Title

eivreg — Errors-in-variables regression

Description (Options F References /

Quick start Remarks and examples Also see Menu Stored results Syntax Methods and formulas

Description

eivreg fits errors-in-variables regression models when one or more of the independent variables are measured with error. To use eivreg, you must have an estimate of each independent variable's reliability or assume it is measured without error.

Quick start

Regression of y on x1, x2, and x3 adjusted for x1 measured with 90% reliability
 eivreg y x1 x2 x3, reliab(x1 .9)
As above, but also specify 80% reliability for x2
 eivreg y x1 x2 x3, reliab(x1 .9 x2 .8)

Menu

Statistics > Linear models and related > Errors-in-variables regression

Syntax

options	Description		
Model			
<u>r</u> eliab(<i>indepvar #</i>	[indepvar # []])		
	specify measurement reliability for each <i>indepvar</i> measured with error		
Reporting			
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)		
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling		
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics		

Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.

aweights are not allowed with the jackknife prefix; see [R] jackknife.

aweights and fweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

coeflegend does not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

Model

reliab(*indepvar* # [*indepvar* # [...]]) specifies the measurement reliability for each independent variable measured with error. Reliabilities are specified as pairs consisting of an independent variable name (a name that appears in *indepvars*) and the corresponding reliability $r, 0 < r \le 1$. Independent variables for which no reliability is specified are assumed to have reliability 1. If the option is not specified, all variables are assumed to have reliability 1, and the result is thus the same as that produced by regress (the ordinary least-squares results).

Reporting

level(#); see [R] estimation options.

display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(% fmt), pformat(% fmt), sformat(% fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

The following option is available with eivreg but is not shown in the dialog box:

coeflegend; see [R] estimation options.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

For an introduction to errors-in-variables regression, see Draper and Smith (1998, 89–91) or Kmenta (1997, 352–357). Treiman (2009, 258–261) compares the results of errors-in-variables regression with conventional regression.

Errors-in-variables regression models are useful when one or more of the independent variables are measured with additive noise. Standard regression (as performed by regress) would underestimate the effect of the variable, and the other coefficients in the model can be biased to the extent that they are correlated with the poorly measured variable. You can adjust for the biases if you know the reliability:

$$r = 1 - \frac{\text{noise variance}}{\text{total variance}}$$

That is, given the model $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{u}$, for some variable \mathbf{x}_i in \mathbf{X} , the \mathbf{x}_i is observed with error, $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_i^* + \mathbf{e}$, and the noise variance is the variance of \mathbf{e} . The total variance is the variance of \mathbf{x}_i .

Example 1

Say that in our automobile data, the weight of cars was measured with error, and the reliability of our measured weight is 0.85. The result of this would be to underestimate the effect of weight in a regression of, say, price on weight and foreign, and it would also bias the estimate of the coefficient on foreign (because being of foreign manufacture is correlated with the weight of cars). We would ignore all of this if we fit the model with regress:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/auto (1978 Automobile Data)
```

. regress price weight foreign

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of ob	s =	74 35 35
Model Residual	316859273 318206123	2 71	158429637 4481776.38	Prob > F R-squared	- = -	0.0000
Total	635065396	73	8699525.97	Root MSE	=	2117
price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t [95%	Conf.	Interval]
weight foreign _cons	3.320737 3637.001 -4942.844	.3958784 668.583 1345.591	8.39 5.44 -3.67	0.000 2.531 0.000 2303.3 0.000 -7625.3	378 885 876	4.110096 4970.118 -2259.812

With eivreg, we can account for our measurement error:

. eivreg price	e weight forei	ign, r(weigh	t .85)			
variable	assumed reliabilit	ty		Errors-i	n-variables.	regression
				Number o	of obs =	74
weight	0.8500			F(2,	71) =	50.37
*	1.0000			Prob > F	' =	0.0000
				R-square	ed =	0.6483
				Root MSE	=	1773.54
price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
weight	4.31985	.431431	10.01	0.000	3,459601	5.180099
foreign	4637.32	624.5362	7.43	0.000	3392.03	5882.609
_cons	-8257.017	1452.086	-5.69	0.000	-11152.39	-5361.639

The effect of weight is increased—as we knew it would be—and here the effect of foreign manufacture is also increased. A priori, we knew only that the estimate of foreign might be biased; we did not know the direction.

Technical note

Swept under the rug in our example is how we would determine the reliability, r. We can easily see that a variable is measured with error, but we may not know the reliability because the ingredients for calculating r depend on the unobserved noise.

For our example, we made up a value for r, and in fact we do not believe that weight is measured with error at all, so the reported eivreg results have no validity. The regress results were the statistically correct results here.

But let's say that we do suspect that weight is measured with error and that we do not know r. We could then experiment with various values of r to describe the sensitivity of our estimates to possible error levels. We may not know r, but r does have a simple interpretation, and we could probably produce a sensible range for r by thinking about how the data were collected.

If the reliability, r, is less than the R^2 from a regression of the poorly measured variable on all the other variables, including the dependent variable, the information might as well not have been collected; no adjustment to the final results is possible. For our automobile data, running a regression of weight on foreign and price would result in an R^2 of 0.6743. Thus the reliability must be at least 0.6743 here. If we specify a reliability that is too small, eivreg will inform us and refuse to fit the model:

```
. eivreg price weight foreign, r(weight .6742)
reliability r() too small
r(399);
```

Returning to our problem of how to estimate r, too small or not, if the measurements are summaries of scaled items, the reliability may be estimated using the alpha command; see [MV] **alpha**. If the score is computed from factor analysis and the data are scored using predict's default options (see [MV] **factor postestimation**), the square of the standard deviation of the score is an estimate of the reliability.

Technical note

Consider a model with more than one variable measured with error. For instance, say that our model is that price is a function of weight, foreign, and mpg and that both weight and mpg are measured with error.

· •1/108 P1100				- mpg,		
variable	assumed reliability	7		Errors-in	-variables	regression
		-		Number of	obs =	74
weight	0.8500			F(3,	70) =	429.14
mpg	0.9000			Prob > F	=	0.0000
*	1.0000			R-squared	l =	0.9728
				Root MSE	=	496.41
price	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
weight	12.88302	.6820532	18.89	0.000	11.52271	14.24333
foreign	8268.951	352.8719	23.43	0.000	7565.17	8972.732
mpg	999.2043	73.60037	13.58	0.000	852.413	1145.996
_cons	-56473.19	3710.015	-15.22	0.000	-63872.58	-49073.8

eivreg price weight foreign mpg, r(weight .85 mpg .9)

Stored results

eivreg stores the fo	ollowing in e():
Scalars e(N) e(df_m) e(df_r) e(r2) e(F) e(rmse) e(rank)	number of observations model degrees of freedom residual degrees of freedom R-squared F statistic root mean squared error rank of $e(V)$
Macros e(cmd) e(cmdline) e(depvar) e(rellist) e(wtype) e(wexp) e(properties) e(predict) e(marginsok) e(asbalanced) e(asobserved)	eivreg command as typed name of dependent variable <i>indepvars</i> and associated reliabilities weight type weight expression b V program used to implement predict predictions allowed by margins factor variables fvset as asbalanced factor variables fvset as asobserved
Matrices e(b) e(Cns) e(V)	coefficient vector constraints matrix variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
Functions e(sample)	marks estimation sample

Methods and formulas

Let the model to be fit be

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}^* \boldsymbol{eta} + \mathbf{e}$$

 $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^* + \mathbf{U}$

where X^* are the true values and X are the observed values. Let W be the user-specified weights. If no weights are specified, W = I. If weights are specified, let v be the specified weights. If fweight frequency weights are specified, then W = diag(v). If aweight analytic weights are specified, then $W = \text{diag}\{v/(1'v)(1'1)\}$, meaning that the weights are normalized to sum to the number of observations.

The estimates **b** of β are obtained as $\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}$, where $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{S}$. **S** is a diagonal matrix with elements $N(1-r_i)s_i^2$. N is the number of observations, r_i is the user-specified reliability coefficient for the *i*th explanatory variable or 1 if not specified, and s_i^2 is the (appropriately weighted) variance of the variable.

The variance–covariance matrix of the estimators is obtained as $s^2 \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{A}^{-1}$, where the root mean squared error $s^2 = (\mathbf{y}' \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{b}')/(N - p)$, where p is the number of estimated parameters.

References

Draper, N., and H. Smith. 1998. Applied Regression Analysis. 3rd ed. New York: Wiley. Kmenta, J. 1997. Elements of Econometrics. 2nd ed. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press. Treiman, D. J. 2009. Quantitative Data Analysis: Doing Social Research to Test Ideas. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Also see

- [R] eivreg postestimation Postestimation tools for eivreg
- [R] regress Linear regression
- [SEM] example 24 Reliability
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands