

meoprobit — Multilevel mixed-effects ordered probit regression[Description](#)
[Options](#)
[References](#)[Quick start](#)
[Remarks and examples](#)
[Also see](#)[Menu](#)
[Stored results](#)[Syntax](#)
[Methods and formulas](#)

Description

`meoprobit` fits mixed-effects probit models for ordered responses. The actual values taken on by the response are irrelevant except that larger values are assumed to correspond to “higher” outcomes. The conditional distribution of the response given the random effects is assumed to be multinomial, with success probability determined by the standard normal cumulative distribution function.

Quick start

Two-level ordered probit regression of `y` on `x` and random intercepts by `lev2`

```
meoprobit y x || lev2:
```

Add random coefficients for `x`

```
meoprobit y x || lev2: x
```

Nested three-level ordered probit model with random intercepts by `lev2` and `lev3` for `lev2` nested within `lev3`

```
meoprobit y x || lev3: || lev2:
```

Menu

Statistics > Multilevel mixed-effects models > Ordered probit regression

Syntax

```
meoprobit depvar fe_equation [ || re_equation ] [ || re_equation ... ] [ , options ]
```

where the syntax of *fe_equation* is

```
[ indepvars ] [ if ] [ in ] [ weight ] [ , fe_options ]
```

and the syntax of *re_equation* is one of the following:

for random coefficients and intercepts

```
levelvar: [ varlist ] [ , re_options ]
```

for random effects among the values of a factor variable

```
levelvar: R.varname
```

levelvar is a variable identifying the group structure for the random effects at that level or is `_all` representing one group comprising all observations.

<i>fe_options</i>	Description
-------------------	-------------

Model	
<code>offset(<i>varname</i>)</code>	include <i>varname</i> in model with coefficient constrained to 1

<i>re_options</i>	Description
-------------------	-------------

Model	
<code>covariance(<i>vartype</i>)</code>	variance–covariance structure of the random effects
<code>noconstant</code>	suppress constant term from the random-effects equation
<code>fweight(<i>varname</i>)</code>	frequency weights at higher levels
<code>iweight(<i>varname</i>)</code>	importance weights at higher levels
<code>pweight(<i>varname</i>)</code>	sampling weights at higher levels

<i>options</i>	Description
Model	
<u>constraints</u> (<i>constraints</i>)	apply specified linear constraints
<u>collinear</u>	keep collinear variables
SE/Robust	
<u>vce</u> (<i>vcetype</i>)	<i>vcetype</i> may be <code>oim</code> , <code>robust</code> , or <code>cluster clustvar</code>
Reporting	
<u>level</u> (#)	set confidence level; default is <code>level(95)</code>
<u>nocnsreport</u>	do not display constraints
<u>notable</u>	suppress coefficient table
<u>noheader</u>	suppress output header
<u>nogroup</u>	suppress table summarizing groups
<u>nolrtest</u>	do not perform likelihood-ratio test comparing with ordered probit regression
<i>display_options</i>	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Integration	
<u>intmethod</u> (<i>intmethod</i>)	integration method
<u>intpoints</u> (#)	set the number of integration (quadrature) points for all levels; default is <code>intpoints(7)</code>
Maximization	
<i>maximize_options</i>	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>startvalues</u> (<i>svmethod</i>)	method for obtaining starting values
<u>startgrid</u> [(<i>gridspec</i>)]	perform a grid search to improve starting values
<u>noestimate</u>	do not fit the model; show starting values instead
<u>dnnumerical</u>	use numerical derivative techniques
<u>coeflegend</u>	display legend instead of statistics
<hr/>	
<i>vartype</i>	Description
<u>independent</u>	one unique variance parameter per random effect, all covariances 0; the default unless the <code>R.</code> notation is used
<u>exchangeable</u>	equal variances for random effects, and one common pairwise covariance
<u>identity</u>	equal variances for random effects, all covariances 0; the default if the <code>R.</code> notation is used
<u>unstructured</u>	all variances and covariances to be distinctly estimated
<u>fixed</u> (<i>matname</i>)	user-selected variances and covariances constrained to specified values; the remaining variances and covariances unrestricted
<u>pattern</u> (<i>matname</i>)	user-selected variances and covariances constrained to be equal; the remaining variances and covariances unrestricted

<i>intmethod</i>	Description
<u>mv</u> aghermite	mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; the default unless a crossed random-effects model is fit
<u>mc</u> aghermite	mode-curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature
<u>g</u> hermite	nonadaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature
<u>l</u> aplace	Laplacian approximation; the default for crossed random-effects models

indepvars may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 **Factor variables**.

depvar, *indepvars*, and *varlist* may contain time-series operators; see [U] 11.4.4 **Time-series varlists**.

by and *svy* are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 **Prefix commands**.

vce() and weights are not allowed with the *svy* prefix; see [SVY] *svy*.

fweights, *iweights*, and *pweights* are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 **weight**. Only one type of weight may be specified.

Weights are not supported under the Laplacian approximation or for crossed models.

startvalues(), *startgrid*, *noestimate*, *dnumerical*, and *coeflegend* do not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 **Estimation and postestimation commands** for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

Model

offset(*varname*) specifies that *varname* be included in the fixed-effects portion of the model with the coefficient constrained to be 1.

covariance(*vartype*) specifies the structure of the covariance matrix for the random effects and may be specified for each random-effects equation. *vartype* is one of the following: *independent*, *exchangeable*, *identity*, *unstructured*, *fixed*(*matname*), or *pattern*(*matname*).

covariance(*independent*) covariance structure allows for a distinct variance for each random effect within a random-effects equation and assumes that all covariances are 0. The default is *covariance*(*independent*) unless a crossed random-effects model is fit, in which case the default is *covariance*(*identity*).

covariance(*exchangeable*) structure specifies one common variance for all random effects and one common pairwise covariance.

covariance(*identity*) is short for “multiple of the identity”; that is, all variances are equal and all covariances are 0.

covariance(*unstructured*) allows for all variances and covariances to be distinct. If an equation consists of p random-effects terms, the unstructured covariance matrix will have $p(p + 1)/2$ unique parameters.

covariance(*fixed*(*matname*)) and *covariance*(*pattern*(*matname*)) covariance structures provide a convenient way to impose constraints on variances and covariances of random effects. Each specification requires a *matname* that defines the restrictions placed on variances and covariances. Only elements in the lower triangle of *matname* are used, and row and column names of *matname* are ignored. A missing value in *matname* means that a given element is unrestricted. In a *fixed*(*matname*) covariance structure, (co)variance (i, j) is constrained to equal the value specified in the i, j th entry of *matname*. In a *pattern*(*matname*) covariance structure, (co)variances (i, j) and (k, l) are constrained to be equal if *matname*[i, j] = *matname*[k, l].

noconstant suppresses the constant (intercept) term; may be specified for any of or all the random-effects equations.

`fweight(varname)` specifies frequency weights at higher levels in a multilevel model, whereas frequency weights at the first level (the observation level) are specified in the usual manner, for example, [`fw=fwvar1`]. *varname* can be any valid Stata variable name, and you can specify `fweight()` at levels two and higher of a multilevel model. For example, in the two-level model

```
. mecmd fixed_portion [fw = wt1] || school: ... , fweight(wt2) ...
```

the variable `wt1` would hold the first-level (the observation-level) frequency weights, and `wt2` would hold the second-level (the school-level) frequency weights.

`iweight(varname)` specifies importance weights at higher levels in a multilevel model, whereas importance weights at the first level (the observation level) are specified in the usual manner, for example, [`iw=iwvar1`]. *varname* can be any valid Stata variable name, and you can specify `iweight()` at levels two and higher of a multilevel model. For example, in the two-level model

```
. mecmd fixed_portion [iw = wt1] || school: ... , iweight(wt2) ...
```

the variable `wt1` would hold the first-level (the observation-level) importance weights, and `wt2` would hold the second-level (the school-level) importance weights.

`pweight(varname)` specifies sampling weights at higher levels in a multilevel model, whereas sampling weights at the first level (the observation level) are specified in the usual manner, for example, [`pw=pwvar1`]. *varname* can be any valid Stata variable name, and you can specify `pweight()` at levels two and higher of a multilevel model. For example, in the two-level model

```
. mecmd fixed_portion [pw = wt1] || school: ... , pweight(wt2) ...
```

variable `wt1` would hold the first-level (the observation-level) sampling weights, and `wt2` would hold the second-level (the school-level) sampling weights.

`constraints(constraints)`, `collinear`; see [\[R\] estimation options](#).

SE/Robust

`vce(vcetype)` specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (`oim`), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (`robust`), and that allow for intragroup correlation (`cluster clustvar`); see [\[R\] vce-option](#). If `vce(robust)` is specified, robust variances are clustered at the highest level in the multilevel model.

Reporting

`level(#)`, `nocnsreport`; see [\[R\] estimation options](#).

`notable` suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.

`noheader` suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.

`nogroup` suppresses the display of group summary information (number of groups, average group size, minimum, and maximum) from the output header.

`nolrtest` prevents `meoprobit` from performing a likelihood-ratio test that compares the mixed-effects ordered probit model with standard (marginal) ordered probit regression. This option may also be specified upon replay to suppress this test from the output.

display_options: `nocl`, `nopvalues`, `noomitted`, `vsquish`, `noemptycells`, `baselevels`, `allbaselevels`, `nofvlabel`, `fvwrap(#)`, `fvwrapon(style)`, `cformat(%fmt)`, `pformat(%fmt)`, `sformat(%fmt)`, and `nolstretch`; see [\[R\] estimation options](#).

Integration

`intmethod(intmethod)` specifies the integration method to be used for the random-effects model. `mvaghermite` performs mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; `mcaghermite` performs mode-curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; `ghermite` performs nonadaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; and `laplace` performs the Laplacian approximation, equivalent to mode-curvature adaptive Gaussian quadrature with one integration point.

The default integration method is `mvaghermite` unless a crossed random-effects model is fit, in which case the default integration method is `laplace`. The Laplacian approximation has been known to produce biased parameter estimates; however, the bias tends to be more prominent in the estimates of the variance components rather than in the estimates of the fixed effects.

For crossed random-effects models, estimation with more than one quadrature point may be prohibitively intensive even for a small number of levels. For this reason, the integration method defaults to the Laplacian approximation. You may override this behavior by specifying a different integration method.

`intpoints(#)` sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is `intpoints(7)`, which means that seven quadrature points are used for each level of random effects. This option is not allowed with `intmethod(laplace)`.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases as a function of the number of quadrature points raised to a power equaling the dimension of the random-effects specification. In crossed random-effects models and in models with many levels or many random coefficients, this increase can be substantial.

Maximization

`maximize_options`: `difficult`, `technique(algorithm_spec)`, `iterate(#)`, `[no]log`, `trace`, `gradient`, `showstep`, `hessian`, `showtolerance`, `tolerance(#)`, `ltolerance(#)`, `nrtolerance(#)`, `nonrtolerance`, and `from(init_specs)`; see [R] [maximize](#). Those that require special mention for `meoprobit` are listed below.

`from()` accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with `meoprobit` but are not shown in the dialog box:

`startvalues(svmethod)`, `startgrid[(gridspec)]`, `noestimate`, and `dnumerical`; see [ME] [meglm](#).

`coeflegend`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

Remarks and examples

[stata.com](http://www.stata.com)

For a general introduction to `me` commands, see [ME] [me](#).

`meoprobit` is a convenience command for `meglm` with a `probit` link and an `ordinal` family; see [ME] [meglm](#).

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

[Introduction](#)

[Two-level models](#)

[Three-level models](#)

Introduction

Mixed-effects ordered probit regression is ordered probit regression containing both fixed effects and random effects. An ordered response is a variable that is categorical and ordered, for instance, “poor”, “good”, and “excellent”, which might indicate a person’s current health status or the repair record of a car. In the absence of random effects, mixed-effects ordered probit regression reduces to ordered probit regression; see [R] [oprobit](#).

Comprehensive treatments of mixed models are provided by, for example, Searle, Casella, and McCulloch (1992); Verbeke and Molenberghs (2000); Raudenbush and Bryk (2002); Demidenko (2004); Hedeker and Gibbons (2006); McCulloch, Searle, and Neuhaus (2008); and Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2012). Agresti (2010, chap. 10) and Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal (2012, chap. 11) are good introductory readings on applied multilevel modeling of ordinal data.

`meoprobbit` allows for many levels of nested clusters of random effects. For example, in a three-level model you can specify random effects for schools and then random effects for classes nested within schools. In this model, the observations (presumably, the students) comprise the first level, the classes comprise the second level, and the schools comprise the third.

However, for simplicity, for now we consider the two-level model, where for a series of M independent clusters, and conditional on a set of fixed effects \mathbf{x}_{ij} , a set of cutpoints $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$, and a set of random effects \mathbf{u}_j , the cumulative probability of the response being in a category higher than k is

$$\Pr(y_{ij} > k | \mathbf{x}_{ij}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathbf{u}_j) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j - \kappa_k) \quad (1)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, M$ clusters, with cluster j consisting of $i = 1, \dots, n_j$ observations. The cutpoints are labeled $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{K-1}$, where K is the number of possible outcomes. $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the standard normal cumulative distribution function that represents cumulative probability.

The $1 \times p$ row vector \mathbf{x}_{ij} are the covariates for the fixed effects, analogous to the covariates you would find in a standard probit regression model, with regression coefficients (fixed effects) $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. In our parameterization, \mathbf{x}_{ij} does not contain a constant term because its effect is absorbed into the cutpoints. For notational convenience here and throughout this manual entry, we suppress the dependence of y_{ij} on \mathbf{x}_{ij} .

The $1 \times q$ vector \mathbf{z}_{ij} are the covariates corresponding to the random effects and can be used to represent both random intercepts and random coefficients. For example, in a random-intercept model, \mathbf{z}_{ij} is simply the scalar 1. The random effects \mathbf{u}_j are M realizations from a multivariate normal distribution with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and $q \times q$ variance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. The random effects are not directly estimated as model parameters but are instead summarized according to the unique elements of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, known as variance components. One special case of (1) places $\mathbf{z}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_{ij}$ so that all covariate effects are essentially random and distributed as multivariate normal with mean $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and variance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

From (1), we can derive the probability of observing outcome k as

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(y_{ij} = k | \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathbf{u}_j) &= \Pr(\kappa_{k-1} < \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j + \epsilon_{ij} \leq \kappa_k) \\ &= \Pr(\kappa_{k-1} - \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j < \epsilon_{ij} \leq \kappa_k - \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j) \\ &= \Phi(\kappa_k - \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j) - \Phi(\kappa_{k-1} - \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j) \end{aligned}$$

where κ_0 is taken as $-\infty$ and κ_K is taken as $+\infty$.

From the above, we may also write the model in terms of a latent linear response, where observed ordinal responses y_{ij} are generated from the latent continuous responses, such that

$$y_{ij}^* = \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

and

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{ij}^* \leq \kappa_1 \\ 2 & \text{if } \kappa_1 < y_{ij}^* \leq \kappa_2 \\ \vdots & \\ K & \text{if } \kappa_{K-1} < y_{ij}^* \end{cases}$$

The errors ϵ_{ij} are distributed as standard normal with mean 0 and variance 1 and are independent of \mathbf{u}_j .

Model (1) is an example of a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM), which generalizes the linear mixed-effects (LME) model to non-Gaussian responses. You can fit LMEs in Stata by using `mixed` and fit GLMMs by using `meglm`. Because of the relationship between LMEs and GLMMs, there is insight to be gained through examination of the linear mixed model. This is especially true for Stata users because the terminology, syntax, options, and output for fitting these types of models are nearly identical. See [ME] `mixed` and the references therein, particularly in the *Introduction*, for more information.

Log-likelihood calculations for fitting any generalized mixed-effects model require integrating out the random effects. One widely used modern method is to directly estimate the integral required to calculate the log likelihood by Gauss–Hermite quadrature or some variation thereof. Because the log likelihood itself is estimated, this method has the advantage of permitting likelihood-ratio tests for comparing nested models. Also, if done correctly, quadrature approximations can be quite accurate, thus minimizing bias.

`meoprobit` supports three types of Gauss–Hermite quadrature and the Laplacian approximation method; see *Methods and formulas* of [ME] `meglm` for details.

Below we present two short examples of mixed-effects ordered probit regression; refer to [ME] `melogit` for additional examples including crossed random-effects models and to [ME] `me` and [ME] `meglm` for examples of other random-effects models.

Two-level models

We begin with a simple application of (1) as a two-level model, because a one-level model, in our terminology, is just standard ordered probit regression; see [R] `oprobit`.

► Example 1

We use the data from the Television, School, and Family Smoking Prevention and Cessation Project (Flay et al. 1988; Rabe-Hesketh and Skrondal 2012, chap. 11), where schools were randomly assigned into one of four groups defined by two treatment variables. Students within each school are nested in classes, and classes are nested in schools. In this example, we ignore the variability of classes within schools and fit a two-level model; we incorporate classes in a three-level model in [example 2](#). The dependent variable is the tobacco and health knowledge (THK) scale score collapsed into four ordered categories. We regress the outcome on the treatment variables and their interaction and control for the pretreatment score.


```

. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/tvsfpor
. meoprobit thk prethk cc##tv || school:
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -2212.775
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -2127.8111
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -2127.7612
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -2127.7612
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:   log likelihood = -2149.7302
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -2149.7302 (not concave)
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -2129.6838 (not concave)
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -2123.5143
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -2122.2896
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -2121.7949
Iteration 5:   log likelihood = -2121.7716
Iteration 6:   log likelihood = -2121.7715
Mixed-effects oprobit regression
Group variable:      school
Number of obs       =      1,600
Number of groups    =         28
Obs per group:
    min =           18
    avg =          57.1
    max =           137
Integration method: mvaghermite
Integration pts.    =         7
Wald chi2(4)       =      128.05
Prob > chi2        =      0.0000
Log likelihood = -2121.7715

```

thk	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
prethk	.2369804	.0227739	10.41	0.000	.1923444	.2816164
1.cc	.5490957	.1255108	4.37	0.000	.303099	.7950923
1.tv	.1695405	.1215889	1.39	0.163	-.0687693	.4078504
cc##tv						
1 1	-.2951837	.1751969	-1.68	0.092	-.6385634	.0481959
/cut1	-.0682011	.1003374	-0.68	0.497	-.2648587	.1284565
/cut2	.67681	.1008836	6.71	0.000	.4790817	.8745382
/cut3	1.390649	.1037494	13.40	0.000	1.187304	1.593995
school						
var(_cons)	.0288527	.0146201			.0106874	.0778937

```
LR test vs. oprobit model: chibar2(01) = 11.98      Prob >= chibar2 = 0.0003
```

Those of you familiar with the mixed command or other me commands will recognize the syntax and output. Below we comment on the items specific to ordered outcomes.

1. The estimation table reports the fixed effects, the estimated cutpoints ($\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$), and the estimated variance components. The fixed effects can be interpreted just as you would the output from `oprobit`. We find that students with higher preintervention scores tend to have higher postintervention scores. Because of their interaction, the impact of the treatment variables on the knowledge score is not straightforward; we defer this discussion to [example 1](#) of [\[ME\] meoprobit postestimation](#).

2. Underneath the fixed effects and the cutpoints, the table shows the estimated variance components. The random-effects equation is labeled `school`, meaning that these are random effects at the `school` level. Because we have only one random effect at this level, the table shows only one variance component. The estimate of σ_u^2 is 0.03 with standard error 0.01. The reported likelihood-ratio test shows that there is enough variability between schools to favor a mixed-effects ordered probit regression over a standard ordered probit regression; see *Distribution theory for likelihood-ratio test* in [ME] **me** for a discussion of likelihood-ratio testing of variance components.

We now store our estimates for later use.

```
. estimates store r_2
```



Three-level models

Two-level models extend naturally to models with three or more levels with nested random effects. Below we continue with [example 1](#).

▷ Example 2

In this example, we fit a three-level model incorporating classes nested within schools as an additional level. The fixed-effects part remains the same.

```

. meoprobit thk prethk cc##tv || school: || class:
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -2212.775
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -2127.8111
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -2127.7612
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -2127.7612
Refining starting values:
Grid node 0:   log likelihood = -2195.6424
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -2195.6424 (not concave)
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -2167.9576 (not concave)
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -2140.2644 (not concave)
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -2128.6948 (not concave)
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -2119.9225
Iteration 5:   log likelihood = -2117.0947
Iteration 6:   log likelihood = -2116.7004
Iteration 7:   log likelihood = -2116.6981
Iteration 8:   log likelihood = -2116.6981
Mixed-effects oprobit regression           Number of obs   =       1,600

```

Group Variable	No. of Groups	Observations per Group		
		Minimum	Average	Maximum
school	28	18	57.1	137
class	135	1	11.9	28

```

Integration method: mvaghermite           Integration pts. =         7
Wald chi2(4) = 124.20
Log likelihood = -2116.6981               Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

