

mlogit — Multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression

Description	Quick start	Menu	Syntax
Options	Remarks and examples	Stored results	Methods and formulas
References	Also see		

Description

`mlogit` fits a multinomial logit (MNL) model for a categorical dependent variable with outcomes that have no natural ordering. The actual values taken by the dependent variable are irrelevant. The MNL model is also known as the polytomous logistic regression model. Some people refer to conditional logistic regression as multinomial logistic regression. If you are one of them, see [\[R\] clogit](#).

Quick start

Multinomial logit model of `y` on `x1`, `x2`, and categorical variable `a`

```
mlogit y x1 x2 i.a
```

As above, but use `y = 1` as the base outcome even if 1 is not the most frequent

```
mlogit y x1 x2 i.a, baseoutcome(1)
```

Report results as relative-risk ratios

```
mlogit y x1 x2 i.a, rrr
```

Constrain coefficient of `x1` to be equal for second and third outcomes

```
constraint 1 [#2=#3]:x1  
mlogit y x1 x2 i.a, constraints(1)
```

Menu

Statistics > Categorical outcomes > Multinomial logistic regression

Syntax

```
mlogit depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
```

<i>options</i>	Description
Model	
<code>noconstant</code>	suppress constant term
<code>baseoutcome(#)</code>	value of <i>depvar</i> that will be the base outcome
<code>constraints(<i>clist</i>)</code>	apply specified linear constraints; <i>clist</i> has the form <code>#[-#] [, #[-#] ...]</code>
<code>collinear</code>	keep collinear variables
SE/Robust	
<code>vce(<i>vcetype</i>)</code>	<i>vcetype</i> may be <code>oim</code> , <code>robust</code> , <code>cluster <i>clustvar</i></code> , <code>bootstrap</code> , or <code>jackknife</code>
Reporting	
<code>level(#)</code>	set confidence level; default is <code>level(95)</code>
<code>rrr</code>	report relative-risk ratios
<code>nocnsreport</code>	do not display constraints
<code>display_options</code>	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
<code>maximize_options</code>	control the maximization process; seldom used
<code>coeflegend</code>	display legend instead of statistics
<i>indepvars</i> may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.	
<i>indepvars</i> may contain time-series operators; see [U] 11.4.4 Time-series varlists.	
<code>bootstrap</code> , <code>by</code> , <code>fp</code> , <code>jackknife</code> , <code>mfp</code> , <code>mi estimate</code> , <code>rolling</code> , <code>statsby</code> , and <code>svy</code> are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.	
<code>vce(bootstrap)</code> and <code>vce(jackknife)</code> are not allowed with the <code>mi estimate</code> prefix; see [MI] <code>mi estimate</code> .	
Weights are not allowed with the <code>bootstrap</code> prefix; see [R] <code>bootstrap</code> .	
<code>vce()</code> and weights are not allowed with the <code>svy</code> prefix; see [SVY] <code>svy</code> .	
<code>fweights</code> , <code>iweights</code> , and <code>pweights</code> are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.	
<code>coeflegend</code> does not appear in the dialog box.	
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.	

Options

Model

`noconstant`; see [R] estimation options.

`baseoutcome(#)` specifies the value of *depvar* to be treated as the base outcome. The default is to choose the most frequent outcome.

`constraints(clist)`, `collinear`; see [R] estimation options.

SE/Robust

`vce(vcetype)` specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (`oim`), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (`robust`), that allow for intragroup correlation (`cluster clustvar`), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (`bootstrap`, `jackknife`); see [R] [vce_option](#).

If specifying `vce(bootstrap)` or `vce(jackknife)`, you must also specify `baseoutcome()`.

Reporting

`level(#)`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

`rrr` reports the estimated coefficients transformed to relative-risk ratios, that is, e^b rather than b ; see [Description of the model](#) below for an explanation of this concept. Standard errors and confidence intervals are similarly transformed. This option affects how results are displayed, not how they are estimated. `rrr` may be specified at estimation or when replaying previously estimated results.

`nocnsreport`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

display_options: `nocl`, `nopvalues`, `noomitted`, `vsquish`, `noemptycells`, `baselevels`, `allbaselevels`, `nofvlabel`, `fvwrap(#)`, `fvwrapon(style)`, `cformat(%fmt)`, `pformat(%fmt)`, `sformat(%fmt)`, and `nolstretch`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

Maximization

maximize_options: `difficult`, `technique(algorithm_spec)`, `iterate(#)`, `[no]log`, `trace`, `gradient`, `showstep`, `hessian`, `showtolerance`, `tolerance(#)`, `ltolerance(#)`, `nrtolerance(#)`, `nonrtolerance`, and `from(init_specs)`; see [R] [maximize](#). These options are seldom used.

