro advanced for more information about this estimator.

We will illustrate the use of teffects nnmatch by using data from a study of the effect of a mother's smoking status during pregnancy (mbsmoke) on infant birthweight (bweight) as reported by Cattaneo (2010). This dataset also contains information about each mother's age (mage), education level (medu), marital status (mmarried), whether the first prenatal exam occurred in the first trimester (prenatal1), whether this baby was the mother's first birth (fbaby), and the father's age (fage).

Example 1: Estimating the ATE

We begin by using teffects nnmatch to estimate the average treatment effect of mbsmoke on bweight. Subjects are matched using the Mahalanobis distance defined by covariates mage, prenatal1, mmarried, and fbaby.

. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/cattaneo3 (Excerpt from Cattaneo (2010) Journal of Econometrics 155: 138-154)

. teffects nnmatch (bweight mage prenatal1 mmarried fbaby) (mbsmoke)

4,642 Treatment-effects estimation Number of obs : nearest-neighbor matching Matches: requested = 1 1 Outcome model : matching min = Distance metric: Mahalanobis 139 max =

bweight	Coef.	AI Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ATE mbsmoke (smoker vs nonsmoker)	-240.3306	28.43006	-8.45	0.000	-296.0525	-184.6087

The average birthweight if all mothers were to smoke would be 240 grams less than the average that would occur if none of the mothers had smoked.

When the model includes indicator and categorical variables, you may want to restrict matches to only those subjects who are in the same category. The ematch() option of teffects nnmatch allows you to specify such variables that must match exactly.

Example 2: Exact matching

Here we use the ematch() option to require exact matches on the binary variables prenatal1, mmarried, and fbaby. We also use Euclidean distance, rather than the default Mahalanobis distance, to match on the continuous variable mage, which uses Euclidean distance.

- . teffects nnmatch (bweight mage) (mbsmoke),
- > ematch(prenatal1 mmarried fbaby) metric(euclidean)

, omenon (brone	audii mmaiiio	r rbaby, moo.	10(0401	i acair,		
Treatment-effects estimation Estimator : nearest-neighbor matching Outcome model : matching Distance metric: Euclidean			Number o	f obs = requested = min = max =	4,642 1 1 139	
bweight	Coef.	AI Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ATE mbsmoke (smoker vs nonsmoker)	-240.3306	28.43006	-8.45	0.000	-296.0525	-184.6087

4

Abadie and Imbens (2006, 2011) have shown that nearest-neighbor matching estimators are not consistent when matching on two or more continuous covariates. A bias-corrected estimator that uses a linear function of variables can be specified with biasadj().

Example 3: Bias adjustment

vs

nonsmoker)

Here we match on two continuous variables, mage and fage, and we use the bias-adjusted estimator:

- . teffects nnmatch (bweight mage fage) (mbsmoke),
- > ematch(prenatal1 mmarried fbaby) biasadj(mage fage)

Treatment-effe Estimator Outcome model	Number of Matches:	f obs = requested = min =	4,642 1 1			
Distance metr	U	is			max =	25
bweight	Coef.	AI Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
ATE mbsmoke (smoker						

-8.54

0.000

-275.1894

-172.4883

26.19973

These results are similar to those reported in example 1.

-223.8389

Example 4: NNM can reduce to RA

NNM reduces to RA when matching exactly and all the covariates are discrete. We begin our illustration of this point by estimating the ATE by NNM using exact matching on mmarried and the mother's age-categories magecat.

. teffects nnmatch (bweight) (mbsmoke), ematch(i.mmarried i.magecat)

Treatment-effec	ts estimation	Number of obs	=	4,642
Estimator	: nearest-neighbor matching	Matches: requested	=	1
Outcome model	: matching	min	=	11
Distance metric	: Mahalanobis	max	=	1310

1310	max -	Distance metric. Manaranopis				
Interval]	[95% Conf.	P> z	z	AI Robust Std. Err.	Coef.	bweight
-193.71	-289.3429	0.000	-9.90	24.39661	-241.5264	ATE mbsmoke (smoker vs nonsmoker)

The RA estimator that includes the interactions among the discrete covariates produces the same point estimate.

. teffects ra (bweight i.mmarried##i.magecat) (mbsmoke)

EE criterion = 1.523e-23 Iteration 0: EE criterion = 7.899e-26Iteration 1:

Treatment-effects estimation Number of obs 4,642

Estimator : regression adjustment

Outcome model : linear Treatment model: none

bweight	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ATE mbsmoke (smoker vs nonsmoker)	-241.5264	24.26233	-9.95	0.000	-289.0797	-193.9732
POmean mbsmoke nonsmoker	3403.651	9.492683	358.56	0.000	3385.046	3422.256

The two estimates of the ATE are the same. The standard errors differ in finite samples because the RA and NNM estimators use different robust estimators of the variance of the estimator.

With exact matching on discrete covariates, the NNM estimator reduces to an average of differences in cell means. With fully interacted discrete covariates, the RA estimator reduces to the same average of difference in cell means.

Video example

Treatment effects in Stata: Nearest-neighbor matching

Stored results

teffects nnmatch stores the following in e():

```
Scalars
    e(N)
                               number of observations
                               number of observations for treatment level i
    e(ni)
    e(k_levels)
                               number of levels in treatment variable
                               level of treatment variable defined as treated
    e(treated)
    e(control)
                               level of treatment variable defined as control
    e(k_nneighbor)
                               requested number of matches
    e(k_nnmin)
                               minimum number of matches
    e(k_nnmax)
                               maximum number of matches
    e(k_robust)
                               matches for robust VCE
Macros
    e(cmd)
                               teffects
    e(cmdline)
                               command as typed
    e(depvar)
                               name of outcome variable
    e(tvar)
                               name of treatment variable
    e(emvarlist)
                               exact match variables
    e(bavarlist)
                               variables used in bias adjustment
    e(mvarlist)
                               match variables
    e(subcmd)
                               nnmatch
    e(metric)
                               mahalanobis, ivariance, euclidean, or matrix matname
    e(stat)
                               statistic estimated, ate or atet
    e(wtype)
                               weight type
                               weight expression
    e(wexp)
    e(title)
                               title in estimation output
    e(tlevels)
                               levels of treatment variable
    e(vce)
                               vcetype specified in vce()
                               title used to label Std. Err.
    e(vcetype)
    e(datasignature)
                               the checksum
    e(datasignaturevars)
                               variables used in calculation of checksum
    e(properties)
    e(estat_cmd)
                               program used to implement estat
    e(predict)
                               program used to implement predict
    e(marginsnotok)
                               predictions disallowed by margins
Matrices
    e(b)
                               coefficient vector
    e(V)
                               variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
Functions
    e(sample)
                               marks estimation sample
```

Methods and formulas

The methods and formulas presented here provide the technical details underlying the estimators implemented in teffects nnmatch and teffects psmatch. See *Methods and formulas* of [TE] teffects aipw for the methods and formulas used by teffects aipw, teffects ipw, teffects ipwra, and teffects ra.

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

Nearest-neighbor matching estimator Bias-corrected matching estimator Propensity-score matching estimator PSM, ATE, and ATET variance adjustment

Nearest-neighbor matching estimator

teffects nnmatch implements the nearest-neighbor matching (NNM) estimator for the average treatment effect (ATE) and the average treatment effect on the treated (ATET). This estimator was derived by Abadie and Imbens (2006, 2011) and was previously implemented in Stata as discussed in Abadie et al. (2004).

teffects psmatch implements nearest-neighbor matching on an estimated propensity score. A propensity score is a conditional probability of treatment. The standard errors implemented in teffects psmatch were derived by Abadie and Imbens (2012).

teffects nnmatch and teffects psmatch permit two treatment levels: the treatment group with t=1 and a control group with t=0.

Matching estimators are based on the potential-outcome model, in which each individual has a well-defined outcome for each treatment level; see [TE] teffects intro. In the binary-treatment potential-outcome model, y_1 is the potential outcome obtained by an individual if given treatmentlevel 1 and y_0 is the potential outcome obtained by each individual i if given treatment-level 0. The problem posed by the potential-outcome model is that only y_{1i} or y_{0i} is observed, never both. y_{0i} and y_{1i} are realizations of the random variables y_0 and y_1 . Throughout this document, i subscripts denote realizations of the corresponding, unsubscripted random variables.

Formally, the ATE is

$$\tau_1 = E(y_1 - y_0)$$

and the ATET is

$$\delta_1 = E(y_1 - y_0|t=1)$$

These expressions imply that we must have some solution to the missing-data problem that arises because we only observe either y_{1i} or y_{0i} , not both.

For each individual, NNM uses an average of the individuals that are most similar, but get the other treatment level, to predict the unobserved potential outcome. NNM uses the covariates $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p\}$ to find the most similar individuals that get the other treatment level.

More formally, consider the vector of covariates $\mathbf{x}_i = \{x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,p}\}$ and frequency weight w_i for observation i. The distance between \mathbf{x}_i and \mathbf{x}_i is parameterized by the vector norm

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)'\mathbf{S}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)\}^{1/2}$$

where S is a given symmetric, positive-definite matrix.

Using this distance definition, we find that the set of nearest-neighbor indices for observation i is

$$\Omega_m^{\mathbf{x}}(i) = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{m_i} \mid t_{j_k} = 1 - t_i, \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{j_k}\|_S < \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_l\|_S, \ t_l = 1 - t_i, \ l \neq j_k\}$$

Here m_i is the smallest number such that the number of elements in each set, $m_i = |\Omega_m^{\mathbf{x}}(i)| =$ $\sum_{j\in\Omega_m^{\mathbf{x}}(i)}w_j$, is at least m, the desired number of matches. You set the size of m using the nneighbors (#) option. The number of matches for the ith observation may not equal m because of ties or if there are not enough observations with a distance from observation i within the caliper limit, c, $\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|_S \leq c$. You may set the caliper limit by using the caliper (#) option. For ease of notation, we will use the abbreviation $\Omega(i) = \Omega_m^{\mathbf{x}}(i)$.

With the metric(string) option, you have three choices for the scaling matrix S: Mahalanobis, inverse variance, or Euclidean.

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}' \mathbf{1}_n)' \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}' \mathbf{1}_n)}{\sum_i^n w_i - 1} & \text{if metric} = \mathtt{mahalanobis} \\ \operatorname{diag} \left\{ \frac{(\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}' \mathbf{1}_n)' \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{X} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}' \mathbf{1}_n)}{\sum_i^n w_i - 1} \right\} & \text{if metric} = \mathtt{ivariance} \\ \mathbf{I}_p & \text{if metric} = \mathtt{euclidean} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{1}_n$ is an $n \times 1$ vector of ones, \mathbf{I}_p is the identity matrix of order p, $\overline{\mathbf{x}} = (\sum_i^n w_i \mathbf{x}_i)/(\sum_i^n w_i)$, and \mathbf{W} is an $n \times n$ diagonal matrix containing frequency weights.

The NNM method predicting the potential outcome for the ith observation as a function of the observed y_i is

$$\widehat{y}_{ti} = \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } t_i = t \\ \sum\limits_{j \in \Omega(i)} w_j y_j \\ \sum\limits_{j \in \Omega(i)} w_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $t \in \{0, 1\}$.

We are now set to provide formulas for estimates $\hat{\tau}_1$, the ATE, and $\hat{\delta}_1$, the ATET,

$$\widehat{\tau}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}(\widehat{y}_{1i} - \widehat{y}_{0i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}(2t_{i} - 1)\{1 + K_{m}(i)\}y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}}$$

$$\widehat{\delta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}w_{i}(\widehat{y}_{1i} - \widehat{y}_{0i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}w_{i}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{t_{i} - (1 - t_{i})K_{m}(i)\}y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}w_{i}}$$

where

$$K_m(i) = \sum_{j=1}^n I\{i \in \Omega(j)\} \frac{w_j}{\sum_{k \in \Omega(j)} w_k}$$

The estimated variance of $\hat{\tau}_1$ and $\hat{\delta}_1$ are computed as

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\sigma}_{\tau}^{2} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \left[(\widehat{y}_{1i} - \widehat{y}_{0i} - \widehat{\tau}_{1})^{2} + \widehat{\xi}_{i}^{2} \{K_{m}^{2}(i) + 2K_{m}(i) - K_{m}'(i)\} \right]}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{\delta}^{2} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} w_{i} \left[(\widehat{y}_{1i} - \widehat{y}_{0i} - \widehat{\delta}_{1})^{2} + \widehat{\xi}_{i}^{2} \{K_{m}^{2}(i) - K_{m}'(i)\} \right]}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} w_{i}\right)^{2}} \end{split}$$

where

$$K'_{m}(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} I\{i \in \Omega(j)\} \frac{w_{j}}{\left(\sum_{k \in \Omega(j)} w_{k}\right)^{2}}$$

and $\xi_i^2 = \text{var}(y_{ti}|\mathbf{x}_i)$ is the conditional outcome variance. If we can assume that ξ_i^2 does not vary with the covariates or treatment (homoskedastic), then we can compute an ATE estimate of ξ_τ^2 as

$$\widehat{\xi}_{\tau}^{2} = \frac{1}{2\sum_{i}^{n} w_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \left[\frac{\sum_{j \in \Omega(i)} w_{j} \{y_{i} - y_{j}(1 - t_{i}) - \widehat{\tau}_{1}\}^{2}}{\sum_{j \in \Omega(i)} w_{j}} \right]$$

and an ATET estimate of ξ_{δ}^2 as

$$\widehat{\xi}_{\delta}^{2} = \frac{1}{2\sum_{i}^{n} t_{i} w_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} w_{i} \left[\frac{\sum_{j \in \Omega(i)} t_{j} w_{j} \{y_{i} - y_{j} (1 - t_{i}) - \widehat{\delta}_{1}\}^{2}}{\sum_{j \in \Omega(i)} t_{j} w_{j}} \right]$$

If the conditional outcome variance is dependent on the covariates or treatment, we require an estimate for ξ_i^2 at each observation. In this case, we require a second matching procedure, where we match on observations within the same treatment group.

Define the within-treatment matching set

$$\Psi_h^{\mathbf{x}}(i) = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{h_i} \mid t_{j_k} = t_i, \ \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{j_k}\|_S < \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_l\|_S, \ t_l = t_i, \ l \neq j_k\}$$

where h is the desired set size. As before, the number of elements in each set, $h_i = |\Psi_h^{\mathbf{x}}(i)|$, may vary depending on ties and the value of the caliper. You set h using the vce(robust, nn(#)) option. As before, we will use the abbreviation $\Psi(i) = \Psi_h^{\mathbf{x}}(i)$ where convenient.

We estimate ξ_i^2 by

$$\widehat{\xi}_{t_i}^2(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{j \in \Psi(i)} w_j (y_j - \overline{y}_{\Psi i})^2}{\displaystyle\sum_{j \in \Psi(i)} w_j - 1} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \overline{y}_{\Psi i} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{j \in \Psi(i)} w_j y_j}{\displaystyle\sum_{j \in \Psi(i)} w_j - 1}$$

Bias-corrected matching estimator

When matching on more than one continuous covariate, the matching estimator described above is biased, even in infinitely large samples; in other words, it is not \sqrt{n} -consistent; see Abadie and Imbens (2006, 2011). Following Abadie and Imbens (2011) and Abadie et al. (2004), teffects nnmatch makes an adjustment based on the regression functions $\mu_t(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) = E(y_t \mid \widetilde{\mathbf{x}} = \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_i)$, for t=0,1 and the set of covariates $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_i=(\widetilde{x}_{i,1},\ldots,\widetilde{x}_{i,q})$. The bias-correction covariates may be the same as the NNM covariates \mathbf{x}_i . We denote the least-squares estimates as $\widehat{\mu}_t(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) = \widehat{\nu}_t + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_t'\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$, where we regress $\{y_i \mid t_i = t\}$ onto $\{\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_i \mid t_i = t\}$ with weights $w_i K_m(i)$, for t = 0, 1.

Given the estimated regression functions, the bias-corrected predictions for the potential outcomes are computed as

$$\widehat{y}_{ti} = \begin{cases} y_i & \text{if } t_i = t \\ \sum_{j \in \Omega_m^{\mathbf{X}}(i)} \!\!\!\! w_j \{ y_j + \widehat{\mu}_t(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) - \widehat{\mu}_t(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_j) \} \\ \frac{\sum_{j \in \Omega_m^{\mathbf{X}}(i)} \!\!\!\! w_j - 1}{\sum_{j \in \Omega_m^{\mathbf{X}}(i)} \!\!\!\! w_j - 1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The biasadj(varlist) option specifies the bias-adjustment covariates $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$.

Propensity-score matching estimator

The propensity-score matching (PSM) estimator uses a treatment model (TM), $p(\mathbf{z}_i, t, \gamma)$, to model the conditional probability that observation i receives treatment t given covariates \mathbf{z}_i . The literature calls $p(\mathbf{z}_i, t, \gamma)$ a propensity score, and PSM matches on the estimated propensity scores.

When matching on the estimated propensity score, the set of nearest-neighbor indices for observation $i, i = 1, \dots, n$, is

$$\Omega_m^{\mathbf{p}}(i) = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{m_i} \mid t_{j_k} = 1 - t_i, \mid \widehat{p}_i(t) - \widehat{p}_{j_k}(t) \mid < \mid \widehat{p}_i(t) - \widehat{p}_l(t) \mid, t_l = 1 - t_i, l \neq j_k \}$$
 where $\widehat{p}_i(t) = p(\mathbf{z}_i, t, \widehat{\gamma})$. As was the case with the NNM estimator, m_i is the smallest number such that the number of elements in each set, $m_i = |\Omega_m^{\mathbf{p}}(i)| = \sum_{j \in \Omega_m^{\mathbf{p}}(i)} w_j$, is at least m , the desired number of matches, set by the nneighbors (#) option.

We define the within-treatment matching set analogously,

$$\Psi_h^{\mathbf{p}}(i) = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{h_i} \mid t_{j_k} = t_i, |\widehat{p}_i(t) - \widehat{p}_{j_k}(t)| < |\widehat{p}_i(t) - \widehat{p}_l(t)|, t_l = t_i, l \neq j_k\}$$

where h is the desired number of within-treatment matches, and $h_i = |\Psi_h^{\mathbf{p}}(i)|$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, may vary depending on ties and the value of the caliper. The sets $\Psi_h^{\mathbf{p}}(i)$ are required to compute standard errors for $\widehat{\tau}_1$ and $\widehat{\delta}_1$.

Once a matching set is computed for each observation, the potential-outcome mean, ATE, and ATET computations are identical to those of NNM. The ATE and ATET standard errors, however, must be adjusted because the TM parameters were estimated; see Abadie and Imbens (2012).

PSM, ATE, and ATET variance adjustment

The variances for $\widehat{\tau}_1$ and $\widehat{\delta}_1$ must be adjusted because we use $\widehat{\gamma}$ instead of γ . The adjusted variances for $\widehat{\tau}_1$ and $\widehat{\delta}_1$ have the following forms, respectively:

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\sigma}_{\tau,\mathrm{adj}}^2 &= \widehat{\sigma}_{\tau}^2 + \widehat{\mathbf{c}}_{\tau}' \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\gamma} \widehat{\mathbf{c}}_{\tau} \\ \widehat{\sigma}_{\delta,\mathrm{adj}}^2 &= \widehat{\sigma}_{\delta}^2 - \widehat{\mathbf{c}}_{\delta}' \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\gamma} \widehat{\mathbf{c}}_{\delta} + \frac{\widehat{\partial \delta_1}}{\partial \gamma} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\gamma} \frac{\widehat{\partial \delta_1}}{\partial \gamma} \end{aligned}$$

In both equations, the matrix $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\gamma}$ is the TM coefficient variance-covariance matrix.

The adjustment term for ATE can be expressed as

$$\widehat{\mathbf{c}}_{\tau} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f(\mathbf{z}_{i}' \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) \left(\frac{\widehat{\operatorname{cov}}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i1}\right)}{\widehat{p}_{i}(1)} + \frac{\widehat{\operatorname{cov}}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i0}\right)}{\widehat{p}_{i}(0)} \right)$$

where

$$f(\mathbf{z}_i'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) = \frac{d p(\mathbf{z}_i, 1, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})}{d(\mathbf{z}_i'\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})}$$

is the derivative of $p(\mathbf{z}_i, 1, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}})$ with respect to $\mathbf{z}_i' \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$, and

$$\widehat{\mathrm{cov}}\left(\mathbf{z}_{i}, \widehat{y}_{ti}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum\limits_{j \in \Psi_{h}(i)} w_{j}(\mathbf{z}_{j} - \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{\Psi i})(y_{j} - \overline{y}_{\Psi i})}{\sum\limits_{j \in \Psi_{h}(i)} w_{j} - 1} & \text{if } t_{i} = t \\ \\ \sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_{h}(i)} w_{j}(\mathbf{z}_{j} - \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{\Omega i})(y_{j} - \overline{y}_{\Omega i}) \\ \frac{\sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_{h}(i)} w_{j} - 1}{\sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_{h}(i)} w_{j} - 1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a $p \times 1$ vector with

$$\overline{\mathbf{z}}_{\Psi i} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j \in \Psi_h(i)} w_j \mathbf{z}_j}{\sum\limits_{j \in \Psi_h(i)} w_j} \quad \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{\Omega i} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_h(i)} w_j \mathbf{z}_j}{\sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_h(i)} w_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{y}_{\Omega i} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_h(i)} w_j \mathbf{y}_j}{\sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_h(i)} w_j}$$

Here we have used the notation $\Psi_h(i)=\Psi_h^{\mathbf{p}}(i)$ and $\Omega_h(i)=\Omega_h^{\mathbf{p}}(i)$ to stress that the within-treatment and opposite-treatment clusters used in computing $\hat{\sigma}_{\tau,\mathrm{adj}}^2$ and $\hat{\delta}_{\tau,\mathrm{adj}}^2$ are based on h instead of the cluster $\Omega_m^{\mathbf{p}}(i)$ based on m used to compute $\widehat{\tau}_1$ and $\widehat{\delta}_1$, although you may desire to have h=m.