```

thk	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
prethk	.238841	.0231446	10.32	0.000	.1934784	.2842036
1.cc	.5254813	.1285816	4.09	0.000	.2734659	.7774967
1.tv	.1455573	.1255827	1.16	0.246	-.1005803	.3916949
cc##tv						
1 1	-.2426203	.1811999	-1.34	0.181	-.5977656	.1125251
/cut1	-.074617	.1029791	-0.72	0.469	-.2764523	.1272184
/cut2	.6863046	.1034813	6.63	0.000	.4834849	.8891242
/cut3	1.413686	.1064889	13.28	0.000	1.204972	1.622401
school						
var(_cons)	.0186456	.0160226			.0034604	.1004695
school>class						
var(_cons)	.0519974	.0224014			.0223496	.1209745

```

LR test vs. oprobit model: chi2(2) = 22.13           Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

```

Note: LR test is conservative and provided only for reference.

Notes:

1. Our model now has two random-effects equations, separated by ||. The first is a random intercept (constant only) at the `school` level (level three), and the second is a random intercept at the `class` level (level two). The order in which these are specified (from left to right) is significant—`meoprobit` assumes that `class` is nested within `school`.

- The information on groups is now displayed as a table, with one row for each grouping. You can suppress this table with the `nogroup` or the `noheader` option, which will suppress the rest of the header as well.
- The variance-component estimates are now organized and labeled according to level. The variance component for `class` is labeled `school>class` to emphasize that classes are nested within schools.

Compared with the two-level model from [example 1](#), the estimate of the random intercept at the school level dropped from 0.03 to 0.02. This is not surprising because we now use two random components versus one random component to account for unobserved heterogeneity among students. We can use `lrtest` and our stored estimation result from [example 1](#) to see which model provides a better fit:

```
. lrtest r_2 .
Likelihood-ratio test                LR chi2(1) =    10.15
(Assumption: r_2 nested in .)       Prob > chi2 =    0.0014

Note: The reported degrees of freedom assumes the null hypothesis is not on
the boundary of the parameter space. If this is not true, then the
reported test is conservative.
```

The likelihood-ratio test favors the three-level model. For more information about the likelihood-ratio test in the context of mixed-effects models, see [Distribution theory for likelihood-ratio test in \[ME\] me](#).

◀

The above extends to models with more than two levels of nesting in the obvious manner, by adding more random-effects equations, each separated by `||`. The order of nesting goes from left to right as the groups go from biggest (highest level) to smallest (lowest level).

Stored results

meoprobit stores the following in `e()`:

Scalars

<code>e(N)</code>	number of observations
<code>e(k)</code>	number of parameters
<code>e(k_dv)</code>	number of dependent variables
<code>e(k_cat)</code>	number of categories
<code>e(k_eq)</code>	number of equations in <code>e(b)</code>
<code>e(k_eq_model)</code>	number of equations in overall model test
<code>e(k_f)</code>	number of fixed-effects parameters
<code>e(k_r)</code>	number of random-effects parameters
<code>e(k_rs)</code>	number of variances
<code>e(k_rc)</code>	number of covariances
<code>e(df_m)</code>	model degrees of freedom
<code>e(ll)</code>	log likelihood
<code>e(N_clust)</code>	number of clusters
<code>e(chi2)</code>	χ^2
<code>e(p)</code>	significance
<code>e(ll_c)</code>	log likelihood, comparison model
<code>e(chi2_c)</code>	χ^2 , comparison model
<code>e(df_c)</code>	degrees of freedom, comparison model
<code>e(p_c)</code>	significance, comparison model
<code>e(rank)</code>	rank of <code>e(V)</code>
<code>e(ic)</code>	number of iterations
<code>e(rc)</code>	return code
<code>e(converged)</code>	1 if converged, 0 otherwise

Macros

<code>e(cmd)</code>	<code>meglm</code>
<code>e(cmd2)</code>	<code>meoprobit</code>
<code>e(cmdline)</code>	command as typed
<code>e(depvar)</code>	name of dependent variable
<code>e(wtype)</code>	weight type
<code>e(wexp)</code>	weight expression (first-level weights)
<code>e(fweightk)</code>	<code>fweight</code> variable for <i>k</i> th highest level, if specified
<code>e(iweightk)</code>	<code>iweight</code> variable for <i>k</i> th highest level, if specified
<code>e(pweightk)</code>	<code>pweight</code> variable for <i>k</i> th highest level, if specified
<code>e(covariates)</code>	list of covariates
<code>e(ivars)</code>	grouping variables
<code>e(model)</code>	<code>oprobit</code>
<code>e(title)</code>	title in estimation output
<code>e(link)</code>	<code>probit</code>
<code>e(family)</code>	<code>ordinal</code>
<code>e(clustvar)</code>	name of cluster variable
<code>e(offset)</code>	<code>offset</code>
<code>e(intmethod)</code>	integration method
<code>e(n_quad)</code>	number of integration points
<code>e(chi2type)</code>	Wald; type of model χ^2
<code>e(vce)</code>	<code>vctype</code> specified in <code>vce()</code>
<code>e(vctype)</code>	title used to label Std. Err.
<code>e(opt)</code>	type of optimization
<code>e(which)</code>	max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
<code>e(ml_method)</code>	type of ml method
<code>e(user)</code>	name of likelihood-evaluator program
<code>e(technique)</code>	maximization technique
<code>e(datasignature)</code>	the checksum
<code>e(datasignaturevars)</code>	variables used in calculation of checksum
<code>e(properties)</code>	<code>b V</code>
<code>e(estat_cmd)</code>	program used to implement <code>estat</code>
<code>e(predict)</code>	program used to implement <code>predict</code>
<code>e(marginsnotok)</code>	predictions disallowed by <code>margins</code>
<code>e(marginswtype)</code>	weight type for <code>margins</code>
<code>e(marginswexp)</code>	weight expression for <code>margins</code>
<code>e(marginsdefault)</code>	default <code>predict()</code> specification for <code>margins</code>
<code>e(asbalanced)</code>	factor variables <code>fvset</code> as <code>asbalanced</code>
<code>e(asobserved)</code>	factor variables <code>fvset</code> as <code>asobserved</code>

Matrices

<code>e(b)</code>	coefficient vector
<code>e(Cns)</code>	constraints matrix
<code>e(i log)</code>	iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
<code>e(gradient)</code>	gradient vector
<code>e(N_g)</code>	group counts
<code>e(g_min)</code>	group-size minimums
<code>e(g_avg)</code>	group-size averages
<code>e(g_max)</code>	group-size maximums
<code>e(V)</code>	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
<code>e(V_modelbased)</code>	model-based variance

Functions

<code>e(sample)</code>	marks estimation sample
------------------------	-------------------------

Methods and formulas

Without a loss of generality, consider a two-level ordered probit model. The probability of observing outcome k for response y_{ij} is then

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij} &= \Pr(y_{ij} = k | \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathbf{u}_j) = \Pr(\kappa_{k-1} < \boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} + \epsilon_{it} \leq \kappa_k) \\ &= \Phi(\kappa_k - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}) - \Phi(\kappa_{k-1} - \boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{z}_{ij}\mathbf{u}_j + \text{offset}_{ij}$, κ_0 is taken as $-\infty$, and κ_K is taken as $+\infty$. Here \mathbf{x}_{ij} does not contain a constant term because its effect is absorbed into the cutpoints.

For cluster j , $j = 1, \dots, M$, the conditional distribution of $\mathbf{y}_j = (y_{j1}, \dots, y_{jn_j})'$ given a set of cluster-level random effects \mathbf{u}_j is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{y}_j | \mathbf{u}_j) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n_j} p_{ij}^{I_k(y_{ij})} \\ &= \exp \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \left\{ I_k(y_{ij}) \log(p_{ij}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_k(y_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{ij} = k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Because the prior distribution of \mathbf{u}_j is multivariate normal with mean $\mathbf{0}$ and $q \times q$ variance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, the likelihood contribution for the j th cluster is obtained by integrating \mathbf{u}_j out of the joint density $f(\mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{u}_j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= (2\pi)^{-q/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \int f(\mathbf{y}_j | \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \mathbf{u}_j) \exp(-\mathbf{u}_j' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j / 2) d\mathbf{u}_j \\ &= (2\pi)^{-q/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2} \int \exp\{h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j)\} d\mathbf{u}_j \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \left\{ I_k(y_{ij}) \log(p_{ij}) \right\} - \mathbf{u}_j' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j / 2$$

and for convenience, in the arguments of $h(\cdot)$ we suppress the dependence on the observable data $(\mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{X}_j, \mathbf{Z}_j)$.

The integration in (2) has no closed form and thus must be approximated. `meoprobit` offers four approximation methods: mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature (default unless a crossed random-effects model is fit), mode–curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature, nonadaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature, and Laplacian approximation (default for crossed random-effects models).

The Laplacian approximation is based on a second-order Taylor expansion of $h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{u}_j)$ about the value of \mathbf{u}_j that maximizes it; see *Methods and formulas* in [ME] `meglm` for details.

Gaussian quadrature relies on transforming the multivariate integral in (2) into a set of nested univariate integrals. Each univariate integral can then be evaluated using a form of Gaussian quadrature; see *Methods and formulas* in [ME] `meglm` for details.

The log likelihood for the entire dataset is simply the sum of the contributions of the M individual clusters, namely, $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{j=1}^M \mathcal{L}_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\kappa}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

Maximization of $\mathcal{L}(\beta, \kappa, \Sigma)$ is performed with respect to $(\beta, \kappa, \sigma^2)$, where σ^2 is a vector comprising the unique elements of Σ . Parameter estimates are stored in `e(b)` as $(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\kappa}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$, with the corresponding variance–covariance matrix stored in `e(V)`.

`meoprob1t` supports multilevel weights and survey data; see *Methods and formulas* in [ME] `meglm` for details.

References

- Agresti, A. 2010. *Analysis of Ordinal Categorical Data*. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Andrews, M. J., T. Schank, and R. Upward. 2006. [Practical fixed-effects estimation methods for the three-way error-components model](#). *Stata Journal* 6: 461–481.
- Demidenko, E. 2004. *Mixed Models: Theory and Applications*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Flay, B. R., B. R. Brannon, C. A. Johnson, W. B. Hansen, A. L. Ulene, D. A. Whitney-Saltiel, L. R. Gleason, S. Sussman, M. D. Gavin, K. M. Glowacz, D. F. Sobol, and D. C. Spiegel. 1988. The television, school, and family smoking cessation and prevention project: I. Theoretical basis and program development. *Preventive Medicine* 17: 585–607.
- Gutierrez, R. G., S. L. Carter, and D. M. Drukker. 2001. [sg160: On boundary-value likelihood-ratio tests](#). *Stata Technical Bulletin* 60: 15–18. Reprinted in *Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints*, vol. 10, pp. 269–273. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Harbord, R. M., and P. Whiting. 2009. [metandi: Meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy using hierarchical logistic regression](#). *Stata Journal* 9: 211–229.
- Hedeker, D., and R. D. Gibbons. 2006. *Longitudinal Data Analysis*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- Joe, H. 2008. Accuracy of Laplace approximation for discrete response mixed models. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 52: 5066–5074.
- Laird, N. M., and J. H. Ware. 1982. Random-effects models for longitudinal data. *Biometrics* 38: 963–974.
- Lin, X., and N. E. Breslow. 1996. Bias correction in generalized linear mixed models with multiple components of dispersion. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 91: 1007–1016.
- Marchenko, Y. V. 2006. [Estimating variance components in Stata](#). *Stata Journal* 6: 1–21.
- McCulloch, C. E., S. R. Searle, and J. M. Neuhaus. 2008. *Generalized, Linear, and Mixed Models*. 2nd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- McLachlan, G. J., and K. E. Basford. 1988. *Mixture Models: Inference and Applications to Clustering*. New York: Dekker.
- Rabe-Hesketh, S., and A. Skrondal. 2012. [Multilevel and Longitudinal Modeling Using Stata](#). 3rd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Raudenbush, S. W., and A. S. Bryk. 2002. *Hierarchical Linear Models: Applications and Data Analysis Methods*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Searle, S. R., G. Casella, and C. E. McCulloch. 1992. *Variance Components*. New York: Wiley.
- Self, S. G., and K.-Y. Liang. 1987. Asymptotic properties of maximum likelihood estimators and likelihood ratio tests under nonstandard conditions. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 82: 605–610.
- Verbeke, G., and G. Molenberghs. 2000. *Linear Mixed Models for Longitudinal Data*. New York: Springer.

Also see

[ME] **meoprobit postestimation** — Postestimation tools for meoprobit

[ME] **meologit** — Multilevel mixed-effects ordered logistic regression

[ME] **me** — Introduction to multilevel mixed-effects models

[SEM] **intro 5** — Tour of models (*Multilevel mixed-effects models*)

[SVY] **svy estimation** — Estimation commands for survey data

[XT] **xtprobit** — Random-effects ordered probit models

[U] **20 Estimation and postestimation commands**