The following option is available with `mlogit` but is not shown in the dialog box:

`coeflegend`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

Remarks and examples

[stata.com](http://www.stata.com)

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Description of the model
Fitting unconstrained models
Fitting constrained models

`mlogit` fits maximum likelihood models with discrete dependent (left-hand-side) variables when the dependent variable takes on more than two outcomes and the outcomes have no natural ordering. If the dependent variable takes on only two outcomes, estimates are identical to those produced by `logistic` or `logit`; see [R] [logistic](#) or [R] [logit](#). If the outcomes are ordered, see [R] [ologit](#). See [R] [logistic](#) for a list of related estimation commands.

Description of the model

For an introduction to multinomial logit models, see [Greene \(2012, 763–766\)](#), [Hosmer, Lemeshow, and Sturdivant \(2013, 269–289\)](#), [Long \(1997, chap. 6\)](#), [Long and Freese \(2014, chap. 8\)](#), and [Treiman \(2009, 336–341\)](#). For a description emphasizing the difference in assumptions and data requirements for conditional and multinomial logit, see [Davidson and MacKinnon \(1993\)](#).

Consider the outcomes 1, 2, 3, . . . , m recorded in y , and the explanatory variables X . Assume that there are $m = 3$ outcomes: “buy an American car”, “buy a Japanese car”, and “buy a European car”. The values of y are then said to be “unordered”. Even though the outcomes are coded 1, 2, and 3, the numerical values are arbitrary because $1 < 2 < 3$ does not imply that outcome 1 (buy American) is less than outcome 2 (buy Japanese) is less than outcome 3 (buy European). This unordered categorical property of y distinguishes the use of **mlogit** from **regress** (which is appropriate for a continuous dependent variable), from **ologit** (which is appropriate for ordered categorical data), and from **logit** (which is appropriate for two outcomes, which can be thought of as ordered).

In the multinomial logit model, you estimate a set of coefficients, $\beta^{(1)}$, $\beta^{(2)}$, and $\beta^{(3)}$, corresponding to each outcome:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(y = 1) &= \frac{e^{X\beta^{(1)}}}{e^{X\beta^{(1)}} + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}} \\ \Pr(y = 2) &= \frac{e^{X\beta^{(2)}}}{e^{X\beta^{(1)}} + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}} \\ \Pr(y = 3) &= \frac{e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}{e^{X\beta^{(1)}} + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}\end{aligned}$$

The model, however, is unidentified in the sense that there is more than one solution to $\beta^{(1)}$, $\beta^{(2)}$, and $\beta^{(3)}$ that leads to the same probabilities for $y = 1$, $y = 2$, and $y = 3$. To identify the model, you arbitrarily set one of $\beta^{(1)}$, $\beta^{(2)}$, or $\beta^{(3)}$ to 0—it does not matter which. That is, if you arbitrarily set $\beta^{(1)} = 0$, the remaining coefficients $\beta^{(2)}$ and $\beta^{(3)}$ will measure the change relative to the $y = 1$ group. If you instead set $\beta^{(2)} = 0$, the remaining coefficients $\beta^{(1)}$ and $\beta^{(3)}$ will measure the change relative to the $y = 2$ group. The coefficients will differ because they have different interpretations, but the predicted probabilities for $y = 1, 2$, and 3 will still be the same. Thus either parameterization will be a solution to the same underlying model.

Setting $\beta^{(1)} = 0$, the equations become

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(y = 1) &= \frac{1}{1 + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}} \\ \Pr(y = 2) &= \frac{e^{X\beta^{(2)}}}{1 + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}} \\ \Pr(y = 3) &= \frac{e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}{1 + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}\end{aligned}$$

The relative probability of $y = 2$ to the base outcome is

$$\frac{\Pr(y = 2)}{\Pr(y = 1)} = e^{X\beta^{(2)}}$$

Let’s call this ratio the relative risk, and let’s further assume that X and $\beta_k^{(2)}$ are vectors equal to (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) and $(\beta_1^{(2)}, \beta_2^{(2)}, \dots, \beta_k^{(2)})'$, respectively. The ratio of the relative risk for a one-unit change in x_i is then

$$\frac{e^{\beta_1^{(2)}x_1 + \dots + \beta_i^{(2)}(x_i+1) + \dots + \beta_k^{(2)}x_k}}{e^{\beta_1^{(2)}x_1 + \dots + \beta_i^{(2)}x_i + \dots + \beta_k^{(2)}x_k}} = e^{\beta_i^{(2)}}$$

Thus the exponentiated value of a coefficient is the relative-risk ratio for a one-unit change in the corresponding variable (risk is measured as the risk of the outcome relative to the base outcome).

Fitting unconstrained models

▷ Example 1: A first example

We have data on the type of health insurance available to 616 psychologically depressed subjects in the United States (Tarlov et al. 1989; Wells et al. 1989). The insurance is categorized as either an indemnity plan (that is, regular fee-for-service insurance, which may have a deductible or coinsurance rate) or a prepaid plan (a fixed up-front payment allowing subsequent unlimited use as provided, for instance, by an HMO). The third possibility is that the subject has no insurance whatsoever. We wish to explore the demographic factors associated with each subject's insurance choice. One of the demographic factors in our data is the race of the participant, coded as white or nonwhite:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/sysdsn1
(Health insurance data)
. tabulate insure nonwhite, chi2 col
```

Key			
	<i>frequency</i>		
	<i>column percentage</i>		
	nonwhite		
insure	0	1	Total
Indemnity	251 50.71	43 35.54	294 47.73
Prepaid	208 42.02	69 57.02	277 44.97
Uninsure	36 7.27	9 7.44	45 7.31
Total	495 100.00	121 100.00	616 100.00

Pearson chi2(2) = 9.5599 Pr = 0.008

Although `insure` appears to take on the values `Indemnity`, `Prepaid`, and `Uninsure`, it actually takes on the values 1, 2, and 3. The words appear because we have associated a value label with the numeric variable `insure`; see [U] 12.6.3 Value labels.

6 mlogit — Multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression

When we fit a multinomial logit model, we can tell `mlogit` which outcome to use as the base outcome, or we can let `mlogit` choose. To fit a model of `insure` on `nonwhite`, letting `mlogit` choose the base outcome, we type

```
. mlogit insure nonwhite
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -556.59502
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -551.78935
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -551.78348
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -551.78348

Multinomial logistic regression                Number of obs   =       616
                                                LR chi2(2)      =        9.62
                                                Prob > chi2     =       0.0081
Log likelihood = -551.78348                    Pseudo R2      =       0.0086
```

insure	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Indemnity	(base outcome)					
Prepaid						
nonwhite	.6608212	.2157321	3.06	0.002	.2379942	1.083648
_cons	-.1879149	.0937644	-2.00	0.045	-.3716896	-.0041401
Uninsure						
nonwhite	.3779586	.407589	0.93	0.354	-.4209011	1.176818
_cons	-1.941934	.1782185	-10.90	0.000	-2.291236	-1.592632

`mlogit` chose the indemnity outcome as the base outcome and presented coefficients for the outcomes prepaid and uninsured. According to the model, the probability of prepaid for whites (`nonwhite = 0`) is

$$\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Prepaid}) = \frac{e^{-.188}}{1 + e^{-.188} + e^{-1.942}} = 0.420$$

Similarly, for nonwhites, the probability of prepaid is

$$\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Prepaid}) = \frac{e^{-.188+.661}}{1 + e^{-.188+.661} + e^{-1.942+.378}} = 0.570$$

These results agree with the column percentages presented by `tabulate` because the `mlogit` model is fully saturated. That is, there are enough terms in the model to fully explain the column percentage in each cell. The model chi-squared and the `tabulate` chi-squared are in almost perfect agreement; both test that the column percentages of `insure` are the same for both values of `nonwhite`.

◀

► Example 2: Specifying the base outcome

By specifying the `baseoutcome()` option, we can control which outcome of the dependent variable is treated as the base. Left to its own, `mlogit` chose to make outcome 1, `indemnity`, the base outcome. To make outcome 2, `prepaid`, the base, we would type

```
. mlogit insure nonwhite, base(2)
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -556.59502
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -551.78935
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -551.78348
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -551.78348
Multinomial logistic regression      Number of obs   =      616
                                      LR chi2(2)       =       9.62
                                      Prob > chi2      =      0.0081
Log likelihood = -551.78348          Pseudo R2       =      0.0086
```

insure	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Indemnity						
nonwhite	-.6608212	.2157321	-3.06	0.002	-1.083648	-.2379942
_cons	.1879149	.0937644	2.00	0.045	.0041401	.3716896
Prepaid (base outcome)						
Uninsure						
nonwhite	-.2828627	.3977302	-0.71	0.477	-1.0624	.4966742
_cons	-1.754019	.1805145	-9.72	0.000	-2.107821	-1.400217

The `baseoutcome()` option requires that we specify the numeric value of the outcome, so we could not type `base(Prepaid)`.

Although the coefficients now appear to be different, the summary statistics reported at the top are identical. With this parameterization, the probability of prepaid insurance for whites is

$$\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Prepaid}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{.188} + e^{-1.754}} = 0.420$$

This is the same answer we obtained previously.



► Example 3: Displaying relative-risk ratios

By specifying `rrr`, which we can do at estimation time or when we redisplay results, we see the model in terms of relative-risk ratios:

```
. mlogit, rrr
Multinomial logistic regression      Number of obs   =      616
                                      LR chi2(2)       =       9.62
                                      Prob > chi2      =      0.0081
Log likelihood = -551.78348          Pseudo R2       =      0.0086
```

insure	RRR	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Indemnity						
nonwhite	.516427	.1114099	-3.06	0.002	.3383588	.7882073
_cons	1.206731	.1131483	2.00	0.045	1.004149	1.450183
Prepaid (base outcome)						
Uninsure						
nonwhite	.7536233	.2997387	-0.71	0.477	.3456255	1.643247
_cons	.1730769	.0312429	-9.72	0.000	.1215024	.2465434

Looked at this way, the relative risk of choosing an indemnity over a prepaid plan is 0.516 for nonwhites relative to whites.

To illustrate, from the output and discussions of examples 1 and 2 we find that

$$\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Indemnity} \mid \text{white}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-.188} + e^{-1.942}} = 0.507$$

and thus the relative risk of choosing indemnity over prepaid (for whites) is

$$\frac{\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Indemnity} \mid \text{white})}{\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Prepaid} \mid \text{white})} = \frac{0.507}{0.420} = 1.207$$

For nonwhites,

$$\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Indemnity} \mid \text{not white}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-.188+.661} + e^{-1.942+.378}} = 0.355$$

and thus the relative risk of choosing indemnity over prepaid (for nonwhites) is

$$\frac{\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Indemnity} \mid \text{not white})}{\Pr(\text{insure} = \text{Prepaid} \mid \text{not white})} = \frac{0.355}{0.570} = 0.623$$

The ratio of these two relative risks, hence the name “relative-risk ratio”, is $0.623/1.207 = 0.516$, as given in the output under the heading “RRR”. ◀

□ Technical note

In models where only two categories are considered, the `mlogit` model reduces to standard `logit`. Consequently the exponentiated regression coefficients, labeled as RRR within `mlogit`, are equal to the odds ratios as given when the `or` option is specified under `logit`; see [R] [logit](#).

As such, always referring to `mlogit`’s exponentiated coefficients as odds ratios may be tempting. However, the discussion in [example 3](#) demonstrates that doing so would be incorrect. In general `mlogit` models, the exponentiated coefficients are ratios of relative risks, not ratios of odds. □

▷ Example 4: Model with continuous and multiple categorical variables

One of the advantages of `mlogit` over `tabulate` is that we can include continuous variables and multiple categorical variables in the model. In examining the data on insurance choice, we decide that we want to control for age, gender, and site of study (the study was conducted in three sites):


```
. mlogit insure age male nonwhite i.site
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -555.85446
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -534.67443
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -534.36284
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -534.36165
Iteration 4:  log likelihood = -534.36165

Multinomial logistic regression           Number of obs   =           615
                                           LR chi2(10)     =           42.99
                                           Prob > chi2     =           0.0000
Log likelihood = -534.36165              Pseudo R2       =           0.0387
```

insure	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Indemnity						
(base outcome)						
Prepaid						
age	-.011745	.0061946	-1.90	0.058	-.0238862	.0003962
male	.5616934	.2027465	2.77	0.006	.1643175	.9590693
nonwhite	.9747768	.2363213	4.12	0.000	.5115955	1.437958
site						
2	.1130359	.2101903	0.54	0.591	-.2989296	.5250013
3	-.5879879	.2279351	-2.58	0.010	-1.034733	-.1412433
_cons	.2697127	.3284422	0.82	0.412	-.3740222	.9134476
Uninsure						
age	-.0077961	.0114418	-0.68	0.496	-.0302217	.0146294
male	.4518496	.3674867	1.23	0.219	-.268411	1.17211
nonwhite	.2170589	.4256361	0.51	0.610	-.6171725	1.05129
site						
2	-1.211563	.4705127	-2.57	0.010	-2.133751	-.2893747
3	-.2078123	.3662926	-0.57	0.570	-.9257327	.510108
_cons	-1.286943	.5923219	-2.17	0.030	-2.447872	-.1260134

These results suggest that the inclination of nonwhites to choose prepaid care is even stronger than it was without controlling. We also see that subjects in site 2 are less likely to be uninsured.



Fitting constrained models

mlogit can fit models with subsets of coefficients constrained to be zero, with subsets of coefficients constrained to be equal both within and across equations, and with subsets of coefficients arbitrarily constrained to equal linear combinations of other estimated coefficients.

Before fitting a constrained model, you define the constraints with the `constraint` command; see [R] [constraint](#). Once the constraints are defined, you estimate using `mlogit`, specifying the `constraint()` option. Typing `constraint(4)` would use the constraint you previously saved as 4. Typing `constraint(1,4,6)` would use the previously stored constraints 1, 4, and 6. Typing `constraint(1-4,6)` would use the previously stored constraints 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6.

Sometimes you will not be able to specify the constraints without knowing the omitted outcome. In such cases, assume that the omitted outcome is whatever outcome is convenient for you, and include the `baseoutcome()` option when you specify the `mlogit` command.

▷ Example 5: Specifying constraints to test hypotheses

We can use constraints to test hypotheses, among other things. In our insurance-choice model, let's test the hypothesis that there is no distinction between having indemnity insurance and being uninsured. Indemnity-style insurance was the omitted outcome, so we type

```
. test [Uninsure]
( 1) [Uninsure]age = 0
( 2) [Uninsure]male = 0
( 3) [Uninsure]nonwhite = 0
( 4) [Uninsure]1b.site = 0
( 5) [Uninsure]2.site = 0
( 6) [Uninsure]3.site = 0
    Constraint 4 dropped
      chi2( 5) =    9.31
    Prob > chi2 =   0.0973
```

If indemnity had not been the omitted outcome, we would have typed `test [Uninsure=Indemnity]`.

The results produced by `test` are an approximation based on the estimated covariance matrix of the coefficients. Because the probability of being uninsured is low, the log likelihood may be nonlinear for the uninsured. Conventional statistical wisdom is not to trust the asymptotic answer under these circumstances but to perform a likelihood-ratio test instead.

To use Stata's `lrtest` (likelihood-ratio test) command, we must fit both the unconstrained and constrained models. The unconstrained model is the one we have previously fit. Following the instruction in [R] [lrtest](#), we first store the unconstrained model results:

```
. estimates store unconstrained
```

To fit the constrained model, we must refit our model with all the coefficients except the constant set to 0 in the `Uninsure` equation. We define the constraint and then refit:

```
. constraint 1 [Uninsure]
. mlogit insure age male nonwhite i.site, constraints(1)
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -555.85446
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -539.80523
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -539.75644
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -539.75643
Multinomial logistic regression           Number of obs   =       615
                                           Wald chi2(5)    =       29.70
                                           Prob > chi2     =       0.0000
Log likelihood = -539.75643
( 1) [Uninsure]o.age = 0
( 2) [Uninsure]o.male = 0
( 3) [Uninsure]o.nonwhite = 0
( 4) [Uninsure]2o.site = 0
( 5) [Uninsure]3o.site = 0
```

insure	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Indemnity (base outcome)						
Prepaid						
age	-.0107025	.0060039	-1.78	0.075	-.0224699	.0010649
male	.4963616	.1939683	2.56	0.010	.1161907	.8765324
nonwhite	.9421369	.2252094	4.18	0.000	.5007346	1.383539
site						
2	.2530912	.2029465	1.25	0.212	-.1446767	.6508591
3	-.5521773	.2187237	-2.52	0.012	-.9808678	-.1234869
_cons	.1792752	.3171372	0.57	0.572	-.4423023	.8008527
Uninsure						
age	0 (omitted)					
male	0 (omitted)					
nonwhite	0 (omitted)					
site						
2	0 (omitted)					
3	0 (omitted)					
_cons	-1.87351	.1601099	-11.70	0.000	-2.18732	-1.5597

We can now perform the likelihood-ratio test:

```
. lrtest unconstrained .
Likelihood-ratio test           LR chi2(5) =    10.79
(Assumption: . nested in unconstrained)   Prob > chi2 =    0.0557
```

The likelihood-ratio chi-squared is 10.79 with 5 degrees of freedom—just slightly greater than the magic $p = 0.05$ level—so we should not call this difference significant. ◀

□ Technical note

In certain circumstances, you should fit a multinomial logit model with conditional logit; see [R] [clogit](#). With substantial data manipulation, `clogit` can handle the same class of models with some interesting additions. For example, if we had available the price and deductible of the most competitive insurance plan of each type, `mlogit` could not use this information, but `clogit` could. □

Stored results

`mlogit` stores the following in `e()`:

Scalars

<code>e(N)</code>	number of observations
<code>e(N_cd)</code>	number of completely determined observations
<code>e(k_out)</code>	number of outcomes
<code>e(k)</code>	number of parameters
<code>e(k_eq)</code>	number of equations in <code>e(b)</code>
<code>e(k_eq_model)</code>	number of equations in overall model test
<code>e(k_dv)</code>	number of dependent variables
<code>e(df_m)</code>	model degrees of freedom
<code>e(r2_p)</code>	pseudo- <i>R</i> -squared
<code>e(ll)</code>	log likelihood
<code>e(ll_0)</code>	log likelihood, constant-only model
<code>e(N_clust)</code>	number of clusters
<code>e(chi2)</code>	χ^2
<code>e(p)</code>	significance
<code>e(k_eq_base)</code>	equation number of the base outcome
<code>e(baseout)</code>	the value of <i>devar</i> to be treated as the base outcome
<code>e(ibaseout)</code>	index of the base outcome
<code>e(rank)</code>	rank of <code>e(V)</code>
<code>e(ic)</code>	number of iterations
<code>e(rc)</code>	return code
<code>e(converged)</code>	1 if converged, 0 otherwise

Macros

<code>e(cmd)</code>	<code>mlogit</code>
<code>e(cmdline)</code>	command as typed
<code>e(depvar)</code>	name of dependent variable
<code>e(wtype)</code>	weight type
<code>e(wexp)</code>	weight expression
<code>e(title)</code>	title in estimation output
<code>e(clustvar)</code>	name of cluster variable
<code>e(chi2type)</code>	Wald or LR; type of model χ^2 test
<code>e(vce)</code>	<i>vcetype</i> specified in <code>vce()</code>
<code>e(vcetype)</code>	title used to label Std. Err.
<code>e(eqnames)</code>	names of equations
<code>e(baselab)</code>	value label corresponding to base outcome
<code>e(opt)</code>	type of optimization
<code>e(which)</code>	max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
<code>e(ml_method)</code>	type of ml method
<code>e(user)</code>	name of likelihood-evaluator program
<code>e(technique)</code>	maximization technique
<code>e(properties)</code>	<code>b V</code>
<code>e(predict)</code>	program used to implement <code>predict</code>
<code>e(marginsnotok)</code>	predictions disallowed by <code>margins</code>
<code>e(marginsdefault)</code>	default <code>predict()</code> specification for <code>margins</code>
<code>e(asbalanced)</code>	factor variables <code>fvset</code> as <code>asbalanced</code>
<code>e(asobserved)</code>	factor variables <code>fvset</code> as <code>asobserved</code>

Matrices	
e(b)	coefficient vector
e(out)	outcome values
e(Cns)	constraints matrix
e(ilog)	iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
e(gradient)	gradient vector
e(V)	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
e(V_modelbased)	model-based variance
Functions	
e(sample)	marks estimation sample

Methods and formulas

The multinomial logit model is described in [Greene \(2012, 763–766\)](#).

Suppose that there are k categorical outcomes and—without loss of generality—let the base outcome be 1. The probability that the response for the j th observation is equal to the i th outcome is

$$p_{ij} = \Pr(y_j = i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^k \exp(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_m)}, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_i)}{1 + \sum_{m=2}^k \exp(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_m)}, & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{x}_j is the row vector of observed values of the independent variables for the j th observation and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_m$ is the coefficient vector for outcome m . The log pseudolikelihood is

$$\ln L = \sum_j w_j \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(y_j) \ln p_{ik}$$

where w_j is an optional weight and

$$I_i(y_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_j = i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Newton–Raphson maximum likelihood is used; see [\[R\] maximize](#).

For constrained equations, the set of constraints is orthogonalized, and a subset of maximizable parameters is selected. For example, a parameter that is constrained to zero is not a maximizable parameter. If two parameters are constrained to be equal to each other, only one is a maximizable parameter.

Let \mathbf{r} be the vector of maximizable parameters. \mathbf{r} is physically a subset of the solution parameters, \mathbf{b} . A matrix, \mathbf{T} , and a vector, \mathbf{m} , are defined as

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{m}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{T}'$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{b}^2} = \mathbf{T} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \mathbf{T}'$$

\mathbf{T} consists of a block form in which one part is a permutation of the identity matrix and the other part describes how to calculate the constrained parameters from the maximizable parameters.

This command supports the Huber/White/sandwich estimator of the variance and its clustered version using `vce(robust)` and `vce(cluster clustvar)`, respectively. See [P] `_robust`, particularly *Maximum likelihood estimators* and *Methods and formulas*.

`mlogit` also supports estimation with survey data. For details on VCEs with survey data, see [SVY] **variance estimation**.

References

- Davidson, R., and J. G. MacKinnon. 1993. *Estimation and Inference in Econometrics*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Freese, J., and J. S. Long. 2000. `sg155`: Tests for the multinomial logit model. *Stata Technical Bulletin* 58: 19–25. Reprinted in *Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints*, vol. 10, pp. 247–255. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Greene, W. H. 2012. *Econometric Analysis*. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Haan, P., and A. Uhlenborff. 2006. Estimation of multinomial logit models with unobserved heterogeneity using maximum simulated likelihood. *Stata Journal* 6: 229–245.
- Hamilton, L. C. 1993. `sqv8`: Interpreting multinomial logistic regression. *Stata Technical Bulletin* 13: 24–28. Reprinted in *Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints*, vol. 3, pp. 176–181. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- . 2013. *Statistics with Stata: Updated for Version 12*. 8th ed. Boston: Brooks/Cole.
- Hendrickx, J. 2000. `sbe37`: Special restrictions in multinomial logistic regression. *Stata Technical Bulletin* 56: 18–26. Reprinted in *Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints*, vol. 10, pp. 93–103. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Hole, A. R. 2007. Fitting mixed logit models by using maximum simulated likelihood. *Stata Journal* 7: 388–401.
- Hosmer, D. W., Jr., S. A. Lemeshow, and R. X. Sturdivant. 2013. *Applied Logistic Regression*. 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Kleinbaum, D. G., and M. Klein. 2010. *Logistic Regression: A Self-Learning Text*. 3rd ed. New York: Springer.
- Long, J. S. 1997. *Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Long, J. S., and J. Freese. 2014. *Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using Stata*. 3rd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Tarlov, A. R., J. E. Ware, Jr., S. Greenfield, E. C. Nelson, E. Perrin, and M. Zubkoff. 1989. The medical outcomes study. An application of methods for monitoring the results of medical care. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 262: 925–930.
- Treiman, D. J. 2009. *Quantitative Data Analysis: Doing Social Research to Test Ideas*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Wells, K. B., R. D. Hays, M. A. Burnam, W. H. Rogers, S. Greenfield, and J. E. Ware, Jr. 1989. Detection of depressive disorder for patients receiving prepaid or fee-for-service care. Results from the Medical Outcomes Survey. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 262: 3298–3302.
- Xu, J., and J. S. Long. 2005. Confidence intervals for predicted outcomes in regression models for categorical outcomes. *Stata Journal* 5: 537–559.

Also see

- [R] **mlogit postestimation** — Postestimation tools for mlogit
- [R] **clgit** — Conditional (fixed-effects) logistic regression
- [R] **logistic** — Logistic regression, reporting odds ratios
- [R] **logit** — Logistic regression, reporting coefficients
- [R] **mprobit** — Multinomial probit regression
- [R] **nlogit** — Nested logit regression
- [R] **ologit** — Ordered logistic regression
- [R] **rologit** — Rank-ordered logistic regression
- [R] **slogit** — Stereotype logistic regression
- [MI] **estimation** — Estimation commands for use with mi estimate
- [SVY] **svy estimation** — Estimation commands for survey data
- [U] **20 Estimation and postestimation commands**