The adjustment term \mathbf{c}_{δ} for the ATET estimate has two components, $\mathbf{c}_{\delta} = \mathbf{c}_{\delta,1} + \mathbf{c}_{\delta,2}$, defined as

$$\mathbf{c}_{\delta,1} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i w_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \mathbf{z}_i f(\mathbf{z}_i' \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) \left(\widetilde{y}_{1i} - \widetilde{y}_{0i} - \widehat{\delta}_1 \right)$$

$$\mathbf{c}_{\delta,2} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i w_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(\mathbf{z}_i' \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) \left\{ \widehat{\operatorname{cov}} \left(\mathbf{z}_i, \widehat{y}_{1i} \right) + \frac{\widehat{p}_i(1)}{\widehat{p}_i(0)} \widehat{\operatorname{cov}} \left(\mathbf{z}_i, \widehat{y}_{0i} \right) \right\}$$

where

$$\widetilde{y}_{ti} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{j \in \Psi_h(-i) \\ \sum_{j \in \Psi_h(-i)} W_j}} w_j & \text{if } t = t_i \\ \\ \sum_{\substack{j \in \Omega_h \\ j \in \Omega_h}} w_j y_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and the within-treatment matching sets $\Psi_h(-i)=\Psi_h^{\mathbf{p}}(-i)$ are similar to $\Psi_h^{\mathbf{p}}(i)$ but exclude observation i:

$$\Psi_h^{\mathbf{p}}(-i) = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{h_i} \mid j_k \neq i, \ t_{j_k} = t_i, \ |\widehat{p}_i - \widehat{p}_{j_k}| < |\widehat{p}_i - \widehat{p}_l|, \ t_l = t_i, \ l \notin \{i, j_k\} \}$$

Finally, we cover the computation of $\frac{\widehat{\delta \delta_1}}{\partial \gamma'}$ in the third term on the right-hand side of $\widehat{\sigma}_{\delta, \mathrm{adj}}^2$. Here we require yet another clustering, but we match on the opposite treatment by using the covariates $\mathbf{z}_i = (z_{i,1}, \dots, z_{i,p})'$. We will denote these cluster sets as $\Omega_m^{\mathbf{z}}(i)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The estimator of the $p \times 1$ vector $(\partial \delta_1)/(\partial \gamma')$ is computed as

$$\frac{\widehat{\partial \delta_1}}{\partial \gamma'} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i w_i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{z}_i f(\mathbf{z}' \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) \left\{ (2t_i - 1)(y_i - \overline{y}_{\Omega_{m}^{\mathbf{z}}i}) - \widehat{\delta}_1 \right\}$$

where

$$\overline{y}_{\Omega_{m}^{\mathbf{Z}}i} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_{m}^{\mathbf{Z}}(i)}^{\mathbf{Z}} w_{j} y_{j}}{\sum\limits_{j \in \Omega_{m}^{\mathbf{Z}}(i)}^{\mathbf{Z}} w_{j}}$$

References

- Abadie, A., D. M. Drukker, J. L. Herr, and G. W. Imbens. 2004. Implementing matching estimators for average treatment effects in Stata. Stata Journal 4: 290-311.
- Abadie, A., and G. W. Imbens. 2006. Large sample properties of matching estimators for average treatment effects. *Econometrica* 74: 235–267.
- —. 2008. On the failure of the bootstrap for matching estimators. Econometrica 76: 1537–1557.
- —. 2011. Bias-corrected matching estimators for average treatment effects. Journal of Business and Economic Statistics 29: 1–11.
- 2012. Matching on the estimated propensity score. Harvard University and National Bureau of Economic Research. http://www.hks.harvard.edu/fs/aabadie/pscore.pdf.
- Cattaneo, M. D. 2010. Efficient semiparametric estimation of multi-valued treatment effects under ignorability. *Journal of Econometrics* 155: 138–154.

Also see

- [TE] **teffects postestimation** Postestimation tools for teffects
- [TE] **teffects** Treatment-effects estimation for observational data
- [TE] **teffects psmatch** Propensity-score matching
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands