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The first example is a reference to chapter 26, Overview of Stata estimation commands, in the User's Guide; the second is a reference to the regress entry in the Base Reference Manual; and the third is a reference to the reshape entry in the Data Management Reference Manual.

All the manuals in the Stata Documentation have a shorthand notation:
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| :--- | :--- |
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| [TS] | Stata Time-Series Reference Manual |
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| [I] | Sotential Outcomes/Counterfactual Outcomes |
| Stata Glossary and Index |  |
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## Description

Item response theory (IRT) is used in the design, analysis, scoring, and comparison of tests and similar instruments whose purpose is to measure unobservable characteristics of the respondents. This entry discusses some fundamental and theoretical aspects of IRT and illustrates these with worked examples.

## Binary response models

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { irt 1pl } & \text { One-parameter logistic model } \\
\text { irt 2pl } & \text { Two-parameter logistic model } \\
\text { irt 3pl } & \text { Three-parameter logistic model }
\end{array}
$$

## Categorical response models

| irt grm | Graded response model |
| :--- | :--- |
| irt nrm | Nominal response model |
| irt pcm | Partial credit model |
| irt rsm | Rating scale model |

Multiple IRT models combined
irt hybrid Hybrid IRT model

## Remarks and examples

Researchers are often interested in studying abilities, personality traits, and other unobservable characteristics. Throughout this manual, we most often refer to the unobserved characteristic of interest as the latent trait, but we will sometimes also use the term ability.

Latent traits cannot be measured directly, because they are unobservable, but they can be quantified with an instrument. An instrument is simply a collection of items designed to measure a person's level of the latent trait. For example, a researcher interested in measuring mathematical ability (latent trait) may design a test (instrument) consisting of 100 questions (items).

When designing the instrument or analyzing data from the instrument, the researcher is interested in how each individual item relates to the trait and how the group of items as a whole relates to this trait. IRT models allow us to study these relationships.

IRT models are used extensively in the study of cognitive and personality traits, health outcomes, and in the development of item banks and computerized adaptive testing. Some examples of applied work include measuring computer anxiety in grade school children (King and Bond 1996), assessing physical functioning in adults with HIV (Wu, Hays, Kelly, Malitz, and Bozzette 1997), and measuring the degree of public policy involvement of nutritional professionals (Boardley, Fox, and Robinson 1999).

The bulk of the theoretical work in IRT comes from the fields of psychometrics and educational measurement with key early contributions from Rasch (1960), Birnbaum (1968), Wright and Stone (1979), and Lord (1980). Some good introductory IRT reading includes Hambleton, Swaminathan, and Rogers (1991), McDonald (1999), Embretson and Reise (2000), Bond and Fox (2007), and de Ayala (2009). More advanced treatments are presented, for example, in Fischer and Molenaar (1995), van der Linden and Hambleton (1997), Baker and Kim (2004), and De Boeck and Wilson (2004).

The main concept in IRT is the item characteristic curve (ICC). The ICC describes the probability that a person "succeeds" on a given item (individual test question). In the following graph, we can see an ICC for one item intended to measure ability. Notice that the probability of this broadly defined success increases as ability increases.


ICCs will be different for different items. The probability of success on an item is a function of both the level of the latent trait and the properties of the item. The latent trait is commonly denoted by $\theta$. The value of $\theta$ for a given person is called the person location. The item properties are parameters, commonly known as difficulty and discrimination, that are estimated in the IRT model.

The difficulty parameter, or item location, commonly denoted by $b$, represents the location of an item on the ability scale. For example, the following graph plots the ICC for items q 1 , q 2 , and q 3 , with difficulty parameters $-1,0$, and 1 , respectively.


Item q1 is the least difficult, and item q3 is the most difficult. Notice that the change in difficulty shifts the ICC along the ability scale (that is, the horizontal axis or $x$ axis). The probability of success on item q1 is higher than the probability of success for the other two items at any ability level. We can say item q1 is less difficult than the others because a person would need only an ability level greater than -1 on this ability scale to be expected to succeed on item q1. On the other hand, a person would need an ability level above 0 to be expected to succeed on item q2 and an ability level above 1 to be expected to succeed on item q3.

In designing an instrument intended to differentiate between all levels of a latent trait, a researcher should try to have items with difficulties spread across the full range of the trait.

The second item parameter, discrimination, is related to the slope of the ICC. Discrimination is commonly denoted by $a$. This item parameter tells us how fast the probability of success changes with ability near the item difficulty. An item with a large discrimination value has a high correlation between the latent trait and the probability of success on that item. In other words, an item with a large discrimination parameter can distinguish better between low and high levels of the latent trait.

In the graph above, all three items have the same discrimination. In the graph below, all three items have the same difficulty, but they have different discrimination values. A highly discriminating item differentiates better, around its difficulty value, between persons of similar levels of the latent trait.


Imagine two persons, one with ability just below zero, and the other with ability just above zero. According to the ICC for item q1, these persons would have a similar probability of success on this item. According to the ICC for item q3, the person with the higher ability level would have a substantially higher probability of success on this item.

Using an IRT model, we can estimate the discrimination and difficulty parameters, $a$ and $b$, for each item on an instrument designed to measure a particular latent trait. Throughout this manual, we assume that a single latent trait is sufficient to explain a person's response behavior on the group of items. More technically, we assume a unidimensional latent space. We also assume that after we condition on ability, a person's responses to an item are independent of his or her responses to other items. This is called a conditional independence or a local independence assumption.

We can now express a generic functional form of an ICC as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(\text { success } \mid a, b, \theta)=F\{a(\theta-b)\}
$$

The difference term $(\theta-b)$ tells us that the probability of success is a function of the distance between item location and person location. When $\theta=b$, that is, when item difficulty is matched to a person's latent trait level, the individual is equally likely to pass or fail the item. When $\theta>b$, the individual is more likely to succeed than to fail. Because we can obtain the same distance with different choices of $\theta$ and $b$, we need to provide a metric for $\theta$ to identify the model. We do so by assuming $\theta \sim N(0,1)$, which also puts the item difficulty parameter on the same scale as the standard normal distribution. With the standard normal scale, items with negative difficulties are considered to be relatively easy, and items with positive difficulties are considered to be relatively hard.

For any IRT model, we assume $F(\cdot)$ to be of correct functional form and increasing with the value of the latent trait. Because probabilities are bounded between 0 and $1, F(\cdot)$ is usually a variation of a cumulative logistic distribution.

Through choices of $F(\cdot)$ and specification of certain constraints on the estimated parameters, we can fit a variety of different types of IRT models. Using the irt commands, we can fit IRT models to binary, ordinal, and nominal items. Below we demonstrate an IRT model with binary items and an IRT model with ordinal items. For additional information and examples of the models available for binary items, see [IRT] irt 1pl, [IRT] irt 2pl, and [IRT] irt 3pl. For models with ordinal items, see [IRT] irt grm, [IRT] irt rsm, and [IRT] irt pem. For models with nominal items, see [IRT] irt nrm. In addition to fitting these models, we can better understand each item and its relationship to the latent trait through a variety of graphs, as demonstrated in the examples below.

From a broader statistical perspective, IRT models can be viewed as extensions of (unidimensional) confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) models to binary and categorical outcomes and as special cases of generalized linear mixed-effects models; see chapter 1 in De Boeck and Wilson (2004) and chapter 3 in Skrondal and Rabe-Hesketh (2004) for a theoretical discussion and Zheng and Rabe-Hesketh (2007) for applied examples.

## > Example 1: Binary IRT models

In this example, we present IRT analysis of binary data and highlight some postestimation features of irt. We use an abridged version of the mathematics and science data from De Boeck and Wilson (2004). Student responses to test items are coded 1 for correct and 0 for incorrect. Here we list the first five observations.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck & Wilson (2004))
. list in 1/5
```

| q1 | q2 | q3 | q4 | q5 | q6 | q7 | q8 | q9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Looking across the rows, we see that the first student correctly answered items q1, q2, q3, and q8, the second student correctly answered items q3, q8, and q9, and so on.

Let's say the goal of the test is to assess students' mathematical ability and perhaps classify the students into groups, for example, gifted, average, and remedial. We could look at the total test score for each student, but the problem is that the total score depends on the composition of the test. If the test comprises easy items, most students will appear to be gifted, and if the test comprises hard items, most students will be assigned to the remedial group. When the model fits the data, an attractive property of IRT is that, except for measurement error, parameter estimates are invariant; that is, examinee ability estimates are not test dependent, and item parameter estimates are not group dependent.

We fit a 1PL model to binary items $q 1-\mathrm{q} 9$ as follows.

```
. irt 1pl q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4275.6606
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4269.7861
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4269.7825
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4269.7825
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4153.3609
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4142.374
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4142.3516
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4142.3516
```

One-parameter logistic model Number of obs $=800$
Log likelihood $=-4142.3516$

|  |  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Discrim | .852123 | .0458445 | 18.59 | 0.000 | .7622695 | .9419765 |
| q1 | Diff | -.7071339 | .1034574 | -6.84 | 0.000 | -.9099066 | -.5043612 |
| q2 | Diff | -.1222008 | .0963349 | -1.27 | 0.205 | -.3110138 | .0666122 |
| q3 | Diff | -1.817693 | .1399523 | -12.99 | 0.000 | -2.091994 | -1.543391 |
| q4 | Diff | .3209596 | .0976599 | 3.29 | 0.001 | .1295498 | .5123695 |
| q5 | Diff | 1.652719 | .1329494 | 12.43 | 0.000 | 1.392144 | 1.913295 |
| q6 | Diff | .6930617 | .1031842 | 6.72 | 0.000 | .4908243 | .8952991 |
| q7 | Diff | 1.325001 | .1205805 | 10.99 | 0.000 | 1.088668 | 1.561335 |
| q8 | Diff | -2.413443 | .1691832 | -14.27 | 0.000 | -2.745036 | -2.08185 |

Looking at the output table, we see that the first row reports the estimate of the item discrimination parameter, labeled Discrim. In a 1PL model, this parameter is shared by all items. The estimate of 0.85 suggests the items are not particularly discriminating; that is, in the vicinity of a given difficulty estimate, any two students with distinct abilities would have similar predicted probabilities of responding correctly to an item. The remaining rows report the estimates of the difficulty parameters, labeled Diff, for each item. The items appear to cover a wide range of the item difficulty spectrum, with item q 8 being the lowest $\left(\widehat{b}_{8}=-2.41\right)$ and item q 5 being the highest ( $\widehat{b}_{5}=1.65$ ).

We use estat report to arrange the output in a particular sort order, which, in our example, makes it easy to see which items are easy and which are hard; see [IRT] estat report for details.
. estat report, sort(b) byparm
One-parameter logistic model Number of obs $=800$
Log likelihood = -4142.3516

|  | Coef . | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discrim | . 852123 | . 0458445 | 18.59 | 0.000 | . 7622695 | . 9419765 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| q8 | -2.413443 | . 1691832 | -14.27 | 0.000 | -2.745036 | -2.08185 |
| q3 | -1.817693 | . 1399523 | -12.99 | 0.000 | -2.091994 | -1.543391 |
| q9 | -1.193206 | . 1162054 | -10.27 | 0.000 | -1.420965 | -. 965448 |
| q1 | -. 7071339 | . 1034574 | -6.84 | 0.000 | -. 9099066 | -. 5043612 |
| q2 | -. 1222008 | . 0963349 | -1.27 | 0.205 | -. 3110138 | . 0666122 |
| q4 | . 3209596 | . 0976599 | 3.29 | 0.001 | . 1295498 | . 5123695 |
| q6 | . 6930617 | . 1031842 | 6.72 | 0.000 | . 4908243 | . 8952991 |
| q7 | 1.325001 | . 1205805 | 10.99 | 0.000 | 1.088668 | 1.561335 |
| q5 | 1.652719 | . 1329494 | 12.43 | 0.000 | 1.392144 | 1.913295 |

To visualize the item locations on the difficulty spectrum, we plot the ICCs for all items using irtgraph icc; see [IRT] irtgraph icc for details.

```
. irtgraph icc, blocation legend(off) xlabel(,alt)
```



The probabilities represent the expected scores for each item along the latent trait continuum. For the 1PL model, the midpoint probability for each item corresponds with the estimated difficulty parameter.

The sum of the probabilities gives us the expected score on the whole test. A plot of the expected score against the latent trait is called a test characteristic curve (TCC). Below we plot the TCC for our model using irtgraph tcc; see [IRT] irtgraph tcc for details. The scorelines (2 7) option specifies that droplines corresponding to the expected scores of 2 and 7 also be plotted. According to the estimated TCC, these expected scores correspond with the latent trait locations -2.1 and 1.6 , respectively.

```
irtgraph tcc, scorelines(2 7)
```

Test Characteristic Curve


The invariance property of IRT holds only if the model fits the data. One informal method to check item fit is to superimpose empirical proportions on an ICC. If the predicted ICC follows closely the empirical trace line implied by the proportions, an item is assumed to have a satisfactory fit.

To calculate the empirical proportions, we predict the latent trait and collapse the items by the latent trait. We then call irtgraph icc with option addplot() to superimpose the proportions on the ICC.

```
. predict Theta, latent
(option ebmeans assumed)
(using 7 quadrature points)
. collapse q*, by(Theta)
. irtgraph icc q1, addplot(scatter q1 Theta)
> title("ICC and empirical proportions for q1")
```



We see that the fit of the ICC to the implied empirical trace line is poor. This is true for all items in the model. It is possible that a 2PL model may be more appropriate for this item. Before we fit a 2PL model, we store our estimates for later use.

```
. estimates store onep
```

To fit a 2PL model to the data, we type

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck & Wilson (2004))
. irt 2pl q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4275.6606
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4269.7861
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4269.7825
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4269.7825
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4146.9386
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4119.3568
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4118.4716
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4118.4697
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -4118.4697
```

Two-parameter logistic model Number of obs $=800$
Log likelihood = -4118.4697

|  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.615292 | . 2436467 | 6.63 | 0.000 | 1.137754 | 2.092831 |
| Diff | -. 4745635 | . 074638 | -6.36 | 0.000 | -. 6208513 | -. 3282757 |
| q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 6576171 | . 1161756 | 5.66 | 0.000 | . 4299171 | . 885317 |
| Diff | -. 1513023 | . 1202807 | -1.26 | 0.208 | -. 3870481 | . 0844435 |
| q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 9245051 | . 1569806 | 5.89 | 0.000 | . 6168289 | 1.232181 |
| Diff | -1.70918 | . 242266 | -7.05 | 0.000 | -2.184012 | -1.234347 |
| q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 8186403 | . 1284832 | 6.37 | 0.000 | . 5668179 | 1.070463 |
| Diff | . 3296791 | . 1076105 | 3.06 | 0.002 | . 1187663 | . 5405919 |
| q5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 8956621 | . 1535128 | 5.83 | 0.000 | . 5947825 | 1.196542 |
| Diff | 1.591164 | . 2325918 | 6.84 | 0.000 | 1.135293 | 2.047036 |
| q6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 9828441 | . 147888 | 6.65 | 0.000 | . 6929889 | 1.272699 |
| Diff | . 622954 | . 1114902 | 5.59 | 0.000 | . 4044373 | . 8414708 |
| q7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 3556064 | . 1113146 | 3.19 | 0.001 | . 1374337 | . 5737791 |
| Diff | 2.840278 | . 8717471 | 3.26 | 0.001 | 1.131685 | 4.548871 |
| q8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.399926 | . 233963 | 5.98 | 0.000 | . 9413668 | 1.858485 |
| Diff | -1.714416 | . 1925531 | -8.90 | 0.000 | -2.091814 | -1.337019 |
| q9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 6378452 | . 1223972 | 5.21 | 0.000 | . 3979512 | . 8777392 |
| Diff | -1.508254 | . 2787386 | -5.41 | 0.000 | -2.054571 | -. 9619361 |

Now each item has its own discrimination parameter that models the slope of the ICC for that item. In a 1PL model, the discrimination for all items was estimated to be 0.85 . Looking at item q1 in the output table above, we see that its discrimination is estimated to be 1.62 , which corresponds to a steeper slope and should result in a better item fit.

Because the 1PL model is nested in a 2PL model, we can perform a likelihood-ratio test to see which model is preferred.

```
. lrtest onep .
Likelihood-ratio test LR chi2(8) = 47.76
(Assumption: onep nested in .) Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

The near-zero significance level favors the model that allows for a separate discrimination parameter for each item.

Continuing with the 2 PL model, we can also plot the amount of information an item provides for estimating the latent trait. A plot of item information against the latent trait is called an item information function (IIF). We use irtgraph iif to obtain the IIFs for all items in the model; see [IRT] irtgraph iif for details.

```
. irtgraph iif, legend(pos(1) col(1) ring(0))
```



For a 2PL model, IIFs are unimodal and symmetric, and each item provides the maximum amount of information at its estimated difficulty parameter. The height of an IIF and therefore the amount of information an item provides around the difficulty parameter is proportional to the item's estimated discrimination. Items q 1 and q 8 are most discriminating and have the steepest IIFs.

We can sum up all the IIFs to obtain a test information function (TIF). The TIF plot tells us how well the instrument can estimate person locations; see [IRT] irtgraph tif for details.


The test provides maximum information for persons approximately located at $\theta=-0.5$. As we move away from that point in either direction, the standard error of the TIF increases, and the instrument provides less and less information about $\theta$.

The TIF is useful in designing instruments targeted at obtaining precise estimates of a person's latent trait level at specified intervals. If our interest lies in identifying gifted and remedial students, we would like the instrument to be more precise at the extrema of the ability range. If we wish to have a similar precision of ability estimate across the entire ability range, we would like to see a relatively flat TIF. Because the TIF is a sum of IIFs, we can obtain the desired shape of the TIF by incorporating items targeted at a specified ability interval.

The last binary model, not shown here, is a 3PL model. This model adds to the 2 PL model by accommodating the possibility of guessing. We discuss this model in the [IRT] irt 3pl entry.

## > Example 2: Categorical IRT models

Categorical IRT models include models for ordered and unordered responses. Here we present a graded response model (GRM) for ordered responses.

The GRM is an extension of the 2PL model to categorical outcomes. To illustrate the model, we use the data from Zheng and Rabe-Hesketh (2007). charity.dta contains five survey questions, ta1 through ta5, measuring faith and trust in charity organizations. Responses are strongly agree (0), agree (1), disagree (2), and strongly disagree (3). Higher scores indicate higher levels of distrust. Here we list the first five observations.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/charity
(Data from Zheng & Rabe-Hesketh (2007))
. list in 1/5, nolabel
```

| ta1 | ta2 | ta3 | ta4 | ta5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| . | 2 | 1 | 1 | . |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Looking across the first row, we see that the first respondent did not provide an answer to items ta1 and ta5, answered 2 on item ta2, and answered 1 on items ta3 and ta4. All irt commands exclude missing items for a given observation from the likelihood calculation but keep the nonmissing items for that observation. If you wish to remove the entire observation from the model, add the listwise option at estimation time.

We fit a GRM as follows:
. irt grm ta1-ta5
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-5467.3926$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-5467.3926$
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-5271.0634$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-5162.5917$
Iteration 2: log likelihood $=-5159.2947$
Iteration 3: log likelihood $=-5159.2791$
Iteration 4: log likelihood $=-5159.2791$
Graded response model Number of obs $=\quad 945$
Log likelihood = -5159. 2791

|  | Coef. | Std. Err . | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ta1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 907542 | . 0955772 | 9.50 | 0.000 | . 7202142 | 1.09487 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -1.540098 | . 1639425 | -9.39 | 0.000 | -1.861419 | -1.218776 |
| $>=2$ | 1.296135 | . 1427535 | 9.08 | 0.000 | 1.016343 | 1.575927 |
| $=3$ | 3.305059 | . 3248468 | 10.17 | 0.000 | 2.668371 | 3.941747 |
| ta2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 9434675 | . 0967483 | 9.75 | 0.000 | . 7538444 | 1.133091 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -1.661331 | . 167878 | -9.90 | 0.000 | -1.990366 | -1.332296 |
| $>=2$ | . 0068314 | . 082222 | 0.08 | 0.934 | -. 1543208 | . 1679836 |
| =3 | 2.531091 | . 2412513 | 10.49 | 0.000 | 2.058247 | 3.003935 |
| ta3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.734201 | . 1554383 | 11.16 | 0.000 | 1.429548 | 2.038855 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -1.080079 | . 0835119 | -12.93 | 0.000 | -1.243759 | -. 9163983 |
| >=2 | 1.016567 | . 0796635 | 12.76 | 0.000 | . 8604297 | 1.172705 |
| =3 | 2.232606 | . 1497814 | 14.91 | 0.000 | 1.93904 | 2.526172 |
| ta4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.93344 | .1857629 | 10.41 | 0.000 | 1.569351 | 2.297528 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -. 3445057 | . 0578468 | -5.96 | 0.000 | -. 4578833 | -. 2311282 |
| $>=2$ | 1.466254 | . 0983823 | 14.90 | 0.000 | 1.273428 | 1.65908 |
| =3 | 2.418954 | . 162392 | 14.90 | 0.000 | 2.100672 | 2.737237 |
| ta5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.42753 | . 1263962 | 11.29 | 0.000 | 1.179798 | 1.675262 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -. 8552358 | . 0833158 | -10.26 | 0.000 | -1.018532 | -. 6919399 |
| $>=2$ | . 6805315 | . 07469 | 9.11 | 0.000 | . 5341418 | . 8269211 |
| $=3$ | 2.074243 | . 1538858 | 13.48 | 0.000 | 1.772632 | 2.375853 |

Because the GRM is derived in terms of cumulative probabilities, the estimated category difficulties represent a point at which a person with ability equal to a given difficulty has a $50 \%$ chance of responding in a category equal to or higher than the difficulty designates; see [IRT] irt grm for details. For example, looking at the estimated parameters of item ta5, we see that a person with $\theta=-0.86$ has a $50 \%$ chance of answering 0 versus greater than or equal to 1 , a person with $\theta=0.68$ has a $50 \%$ chance of answering 0 or 1 versus greater than or equal to 2 , and a person with $\theta=2.07$ has a $50 \%$ chance of answering 0,1 , or 2 versus 3 .

We can use irtgraph icc to plot these probabilities; here we show them for item ta5 together with the estimated category difficulties. In a GRM, the midpoint probability for each category is located at the estimated category difficulty.

```
. irtgraph icc ta5, blocation legend(pos(11) col(1) ring(0))
```



When we plot characteristic curves for categorical items in ways reminiscent of ICCs for binary items, the resulting curves are called boundary characteristic curves (BCCs).

We can also plot the probabilities of respondents choosing exactly category $k$. For categorical items, the resulting curves are called category characteristic curves (CCCs). In fact, this is the default behavior of irtgraph icc.

```
. irtgraph icc ta5, xlabel(-4 -.7 .7 1.85 4, grid)
```



The points where the adjacent categories cross represent transitions from one category to the next. Thus, respondents with low levels of distrust, below approximately $\theta=-0.7$, are most likely to choose the first category on item ta5 (strongly agree), respondents located approximately between -0.7 and 0.7 are most likely to choose the second category on item ta5 (agree), and so on.

As in the first example, we can plot the test characteristic function for the whole instrument.
. irtgraph tcc, thetalines ( $-3 / 3$ )


Because we have 5 items, each with a minimum score of 0 and a maximum score of 3 , the expected score ranges from 0 to 15 . We also asked irtgraph icc to plot the expected scores for different values of $\theta$. For respondents located at $\theta=-3$ and below, the expected score is less than 1 , which means those respondents are most likely to choose the answer coded 0 on each and every item.

For categorical items, the item information function is no longer unimodal or symmetric, because each category contributes its own information, which may peak over a different ability range. We see this in the graph below.

```
. irtgraph iif, legend(pos(11) col(1) ring(0))
```



Because the test information function is the sum of the individual IIFs, its plot will also exhibit peaks and valleys.


In the above example, we presented the GRM. The irt command also supports other models for categorical responses; see [IRT] irt nrm for a discussion of the nominal response model (NRM), [IRT] irt pem for a discussion of the partial credit model (PCM), and [IRT] irt rsm for a discussion of the rating scale model (RSM).

In addition to binary and categorical IRT models, the irt command allows you to apply different models to subsets of items and perform a single calibration for the whole instrument. We call such models hybrid IRT models; see [IRT] irt hybrid for a further discussion and examples.

## References

Baker, F. B., and S. Kim. 2004. Item Response Theory: Parameter Estimation Techniques. 2nd ed. New York: Dekker.
Birnbaum, A. 1968. Some latent trait models and their use in inferring an examinee's ability. In Statistical Theories of Mental Test Scores, ed. F. M. Lord and M. R. Novick, 395-479. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Boardley, D., C. M. Fox, and K. L. Robinson. 1999. Public policy involvement of nutrition professionals. Journal of Nutrition Education 31: 248-254.

Bond, T. G., and C. M. Fox. 2007. Applying the Rasch Model: Fundamental Measurement in the Human Sciences. 2nd ed. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
de Ayala, R. J. 2009. The Theory and Practice of Item Response Theory. New York: Guilford Press.
De Boeck, P., and M. Wilson, ed. 2004. Explanatory Item Response Models: A Generalized Linear and Nonlinear Approach. New York: Springer.

Embretson, S. E., and S. P. Reise. 2000. Item Response Theory for Psychologists. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
Fischer, G. H., and I. W. Molenaar, ed. 1995. Rasch Models: Foundations, Recent Developments, and Applications. New York: Springer.
Hambleton, R. K., H. Swaminathan, and H. J. Rogers. 1991. Fundamentals of Item Response Theory. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

King, J., and T. G. Bond. 1996. A Rasch analysis of a measure of computer anxiety. Journal of Educational Computing Research 14: 49-65.

Lord, F. M. 1980. Applications of Item Response Theory to Practical Testing Problems. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

McDonald, R. P. 1999. Test Theory: A Unified Treatment. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
Rasch, G. 1960. Probabilistic Models for Some Intelligence and Attainment Tests. Copenhagen: Danish Institute of Educational Research.
Skrondal, A., and S. Rabe-Hesketh. 2004. Generalized Latent Variable Modeling: Multilevel, Longitudinal, and Structural Equation Models. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman \& Hall/CRC.
van der Linden, W. J., and R. K. Hambleton, ed. 1997. Handbook of Modern Item Response Theory. New York: Springer.
Wright, B. D., and M. H. Stone. 1979. Best Test Design: Rasch Measurement. Chicago: MESA Press.
Wu, A. W., R. D. Hays, S. Kelly, F. Malitz, and S. A. Bozzette. 1997. Applications of the Medical Outcomes Study health-related quality of life measures in HIV/AIDS. Quality of Life Research 6: 531-554.

Zheng, X., and S. Rabe-Hesketh. 2007. Estimating parameters of dichotomous and ordinal item response models with gllamm. Stata Journal 7: 313-333.
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## [IRT] Glossary

[IRT] dif - Introduction to differential item functioning
[IRT] irt 1pl - One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 2pl — Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt nrm - Nominal response model
[IRT] irt pem - Partial credit model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[IRT] irt hybrid - Hybrid IRT models
[SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command

## Title

Control Panel - IRT Control Panel
Description Remarks and examples Reference Also see

## Description

The IRT Control Panel allows you to perform a complete IRT analysis. From the Control Panel, you can fit IRT models, create customized reports of the results, and produce graphs of item characteristic curves (ICCs), category characteristic curves (CCCs), test characteristic curves (TCCs), item information functions (IIFs), and test information functions (TIFs).

## Remarks and examples

You can perform IRT analyses using the irt commands, estat report, and the irtgraph commands, or you can perform complete analyses interactively using the IRT Control Panel. Any analysis that you can perform with the irt commands, you can also perform from the Control Panel. This includes customizing graphs and reports.

To demonstrate the IRT Control Panel, we will work example 1 of [IRT] irt. We open the abridged version of the mathematics and science data from De Boeck and Wilson (2004) by typing
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
in the Command window. This dataset contains 9 binary items, q 1 through q9, coded as 1 for correct and 0 for incorrect.

To open the IRT Control Panel, we select Statistics > IRT (item response theory) from the Stata menu.

The Control Panel opens to the Model tab, where we select the type of IRT model we wish to fit. Our example begins by fitting a one-parameter logistic (1PL) model to all nine items in the dataset, so we choose the One-parameter logistic model (1PL) radio button. Then, we select items q1 through q9 in the Items control.


We click on Fit model, and the results appear in the Results window.
. irt 1pl q1 q2 q3 q4 q5 q6 q7 q8 q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-4275.6606$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-4269.7861$
Iteration 2: log likelihood $=-4269.7825$
Iteration 3: log likelihood $=-4269.7825$
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-4153.3609$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-4142.374$
Iteration 2: $\quad$ log likelihood $=-4142.3516$
Iteration 3: log likelihood $=-4142.3516$
One-parameter logistic model $\quad$ Number of obs $=800$
Log likelihood $=-4142.3516$

|  |  | Coef. | Std. Err. | $z$ | P>\|z| | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Discrim | .852123 | .0458445 | 18.59 | 0.000 | .7622695 | .9419765 |
| q1 | Diff | -.7071339 | .1034574 | -6.84 | 0.000 | -.9099066 | -.5043612 |
| q2 | Diff | -.1222008 | .0963349 | -1.27 | 0.205 | -.3110138 | .0666122 |
| q3 | Diff | -1.817693 | .1399523 | -12.99 | 0.000 | -2.091994 | -1.543391 |
| q4 | Diff | .3209596 | .0976599 | 3.29 | 0.001 | .1295498 | .5123695 |
| q5 | Diff | 1.652719 | .1329494 | 12.43 | 0.000 | 1.392144 | 1.913295 |
| q6 | Diff | .6930617 | .1031842 | 6.72 | 0.000 | .4908243 | .8952991 |
| q7 | Diff | 1.325001 | .1205805 | 10.99 | 0.000 | 1.088668 | 1.561335 |

Next, we want to report the results sorted by difficulty. On the left of the Control Panel, we select the Report tab.


Under Sort order of item estimates, we select the Sort by parameter b (difficulty) radio button, and under Grouping of estimates, we select the Group estimates into parameter classes ( $a, b$, and $c$ ) radio button. By default, the report is created for all items in the model, so we do not need to select items q1 through q9 in the Items control. We click on the Submit button, and the new report appears in the Results window.

| One-parameter logistic model Log likelihood $=-4142.3516$ |  |  |  | Number of obs |  | 800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. | Interval] |
| Discrim | . 852123 | . 0458445 | 18.59 | 0.000 | . 7622695 | . 9419765 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| q8 | -2.413443 | . 1691832 | -14.27 | 0.000 | -2.745036 | -2.08185 |
| q3 | -1.817693 | . 1399523 | -12.99 | 0.000 | -2.091994 | -1.543391 |
| q9 | -1.193206 | . 1162054 | -10.27 | 0.000 | -1.420965 | -. 965448 |
| q1 | -. 7071339 | . 1034574 | -6.84 | 0.000 | -. 9099066 | -. 5043612 |
| q2 | -. 1222008 | . 0963349 | -1.27 | 0.205 | -. 3110138 | . 0666122 |
| q4 | . 3209596 | . 0976599 | 3.29 | 0.001 | . 1295498 | . 5123695 |
| q6 | . 6930617 | . 1031842 | 6.72 | 0.000 | . 4908243 | . 8952991 |
| q7 | 1.325001 | . 1205805 | 10.99 | 0.000 | 1.088668 | 1.561335 |
| q5 | 1.652719 | . 1329494 | 12.43 | 0.000 | 1.392144 | 1.913295 |

We are now ready to graph the ICCs for our items. On the left of the Control Panel, we select the Graph tab. Under Graph type, we select the Item characteristic curves (ICCs) radio button.


Then, in the Common graphs section, we simply click on the Submit button on the line requesting ICCs for all items to create the following graph.


In [IRT] irt, lines were included in the graph to show the estimated difficulty for each item. If we want to add these lines, we can check Add vertical lines for estimated item difficulties before clicking on the Submit button.

The Add options button allows us to modify the appearance of the graph. We can change the title of the graph and its size, add a caption, change the title displayed along each axis, change the placement and appearance of labels on each axis, change the color and pattern of lines, change the placement and appearance of the legend, and much more. To demonstrate this, we change the title
on the $x$ axis from the default of $\theta$ to Ability. We click on the Add options button on the line for ICCs for all items. In the resulting dialog box, we select the $X$ axis tab and type Ability in the Title: box.


We click on Submit to re-create our previous graph but with the modified title on the $x$ axis.


By clicking on Submit rather than on OK, we keep the dialog box open so that we can continue modifying the look of the graph. We will not make any further modifications at this point, so we will close the dialog box.

Back on the Graph tab of the Control Panel, there are two additional buttons in the Custom graphs section. Even further customization of graphs is available by clicking on the Customized graph starting from all items button or the Customized graph of selected items button. These allow us to change the appearance of each curve individually. For instance, we can specify a color or line pattern for one item or for a group of items. We can also make any of the modifications that are available through the Add options buttons.

The example in [IRT] irt also graphs the TCCs. To create this graph, we select the Test characteristic curve (TCC) radio button from the Graph tab and click on the Submit button next to TCC.


To add lines corresponding to the value of $\theta$ for expected scores of 2 and 7 , we click on the Add options button. In the resulting dialog, we click on the Create button next to the Score lines: box.


In the dialog box that opens, we type 27 in the box for Expected scores.


Then, we click on OK twice, and the following TCC graph is produced.


Although the example continues in [IRT] irt, we will stop at this point. We have now demonstrated the use of the Model, Report, and Graph tabs on the IRT Control Panel. You can use the Control Panel in a similar manner to fit any IRT model, to produce other types of reports, and to create graphs of ICCs, CCCs, TCCs, IIFs, and TIFs.

For reproducible research, you can create a command log containing all the commands that are issued from the Control Panel. To create the command log, click on the Start command log button on the Model tab before fitting a model. In the dialog box that opens, specify the name of the command log, say, Ex_1pl, to create a file named Ex_1pl.txt in your current working directory. Next, click on OK. Now you are ready to perform your analysis, storing all the commands that the Control Panel issues. Once you have completed your analysis, go to the Finish tab and click on the Close command log button. The Ex_1pl.txt file will contain all of your commands, which can be rerun to easily reproduce your analysis.
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## Also see

[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models

## Title

irt 1pl — One-parameter logistic model

| Description | Quick start | Menu | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options | Remarks and examples | Stored results | Methods and formulas |
| References | Also see |  |  |

## Description

irt 1 pl fits one-parameter logistic (1PL) models to binary items. In the 1PL model, items vary in their difficulty but share the same discrimination parameter.

## Quick start

1PL model for binary items b1 to b10
irt 1pl b1-b10
Group estimates by parameter type and sort items by difficulty
estat report, byparm sort(b)
Plot ICCs for all items
irtgraph icc

## Menu

Statistics $>$ IRT (item response theory)

## Syntax

irt 1pl varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
options

Model
listwise drop observations with any missing items
SE/Robust

Reporting
level(\#)
notable
noheader
display_options
Integration
intmethod(intmethod)
intpoints(\#)
Maximization
maximize_options
startvalues (svmethod)
noestimate
dnumerical
coeflegend
vce(vcetype) vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or
vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or
jackknife
Description
set confidence level; default is level (95)
suppress coefficient table
suppress output header
control columns and column formats
integration method
set the number of integration points; default is intpoints (7)
control the maximization process; seldom used
method for obtaining starting values
do not fit the model; show starting values instead
use numerical derivative techniques
display legend instead of statistics
intmethod
mvaghermite
mcaghermite
ghermite

## Description

mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.
vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Options

## Model

listwise handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.

SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting
level (\#); see [R] estimation options.
notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.
noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat(\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

## Integration

intmethod(intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and ghermite performs nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
The default integration method is mvaghermite.
intpoints(\#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints(7), which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.

Maximization
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate(\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance(\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for irt are listed below.
from() accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with irt but are not shown in the dialog box:
startvalues () specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in from() override the computed starting values.
startvalues (zero) specifies that all starting values be set to 0 . This option is typically useful only when specified with the from() option.
startvalues (constantonly) builds on startvalues (zero) by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.
startvalues (fixedonly) builds on startvalues (constantonly) by fitting a full fixedeffects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption iterate(\#) to limit the number of iterations irt allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.
startvalues (ivloadings) builds on startvalues (fixedonly) by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.
noestimate specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the coeflegend style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:
. irt ..., ... noestimate
. matrix $b=e(b)$
. ... (modify elements of b) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
dnumerical specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, irt uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.
coeflegend; see $[R]$ estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

The following discussion is about how to use irt to fit 1PL models to binary items. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

In the 1PL model, item responses are typically of the form yes or no, correct or incorrect, agree or disagree, etc. Items are assumed to be equally discriminating and vary in their difficulty only. The probability of person $j$ providing a positive answer to item $i$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{a\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{a\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}} \quad \theta_{j} \sim N(0,1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ represents the discrimination common to all items, $b_{i}$ represents the difficulty of item $i$, and $\theta_{j}$ is the latent trait of person $j$.

A related model attributable to Rasch (1960) uses a different parameterization of (1) with $a=1$ and $\theta_{j} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$. Although philosophically different from the 1PL model, the Rasch model produces identical predictions of the latent trait; see [SEM] example $\mathbf{2 8 g}$ for a model fit using the Rasch parameterization.
> Example 1: Fitting a 1PL model
To illustrate the 1 PL model, we use an abridged version of the mathematics and science data from De Boeck and Wilson (2004). Student responses to test items are coded 1 for correct and 0 for incorrect. Here we list the first five observations.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck & Wilson (2004))
```

. list in $1 / 5$

| q1 | q2 | q3 | q4 | q5 | q6 | q7 | q8 | q9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Looking across the rows, we see that the first student correctly answered items q1, q2, q3, and q8, the second student correctly answered items q3, q8, and q9, and so on.
We fit a 1PL model to binary items q1-q9 as follows:
. irt 1 pl q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:

| Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-4275.6606$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-4269.7861$ |  |  |  |
| Iteration 2: log likelihood $=-4269.7825$ |  |  |  |
| Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4269.7825 |  |  |  |
| Fitting full model: |  |  |  |
| Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4153.3609 |  |  |  |
| Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-4142.374$ |  |  |  |
| Iteration 2: log likelihood $=-4142.3516$ |  |  |  |
| Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4142.3516 |  |  |  |
| One-parameter logistic model | Number of obs | $=$ | 800 |
| Log likelihood $=-4142.3516$ |  |  |  |


|  |  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | P>\|z| | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Discrim | .852123 | .0458445 | 18.59 | 0.000 | .7622695 | .9419765 |
| q1 | Diff | -.7071339 | .1034574 | -6.84 | 0.000 | -.9099066 | -.5043612 |
| q2 | Diff | -.1222008 | .0963349 | -1.27 | 0.205 | -.3110138 | .0666122 |
| q3 | Diff | -1.817693 | .1399523 | -12.99 | 0.000 | -2.091994 | -1.543391 |
| q4 | Diff | .3209596 | .0976599 | 3.29 | 0.001 | .1295498 | .5123695 |
| q5 | Diff | 1.652719 | .1329494 | 12.43 | 0.000 | 1.392144 | 1.913295 |
| q6 | Diff | .6930617 | .1031842 | 6.72 | 0.000 | .4908243 | .8952991 |
| q7 | Diff | 1.325001 | .1205805 | 10.99 | 0.000 | 1.088668 | 1.561335 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| q8 | Diff | -2.413443 | .1691832 | -14.27 | 0.000 | -2.745036 | -2.08185 |

Because the discrimination parameter is the same for all items, it is listed only once. The estimate of 0.85 suggests poor discrimination; that is, in the vicinity of a given difficulty estimate, any two students with distinct abilities would have similar predicted probabilities of success giving a correct answer to an item. If the items were highly discriminating, the calculated probabilities would be farther away from each other.

The estimates of the difficulty parameter correspond to the point on the ability scale at which $\operatorname{Pr}(Y=1 \mid \theta)=0.5$. Because we assume a zero mean for $\theta$, an item is said to be relatively easy if its difficulty estimate is negative and relatively hard if its difficulty estimate is positive.

In the following, we use estat report to replay the table of estimated IRT parameters and control how the output is reported. We include the byparm option, which arranges the output by parameter rather than by item, and the sort(b) option, which displays the items in an ascending order of difficulty. This makes it easy to see that item q 8 is least difficult and item q 5 is most difficult.

| . estat report, byparm sort(b) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| One-parameter logistic model | Number of obs $\quad 800$ |
| Log likelihood $=-4142.3516$ |  |


|  | Coef . | Std. Err. | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Con | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discrim | . 852123 | . 0458445 | 18.59 | 0.000 | . 7622695 | . 9419765 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| q8 | -2.413443 | . 1691832 | -14.27 | 0.000 | -2.745036 | -2.08185 |
| q3 | -1.817693 | . 1399523 | -12.99 | 0.000 | -2.091994 | -1.543391 |
| q9 | -1.193206 | . 1162054 | -10.27 | 0.000 | -1.420965 | -. 965448 |
| q1 | -. 7071339 | . 1034574 | -6.84 | 0.000 | -. 9099066 | -. 5043612 |
| q2 | -. 1222008 | . 0963349 | -1.27 | 0.205 | -. 3110138 | . 0666122 |
| q4 | . 3209596 | . 0976599 | 3.29 | 0.001 | . 1295498 | . 5123695 |
| q6 | . 6930617 | . 1031842 | 6.72 | 0.000 | . 4908243 | . 8952991 |
| q7 | 1.325001 | . 1205805 | 10.99 | 0.000 | 1.088668 | 1.561335 |
| q5 | 1.652719 | . 1329494 | 12.43 | 0.000 | 1.392144 | 1.913295 |

For the 1PL model, the ICC plots the probability of a successful response as a function of $\theta$, using the estimated 1PL parameters. In the following, we use irtgraph icc to plot the ICCs. The blocation option adds a vertical line at the estimated difficulties; see [IRT] irtgraph icc. The other options improve the look of the legend (see [G-3] legend_options), more legible axis labels (see [G-3] axis_label_options), and a wider graph region to accommodate the legend (see [G-3] region_options).

```
. irtgraph icc, blocation legend(pos(3) col(1) ring(1) size(small))
> xlabel(, alt) xsize(4)
```



The TCC plots the expected score as a function of $\theta$, using the estimated 1PL parameters. We use irtgraph tcc to plot the TCC. For 9 binary items, it is clear that the total score ranges from 0 to 9. The thetalines () option plots the expected scores at the specified values of $\theta$.

```
. irtgraph tcc, thetalines(-1.96 0 1.96)
```



This plot tells us what kind of scores to expect from individuals with different levels of the latent trait. For example, we can expect above-average individuals to score 4.85 or above. Actually, no one is expected to score 4.85 on a 9 -item test, so a more realistic statement is that we expect above-average individuals to score above 4.

Using the $95 \%$ critical values from the standard normal distribution ( -1.96 and 1.96), this plot also tells us that we can expect $95 \%$ of randomly selected people to score between 2.16 and 7.38 . Again, a more realistic statement is that we expect about $95 \%$ of randomly selected people to score between 2 and 7.

## Stored results

irt 1 pl stores the following in e() :
Scalars

```
e(N)
e(k)
e(k_eq)
e(k_dv)
e(k_rc)
e(k_rs)
e(irt_k_eq)
e(k_items1)
e(ll)
e(N_clust)
e(n_quad)
e(rank)
e(ic)
e(rc)
e(converged)
```

number of observations
number of parameters
number of equations in e(b)
number of dependent variables
number of covariances
number of variances
number of IRT model groups
number of items in first IRT model group
log likelihood
number of clusters
number of integration points
rank of e(V)
number of iterations
return code
1 if target model converged, 0 otherwise

Macros

```
e(cmd)
gsem
```

e(cmd2)
e(cmdline)
e(model1)
e(items1)
e(depvar)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(clustvar)
e(family\#)
e(link\#)
e(intmethod)
e(vce)
e(vcetype)
e(opt)
e(which)
e(method)
e(ml_method)
e(user)
$e$ (technique)
e(datasignature)
e(datasignaturevars)
variables used in calculation of checksum
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(predict)
e(covariates)
e(footnote)

Matrices

```
e(_N)
e(b)
e(b_pclass)
e(Cns)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V)
e(V_modelbased)
```

Functions
e(sample)
irt
command as typed
1pl
names of items in first IRT model group
names of all item variables
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
name of cluster variable
family for the \#th item
link for the \#th item
integration method
vcetype specified in vce()
title used to label Std. Err.
type of optimization
max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
estimation method: ml
type of ml method
name of likelihood-evaluator program
maximization technique
the checksum
b V
program used to implement estat
program used to implement predict
list of covariates
program used to implement the footnote display
sample size for each item
coefficient vector, slope-intercept parameterization
parameter class
constraints matrix
iteration $\log$ (up to 20 iterations)
gradient vector
variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
model-based variance
e(sample) marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

Let $Y_{i j}$ represent the (yet to be observed) outcome for item $i$ from person $j$, and let $y_{i j}$ be the observed value of $Y_{i j}$. Without loss of generality, we will use the terms "correct" and "incorrect" in reference to the outcomes of $Y_{i j}$. Furthermore, we will refer to $y_{i j}=1$ as correct and $y_{i j}=0$ as incorrect.

Using the IRT parameterization, we see that the probability of person $j$ with latent trait level $\theta_{j}$ providing a correct response to item $i$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid a, b_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{a\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{a\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}
$$

where $a$ represents discrimination, and $b_{i}$ represents the difficulty of item $i$. irt 1 pl fits the model using the slope-intercept form, so the probability for providing a correct answer is parameterized as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid \alpha, \beta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\alpha \theta_{j}+\beta_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\alpha \theta_{j}+\beta_{i}\right)}
$$

The transformation between these two parameterizations is

$$
a=\alpha \quad b_{i}=-\frac{\beta_{i}}{\alpha}
$$

Let $p_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid \alpha, \beta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)$ and $q_{i j}=1-p_{i j}$. Conditional on $\theta_{j}$, the item responses are assumed to be independent, so the conditional density for person $j$ is given by

$$
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i j}^{y_{i j}} q_{i j}^{1-y_{i j}}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(y_{1 j}, \ldots, y_{I j}\right), \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\alpha, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{I}\right)$, and $I$ is the number of items.
Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the listwise option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person $j$ is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density

$$
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the $N$ persons in the estimation sample.

$$
\log L(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})
$$

The integral in the formula for $L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.
Gauss-Hermite quadrature and adaptive quadrature are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid.

## References
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## Also see

[IRT] irt 1pl postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt 1 pl
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 2pl — Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model
[SEM] example 28g - One-parameter logistic IRT (Rasch) model
[SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt 1pl postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt 1pl

Postestimation commands predict Methods and formulas Also see

## Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after irt 1 pl:
Command Description

| estat report | report estimated IRT parameters |
| :--- | :--- |
| irtgraph icc | plot item characteristic curve (ICC) |
| irtgraph iif | plot item information function (IIF) |
| irtgraph tcc | plot test characteristic curve (TCC) |
| irtgraph tif | plot test information function (TIF) |

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:
Command Description

| estat ic | Akaike's and Schwarz's Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC) |
| :--- | :--- |
| estat summarize | summary statistics for the estimation sample <br> estat vce <br> estat (svy) |
| variance-covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE) |  |
| estimates | postestimation statistics for survey data |
| lincom | cataloging estimation results |
| point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear |  |
| *lrtest | combinations of coefficients |
| nlcom | point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear <br> predict |
| combinations of coefficients |  |

[^0]
## predict

## Description for predict

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as probabilities, linear predictions, and parameter-level scores.

## Menu for predict

Statistics $>$ Postestimation

## Syntax for predict

Syntax for obtaining predictions of item probabilities and other statistics predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in] [, statistic item_options]

Syntax for obtaining estimated latent variables and their standard errors
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], latent [latent_options]
Syntax for obtaining parameter-level scores
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], scores
newvarsspec is stub* or newvarlist.
statistic Description
Main
pr probabilities; the default
xb linear prediction
item_options
Description
Main
conditional (ctype)
compute statistic conditional on estimated latent variables; default is conditional (ebmeans)
marginal compute statistic marginally with respect to the latent variables
outcome (item)
Integration
int_options integration options
ctype
Description
ebmeans
ebmodes
empirical Bayes means of latent variables; the default
fixedonly
empirical Bayes modes of latent variables
prediction for the fixed portion of the model only
latent_options

## Main

ebmeans
ebmodes
se(newvar)
Integration
int_options

Description

> use empirical Bayes means of latent trait; the default use empirical Bayes modes of latent trait calculate standard errors
integration options
int_options
Description
use \# quadrature points to compute marginal predictions and empirical Bayes means
set maximum number of iterations in computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators
tolerance(\#)
set convergence tolerance for computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators

## Options for predict

$\square$
pr , the default, calculates the predicted probability.
xb specifies that the linear predictor be calculated.
outcome (item) specifies that predictions for item be calculated. Predictions for all observed response variables are computed by default.
conditional (ctype) and marginal specify how latent variables are handled in computing statistic.
conditional() specifies that statistic will be computed conditional on specified or estimated latent variables.
conditional (ebmeans), the default, specifies that empirical Bayes means be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mean estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (ebmodes) specifies that empirical Bayes modes be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mode estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (fixedonly) specifies that all latent variables be set to zero, equivalent to using only the fixed portion of the model.
marginal specifies that the predicted statistic be computed marginally with respect to the latent variables, which means that statistic is calculated by integrating the prediction function with respect to all the latent variables over their entire support.
Although this is not the default, marginal predictions are often very useful in applied analysis. They produce what are commonly called population-averaged estimates.
latent specifies that the latent trait is predicted using an empirical Bayes estimator; see options ebmeans and ebmodes.
ebmeans specifies that empirical Bayes means are used to predict the latent variables.
ebmodes specifies that empirical Bayes modes are used to predict the latent variables.
se (newvar) calculates standard errors of the empirical Bayes estimator and stores the result in newvar. This option requires the latent option.
scores calculates the scores for each coefficient in $e(b)$. This option requires a new variable list of the length equal to the number of columns in e(b). Otherwise, use stub* to have predict generate enumerated variables with prefix stub.

Integration
intpoints(\#) specifies the number of quadrature points used to compute marginal predictions and the empirical Bayes means; the default is the value from estimation.
iterate (\#) specifies the maximum number of iterations when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.
tolerance (\#) specifies convergence tolerance when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.

## Methods and formulas

Empirical Bayes predictions of the latent trait are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid postestimation.

This section builds on the notation introduced in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt 1pl.
When the marginal option is specified, the predicted probability is computed as

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp \left(\widehat{\alpha} \theta_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\widehat{\alpha} \theta_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}\right)} \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{i}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{i}$ are the estimated parameters in the slope-intercept parameterization. The integral is approximated using standard Gauss-Hermite quadrature.

In what follows, we show formulas using the posterior means estimates of latent trait $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$, which are computed by default or when the conditional (ebmeans) option is specified. If the conditional (ebmodes) option is specified, $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$ are simply replaced with the posterior modes $\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}}_{j}$ in these formulas.

For the response to item $i$ from person $j$, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j}=\widehat{\alpha} \widetilde{\theta}_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}
$$

If option marginal or conditional (fixedonly) is specified, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j}=\widehat{\beta}_{i}
$$

The predicted probability, conditional on the predicted latent trait, is

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j}=\frac{\exp \left(\widehat{z}_{i j}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\widehat{z}_{i j}\right)}
$$

## Also see

[IRT] irt 1pl — One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot
[IRT] irtgraph tec - Test characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt 2pl — Two-parameter logistic model

| Description | Quick start | Menu | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options | Remarks and examples | Stored results | Methods and formulas |
| References | Also see |  |  |

## Description

irt 2pl fits two-parameter logistic (2PL) models to binary items. In the 2PL model, items vary in their difficulty and discrimination.

## Quick start

2PL model for binary items b1 to b10
irt 2pl b1-b10
Group estimates by parameter type and sort items by difficulty
estat report, byparm sort(b)
Plot ICCs for all items
irtgraph icc

## Menu

Statistics $>$ IRT (item response theory)

## Syntax

irt 2pl varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
options

Model
listwise
SE/Robust

Reporting
level(\#)
notable
noheader
display_options
Integration
intmethod (intmethod)
intpoints(\#)
Maximization
maximize_options
startvalues (svmethod)
noestimate
dnumerical
coeflegend
vce (vcetype) vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or
vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or
jackknife

## Description

drop observations with any missing items
set confidence level; default is level (95)
suppress coefficient table
suppress output header
control columns and column formats
integration method
set the number of integration points; default is intpoints (7)
control the maximization process; seldom used
method for obtaining starting values
do not fit the model; show starting values instead
use numerical derivative techniques
display legend instead of statistics
intmethod
mvaghermite
mcaghermite
ghermite

## Description

mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.
vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Options


#### Abstract

Model listwise handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.


SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting
level (\#); see [R] estimation options.
notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.
noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat (\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Integration
intmethod (intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and ghermite performs nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
The default integration method is mvaghermite.
intpoints(\#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints(7), which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.

Maximization
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate (\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance (\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for irt are listed below.
from() accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with irt but are not shown in the dialog box:
startvalues () specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in from() override the computed starting values.
startvalues (zero) specifies that all starting values be set to 0 . This option is typically useful only when specified with the from() option.
startvalues (constantonly) builds on startvalues (zero) by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.
startvalues (fixedonly) builds on startvalues (constantonly) by fitting a full fixedeffects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption iterate(\#) to limit the number of iterations irt allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.
startvalues (ivloadings) builds on startvalues (fixedonly) by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.
noestimate specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the coeflegend style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:
. irt ..., ... noestimate
. matrix $b=e(b)$
. ... (modify elements of b) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
dnumerical specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, irt uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.
coeflegend; see $[R]$ estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

The following discussion is about how to use irt to fit 2PL models to binary items. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

In the 2 PL model, item responses are typically of the form yes or no, correct or incorrect, agree or disagree, etc. Items are assumed to vary in discrimination and difficulty. The probability of person $j$ providing a positive answer to item $i$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}} \quad \theta_{j} \sim N(0,1)
$$

where $a_{i}$ represents the discrimination of item $i, b_{i}$ represents the difficulty of item $i$, and $\theta_{j}$ is the latent trait of person $j$.

The 2PL model was proposed by Birnbaum (1968). An earlier two-parameter model using a probit link was developed by Lord (1952).

## > Example 1: Fitting a 2PL model

To illustrate the 2PL model, we use an abridged version of the mathematics and science data from De Boeck and Wilson (2004). Student responses to test items are coded 1 for correct and 0 for incorrect. Here we list the first five observations.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck \& Wilson (2004))
. list in \(1 / 5\)
```

| q1 | q2 | q3 | q4 | q5 | q6 | q7 | q8 | q9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Looking across the rows, we see that the first student correctly answered items q1, q2, q3, and q8, the second student correctly answered items q3, q8, and q9, and so on.

We fit a 2PL model to binary items q1-q9 as follows:
. irt $2 p l$ q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:


|  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.615292 | . 2436467 | 6.63 | 0.000 | 1.137754 | 2.092831 |
| Diff | -. 4745635 | . 074638 | -6.36 | 0.000 | -. 6208513 | -. 3282757 |
| q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 6576171 | . 1161756 | 5.66 | 0.000 | . 4299171 | . 885317 |
| Diff | -. 1513023 | . 1202807 | -1.26 | 0.208 | -. 3870481 | . 0844435 |
| q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 9245051 | . 1569806 | 5.89 | 0.000 | . 6168289 | 1.232181 |
| Diff | -1.70918 | . 242266 | -7.05 | 0.000 | -2.184012 | -1.234347 |
| q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 8186403 | . 1284832 | 6.37 | 0.000 | . 5668179 | 1.070463 |
| Diff | . 3296791 | . 1076105 | 3.06 | 0.002 | . 1187663 | . 5405919 |
| q5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 8956621 | . 1535128 | 5.83 | 0.000 | . 5947825 | 1.196542 |
| Diff | 1.591164 | . 2325918 | 6.84 | 0.000 | 1.135293 | 2.047036 |
| q6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 9828441 | . 147888 | 6.65 | 0.000 | . 6929889 | 1.272699 |
| Diff | . 622954 | . 1114902 | 5.59 | 0.000 | . 4044373 | . 8414708 |
| q7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 3556064 | . 1113146 | 3.19 | 0.001 | . 1374337 | . 5737791 |
| Diff | 2.840278 | . 8717471 | 3.26 | 0.001 | 1.131685 | 4.548871 |
| q8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.399926 | . 233963 | 5.98 | 0.000 | . 9413668 | 1.858485 |
| Diff | -1.714416 | . 1925531 | -8.90 | 0.000 | -2.091814 | -1.337019 |
| q9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 6378452 | . 1223972 | 5.21 | 0.000 | . 3979512 | . 8777392 |
| Diff | -1.508254 | . 2787386 | -5.41 | 0.000 | -2.054571 | -. 9619361 |

In the 2 PL model, each test item has its own parameter estimates for discrimination and difficulty.

In the following, we use estat report to replay the table of estimated IRT parameters and control how the output is reported. We include the byparm option, which arranges the output by parameter rather than by item, and the sort (a) option, which displays the items in an ascending order of discrimination. This makes it easy to see that item q7 is least discriminating (Discrim $=0.36$ ) and item q1 is most discriminating (Discrim $=1.62$ ).

| Two-parameter logistic model Log likelihood = -4118.4697 |  |  |  | Number of obs |  | 800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coef . | Std. Err . | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. | Interval] |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| q7 | . 3556064 | . 1113146 | 3.19 | 0.001 | . 1374337 | . 5737791 |
| q9 | . 6378452 | . 1223972 | 5.21 | 0.000 | . 3979512 | . 8777392 |
| q2 | . 6576171 | . 1161756 | 5.66 | 0.000 | . 4299171 | . 885317 |
| q4 | . 8186403 | . 1284832 | 6.37 | 0.000 | . 5668179 | 1.070463 |
| q5 | . 8956621 | . 1535128 | 5.83 | 0.000 | . 5947825 | 1.196542 |
| q3 | . 9245051 | . 1569806 | 5.89 | 0.000 | . 6168289 | 1.232181 |
| q6 | . 9828441 | . 147888 | 6.65 | 0.000 | . 6929889 | 1.272699 |
| q8 | 1.399926 | . 233963 | 5.98 | 0.000 | . 9413668 | 1.858485 |
| q1 | 1.615292 | . 2436467 | 6.63 | 0.000 | 1.137754 | 2.092831 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| q7 | 2.840278 | . 8717471 | 3.26 | 0.001 | 1.131685 | 4.548871 |
| q9 | -1.508254 | . 2787386 | -5.41 | 0.000 | -2.054571 | -. 9619361 |
| q2 | -. 1513023 | . 1202807 | -1.26 | 0.208 | -. 3870481 | . 0844435 |
| q4 | . 3296791 | . 1076105 | 3.06 | 0.002 | . 1187663 | . 5405919 |
| q5 | 1.591164 | . 2325918 | 6.84 | 0.000 | 1.135293 | 2.047036 |
| q3 | -1.70918 | . 242266 | -7.05 | 0.000 | -2.184012 | -1.234347 |
| q6 | . 622954 | . 1114902 | 5.59 | 0.000 | . 4044373 | . 8414708 |
| q8 | -1.714416 | . 1925531 | -8.90 | 0.000 | -2.091814 | -1.337019 |
| q1 | -. 4745635 | . 074638 | -6.36 | 0.000 | -. 6208513 | -. 3282757 |

The estimates of the difficulty parameter correspond to the point on the latent trait scale at which $\operatorname{Pr}(Y=1 \mid \theta)=0.5$. Because we assume a zero mean for $\theta$, an item is said to be relatively easy if its difficulty estimate is negative and relatively hard if its difficulty estimate is positive.

After irt 2pl, we can use irtgraph icc to plot the ICCs using the estimated 2PL parameters; see [IRT] irtgraph icc. To focus on the items with the highest and lowest discrimination, as shown by estat report, we plot only items q7 and q1. We use option blocation to add vertical lines for item difficulties.


We chose to plot the ICC for items q1 and q7 to show that the estimated discrimination parameters give a sense of the slope of the ICC at the point where $\theta$ is equal to the estimated difficulty parameter. Given a high discrimination of item q1, its ICC has the steepest slope at its estimated difficulty parameter when compared with the slopes of the ICC of the other items at their estimated difficulty parameter. Likewise, the ICC for q7 has the most gradual slope.

We use irtgraph tcc to plot the TCC using the estimated 2PL parameters; see [IRT] irtgraph tcc. For 9 binary items, it is clear that the total score ranges from 0 to 9 . The thetalines() option plots the expected scores at the specified values for $\theta$.

```
. irtgraph tcc, thetalines(-1.96 0 1.96)
```



This plot tells us what kind of scores we can expect from individuals with different levels of latent trait. For example, we can expect above-average individuals to score 4.92 or above. Actually, no one is expected to score 4.92 on a 9 -item test, so a more realistic statement is that we expect above-average individuals to score above 4 .

Using the $95 \%$ critical values from the standard normal distribution ( -1.96 and 1.96), this plot also tells us that we can expect $95 \%$ of randomly selected people to score between 2 and 7.23 . Again, a more realistic statement is that we expect about $95 \%$ of randomly selected people to score from 2 to 7 .

## Stored results

irt 2 pl stores the following in e() :
Scalars
e(N)
e(k)
e(k_eq)
e(k_dv)
e(k_rc)
e(k_rs)
e(irt_k_eq)
e(k_items1)
e(ll)
e(N_clust)
e(n_quad)
e(rank)
e(ic)
e(rc)
e(converged)
Macros
e(cmd)
e(cmd2)
e(cmdline)
e(model1)
e(items1)
e(depvar)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(clustvar)
e(family\#)
e(link\#)
e(intmethod)
e(vce)
e(vcetype)
e(opt)
e(which)
e(method)
e(ml_method)
e(user)
$e$ (technique)
e(datasignature)
e(datasignaturevars)
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(predict)
e(covariates)
e(footnote)

```
number of observations
number of parameters
number of equations in e(b)
number of dependent variables
number of covariances
number of variances
number of IRT model groups
number of items in first IRT model group
log likelihood
number of clusters
number of integration points
rank of \(e(V)\)
number of iterations
return code
1 if target model converged, 0 otherwise
```


## gsem

irt
command as typed
2pl
names of items in first IRT model group
names of all item variables
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
name of cluster variable
family for the \#th item
link for the \#th item
integration method
vcetype specified in vce()
title used to label Std. Err.
type of optimization
$\max$ or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
estimation method: ml
type of ml method
name of likelihood-evaluator program
maximization technique
the checksum
variables used in calculation of checksum
b V
program used to implement estat
program used to implement predict
list of covariates
program used to implement the footnote display

Matrices
e(_N)
e(b)
e(b_pclass)
coefficient vector, slope-intercept parameterization
e(Cns)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V)
e(V_modelbased)
Functions
e(sample) marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

Let $Y_{i j}$ represent the (yet to be observed) outcome for item $i$ from person $j$, and let $y_{i j}$ be the observed value of $Y_{i j}$. Without loss of generality, we will use the terms "correct" and "incorrect" in reference to the outcomes of $Y_{i j}$. Furthermore, we will refer to $y_{i j}=1$ as correct and $y_{i j}=0$ as incorrect.

Using the IRT parameterization, we see that the probability of person $j$ with latent trait level $\theta_{j}$ providing a correct response to item $i$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid a_{i}, b_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}
$$

where $a_{i}$ represents the discrimination of item $i$, and $b_{i}$ represents the difficulty of item $i$. irt 2 pl fits the model using the slope-intercept form, so the probability for providing a correct answer is parameterized as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\alpha_{i} \theta_{j}+\beta_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\alpha_{i} \theta_{j}+\beta_{i}\right)}
$$

The transformation between these two parameterizations is

$$
a_{i}=\alpha_{i} \quad b_{i}=-\frac{\beta_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}
$$

Let $p_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)$ and $q_{i j}=1-p_{i j}$. Conditional on $\theta_{j}$, the item responses are assumed to be independent, so the conditional density for person $j$ is given by

$$
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i j}^{y_{i j}} q_{i j}^{1-y_{i j}}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(y_{1 j}, \ldots, y_{I j}\right), \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{I}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{I}\right)$, and $I$ is the number of items.
Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the listwise option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person $j$ is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density

$$
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the $N$ persons in the estimation sample.

$$
\log L(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})
$$

The integral in the formula for $L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.
Gauss-Hermite quadrature and adaptive quadrature are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid.

## References
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## Also see

[IRT] irt 2pl postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt 2pl
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 1pl - One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model
[SEM] example 29g - Two-parameter logistic IRT model
[SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt 2pl postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt 2pl

Postestimation commands predict Methods and formulas Also see

## Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after irt 2pl:
Command Description

| estat report | report estimated IRT parameters |
| :--- | :--- |
| irtgraph icc | plot item characteristic curve (ICC) |
| irtgraph iif | plot item information function (IIF) |
| irtgraph tcc | plot test characteristic curve (TCC) |
| irtgraph tif | plot test information function (TIF) |

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:

Command Description

| estat ic | Akaike's and Schwarz's Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC) |
| :--- | :--- |
| estat summarize | summary statistics for the estimation sample <br> estat vce <br> estat (svy) |
| variance-covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE) |  |
| estimates | postestimation statistics for survey data |
| lincom | cataloging estimation results |
| point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear |  |
| *lrtest | combinations of coefficients |
| nlcom | point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear <br> predict |
| combinations of coefficients |  |

[^1]
## predict

## Description for predict

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as probabilities, linear predictions, and parameter-level scores.

## Menu for predict

Statistics $>$ Postestimation

## Syntax for predict

Syntax for obtaining predictions of item probabilities and other statistics predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in] [, statistic item_options]

Syntax for obtaining estimated latent variables and their standard errors
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], latent [latent_options]
Syntax for obtaining parameter-level scores
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], scores
newvarsspec is stub* or newvarlist.
statistic Description
Main
pr probabilities; the default
xb linear prediction
item_options
Description
Main
conditional (ctype)
compute statistic conditional on estimated latent variables; default is conditional (ebmeans)
marginal compute statistic marginally with respect to the latent variables
outcome (item)
Integration
int_options integration options
ctype
Description
ebmeans
ebmodes
empirical Bayes means of latent variables; the default
fixedonly
empirical Bayes modes of latent variables
prediction for the fixed portion of the model only
latent_options

## Main

ebmeans
ebmodes
se (newvar)
Integration
int_options

Description
use empirical Bayes means of latent trait; the default
use empirical Bayes modes of latent trait
calculate standard errors
integration options
int_options
Description
use \# quadrature points to compute marginal predictions and empirical Bayes means
set maximum number of iterations in computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators
tolerance (\#)
set convergence tolerance for computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators

## Options for predict

$\qquad$
pr , the default, calculates the predicted probability.
xb specifies that the linear predictor be calculated.
outcome (item) specifies that predictions for item be calculated. Predictions for all observed response variables are computed by default.
conditional (ctype) and marginal specify how latent variables are handled in computing statistic.
conditional() specifies that statistic will be computed conditional on specified or estimated latent variables.
conditional (ebmeans), the default, specifies that empirical Bayes means be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mean estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (ebmodes) specifies that empirical Bayes modes be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mode estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (fixedonly) specifies that all latent variables be set to zero, equivalent to using only the fixed portion of the model.
marginal specifies that the predicted statistic be computed marginally with respect to the latent variables, which means that statistic is calculated by integrating the prediction function with respect to all the latent variables over their entire support.
Although this is not the default, marginal predictions are often very useful in applied analysis. They produce what are commonly called population-averaged estimates.
latent specifies that the latent trait is predicted using an empirical Bayes estimator; see options ebmeans and ebmodes.
ebmeans specifies that empirical Bayes means are used to predict the latent variables.
ebmodes specifies that empirical Bayes modes are used to predict the latent variables.
se (newvar) calculates standard errors of the empirical Bayes estimator and stores the result in newvar. This option requires the latent option.
scores calculates the scores for each coefficient in $e(b)$. This option requires a new variable list of the length equal to the number of columns in e(b). Otherwise, use stub* to have predict generate enumerated variables with prefix stub.

Integration
intpoints (\#) specifies the number of quadrature points used to compute marginal predictions and the empirical Bayes means; the default is the value from estimation.
iterate (\#) specifies the maximum number of iterations when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.
tolerance (\#) specifies convergence tolerance when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.

## Methods and formulas

Empirical Bayes predictions of the latent trait are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid postestimation.

This section builds on the notation introduced in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt 2pl.
When the marginal option is specified, the predicted probability is computed as

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp \left(\widehat{\alpha}_{i} \theta_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\widehat{\alpha}_{i} \theta_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}\right)} \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{i}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{i}$ are the estimated parameters in the slope-intercept parameterization. The integral is approximated using standard Gauss-Hermite quadrature.

In what follows, we show formulas using the posterior means estimates of latent trait $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$, which are computed by default or when the conditional (ebmeans) option is specified. If the conditional (ebmodes) option is specified, $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$ are simply replaced with the posterior modes $\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}}_{j}$ in these formulas.

For the response to item $i$ from person $j$, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j}=\widehat{\alpha}_{i} \widetilde{\theta}_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}
$$

If option marginal or conditional (fixedonly) is specified, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j}=\widehat{\beta}_{i}
$$

The predicted probability, conditional on the predicted latent trait, is

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j}=\frac{\exp \left(\widehat{z}_{i j}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\widehat{z}_{i j}\right)}
$$

## Also see

[IRT] irt 2pl - Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot
[IRT] irtgraph tec - Test characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot
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## Title

irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model

| Description | Quick start | Menu | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options | Remarks and examples | Stored results | Methods and formulas |
| References | Also see |  |  |

## Description

irt 3 pl fits three-parameter logistic (3PL) models to binary items. In the 3PL model, items vary in their difficulty and discrimination and the possibility of guessing is allowed.

## Quick start

3PL model for binary items b1 to b10
irt 3pl b1-b10
Group estimates by parameter type and sort items by difficulty
estat report, byparm sort(b)
Plot ICCs for all items
irtgraph icc

## Menu

Statistics $>$ IRT (item response theory)

## Syntax

irt 3pl varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
options

Model
listwise sepguessing

SE/Robust vce (vcetype)

Reporting
level(\#)
notable
noheader
display_options
Integration
intmethod (intmethod)
intpoints(\#)
Maximization
maximize_options
startvalues (svmethod)
noestimate
dnumerical
coeflegend

Description
drop observations with any missing items
estimate a separate pseudoguessing parameter for each item
vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or jackknife
set confidence level; default is level (95)
suppress coefficient table
suppress output header
control columns and column formats
integration method
set the number of integration points; default is intpoints (7)
control the maximization process; seldom used
method for obtaining starting values
do not fit the model; show starting values instead
use numerical derivative techniques
display legend instead of statistics
intmethod
mvaghermite
mcaghermite
ghermite

## Description

mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default
mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.
vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Options

```
Model
listwise handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.
sepguessing specifies that a separate pseudoguessing parameter be estimated for each item. This is a seldom used option; see the technical note below.
```


## SE/Robust

vce (vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

## Reporting

level (\#); see [R] estimation options.
notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.
noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat (\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Integration
intmethod (intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and ghermite performs nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
The default integration method is mvaghermite.
intpoints(\#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints(7), which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.
The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.
$\qquad$ Maximization
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate(\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance(\#), nonrtolerance, and from (init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for irt are listed below.
from () accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with irt but are not shown in the dialog box:
startvalues () specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in from() override the computed starting values.
startvalues (zero) specifies that all starting values be set to 0 . This option is typically useful only when specified with the from() option.
startvalues (constantonly) builds on startvalues (zero) by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.
startvalues(fixedonly) builds on startvalues (constantonly) by fitting a full fixedeffects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption iterate(\#) to limit the number of iterations irt allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.
startvalues (ivloadings) builds on startvalues (fixedonly) by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.
noestimate specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the coeflegend style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:
. irt ..., ... noestimate
. matrix $b=e(b)$
. ... (modify elements of b ) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
dnumerical specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, irt uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.
coeflegend; see $[R]$ estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

The following discussion is about how to use irt to fit (3PL) models to binary items. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

In the 3PL model, item responses are typically of the form yes or no, correct or incorrect, agree or disagree, etc. Items are assumed to vary in discrimination and difficulty, and the model accommodates the possibility of guessing on a test. The probability of person $j$ providing a positive answer to item $i$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(y_{i j}=1 \mid \theta_{j}\right)=c_{i}+\left(1-c_{i}\right) \frac{\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}} \quad \theta_{j} \sim N(0,1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}$ represents the discrimination of item $i, b_{i}$ represents the difficulty of item $i, c_{i}$ represents the pseudoguessing parameter, and $\theta_{j}$ is the latent trait of person $j$. By default, the $c_{i}$ are constrained to be the same across all items; see the technical note below.

Although (1) is not in logistic form, the model is commonly referred to as a three-parameter logistic model.

The 3PL model was proposed by Birnbaum (1968). An earlier three-parameter model with a probit link was developed by Finney (1952).

## - Technical note

By default, irt 3pl constrains the pseudoguessing parameter to be the same across all items. You can use the advanced option sepguessing to request a separate pseudoguessing parameter for each item. We do not recommend this option because this version of the 3PL model is plagued with identification problems; see, for example, Samejima (1973), Holland (1990), Yen, Burket, and Sykes (1991), Maris (2002), and San Martín, Rolin, and Castro (2013).

The sepguessing option can be useful in the context of hybrid IRT models, where separate pseudoguessing parameters can be estimated for a subset of items; see example 2 in [IRT] irt hybrid.

## > Example 1: Fitting a 3PL model

To illustrate the 3PL model, we use an abridged version of the mathematics and science data from De Boeck and Wilson (2004). Student responses to test items are coded 1 for correct and 0 for incorrect. Here we list the first five observations.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck & Wilson (2004))
. list in 1/5
```

| q1 | q2 | q3 | q4 | q5 | q6 | q7 | q8 | q9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Looking across the rows, we see that the first student correctly answered items q1, q2, q3, and q8, the second student correctly answered items q3, q8, and q9, and so on.

We fit a 3PL model to binary items q1-q9 as follows:
. irt 3pl q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Iteration 0: } & \text { log likelihood }=-5322.8824 \\ \text { Iteration 1: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4317.9868 \\ \text { Iteration 2: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4273.6659 \\ \text { Iteration 3: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4269.7862 \\ \text { Iteration 4: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4269.7825 \\ \text { Iteration 5: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4269.7825\end{array}$
Fitting full model:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Iteration 0: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4226.5553 & \text { (not concave) } \\ \text { Iteration 1: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4127.0541 & & \\ \text { Iteration 2: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4122.9138 & & \\ \text { Iteration 3: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4116.4384 & & \\ \text { Iteration 4: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4116.3432 & & \\ \text { Iteration 5: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4116.3404 & & \\ \text { Iteration 6: } & \text { log likelihood }=-4116.3404 & & 800\end{array}$
Log likelihood = -4116.3404

|  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.911892 | . 3633522 | 5.26 | 0.000 | 1.199735 | 2.624049 |
| Diff | -. 3040615 | . 0970829 | -3.13 | 0.002 | -. 4943405 | -. 1137825 |
| q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 7508883 | . 1414087 | 5.31 | 0.000 | . 4737323 | 1.028044 |
| Diff | . 1506359 | . 1667865 | 0.90 | 0.366 | -. 1762597 | . 4775314 |
| q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 9674961 | . 1682051 | 5.75 | 0.000 | . 6378203 | 1.297172 |
| Diff | -1.508913 | . 2358777 | -6.40 | 0.000 | -1.971225 | -1.046602 |
| q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 9846873 | . 1860973 | 5.29 | 0.000 | . 6199432 | 1.349431 |
| Diff | . 5726213 | . 149159 | 3.84 | 0.000 | . 280275 | . 8649676 |
| q5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.439627 | . 4426275 | 3.25 | 0.001 | . 5720926 | 2.307161 |
| Diff | 1.605677 | . 2144336 | 7.49 | 0.000 | 1.185395 | 2.025959 |
| q6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.369117 | . 3249624 | 4.21 | 0.000 | . 7322022 | 2.006031 |
| Diff | . 7818606 | . 1236339 | 6.32 | 0.000 | . 5395425 | 1.024179 |
| q7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 4823125 | . 1727574 | 2.79 | 0.005 | . 1437144 | . 8209107 |
| Diff | 3.010921 | . 8924984 | 3.37 | 0.001 | 1.261657 | 4.760186 |
| q8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.436068 | . 2482749 | 5.78 | 0.000 | . 9494584 | 1.922678 |
| Diff | -1.594748 | . 1918751 | -8.31 | 0.000 | -1.970817 | -1.21868 |
| q9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 6772548 | . 1314524 | 5.15 | 0.000 | . 4196127 | . 9348968 |
| Diff | -1.213935 | . 2661821 | -4.56 | 0.000 | -1.735642 | -. 6922275 |
| Guess | . 0904467 | . 0359679 | 2.51 | 0.012 | . 0199508 | . 1609425 |

In the 3PL model, each test item has its own parameter estimates for discrimination and difficulty. The estimated common pseudoguessing parameter is reported at the end of the table.

In the following, we use estat report to replay the table of estimated IRT parameters and control how the output is reported. We include the byparm option, which arranges the output by parameter rather than by item, and the sort(b) option, which displays the items in an ascending order of difficulty. This makes it easy to see that item q 8 is least difficult and item q 7 is most difficult.

| . estat report, byparm sort $(\mathrm{b})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Three-parameter logistic model | Number of obs |
| Log likelihood $=-4116.3404$ |  |


|  |  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | q8 | 1.436068 | .2482749 | 5.78 | 0.000 | .9494584 | 1.922678 |
|  | q3 | .9674961 | .1682051 | 5.75 | 0.000 | .6378203 | 1.297172 |
|  | q9 | .6772548 | .1314524 | 5.15 | 0.000 | .4196127 | .9348968 |
|  | q1 | 1.911892 | .3633522 | 5.26 | 0.000 | 1.199735 | 2.624049 |
|  | q2 | .7508883 | .1414087 | 5.31 | 0.000 | .4737323 | 1.028044 |
|  | q4 | .9846873 | .1860973 | 5.29 | 0.000 | .6199432 | 1.349431 |
|  | q6 | 1.369117 | .3249624 | 4.21 | 0.000 | .7322022 | 2.006031 |
|  | q5 | 1.439627 | .4426275 | 3.25 | 0.001 | .5720926 | 2.307161 |
|  | q7 | .4823125 | .1727574 | 2.79 | 0.005 | .1437144 | .8209107 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | q8 | -1.594748 | .1918751 | -8.31 | 0.000 | -1.970817 | -1.21868 |
|  | q3 | -1.508913 | .2358777 | -6.40 | 0.000 | -1.971225 | -1.046602 |
|  | q9 | -1.213935 | .2661821 | -4.56 | 0.000 | -1.735642 | -.6922275 |
|  | q1 | -.3040615 | .0970829 | -3.13 | 0.002 | -.4943405 | -.1137825 |
|  | q2 | .1506359 | .1667865 | 0.90 | 0.366 | -.1762597 | .4775314 |
|  | q4 | .5726213 | .149159 | 3.84 | 0.000 | .280275 | .8649676 |
|  | q6 | .7818606 | .1236339 | 6.32 | 0.000 | .5395425 | 1.024179 |
|  | q5 | 1.605677 | .2144336 | 7.49 | 0.000 | 1.185395 | 2.025959 |
|  | q7 | 3.010921 | .8924984 | 3.37 | 0.001 | 1.261657 | 4.760186 |

The estimate of the pseudoguessing parameter is 0.09 , which suggests a modest degree of guessing on the test. The pseudoguessing parameter represents the smallest probability of a correct response. Thus, according to this model, even the least able student has, at minimum, a $9 \%$ chance of responding correctly on any given item.

After irt 3pl, we can use irtgraph icc to plot the ICCs using the estimated 3PL parameters; see [IRT] irtgraph icc. To focus on the most difficult item, as reported by estat report, we restrict the plot to item q7. We use option blocation to add a vertical line at the estimated difficulty and option ylabel() to change the default labeling of the $y$ axis to include the lower asymptote and the midpoint probability, where $\theta$ equals the estimated difficulty for q 7 .


Notice that the estimate of the pseudoguessing parameter is now a lower asymptote for the plotted ICC. Also, because of the pseudoguessing parameter, the midpoint probability, where $\theta$ equals the estimated difficulty for $q 7$, is

$$
\widehat{c}+(1-\widehat{c}) \times \frac{1}{2}=0.09+0.91 \times \frac{1}{2}=0.545
$$

instead of 0.5 , as in the case of 1 PL and 2PL models.
The TCC plots the expected score as a function of $\theta$, using the estimated 3PL parameters. We use irtgraph tcc to plot the TCC. For 9 binary items, it is clear that the total score ranges from 0 to 9 ; however, because of the pseudoguessing parameter, the minimum expected score is $\widehat{c} \times 9=0.09 \times 9=0.81$. The thetalines () option plots the expected scores at the specified values for $\theta$.
. irtgraph tcc, thetalines (-1.96 0 1.96)


This plot tells us what kind of scores we can expect from individuals with different levels of the latent trait. For example, we can expect above-average individuals to score 4.84 or above. Actually, no one is expected to score 4.84 on a 9 -item test, so a more realistic statement is that we expect above-average individuals to score above 4.

Using the $95 \%$ critical values from the standard normal distribution ( -1.96 and 1.96), this plot also tells us that we can expect $95 \%$ of randomly selected people to score between 2.21 and 7.43 . A more realistic statement is that we expect about $95 \%$ of randomly selected people to score from 2 to 7 .

## Stored results

irt 3 pl stores the following in e():
Scalars
e(N)
e(k)
e(k_eq)
e(k_dv)
e(k_rc)
e(k_rs)
e(irt_k_eq)
e(k_items1)
e(sepguess1)
e(ll)
e(N_clust)
e(n_quad)
e(rank)
e(ic)
$e(r c)$
e(converged)
Macros
e(cmd)
e (cmd2)
e(cmdline)
e(model1)
e(items1)
e(depvar)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(clustvar)
e(family\#)
e(link\#)
e(intmethod)
e(vce)
e(vcetype)
e(opt)
e(which)
e(method)
e(ml_method)
e(user)
e(technique)
e(datasignature)
e(datasignaturevars)
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(predict)

> number of observations
> number of parameters
> number of equations in e(b)
> number of dependent variables
> number of covariances
> number of variances
> number of IRT model groups
> number of items in first IRT model group
> 1 if model contains a separate pseudoguessing parameter
> log likelihood
> number of clusters
> number of integration points
> rank of e(V)
> number of iterations
> return code
> 1 if target model converged, 0 otherwise

## gsem

irt
command as typed
3 pl
names of items in first IRT model group
names of all item variables
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
name of cluster variable
family for the \#th item
link for the \#th item
integration method
vcetype specified in vce()
title used to label Std. Err.
type of optimization
$\max$ or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
estimation method: ml
type of ml method
name of likelihood-evaluator program
maximization technique
the checksum
variables used in calculation of checksum
b V
program used to implement estat
program used to implement predict

```
e(covariates)
e(footnote)
```

Matrices
e(_N)
e(b)
e(b_pclass)
e(Cns)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V)
e(V_modelbased)
Functions
e(sample)

Matrices
e(_N)
e(b)
e(b_pclass)
e(Cns)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V_modelbased)
Functions
e(sample)
list of covariates
program used to implement the footnote display
sample size for each item
coefficient vector, slope-intercept parameterization
parameter class
constraints matrix
iteration $\log$ (up to 20 iterations)
gradient vector
variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
model-based variance
marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

Let $Y_{i j}$ represent the (yet to be observed) outcome for item $i$ from person $j$, and let $y_{i j}$ be the observed value of $Y_{i j}$. Without loss of generality, we will use the terms "correct" and "incorrect" in reference to the outcomes of $Y_{i j}$. Furthermore, we will refer to $y_{i j}=1$ as correct and $y_{i j}=0$ as incorrect.

Using the IRT parameterization, we see that the probability of person $j$ with latent trait level $\theta_{j}$ (the latent trait) providing a correct response to item $i$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=c_{i}+\left(1-c_{i}\right) \frac{\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i}\right)\right\}}
$$

where $a_{i}$ represents the discrimination of item $i, b_{i}$ represents the difficulty of item $i$, and $c_{i}$ represents the pseudoguessing parameter. irt 3 pl fits the model using the slope-intercept form, so the probability for providing a correct answer is parameterized as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\gamma_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\gamma_{i}\right)}+\frac{1}{1+\exp \left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \frac{\exp \left(\alpha_{i} \theta_{j}+\beta_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\alpha_{i} \theta_{j}+\beta_{i}\right)}
$$

The transformation between these two parameterizations is

$$
a_{i}=\alpha_{i} \quad b_{i}=-\frac{\beta_{i}}{\alpha_{i}} \quad c_{i}=\frac{\exp \left(\gamma_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\gamma_{i}\right)}
$$

By default, the $\gamma_{i}$ (and thus the $c_{i}$ ) are constrained to be the same across all items.
Let $p_{i j} \equiv \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=1 \mid \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)$ and $q_{i j}=1-p_{i j}$. Conditional on $\theta_{j}$, the item responses are assumed to be independent, so the conditional density for person $j$ is given by

$$
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i j}^{y_{i j}} q_{i j}^{1-y_{i j}}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(y_{1 j}, \ldots, y_{I j}\right), \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{I}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{I}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{I}\right)$, and $I$ is the number of items.
Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the listwise option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person $j$ is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density

$$
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the $N$ persons in the estimation sample.

$$
\log L(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})
$$

The integral in the formula for $L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.
Gauss-Hermite quadrature and adaptive quadrature are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid.
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## Also see

[IRT] irt 3pl postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt 3pl
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 1pl - One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 2pl - Two-parameter logistic model
[SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt 3pl postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt 3pl

Postestimation commands predict Methods and formulas Also see

## Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after irt 3pl:
Command Description

| estat report | report estimated IRT parameters |
| :--- | :--- |
| irtgraph icc | plot item characteristic curve (ICC) |
| irtgraph iif | plot item information function (IIF) |
| irtgraph tcc | plot test characteristic curve (TCC) |
| irtgraph tif | plot test information function (TIF) |

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:
Command Description

| estat ic | Akaike's and Schwarz's Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC) |
| :--- | :--- |
| estat summarize | summary statistics for the estimation sample <br> estat vce <br> estat (svy) |
| variance-covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE) |  |
| estimates | postestimation statistics for survey data |
| lincom | cataloging estimation results |
| point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear |  |
| *lrtest | combinations of coefficients |
| nlcom | point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear <br> predict |
| combinations of coefficients |  |
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## predict

## Description for predict

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as probabilities, linear predictions, and parameter-level scores.

## Menu for predict

Statistics $>$ Postestimation

## Syntax for predict

Syntax for obtaining predictions of item probabilities and other statistics predict [type] newvarsspec [if][in] [, statistic item_options]

Syntax for obtaining estimated latent variables and their standard errors
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], latent [latent_options]
Syntax for obtaining parameter-level scores
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], scores
newvarsspec is stub* or newvarlist.
statistic Description
Main
pr probabilities; the default
xb linear prediction
item_options
Description
Main
conditional (ctype)
compute statistic conditional on estimated latent variables; default is conditional (ebmeans)
marginal compute statistic marginally with respect to the latent variables
outcome (item)
Integration
int_options integration options
ctype
Description
ebmeans
ebmodes
empirical Bayes means of latent variables; the default
fixedonly
empirical Bayes modes of latent variables
prediction for the fixed portion of the model only
latent_options

## Main

ebmeans
ebmodes
se (newvar)
Integration
int_options

Description
use empirical Bayes means of latent trait; the default
use empirical Bayes modes of latent trait
calculate standard errors
integration options
int_options
Description
use \# quadrature points to compute marginal predictions and empirical Bayes means
set maximum number of iterations in computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators
tolerance (\#)
set convergence tolerance for computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators

## Options for predict

$\qquad$
pr , the default, calculates the predicted probability.
xb specifies that the linear predictor be calculated.
outcome (item) specifies that predictions for item be calculated. Predictions for all observed response variables are computed by default.
conditional (ctype) and marginal specify how latent variables are handled in computing statistic.
conditional() specifies that statistic will be computed conditional on specified or estimated latent variables.
conditional (ebmeans), the default, specifies that empirical Bayes means be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mean estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (ebmodes) specifies that empirical Bayes modes be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mode estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (fixedonly) specifies that all latent variables be set to zero, equivalent to using only the fixed portion of the model.
marginal specifies that the predicted statistic be computed marginally with respect to the latent variables, which means that statistic is calculated by integrating the prediction function with respect to all the latent variables over their entire support.
Although this is not the default, marginal predictions are often very useful in applied analysis. They produce what are commonly called population-averaged estimates.
latent specifies that the latent trait is predicted using an empirical Bayes estimator; see options ebmeans and ebmodes.
ebmeans specifies that empirical Bayes means are used to predict the latent variables.
ebmodes specifies that empirical Bayes modes are used to predict the latent variables.
se (newvar) calculates standard errors of the empirical Bayes estimator and stores the result in newvar.
This option requires the latent option.
scores calculates the scores for each coefficient in $e(b)$. This option requires a new variable list of the length equal to the number of columns in e(b). Otherwise, use stub* to have predict generate enumerated variables with prefix stub.
$\qquad$ Integration
intpoints(\#) specifies the number of quadrature points used to compute marginal predictions and the empirical Bayes means; the default is the value from estimation.
iterate (\#) specifies the maximum number of iterations when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.
tolerance(\#) specifies convergence tolerance when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.

## Methods and formulas

Empirical Bayes predictions of the latent trait are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid postestimation.

This section builds on the notation introduced in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt 3pl.
When the marginal option is specified, the predicted probability is computed as

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j}=\widehat{c}_{i}+\left(1-\widehat{c}_{i}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp \left(\widehat{\alpha}_{i} \theta_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\widehat{\alpha}_{i} \theta_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}\right)} \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{i}, \widehat{\beta}_{i}$, and $\widehat{\gamma}_{i}$ are the estimated parameters in the slope-intercept parameterization, and

$$
\widehat{c}_{i}=\frac{\exp \left(\widehat{\gamma}_{i}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\widehat{\gamma}_{i}\right)}
$$

The integral is approximated using standard Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
In what follows, we show formulas using the posterior means estimates of latent trait $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$, which are computed by default or when the conditional (ebmeans) option is specified. If the conditional (ebmodes) option is specified, $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$ are simply replaced with the posterior modes $\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}}_{j}$ in these formulas.

For the response to item $i$ from person $j$, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j}=\widehat{\alpha}_{i} \widetilde{\theta}_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i}
$$

If option marginal or conditional(fixedonly) is specified, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j}=\widehat{\beta}_{i}
$$

The predicted probability, conditional on the predicted latent trait, is

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j}=\widehat{c}_{i}+\left(1-\widehat{c}_{i}\right) \frac{\exp \left(\widehat{z}_{i j}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\widehat{z}_{i j}\right)}
$$

## Also see

[IRT] irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model
[IRT] estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot
[IRT] irtgraph tce - Test characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt grm — Graded response model

| Description | Quick start | Menu | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options | Remarks and examples | Stored results | Methods and formulas |
| References | Also see |  |  |

## Description

irt grm fits graded response models (GRMs) to ordinal items. In the GRM, items vary in their difficulty and discrimination. This model is an extension of the 2PL model to ordered categorical items.

## Quick start

GRM for ordinal items o1 to 05
irt grm o1-o5
Report items sorted by discrimination
estat report, sort(a)
Plot CCCs for o1
irtgraph icc o1

## Menu

Statistics $>$ IRT (item response theory)

## Syntax

irt grm varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
options
Description
Model
listwise drop observations with any missing items
SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or jackknife

Reporting
level(\#)
notable
noheader
display_options
set confidence level; default is level (95)
suppress coefficient table
suppress output header
control columns and column formats
Integration
intmethod(intmethod)
intpoints(\#)
integration method
set the number of integration points; default is intpoints (7)
Maximization
maximize_options
startvalues (svmethod)
noestimate
dnumerical
coeflegend
control the maximization process; seldom used
method for obtaining starting values
do not fit the model; show starting values instead
use numerical derivative techniques
display legend instead of statistics
intmethod
mvaghermite
mcaghermite
ghermite

## Description

mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see $[R]$ bootstrap.
vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Options


#### Abstract

Model listwise handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.


SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting
level(\#); see [R] estimation options.
notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.
noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat(\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Integration
intmethod (intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and ghermite performs nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
The default integration method is mvaghermite.
intpoints(\#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints(7), which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.

Maximization
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate(\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance(\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for irt are listed below.
from() accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with irt but are not shown in the dialog box:
startvalues () specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in from() override the computed starting values.
startvalues (zero) specifies that all starting values be set to 0 . This option is typically useful only when specified with the from() option.
startvalues (constantonly) builds on startvalues (zero) by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.
startvalues (fixedonly) builds on startvalues (constantonly) by fitting a full fixedeffects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption iterate(\#) to limit the number of iterations irt allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.
startvalues (ivloadings) builds on startvalues (fixedonly) by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.
noestimate specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the coeflegend style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:
. irt ..., ... noestimate
. matrix $b=e(b)$
. ... (modify elements of b ) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
dnumerical specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, irt uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.
coeflegend; see $[R]$ estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

The following discussion is about how to use irt to fit GRMs to ordinal items. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

In the GRM, item responses are categorical and ordered, for example, "poor", "good", and "excellent" or "strongly disagree", "disagree", "agree", and "strongly agree". If there are only two outcomes, the GRM is equivalent to the 2PL model; see [IRT] irt 2pl. If the item responses are not ordered, see [IRT] irt nrm.

The GRM allows the ordered categories to vary between items; however, to keep the following discussion from being overly complicated, we will assume the outcome levels for all items are given by $k=0,1, \ldots, K$.

In the GRM, each item is modeled with its own discrimination parameter and cutpoints that identify boundaries between the ordered outcomes. The probability of observing outcome $k$ or higher for item $i$ and person $j$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq k \mid \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i k}\right)\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i k}\right)\right\}} \quad \theta_{j} \sim N(0,1)
$$

where $a_{i}$ represents the discrimination of item $i, b_{i k}$ is the $k$ th cutpoint for item $i$, and $\theta_{j}$ is the latent trait of person $j$. The cutpoint $b_{i k}$ can be considered the difficulty of responding with category $k$ or higher for item $i$.

The GRM is defined in terms of cumulative probabilities, but we can calculate the probability of observing outcome $k$ as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \theta_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq k \mid \theta_{j}\right)-\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq k+1 \mid \theta_{j}\right)
$$

where we take $\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq 0\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}>K\right)=0$. Because of the additional calculation step required to obtain the probability of observing a particular outcome, the GRM is an indirect IRT model, also known as a difference model; see Thissen and Steinberg (1986).

The GRM was proposed by Samejima (1969). In the multilevel literature, the GRM is known as the cumulative logit model; see [ME] meologit. When no latent variable is present, the model for a single item is known as the proportional odds model; see $[R]$ ologit.
$>$ Example 1: Fitting a GRM
To illustrate the GRM, we use the data from Zheng and Rabe-Hesketh (2007). charity.dta contains five survey questions, ta1 through ta5, measuring faith and trust in charity organizations. Responses are strongly agree (0), agree (1), disagree (2), and strongly disagree (3). Higher scores indicate higher levels of distrust. Here we list the first five observations.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/charity
(Data from Zheng & Rabe-Hesketh (2007))
. list in 1/5, nolabel
```

| ta1 | ta2 | ta3 | ta4 | ta5 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| . | 2 | 1 | 1 | . |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| . | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Looking across the first row, we see that the first respondent did not provide an answer to items ta1 and ta5, answered 2 on item ta2, and answered 1 on items ta3 and ta4. All irt commands exclude missing items for a given observation from the likelihood calculation but keep the nonmissing items for that observation. If you wish to remove the entire observation from the model, add the listwise option at estimation time.

We fit a GRM as follows:
. irt grm ta1-ta5
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-5467.3926$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-5467.3926$
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-5271.0634$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-5162.5917$
Iteration 2: log likelihood $=-5159.2947$
Iteration 3: log likelihood $=-5159.2791$
Iteration 4: log likelihood $=-5159.2791$
Graded response model $\quad$ Number of obs $=945$
Log likelihood = -5159.2791

|  | Coef . | Std. Err . | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Con | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ta1 |  |  |  | 0.000 | . 7202142 | 1.09487 |
| Discrim | . 907542 | . 0955772 | 9.50 |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -1.540098 | . 1639425 | -9.39 | 0.000 | -1.861419 | -1.218776 |
| $>=2$ | 1.296135 | . 1427535 | 9.08 | 0.000 | 1.016343 | 1.575927 |
| $=3$ | 3.305059 | . 3248468 | 10.17 | 0.000 | 2.668371 | 3.941747 |
| ta2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | . 9434675 | . 0967483 | 9.75 | 0.000 | . 7538444 | 1.133091 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -1.661331 | . 167878 | -9.90 | 0.000 | -1.990366 | -1.332296 |
| $>=2$ | . 0068314 | . 082222 | 0.08 | 0.934 | -. 1543208 | . 1679836 |
| = 3 | 2.531091 | . 2412513 | 10.49 | 0.000 | 2.058247 | 3.003935 |
| ta3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.734201 | . 1554383 | 11.16 | 0.000 | 1.429548 | 2.038855 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -1.080079 | . 0835119 | -12.93 | 0.000 | -1.243759 | -. 9163983 |
| $>=2$ | 1.016567 | . 0796635 | 12.76 | 0.000 | . 8604297 | 1.172705 |
| =3 | 2.232606 | . 1497814 | 14.91 | 0.000 | 1.93904 | 2.526172 |
| ta4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.93344 | . 1857629 | 10.41 | 0.000 | 1.569351 | 2.297528 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >=1 | -. 3445057 | . 0578468 | -5.96 | 0.000 | -. 4578833 | -. 2311282 |
| $>=2$ | 1.466254 | . 0983823 | 14.90 | 0.000 | 1.273428 | 1.65908 |
| =3 | 2.418954 | . 162392 | 14.90 | 0.000 | 2.100672 | 2.737237 |
| ta5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim | 1.42753 | . 1263962 | 11.29 | 0.000 | 1.179798 | 1.675262 |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $>=1$ | -. 8552358 | . 0833158 | -10.26 | 0.000 | -1.018532 | -. 6919399 |
| $>=2$ | . 6805315 | . 07469 | 9.11 | 0.000 | . 5341418 | . 8269211 |
| = 3 | 2.074243 | . 1538858 | 13.48 | 0.000 | 1.772632 | 2.375853 |

Because the GRM is basically an ordered logistic model, each item's difficulty parameters are naturally estimated in an increasing order. The difficulties represent a point at which a person with trait level $\theta_{j}=b_{i k}$ has a $50 \%$ chance of responding in category $k$ or higher. We make cumulative comparisons because the model is defined in terms of cumulative probabilities.

For example, looking at the estimated parameters of item ta1, we see that a person with $\theta=-1.54$ has a $50 \%$ chance of answering 0 versus greater than or equal to 1 , a person with $\theta=1.30$ has a
$50 \%$ chance of answering 0 or 1 versus greater than or equal to 2 , and a person with $\theta=3.31$ has a $50 \%$ chance of answering 0,1 , or 2 versus 3 .

To illustrate this, we plot the BCCs as a function of $\theta$ for ta1 using the estimated GRM parameters. The blocation option adds a vertical line at the estimated difficulties; see [IRT] irtgraph icc.

```
. irtgraph icc ta1, blocation
```



We see that the estimated difficulty parameters correspond to the point on the latent trait scale at which $\operatorname{Pr}(Y \geq k \mid \theta)=0.5$. You can think of these curves as item characteristic curves where each curve dichotomizes the ordered responses into successive $\operatorname{Pr}(Y \geq k)$ and $\operatorname{Pr}(Y<k)$ categories. The estimated discrimination parameter for ta1 is 0.91 ; thus, the curves have relatively flat slopes.

We can also plot category probabilities, $\operatorname{Pr}(Y=k)$, as a function of $\theta$, which in fact is the default behavior of irtgraph icc. For categorical responses, such plots are called category characteristic curves (CCCs). Here we plot the CCCs for item ta1.

```
. irtgraph icc ta1, xlabel(-4 -1.35 1.55 2.9 4, grid)
```



The graph shows that respondents with the latent trait level below approximately -1.35 are most likely to respond in the first category, respondents with the latent trait level between approximately -1.35 and 1.55 are most likely to respond in the second category, and so on.

We use irtgraph tcc to plot the TCC using the estimated GRM parameters; see [IRT] irtgraph tcc. Because we have 5 items, each coded 0 to 3 , the total score ranges from 0 to 15 . The thetalines () option plots the expected scores at the specified values for $\theta$.

```
. irtgraph tcc, thetalines(-1.96 0 1.96)
```



This plot tells us what kind of scores we can expect from individuals with different levels of the latent trait (trust in charities).

For example, we can expect above-average individuals to score 5.35 or above. Actually, no one is expected to score exactly 5.35 on this survey, so a more realistic statement is that we expect above-average individuals to score above 5 out of a possible score of 15 .

Using the $95 \%$ critical values from the standard normal distribution ( -1.96 and 1.96), this plot also tells us that we can expect $95 \%$ of randomly selected people to score between 1.47 and 10.6 . Again, a more realistic statement is that we expect about $95 \%$ of randomly selected people to score from 2 to 10 , which can be interpreted that most people either trust or slightly distrust charities.

## Stored results

irt grm stores the following in e():
Scalars
e(N)
e(k)
e(k_eq)
e(k_dv)
e(k_rc)
e(k_rs)
e(irt_k_eq)
e(k_items1)
e(k_cat\#)
number of observations number of parameters number of equations in e(b) number of dependent variables number of covariances number of variances number of IRT model groups number of items in first IRT model group number of categories for the \#th item, ordinal

```
e(11)
e(N_clust)
e(n_quad)
e(rank)
e(ic)
e(rc)
e(converged)
```

Macros
e(cmd)
e(cmd2)
e(cmdline)
e(model1)
e(items1)
e(n_cuts1)
e(depvar)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(clustvar)
e(family\#)
e(link\#)
e(intmethod)
e(vce)
e(vcetype)
e(opt)
e(which)
e(method)
e(ml_method)
e(user)
$e$ (technique)
e(datasignature)
e(datasignaturevars)
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(predict)
e(covariates)
e(footnote)
Matrices
e(_N)
e(b)
e(b_pclass)
e(cat\#)
e(Cns)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V)
e(V_modelbased)

Functions
e(sample)
log likelihood
number of clusters
number of integration points
rank of e(V)
number of iterations
return code
1 if target model converged, 0 otherwise

## gsem

irt
command as typed
grm
names of items in first IRT model group
numlist of cuts in first IRT model group
names of all item variables
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
name of cluster variable
family for the \#th item
link for the \#th item
integration method
vcetype specified in vce()
title used to label Std. Err.
type of optimization
$\max$ or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
estimation method: ml
type of ml method
name of likelihood-evaluator program
maximization technique
the checksum
variables used in calculation of checksum
b V
program used to implement estat
program used to implement predict
list of covariates
program used to implement the footnote display
sample size for each item
coefficient vector, slope-intercept parameterization
parameter class
categories for the \#th item, ordinal
constraints matrix
iteration $\log$ (up to 20 iterations)
gradient vector
variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
model-based variance
marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

Let $Y_{i j}$ represent the (yet to be observed) outcome for item $i$ from person $j$. Without loss of generality, we will assume all items take on the ordered categories, $k=0,1, \ldots, K$.

Using the IRT parameterization, we see that the probability of person $j$ with latent trait level $\theta_{j}$ (the latent trait) providing response $k$ or above for item $i$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq k \mid a_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i k}\right)\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i k}\right)\right\}}
$$

where $a_{i}$ represents the discrimination of item $i, \mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(b_{i 1}, \ldots, b_{i K}\right)$ represent the difficulties that distinguish the ordered categories of item $i$, and it is understood that $\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq 0 \mid a_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}>K \mid a_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=0$. The probability of observing outcome $k$ is then

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid a_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq k \mid a_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)-\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq k+1 \mid a_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)
$$

irt grm fits the model using the slope-intercept form, so the probability for providing response $k$ or above is parameterized as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j} \geq k \mid \alpha_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\alpha_{i} \theta_{j}-\beta_{i k}\right)}{1+\exp \left(\alpha_{i} \theta_{j}-\beta_{i k}\right)}
$$

The transformation between these two parameterizations is

$$
a_{i}=\alpha_{i} \quad b_{i k}=\frac{\beta_{i k}}{\alpha_{i}}
$$

Let $y_{i j}$ be the observed response for $Y_{i j}$ and $p_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=y_{i j} \mid \alpha_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)$. Conditional on $\theta_{j}$, the item responses are assumed to be independent, so the conditional density for person $j$ is given by

$$
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i j}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(y_{1 j}, \ldots, y_{I j}\right), \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{I}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}\right)$, and $I$ is the number of items.
Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the listwise option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person $j$ is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density

$$
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the $N$ persons in the estimation sample.

$$
\log L(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})
$$

The integral in the formula for $L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.
Gauss-Hermite quadrature and adaptive quadrature are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid.
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## Also see

[IRT] irt grm postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt grm
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt grm postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt grm

Postestimation commands predict Methods and formulas Also see

## Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after irt grm:
Command Description

| estat report | report estimated IRT parameters |
| :--- | :--- |
| irtgraph icc | plot item characteristic curve (ICC) |
| irtgraph iif | plot item information function (IIF) |
| irtgraph tcc | plot test characteristic curve (TCC) |
| irtgraph tif | plot test information function (TIF) |

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:
Command Description

| estat ic | Akaike's and Schwarz's Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC) |
| :--- | :--- |
| estat summarize | summary statistics for the estimation sample <br> estat vce <br> estat (svy) |
| variance-covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE) |  |
| estimates | postestimation statistics for survey data |
| lincom | cataloging estimation results |
| point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear |  |
| *lrtest | combinations of coefficients |
| nlcom | point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear <br> predict |
| combinations of coefficients |  |

[^3]
## predict

## Description for predict

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as probabilities, linear predictions, and parameter-level scores.

## Menu for predict

Statistics $>$ Postestimation

## Syntax for predict

Syntax for obtaining predictions of item probabilities and other statistics predict [type] newvarsspec [if][in] [, statistic item_options]

Syntax for obtaining estimated latent variables and their standard errors

$$
\text { predict }[\text { type }] \text { newvarsspec }[\text { if }][\text { in }] \text {, latent [latent_options }]
$$

Syntax for obtaining parameter-level scores

```
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], scores
```

newvarsspec is stub* or newvarlist.

## statistic

Description
Main

| pr | probabilities; the default |
| :--- | :--- |
| xb | linear prediction |

item_options
Description

Main
$\dagger$ outcome (item [\#])
conditional (ctype)
marginal

## Integration

int_options
specify item variable; default is all variables
compute statistic conditional on estimated latent variables; default is conditional (ebmeans)
compute statistic marginally with respect to the latent variables
integration options

[^4]ebmeans
ebmodes
fixedonly
empirical Bayes means of latent variables; the default
empirical Bayes modes of latent variables
prediction for the fixed portion of the model only
latent_options

## Description

## Main

ebmeans
ebmodes
se(newvar)
Integration
int_options
use empirical Bayes means of latent trait; the default use empirical Bayes modes of latent trait calculate standard errors
integration options
int_options
Description
intpoints(\#)
iterate(\#)
tolerance(\#)
use \# quadrature points to compute marginal predictions and empirical Bayes means
set maximum number of iterations in computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators
set convergence tolerance for computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators

## Options for predict

$\qquad$
pr , the default, calculates the predicted probability.
xb specifies that the linear predictor be calculated.
outcome (item [\#]) specifies that predictions for item be calculated. Use \# to specify which outcome level to predict. Predictions for all observed response variables are computed by default.
conditional (ctype) and marginal specify how latent variables are handled in computing statistic.
conditional() specifies that statistic will be computed conditional on specified or estimated latent variables.
conditional (ebmeans), the default, specifies that empirical Bayes means be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mean estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (ebmodes) specifies that empirical Bayes modes be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mode estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (fixedonly) specifies that all latent variables be set to zero, equivalent to using only the fixed portion of the model.
marginal specifies that the predicted statistic be computed marginally with respect to the latent variables, which means that statistic is calculated by integrating the prediction function with respect to all the latent variables over their entire support.

Although this is not the default, marginal predictions are often very useful in applied analysis. They produce what are commonly called population-averaged estimates.
latent specifies that the latent trait is predicted using an empirical Bayes estimator; see options ebmeans and ebmodes.
ebmeans specifies that empirical Bayes means are used to predict the latent variables.
ebmodes specifies that empirical Bayes modes are used to predict the latent variables.
se (newvar) calculates standard errors of the empirical Bayes estimator and stores the result in newvar. This option requires the latent option.
scores calculates the scores for each coefficient in $e(b)$. This option requires a new variable list of the length equal to the number of columns in $\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{b})$. Otherwise, use stub* to have predict generate enumerated variables with prefix stub.
$\qquad$
intpoints(\#) specifies the number of quadrature points used to compute marginal predictions and the empirical Bayes means; the default is the value from estimation.
iterate (\#) specifies the maximum number of iterations when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.
tolerance(\#) specifies convergence tolerance when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.

## Methods and formulas

Empirical Bayes predictions of the latent trait are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid postestimation.

This section builds on the notation introduced in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt grm.
When the marginal option is specified, the predicted probability for item $i$, person $j$, and outcome $k$ is computed as

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j k}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \widehat{\alpha}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{i}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}$ are the estimated parameters in the slope-intercept parameterization. The integral is approximated using standard Gauss-Hermite quadrature.

In what follows, we show formulas using the posterior means estimates of latent trait $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$, which are computed by default or when the conditional (ebmeans) option is specified. If the conditional (ebmodes) option is specified, $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$ are simply replaced with the posterior modes $\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}}_{j}$ in these formulas.

For the response to item $i$ from person $j$, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j}=\widehat{\alpha}_{i} \widetilde{\theta}_{j}
$$

If option marginal or conditional (fixedonly) is specified, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j}=0
$$

The predicted probability, conditional on the predicted latent trait, is

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j k}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \widehat{\alpha}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}, \widetilde{\theta}_{j}\right)
$$

## Also see

[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot
[IRT] irtgraph tcc - Test characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt nrm - Nominal response model

| Description | Quick start | Menu | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options | Remarks and examples | Stored results | Methods and formulas |
| References | Also see |  |  |

## Description

irt nrm fits nominal response models (NRMs) to categorical items. In the NRM, items vary in their difficulty and discrimination.

## Quick start

NRM for nominal items n1 to n5
irt nrm n1-n5
Plot CCCs for n 1
irtgraph icc n1

## Menu

Statistics $>$ IRT (item response theory)

## Syntax

irt nrm varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
options
Description
Model
listwise drop observations with any missing items
SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or jackknife

Reporting
level(\#)
notable
noheader
display_options
set confidence level; default is level (95)
suppress coefficient table
suppress output header
control columns and column formats
Integration
intmethod(intmethod)
intpoints(\#)
integration method
set the number of integration points; default is intpoints (7)
Maximization
maximize_options
startvalues (svmethod)
noestimate
dnumerical
coeflegend
control the maximization process; seldom used
method for obtaining starting values
do not fit the model; show starting values instead
use numerical derivative techniques
display legend instead of statistics
intmethod
mvaghermite
mcaghermite
ghermite

## Description

mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see $[R]$ bootstrap.
vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Options


#### Abstract

Model listwise handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.


SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting
level (\#); see [R] estimation options.
notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.
noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat (\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Integration
intmethod (intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and ghermite performs nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
The default integration method is mvaghermite.
intpoints(\#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints(7), which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.

Maximization
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate (\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance (\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for irt are listed below.
from() accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with irt but are not shown in the dialog box:
startvalues () specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in from() override the computed starting values.
startvalues (zero) specifies that all starting values be set to 0 . This option is typically useful only when specified with the from() option.
startvalues (constantonly) builds on startvalues (zero) by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.
startvalues (fixedonly) builds on startvalues (constantonly) by fitting a full fixedeffects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption iterate(\#) to limit the number of iterations irt allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.
startvalues (ivloadings) builds on startvalues (fixedonly) by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.
noestimate specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the coeflegend style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:
. irt ..., ... noestimate
. matrix b $=\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{b})$
. ... (modify elements of b) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
dnumerical specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, irt uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.
coeflegend; see $[R]$ estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

The following discussion is about how to use irt to fit NRMs to categorical items. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

The NRM is used for nominally scored responses. The responses are allocated to mutually exclusive, exhaustive, and nonordered categories. For example, responses to a behavioral question may be recorded as "yes", "no","maybe", and "refused to say", and the actual score has no meaning other than to designate the response category. If there are only two outcomes, the NRM is equivalent to the 2PL model; see [IRT] irt 2 pl . If the item responses are ordered, see [IRT] irt grm, [IRT] irt pcm, or [IRT] irt rsm.

The NRM allows the categories to vary between items; however, to keep the following discussion from being overly complicated, we will assume the outcome levels for all items are given by $k=1, \ldots, K$.

In the NRM, the items are modeled each with their own collection of discrimination and "difficulty" parameters using the multinomial logistic model. We quote "difficulty" here to acknowledge that in the context of the NRM as implemented in irt nrm, the difficulty parameter measures the propensity to choose a given item category instead of the base outcome. For item $i$, the probability of person $j$ choosing category $k$ on item $i$ is

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{a_{i k}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i k}\right)\right\}}{\sum_{h=1}^{K} \exp \left\{a_{i h}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i h}\right)\right\}} \quad \theta_{j} \sim N(0,1)
$$

where $a_{i k}$ represents the discrimination of category $k$ for item $i, b_{i k}$ represents the difficulty of category $k$ for item $i$, and $\theta_{j}$ is the latent trait of person $j$. irt nrm assigns the first outcome as
the base outcome with which other parameters will be compared; this implies the constraint $a_{i 1}=0$ and $b_{i 1}=0$ for each item $i$. With this constraint, $a_{i k}$ and $b_{i k}$ are the discrimination and difficulty to choose category $k$ relative to the first category.

The NRM was proposed by Bock (1972). The slope-intercept parameterization of the NRM was proposed by Baker (1992). When no latent variable is present, the model for a single item is known as the multinomial logistic model; see [R] mlogit.

## $>$ Example 1: Fitting an NRM

To illustrate the NRM, we use the data from de Ayala (2009). science. dta contains four multiplechoice questions from a physical science test, q1 through q4, with each response assigned to an unordered category of $1,2,3$, or 4 .

We fit an NRM as follows:
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/science
(Physical science data from de Ayala (2009))

- irt nrm q1-q4

Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -9256.1514
Iteration 1: $\quad \log$ likelihood $=-9256.1514$
Fitting full model:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Iteration 0: } & \text { log likelihood }=-9287.6878 & \text { (not concave) } \\ \text { Iteration 1: } & \text { log likelihood }=-9221.5198 & \\ \text { Iteration 2: } & \text { log likelihood }=-9207.5015 & \text { (not concave) }\end{array}$
Iteration 3: log likelihood $=-9165.7851$
Iteration 4: log likelihood $=-9154.166$
Iteration 5: log likelihood $=-9152.2717$
Iteration 6: log likelihood = -9152.244
Iteration 7: log likelihood = -9152.244
Nominal response model $\quad$ Number of obs $=1,799$
Log likelihood = -9152.244

|  | Coef. | Std. Err | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 vs 1 | . 4349366 | . 1221761 | 3.56 | 0.000 | . 1954759 | . 6743973 |
| 3 vs 1 | -. 5492982 | . 1630795 | -3.37 | 0.001 | -. 8689282 | -. 2296683 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { vs } 1 \\ & \text { Diff } \end{aligned}$ | -. 5967016 | . 1459728 | -4.09 | 0.000 | -. 882803 | -. 3106002 |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 8531528 | . 3228014 | -2.64 | 0.008 | -1.485832 | -. 2204737 |
| 3 vs 1 | -1.346445 | . 3511587 | -3.83 | 0.000 | -2.034703 | -. 6581867 |
| 4 vs 1 | -. 5634533 | . 1473554 | -3.82 | 0.000 | -. 8522645 | -. 2746422 |
| q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 2226277 | . 2065114 | -1.08 | 0.281 | -. 6273825 | . 1821272 |
| 3 vs 1 | . 6076979 | . 2000752 | 3.04 | 0.002 | . 2155576 | . 9998381 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { vs } 1 \\ & \text { Diff } \end{aligned}$ | -. 1144097 | . 194821 | -0.59 | 0.557 | -. 4962518 | . 2674324 |
| 2 vs 1 | 5.169253 | 5.017017 | 1.03 | 0.303 | -4.663919 | 15.00242 |
| 3 vs 1 | -3.20606 | 1.043754 | -3.07 | 0.002 | -5.251781 | -1.160339 |
| 4 vs 1 | 14.36817 | 24.79236 | 0.58 | 0.562 | -34.22397 | 62.9603 |
| q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 214686 | . 214803 | -1.00 | 0.318 | -. 6356921 | . 2063201 |
| 3 vs 1 | . 7354083 | . 2053428 | 3.58 | 0.000 | . 3329438 | 1.137873 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { vs } 1 \\ \text { Diff } \end{gathered}$ | 1.272605 | . 2287827 | 5.56 | 0.000 | . 8241987 | 1.72101 |
| 2 vs 1 | 1.3132 | 2.006775 | 0.65 | 0.513 | -2.620008 | 5.246407 |
| 3 vs 1 | -1.752087 | . 3919143 | -4.47 | 0.000 | -2.520224 | -. 9839486 |
| 4 vs 1 | -1.145029 | . 177832 | -6.44 | 0.000 | -1.493573 | -. 7964847 |
| q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 vs 1 | . 9090316 | . 1734278 | 5.24 | 0.000 | . 5691194 | 1.248944 |
| 3 vs 1 | . 6275533 | . 1588602 | 3.95 | 0.000 | . 3161931 | . 9389136 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { vs } 1 \\ \text { Diff } \end{gathered}$ | 1.387606 | . 2034136 | 6.82 | 0.000 | . 9889224 | 1.786289 |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 9150171 | . 1377816 | -6.64 | 0.000 | -1.185064 | -. 6449703 |
| 3 vs 1 | -1.445922 | . 269392 | -5.37 | 0.000 | -1.97392 | -. 9179229 |
| 4 vs 1 | -. 4153831 | . 0870474 | -4.77 | 0.000 | -. 5859929 | -. 2447734 |

Looking at item q1, we see that the second category, labeled 2 vs 1 , is the most discriminating among the respondents. The difficulty parameters represent the points at which the base outcome intersects with the other outcomes. For item q1, the estimated difficulty for category 2 is -0.85 ; this means that a person with $\theta=-0.85$ would be equally likely to select response 1 or response 2 on this item. Likewise, category 3 has a estimated difficulty of -1.35 , so a person with $\theta=-1.35$ would be equally likely to select responses 1 or 3 .

For an NRM, it is easiest to interpret the parameters by plotting the CCCs. The curves trace the probability of choosing each category as a function of $\theta$ using the estimated NRM parameters. Here we plot the probabilities for item q1 using irtgraph icc; see [IRT] irtgraph icc for details.

```
. irtgraph icc q1, xlabel(-4 -. 85 -1.35 -. 56 4, grid alt)
```



We see that respondents with the latent trait level below approximately -0.7 tend to endorse category 4 , and respondents with the latent trait level above that point tend to choose category 2 .

Although the other two alternatives are dominated by category 2 and 4 , this does not mean that the dominated alternatives are not viable responses. In the discussion above, we purposefully used "tend" rather than "most probable" because we can consider the probability of choosing category 4 in two ways: the probability of choosing category 4 over any other single category and the probability of choosing category 4 over all other categories combined. For values of the latent trait below about -0.7 , the probability of choosing category 4 is larger than the probability of choosing any other individual category. However, for values of $\theta$ below approximately -2.7 , we can also say that the probability of choosing category 4 is greater than the probability of choosing all other categories combined. In the range of $(-2.7,-0.7)$, the sum of the probabilities of categories 1,2 , and 3 together exceeds the probability of a response in category 4 . Thus, in this range, it is more probable that a respondent chooses "anything other than 4 " rather than " 4 ". We can use the same argument for category 2 in the range $(-0.7,0.6)$.

## Stored results

irt nrm stores the following in e():
Scalars
e(N)
e(k)
e(k_eq)
e(k_dv)
e(k_rc)
e(k_rs)
e(irt_k_eq)
e(k_items1)
e(k_out\#)
e(11)
e(N_clust)
$e$ (n_quad)
e(rank)
e(ic)
$e(r c)$
e(converged)
Macros

```
e(cmd)
e(cmd2)
e(cmdline)
e(model1)
e(items1)
e(depvar)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(clustvar)
e(family#)
e(link#)
e(intmethod)
e(vce)
e(vcetype)
e(opt)
e(which)
e(method)
e(ml_method)
e(user)
e(technique)
e(datasignature)
e(datasignaturevars)
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(predict)
e(covariates)
e(footnote)
```

number of observations
number of parameters
number of equations in $e(b)$
number of dependent variables
number of covariances
number of variances
number of IRT model groups
number of items in first IRT model group
number of outcomes for the \#th item, nominal
log likelihood
number of clusters
number of integration points
rank of $e(V)$
number of iterations
return code
1 if target model converged, 0 otherwise

```
gsem
irt
command as typed
nrm
names of items in first IRT model group
names of all item variables
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
name of cluster variable
family for the #th item
link for the #th item
integration method
vcetype specified in vce()
title used to label Std. Err.
type of optimization
max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
estimation method: ml
type of ml method
name of likelihood-evaluator program
maximization technique
the checksum
variables used in calculation of checksum
b V
program used to implement estat
program used to implement predict
list of covariates
program used to implement the footnote display
```

Matrices
e(_N)
e(b)
e(b_pclass)
e(out\#)
e(Cns)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V)
e(V_modelbased)
Functions
e(sample)
sample size for each item
coefficient vector, slope-intercept parameterization
parameter class
outcomes for the \#th item, nominal
constraints matrix
iteration $\log$ (up to 20 iterations)
gradient vector
variance-covariance matrix of the estimators model-based variance
marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

Let $Y_{i j}$ represent the (yet to be observed) outcome for item $i$ from person $j$. Without loss of generality, we will assume all items take on the unordered categories, $k=1, \ldots, K$.

Using the IRT parameterization, we see that the probability of person $j$ with latent trait level $\theta_{j}$ (the latent trait) providing response $k$ for item $i$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \mathbf{a}_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{a_{i k}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i k}\right)\right\}}{\sum_{h=1}^{K} \exp \left\{a_{i h}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i h}\right)\right\}}
$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{i}=\left(a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{i K}\right)$ represent the discrimination for each category of item $i$ and $\mathbf{b}_{i}=$ $\left(b_{i 1}, \ldots, b_{i K}\right)$ represent the difficulties for each category of item $i$. irt nrm fits the model using the slope-intercept form, so the probability for providing response $k$ is parameterized as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\alpha_{i k} \theta_{j}+\beta_{i k}\right)}{\sum_{h=1}^{K} \exp \left(\alpha_{i h} \theta_{j}+\beta_{i h}\right)}
$$

The transformation between these two parameterizations is

$$
a_{i k}=\alpha_{i k} \quad b_{i k}=-\frac{\beta_{i k}}{\alpha_{i k}}
$$

irt nrm uses baseline constraints to ensure the model is identified; the baseline constraints are set on the slope and intercept for the first outcome for each item, for example, $a_{i 1}=0$ and $b_{i 1}=0$. This baseline outcome is necessary for the model to be identified.

Let $y_{i j}$ be the observed response for $Y_{i j}$ and $p_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=y_{i j} \mid \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)$. Conditionally on $\theta_{j}$, the item responses are assumed independent, so the conditional density for person $j$ is given by

$$
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i j}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(y_{1 j}, \ldots, y_{I j}\right), \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{I}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}\right)$, and $I$ is the number of items.
Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the listwise option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person $j$ is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density

$$
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the $N$ persons in the estimation sample.

$$
\log L(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})
$$

The integral in the formula for $L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.
Gauss-Hermite quadrature and adaptive quadrature are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid.

## References
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Bock, R. D. 1972. Estimating item parameters and latent ability when responses are scored in two or more nominal categories. Psychometrika 37: 29-51.
de Ayala, R. J. 2009. The Theory and Practice of Item Response Theory. New York: Guilford Press.

## Also see

[IRT] irt nrm postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt nrm
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt pem - Partial credit model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt nrm postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt nrm

Postestimation commands predict Methods and formulas Also see

## Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after irt nrm:

| Command | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| estat report | report estimated IRT parameters |
| irtgraph icc | plot item characteristic curve (ICC) |
| irtgraph iif | plot item information function (IIF) |
| irtgraph tcc | plot test characteristic curve (TCC) |
| irtgraph tif | plot test information function (TIF) |

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:
Command Description
estat ic
estat summarize
estat vce
estat (svy)
estimates
lincom

* lrtest
nlcom
predict
predictnl
test Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses
testnl Wald tests of nonlinear hypotheses

[^5]
## predict

## Description for predict

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as probabilities, linear predictions, and parameter-level scores.

## Menu for predict

Statistics $>$ Postestimation

## Syntax for predict

Syntax for obtaining predictions of item probabilities and other statistics predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in] [, statistic item_options]

Syntax for obtaining estimated latent variables and their standard errors

$$
\text { predict }[\text { type }] \text { newvarsspec }[\text { if }][\text { in }] \text {, latent [latent_options }]
$$

Syntax for obtaining parameter-level scores

```
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], scores
```

newvarsspec is stub* or newvarlist.
statistic
Description
Main

| pr | probabilities; the default |
| :--- | :--- |
| xb | linear prediction |

xb linear prediction
item_options
Description

Main
$\dagger$ outcome (item [\#])
conditional (ctype)
marginal

## Integration

int_options
specify item variable; default is all variables
compute statistic conditional on estimated latent variables; default is conditional (ebmeans)
compute statistic marginally with respect to the latent variables
integration options

[^6]ctype Description
ebmeans
ebmodes
fixedonly

## latent_options

Main
ebmeans
ebmodes
se(newvar)

Integration
int_options
empirical Bayes means of latent variables; the default empirical Bayes modes of latent variables prediction for the fixed portion of the model only

## Description

use empirical Bayes means of latent trait; the default use empirical Bayes modes of latent trait calculate standard errors
integration options
int_options
Description
intpoints(\#)
iterate(\#)
tolerance(\#)
use \# quadrature points to compute marginal predictions and empirical Bayes means
set maximum number of iterations in computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators
set convergence tolerance for computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators

## Options for predict

## Main

pr , the default, calculates the predicted probability.
xb specifies that the linear predictor be calculated.
outcome (item [\#]) specifies that predictions for item be calculated. Use \# to specify which outcome level to predict. Predictions for all observed response variables are computed by default.
conditional (ctype) and marginal specify how latent variables are handled in computing statistic.
conditional() specifies that statistic will be computed conditional on specified or estimated latent variables.
conditional(ebmeans), the default, specifies that empirical Bayes means be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mean estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (ebmodes) specifies that empirical Bayes modes be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mode estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (fixedonly) specifies that all latent variables be set to zero, equivalent to using only the fixed portion of the model.
marginal specifies that the predicted statistic be computed marginally with respect to the latent variables, which means that statistic is calculated by integrating the prediction function with respect to all the latent variables over their entire support.

Although this is not the default, marginal predictions are often very useful in applied analysis. They produce what are commonly called population-averaged estimates.
latent specifies that the latent trait is predicted using an empirical Bayes estimator; see options ebmeans and ebmodes.
ebmeans specifies that empirical Bayes means are used to predict the latent variables.
ebmodes specifies that empirical Bayes modes are used to predict the latent variables.
se (newvar) calculates standard errors of the empirical Bayes estimator and stores the result in newvar. This option requires the latent option.
scores calculates the scores for each coefficient in $e(b)$. This option requires a new variable list of the length equal to the number of columns in $e(b)$. Otherwise, use stub* to have predict generate enumerated variables with prefix stub.

Integration
intpoints(\#) specifies the number of quadrature points used to compute marginal predictions and the empirical Bayes means; the default is the value from estimation.
iterate(\#) specifies the maximum number of iterations when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.
tolerance(\#) specifies convergence tolerance when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.

## Methods and formulas

Empirical Bayes predictions of the latent trait are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid postestimation.

This section builds on the notation introduced in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt nrm.
By default, or when the marginal option is specified, the predicted probability for item $i$, person $j$, and outcome $k$ is computed as

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j k}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{i}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}$ are the estimated parameters in the slope-intercept parameterization. The integral is approximated using standard Gauss-Hermite quadrature.

In what follows, we show formulas using the posterior means estimates of latent trait $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$, which are computed by default or when the conditional (ebmeans) option is specified. If the conditional (ebmodes) option is specified, $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$ are simply replaced with the posterior modes $\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}}_{j}$ in these formulas.

For the response to item $i$ from person $j$, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j k}=\widehat{\alpha}_{i k} \widetilde{\theta}_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i k}
$$

If option marginal or conditional (fixedonly) is specified, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j k}=\widehat{\beta}_{i k}
$$

The predicted probability, conditional on the predicted latent trait, is

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j k}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \widehat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}, \widetilde{\theta}_{j}\right)
$$

## Also see

[IRT] irt nrm - Nominal response model
[IRT] estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot
[IRT] irtgraph tcc - Test characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot
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## Title

irt pcm - Partial credit model

| Description | Quick start | Menu | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options | Remarks and examples | Stored results | Methods and formulas |
| References | Also see |  |  |

## Description

irt pcm fits partial credit models (PCMs) to ordinal items. In the PCM, items vary in their difficulty but share the same discrimination parameter.
irt gpcm fits generalized partial credit models (GPCMs) to ordinal items. In the GPCM, items vary in their difficulty and discrimination.

## Quick start

PCM for ordinal items 01 to 05
irt pcm o1-o5
Plot CCCs for o1
irtgraph icc o1

## Menu

Statistics $>$ IRT (item response theory)

## Syntax

## Partial credit model

irt pcm varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]

Generalized partial credit model
irt gpcm varlist $[$ if $][$ in $][$ weight $][$, options $]$
options

## Description

Model
listwise
drop observations with any missing items
SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or jackknife

Reporting
level(\#)
notable
noheader
display_options
set confidence level; default is level (95)
suppress coefficient table
suppress output header
control columns and column formats
Integration
intmethod(intmethod)
intpoints(\#)
Maximization
maximize_options
startvalues (svmethod)
noestimate
dnumerical
coeflegend
integration method
set the number of integration points; default is intpoints (7)
control the maximization process; seldom used
method for obtaining starting values
do not fit the model; show starting values instead
use numerical derivative techniques
display legend instead of statistics
intmethod
mvaghermite
mcaghermite
ghermite

Description
mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see $[R]$ bootstrap.
vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Options

## Model

listwise handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.

SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting
level(\#); see [R] estimation options.
notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.
noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat(\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

## Integration

intmethod(intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and ghermite performs nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
The default integration method is mvaghermite.
intpoints(\#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints(7), which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.

Maximization
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate(\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance(\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for irt are listed below.
from() accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with irt but are not shown in the dialog box:
startvalues () specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in from() override the computed starting values.
startvalues (zero) specifies that all starting values be set to 0 . This option is typically useful only when specified with the from() option.
startvalues (constantonly) builds on startvalues (zero) by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.
startvalues (fixedonly) builds on startvalues (constantonly) by fitting a full fixedeffects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption iterate(\#) to limit the number of iterations irt allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.
startvalues (ivloadings) builds on startvalues (fixedonly) by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.
noestimate specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the coeflegend style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:
. irt ..., ... noestimate
. matrix $b=e(b)$
. ... (modify elements of b ) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
dnumerical specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, irt uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.
coeflegend; see [R] estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

The following discussion is about how to use irt to fit PCMs and GPCMs to ordinal items. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

The PCM is used for ordered categorical responses. An item scored $0,1, \ldots, K$ is divided into $K$ adjacent logits, and a positive response in category $k$ implies a positive response to the categories preceding category $k$.

The probability of person $j$ scoring in category $k$ on item $i$ is

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{\sum_{t=1}^{k} a\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i t}\right)\right\}}{1+\sum_{s=1}^{K} \exp \left\{\sum_{t=1}^{s} a\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i t}\right)\right\}} \quad \theta_{j} \sim N(0,1)
$$

where $a$ represents the discrimination common to all items, $b_{i t}$ represents the difficulty that distinguishes outcome $t$ from the other outcomes in item $i$, and $\theta_{j}$ is the latent trait of person $j$.

In a GPCM, each item has its own discrimination parameter.
The PCM was proposed by Masters (1982). The GPCM was proposed by Muraki (1992).

## > Example 1: Fitting a PCM

To illustrate the PCM, we use the analogical reasoning data from de Ayala (2009). alike.dta contains eight questions, v1 through v8, that ask how two things are alike, for example, "In what way are a dog and a lion alike?" Each response is graded as 0 (incorrect), 1 (partially correct), and 2 (correct). Here we list the first five observations.
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/alike (Analogical reasoning data from de Ayala (2009))
. list in $1 / 5$, nolabel

|  | v1 | v2 | v3 | v4 | v5 | v6 | v7 | v8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Looking across the first row, we see that the first respondent correctly solved items v1 and v2 and was incorrect on the remaining items.

We fit a PCM as follows:
. irt pcm v1-v8
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-20869.947$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-20869.947$
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood $=-20048.975$
Iteration 1: log likelihood $=-19814.317$
Iteration 2: log likelihood $=-19678.395$
Iteration 3: log likelihood $=-19678.271$
Iteration 4: log likelihood $=-19678.271$
Partial credit model Number of obs = 2,941
Log likelihood = -19678. 271

|  | Coef . | Std. Err | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Conf | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discrim | . 8375472 | . 0194059 | 43.16 | 0.000 | . 7995124 | . 875582 |
| v1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -1.546962 | . 1128848 | -13.70 | 0.000 | -1.768212 | -1.325712 |
| 2 vs 1 | -2.463391 | . 0900475 | -27.36 | 0.000 | -2.639881 | -2.286902 |
| v2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -. 508318 | . 0803871 | -6.32 | 0.000 | -. 6658738 | -. 3507622 |
| 2 vs 1 | -1.592003 | . 0753361 | -21.13 | 0.000 | -1.739659 | -1.444347 |
| v3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -1.242774 | . 0719814 | -17.27 | 0.000 | -1.383855 | -1.101694 |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 2770088 | . 0562749 | -4.92 | 0.000 | -. 3873056 | -. 166712 |
| v4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -. 3337874 | . 0580143 | -5.75 | 0.000 | -. 4474934 | -. 2200814 |
| 2 vs 1 | . 7146057 | . 0614175 | 11.64 | 0.000 | . 5942296 | . 8349819 |
| v5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | 1.89372 | . 0969163 | 19.54 | 0.000 | 1.703768 | 2.083672 |
| 2 vs 1 | -1.454011 | . 0955847 | -15.21 | 0.000 | -1.641353 | -1.266668 |
| v6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -. 2165156 | . 052177 | -4.15 | 0.000 | -. 3187806 | -. 1142506 |
| 2 vs 1 | 3.115386 | . 1146119 | 27.18 | 0.000 | 2.89075 | 3.340021 |
| v7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | 1.909344 | . 0834947 | 22.87 | 0.000 | 1.745698 | 2.072991 |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 0129814 | . 0832004 | -0.16 | 0.876 | -. 1760511 | . 1500883 |
| v8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | 1.514291 | . 0685158 | 22.10 | 0.000 | 1.380003 | 1.64858 |
| 2 vs 1 | 1.63067 | . 0933511 | 17.47 | 0.000 | 1.447705 | 1.813635 |

The difficulties represent a point at which the two adjacent categories are equally likely. For item v4, a person with $\theta=-0.33$ is equally likely to answer incorrectly or to answer partially correct (labeled 1 vs 0 ). A person with $\theta=0.71$ is equally likely to be partially correct or to be correct (labeled 2 vs 1 ).

We can present this graphically using CCCs. The curves trace the probability of choosing each category as a function of $\theta$ using the estimated PCM parameters. Here we plot the probabilities for item v4 using irtgraph icc; see [IRT] irtgraph icc for details.

```
. irtgraph icc v4, xlabel(-4 -. 33 . 71 4, grid)
```

Category Characteristic Curves


While the PCM is intended for items having ordered categorical responses, the model is parameterized as if the outcomes were nominal. Therefore, the difficulty parameters for a given item are not necessarily in an increasing order. For example, for item v2, the second difficulty parameter is -1.59 and is smaller than the first difficulty parameter, -0.51 . This is called a reversal and indicates that the category with the reversed threshold is dominated by the other two categories. Here we show this situation graphically.

```
. irtgraph icc v2, xlabel(-4 -.51 -1.59 4, grid)
```



Notice that the probability of responding with a partially correct answer is never greater than both the probability of responding incorrectly and the probability of responding correctly. A reversal of the thresholds may indicate a potential problem with the item or with how raters graded the responses to the item. In our case, item v2 is primarily behaving like a binary item.

## Stored results

irt pcm and irt gpcm store the following in e():
Scalars
e(N)
e(k)
e(k_eq)
e(k_dv)
e(k_rc)
e(k_rs)
e(irt_k_eq)
e(k_items1)
e(k_out\#)
e(11)
e(N_clust)
e(n_quad)
e(rank)
e(ic)
$e(r c)$
e(converged)
Macros

```
e(cmd)
    e(cmd2)
    e(cmdline)
    e(model1)
    e(items1)
    e(n_cuts1)
    e(depvar)
    e(wtype)
    e(wexp)
    e(title)
    e(clustvar)
    e(family#)
    e(link#)
    e(intmethod)
    e(vce)
    e(vcetype)
    e(opt)
    e(which)
    e(method)
    e(ml_method)
    e(user)
    e(technique)
    e(datasignature)
    e(datasignaturevars)
    e(properties)
    e(estat_cmd)
    e(predict)
    e(covariates)
    e(footnote)
```

number of observations
number of parameters
number of equations in $e(b)$
number of dependent variables
number of covariances
number of variances
number of IRT model groups
number of items in first IRT model group
number of categories for the \#th item, ordinal
log likelihood
number of clusters
number of integration points
rank of e(V)
number of iterations
return code
1 if target model converged, 0 otherwise

## gsem

irt
command as typed
pcm or gpcm
names of items in first IRT model group
numlist of cuts in first IRT model group
names of all item variables
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
name of cluster variable
family for the \#th item
link for the \#th item
integration method
vcetype specified in vce()
title used to label Std. Err.
type of optimization
max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
estimation method: ml
type of ml method
name of likelihood-evaluator program
maximization technique
the checksum
variables used in calculation of checksum
b V
program used to implement estat
program used to implement predict
list of covariates
program used to implement the footnote display
e(_N)
e(b)
e(b_pclass)
e(out\#)
e(Cns)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V)
e(V_modelbased)
Functions
e(sample) marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

Let $Y_{i j}$ represent the (yet to be observed) outcome for item $i$ from person $j$. Without loss of generality, we will assume all items take on the ordered categories, $k=0,1, \ldots, K$.

Using the IRT parameterization, we see that the probability of person $j$ with latent trait level $\theta_{j}$ (the latent trait) providing response $k$ for item $i$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid a_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{\sum_{t=1}^{k} a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i t}\right)\right\}}{1+\sum_{s=1}^{K} \exp \left\{\sum_{t=1}^{s} a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i t}\right)\right\}}
$$

where $a_{i}$ represents the discrimination for item $i, \mathbf{b}_{i}=\left(b_{i 1}, \ldots, b_{i K}\right)$ represent the difficulties that distinguish the ordered categories of item $i$, and it is understood that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=0 \mid a_{i}, \mathbf{b}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\sum_{s=1}^{K} \exp \left\{\sum_{t=1}^{s} a_{i}\left(\theta_{j}-b_{i t}\right)\right\}}
$$

irt pcm and irt gpcm fit the model using the slope-intercept form, so the probability for providing response $k$ is parameterized as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \alpha_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(k \alpha_{i} \theta_{j}+\beta_{i k}\right)}{1+\sum_{s=1}^{K} \exp \left(s \alpha_{i} \theta_{j}+\beta_{i s}\right)}
$$

The transformation between these two parameterizations is

$$
a_{i}=\alpha_{i} \quad b_{i k}=-\frac{\beta_{i k}-\beta_{i, k-1}}{\alpha_{i}}
$$

where $b_{i 0}=0$ and $\beta_{i 0}=0$. For irt pcm, the item discriminations $a_{i}$ are constrained to be equal.
Let $y_{i j}$ be the observed response for $Y_{i j}$ and $p_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=y_{i j} \mid \alpha_{i}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)$. Conditional on $\theta_{j}$, the item responses are assumed to be independent, so the conditional density for person $j$ is given by

$$
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i j}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(y_{1 j}, \ldots, y_{I j}\right), \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{I}, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_{I}\right)$, and $I$ is the number of items.

Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the listwise option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person $j$ is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density

$$
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the $N$ persons in the estimation sample.

$$
\log L(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})
$$

The integral in the formula for $L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.
Gauss-Hermite quadrature and adaptive quadrature are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid.

## References
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Muraki, E. 1992. A generalized partial credit model: Application of an EM algorithm. Applied Psychological Measurement 16: 159-176.

## Also see

[IRT] irt pem postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt pcm
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt pcm postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt pcm

Postestimation commands predict Methods and formulas Also see

## Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after irt pcm and irt gpcm:

| Command | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| estat report | report estimated IRT parameters |
| irtgraph icc | plot item characteristic curve (ICC) |
| irtgraph iif | plot item information function (IIF) |
| irtgraph tcc | plot test characteristic curve (TCC) |
| irtgraph tif | plot test information function (TIF) |

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:
Command Description

| estat ic | Akaike's and Schwarz's Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC) |
| :--- | :--- |
| estat summarize | summary statistics for the estimation sample <br> estat vce <br> estat (svy) |
| variance-covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE) |  |
| estimates | postestimation statistics for survey data |
| lincom | cataloging estimation results |
| point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear |  |
| *lrtest | combinations of coefficients |
| nlcom | point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear <br> predict |
| combinations of coefficients |  |
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## predict

## Description for predict

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as probabilities, linear predictions, and parameter-level scores.

## Menu for predict

Statistics $>$ Postestimation

## Syntax for predict

Syntax for obtaining predictions of item probabilities and other statistics predict [type] newvarsspec [if][in] [, statistic item_options]

Syntax for obtaining estimated latent variables and their standard errors

$$
\text { predict }[\text { type }] \text { newvarsspec }[\text { if }][\text { in }] \text {, latent [latent_options }]
$$

Syntax for obtaining parameter-level scores

```
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], scores
```

newvarsspec is stub* or newvarlist.

## statistic

Description
Main

| pr | probabilities; the default |
| :--- | :--- |
| xb | linear prediction |

$\mathrm{xb} \quad$ linear prediction
item_options
Description

Main
$\dagger$ outcome (item [\#])
conditional (ctype)
marginal

## Integration

int_options
specify item variable; default is all variables
compute statistic conditional on estimated latent variables; default is conditional (ebmeans)
compute statistic marginally with respect to the latent variables
integration options
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## ctype

Description
ebmeans
ebmodes
fixedonly

## latent_options

Main
ebmeans
ebmodes
se (newvar)

Integration
int_options
empirical Bayes means of latent variables; the default
empirical Bayes modes of latent variables
prediction for the fixed portion of the model only

Description
use empirical Bayes means of latent trait; the default use empirical Bayes modes of latent trait calculate standard errors
integration options
int_options
Description
intpoints(\#)
iterate(\#)
tolerance(\#)
use \# quadrature points to compute marginal predictions and empirical Bayes means
set maximum number of iterations in computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators
set convergence tolerance for computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators

## Options for predict

$\qquad$
pr , the default, calculates the predicted probability.
xb specifies that the linear predictor be calculated.
outcome (item [\#]) specifies that predictions for item be calculated. Use \# to specify which outcome level to predict. Predictions for all observed response variables are computed by default.
conditional (ctype) and marginal specify how latent variables are handled in computing statistic.
conditional() specifies that statistic will be computed conditional on specified or estimated latent variables.
conditional (ebmeans), the default, specifies that empirical Bayes means be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mean estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (ebmodes) specifies that empirical Bayes modes be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mode estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (fixedonly) specifies that all latent variables be set to zero, equivalent to using only the fixed portion of the model.
marginal specifies that the predicted statistic be computed marginally with respect to the latent variables, which means that statistic is calculated by integrating the prediction function with respect to all the latent variables over their entire support.

Although this is not the default, marginal predictions are often very useful in applied analysis. They produce what are commonly called population-averaged estimates.
latent specifies that the latent trait is predicted using an empirical Bayes estimator; see options ebmeans and ebmodes.
ebmeans specifies that empirical Bayes means are used to predict the latent variables.
ebmodes specifies that empirical Bayes modes are used to predict the latent variables.
se (newvar) calculates standard errors of the empirical Bayes estimator and stores the result in newvar. This option requires the latent option.
scores calculates the scores for each coefficient in $e(b)$. This option requires a new variable list of the length equal to the number of columns in e(b). Otherwise, use stub* to have predict generate enumerated variables with prefix stub.

Integration
intpoints(\#) specifies the number of quadrature points used to compute marginal predictions and the empirical Bayes means; the default is the value from estimation.
iterate(\#) specifies the maximum number of iterations when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.
tolerance(\#) specifies convergence tolerance when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.

## Methods and formulas

Empirical Bayes predictions of the latent trait are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid postestimation.

This section builds on the notation introduced in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt pem.
When the marginal option is specified, the predicted probability for item $i$, person $j$, and outcome $k$ is computed as

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j k}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \widehat{\alpha}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}_{i}$ and $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}$ are the estimated parameters in the slope-intercept parameterization. The integral is approximated using standard Gauss-Hermite quadrature.

In what follows, we show formulas using the posterior means estimates of latent trait $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$, which are computed by default or when the conditional (ebmeans) option is specified. If the conditional (ebmodes) option is specified, $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$ are simply replaced with the posterior modes $\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}}_{j}$ in these formulas.

For the response to item $i$ from person $j$, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j k}=k \widehat{\alpha}_{i} \widetilde{\theta}_{j}+\widehat{\beta}_{i k}
$$

If option marginal or conditional(fixedonly) is specified, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j k}=\widehat{\beta}_{i k}
$$

The predicted probability, conditional on the predicted latent trait, is

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j k}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \widehat{\alpha}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{i}, \widetilde{\theta}_{j}\right)
$$

## Also see

[IRT] irt pcm - Partial credit model
[IRT] estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot
[IRT] irtgraph tec - Test characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt rsm — Rating scale model

| Description | Quick start | Menu | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options | Remarks and examples | Stored results | Methods and formulas |
| References | Also see |  |  |

## Description

irt rsm fits rating scale models (RSMs) to ordinal items. In the RSM, items vary in their difficulty but share the same discrimination parameter. The distances between the difficulties of adjacent outcomes are equal across the items.

## Quick start

RSM for ordinal items o1 to 05
irt rsm o1-o5
Plot CCCs for 01
irtgraph icc o1

## Menu

Statistics $>$ IRT (item response theory)

## Syntax

irt rsm varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
options

## Description

Model
listwise drop observations with any missing items
SE/Robust
vce(vcetype)
vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or jackknife

Reporting
level(\#)
notable
noheader
display_options
set confidence level; default is level (95)
suppress coefficient table
suppress output header
control columns and column formats
Integration
intmethod(intmethod)
intpoints(\#)
integration method
set the number of integration points; default is intpoints(7)
Maximization
maximize_options
startvalues (svmethod)
noestimate
dnumerical
coeflegend
control the maximization process; seldom used
method for obtaining starting values
do not fit the model; show starting values instead
use numerical derivative techniques
display legend instead of statistics
intmethod
mvaghermite
mcaghermite
ghermite

## Description

mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see $[R]$ bootstrap.
vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Options


#### Abstract

Model listwise handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.


SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting
level (\#); see [R] estimation options.
notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.
noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat(\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Integration
intmethod (intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and ghermite performs nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
The default integration method is mvaghermite.
intpoints(\#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints(7), which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.

Maximization
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate (\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance(\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for irt are listed below.
from() accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with irt but are not shown in the dialog box:
startvalues () specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in from () override the computed starting values.
startvalues (zero) specifies that all starting values be set to 0 . This option is typically useful only when specified with the from() option.
startvalues (constantonly) builds on startvalues (zero) by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.
startvalues (fixedonly) builds on startvalues (constantonly) by fitting a full fixedeffects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption iterate(\#) to limit the number of iterations irt allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.
startvalues (ivloadings) builds on startvalues (fixedonly) by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.
noestimate specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the coeflegend style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:

```
. irt ..., ... noestimate
```

. matrix $b=e(b)$
. ... (modify elements of b) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
dnumerical specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, irt uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.
coeflegend; see [R] estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

The following discussion is about how to use irt to fit RSMs to ordinal items. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

The RSM is a more parsimonious version of the PCM; see [IRT] irt pcm. In an RSM, the distances between categories are equal across all items.

The RSM is used for ordered categorical responses. An item scored $0,1, \ldots, K$ is divided into $K$ adjacent logits, and a positive response in category $k$ implies a positive response to the categories preceding category $k$.

The probability of person $j$ scoring in category $k$ on item $i$ is

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid a, b_{i}, \mathbf{d}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left[\sum_{t=1}^{k} a\left\{\theta_{j}-\left(b_{i}+d_{t}\right)\right\}\right]}{1+\sum_{s=1}^{K} \exp \left[\sum_{t=1}^{s} a\left\{\theta_{j}-\left(b_{i}+d_{t}\right)\right\}\right]} \quad \theta_{j} \sim N(0,1)
$$

where $a$ represents the discrimination common to all items, $b_{i}$ represents the "overall" difficulty of item $i, \mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{K}\right), d_{t}$ represents the threshold of outcome $t$ common to all items such that $\sum_{t=1}^{K} d_{t}=0$, and $\theta_{j}$ is the latent trait of person $j$.

Because all the items share the common thresholds, the difference between the difficulty parameters between adjacent categories is equal across the items. The presence of common thresholds requires that all items have the same number of responses. The responses are assumed to be functionally equivalent; that is, the responses should have the same meaning across all items.

The RSM was proposed by Andrich (1978a, 1978b).

## Example 1: Fitting an RSM

To illustrate the RSM, we use the data from Zheng and Rabe-Hesketh (2007). charity.dta contains five survey questions, ta1 through ta5, measuring faith and trust in charity organizations. Each item is coded $0,1,2$, or 3 , with higher scores indicating less favorable feelings toward charities.

We fit an RSM as follows:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/charity
(Data from Zheng & Rabe-Hesketh (2007))
. irt rsm ta1-ta5
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -5980.8848
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -5564.0205
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -5550.1989
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -5550.1765
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -5550.1765
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -5426.9653
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -5357.5172
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -5294.5245
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -5293.9321
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -5293.9307
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -5293.9307
Rating scale model Number of obs = 945
Log likelihood = -5293.9307
```

|  | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discrim | . 8826766 | . 0416351 | 21.20 | 0.000 | . 8010734 | . 9642798 |
| ta1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -. 9930361 | . 0787401 | -12.61 | 0.000 | -1.147364 | -. 8387083 |
| 2 vs 1 | 1.054185 | . 0819193 | 12.87 | 0.000 | . 8936264 | 1.214744 |
| 3 vs 2 | 2.180982 | . 1150909 | 18.95 | 0.000 | 1.955408 | 2.406556 |
| ta2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -1.640008 | . 0904366 | -18.13 | 0.000 | -1.81726 | -1.462756 |
| 2 vs 1 | . 4072134 | . 0731437 | 5.57 | 0.000 | . 2638544 | . 5505725 |
| 3 vs 2 | 1.534011 | . 0988783 | 15.51 | 0.000 | 1.340213 | 1.727809 |
| ta3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -. 9265681 | . 0767494 | -12.07 | 0.000 | -1.076994 | -. 776142 |
| 2 vs 1 | 1.120653 | . 0824001 | 13.60 | 0.000 | . 959152 | 1.282155 |
| 3 vs 2 | 2.24745 | . 1162845 | 19.33 | 0.000 | 2.019537 | 2.475364 |
| ta4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -. 2352774 | . 0712757 | -3.30 | 0.001 | -. 3749753 | -. 0955795 |
| 2 vs 1 | 1.811944 | . 0998673 | 18.14 | 0.000 | 1.616208 | 2.00768 |
| 3 vs 2 | 2.938741 | . 1355148 | 21.69 | 0.000 | 2.673137 | 3.204345 |
| ta5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 vs 0 | -1.077613 | . 0791414 | -13.62 | 0.000 | -1.232728 | -. 9224992 |
| 2 vs 1 | . 9696079 | . 0796777 | 12.17 | 0.000 | . 8134425 | 1.125773 |
| 3 vs 2 | 2.096405 | . 1124727 | 18.64 | 0.000 | 1.875963 | 2.316848 |

The difficulties represent a point at which the two adjacent categories are equally likely. For item ta1, a person with $\theta=-0.993$ is equally likely to respond with a 0 or a 1 , a person with $\theta=-1.05$ is equally likely to respond with a 1 or a 2 , and a person with $\theta=-2.18$ is equally likely to respond with a 2 or a 3.

We can show this graphically using CCCs. The curves trace the probability of choosing each category as a function of $\theta$ using the estimated RSM parameters. Here we plot the probabilities for item ta1 using irtgraph icc; see [IRT] irtgraph icc for details.

```
. irtgraph icc ta1, xlabel(-4 -.993 1.05 2.18 4, grid)
```



Note that in the preceding estimation output, the distance between the estimated difficulties labeled 1 vs 0 and 2 vs 1 is the same for all items, and the same relationship holds for the distance between the estimated difficulties labeled 2 vs 1 and 3 vs 2 . Because of this, CCCs for all items have the same shape but are offset by a constant from each other. To see this graphically, we specify $0 . t a *$, requesting that the CCC for the first category be shown for all items. The interested reader can create similar graphs for the other three categories to verify our claim.

```
. irtgraph icc O.ta*
```



## Stored results

irt rsm stores the following in e():
Scalars

```
e(N)
e(k)
e(k_eq)
e(k_dv)
e(k_rc)
e(k_rs)
e(irt_k_eq)
e(k_items1)
e(k_out#)
e(11)
e(N_clust)
e(n_quad)
e(rank)
e(ic)
e(rc)
e(converged)
```

Macros
e(cmd)
e(cmd2)
e(cmdline)
e(model1)
e(items1)
e(n_cuts1)
e(depvar)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(clustvar)
e(family\#)
e(link\#)
e(intmethod)
e(vce)
e(vcetype)
e(opt)
e(which)
e(method)
e(ml_method)
e(user)
e(technique)
e(datasignature)
e(datasignaturevars)
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(predict)
e(covariates)
e(footnote)

```
Matrices
    e(_N)
    e(b)
    e(b_pclass)
    e(out#)
    e(Cns)
    e(ilog)
    e(gradient)
    e(V)
    e(V_modelbased)
Functions
    e(sample)
```

sample size for each item
coefficient vector, slope-intercept parameterization
parameter class
categories for the \#th item, ordinal
constraints matrix
iteration $\log$ (up to 20 iterations)
gradient vector
variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
model-based variance
marks estimation sample

## Methods and formulas

Let $Y_{i j}$ represent the (yet to be observed) outcome for item $i$ from person $j$. Because of the constraints identified with this model, the RSM requires that all items take on the same number of ordered categories. Without loss of generality, we assume those categories are $k=0,1, \ldots, K$.

Using the IRT parameterization, we see that the probability of person $j$ with latent trait level $\theta_{j}$ (the latent trait) providing response $k$ for item $i$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid a, b_{i}, \mathbf{d}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left[\sum_{t=1}^{k} a\left\{\theta_{j}-\left(b_{i}+d_{t}\right)\right\}\right]}{1+\sum_{s=1}^{K} \exp \left[\sum_{t=1}^{s} a\left\{\theta_{j}-\left(b_{i}+d_{t}\right)\right\}\right]}
$$

where $a$ represents the discrimination, $b_{i}$ represents the overall difficulty of item $i, \mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{K}\right)$ represent the thresholds, common to all items, that separate adjacent response categories, and it is understood that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=0 \mid a, b_{i}, \mathbf{d}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{1+\sum_{s=1}^{K} \exp \left[\sum_{t=1}^{s} a\left\{\theta_{j}-\left(b_{i}+d_{t}\right)\right\}\right]}
$$

irt rsm fits the model using the slope-intercept form, so the probability for providing response $k$ is parameterized as

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \alpha, \beta_{i}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \theta_{j}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(k \alpha \theta_{j}+k \beta_{i}+\delta_{k}\right)}{1+\sum_{s=1}^{K} \exp \left(s \alpha \theta_{j}+s \beta_{i}+\delta_{s}\right)}
$$

The transformation between these two parameterizations is

$$
a=\alpha \quad b_{i k}=-\frac{\beta_{i}+\delta_{k}-\delta_{k-1}}{\alpha}
$$

where $b_{i 0}=0$ and $\beta_{i 0}=0$. Because the thresholds are common to all items, irt rsm requires the items must all take on the same number of ordered categories.

Let $y_{i j}$ be the observed response for $Y_{i j}$ and $p_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=y_{i j} \mid \alpha, \beta_{i}, \boldsymbol{\delta}, \theta_{j}\right)$. Conditional on $\theta_{j}$, the item responses are assumed to be independent, so the conditional density for person $j$ is given by

$$
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i j}
$$

where $\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(y_{1 j}, \ldots, y_{I j}\right), \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\alpha, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{I}, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{K}\right), I$ is the number of items, and $K$ is the number of response categories.

Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the listwise option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person $j$ is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density

$$
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the $N$ persons in the estimation sample.

$$
\log L(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})
$$

The integral in the formula for $L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})$ is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.
Gauss-Hermite quadrature and adaptive quadrature are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid.

## References

Andrich, D. 1978a. Application of a psychometric rating model to ordered categories which are scored with successive integers. Applied Psychological Measurement 2: 581-594.
——. 1978b. A rating formulation for ordered response categories. Psychometrika 43: 561-573.
Zheng, X., and S. Rabe-Hesketh. 2007. Estimating parameters of dichotomous and ordinal item response models with gllamm. Stata Journal 7: 313-333.
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## Title

irt rsm postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt rsm

Postestimation commands predict Methods and formulas Also see

## Postestimation commands

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after irt rsm:

| Command | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| estat report | report estimated IRT parameters |
| irtgraph icc | plot item characteristic curve (ICC) |
| irtgraph iif | plot item information function (IIF) |
| irtgraph tcc | plot test characteristic curve (TCC) |
| irtgraph tif | plot test information function (TIF) |

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:
Command Description
estat ic
estat summarize
estat vce
estat (svy)
estimates
lincom

* lrtest
nlcom
predict
predictnl
test Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses
testnl Wald tests of nonlinear hypotheses

[^10]
## predict

## Description for predict

predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as probabilities, linear predictions, and parameter-level scores.

## Menu for predict

Statistics $>$ Postestimation

## Syntax for predict

Syntax for obtaining predictions of item probabilities and other statistics predict [type] newvarsspec [if][in] [, statistic item_options]

Syntax for obtaining estimated latent variables and their standard errors

$$
\text { predict }[\text { type }] \text { newvarsspec }[\text { if }][\text { in }] \text {, latent }[\text { latent_options }]
$$

Syntax for obtaining parameter-level scores

```
predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], scores
```

newvarsspec is stub* or newvarlist.

## statistic

Description
Main

| pr | probabilities; the default |
| :--- | :--- |

xb linear prediction
item_options
Description

Main
$\dagger$ outcome (item [\#])
conditional (ctype)
marginal

## Integration

int_options
specify item variable; default is all variables
compute statistic conditional on estimated latent variables; default is conditional (ebmeans)
compute statistic marginally with respect to the latent variables
integration options
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## ctype

Description
ebmeans
ebmodes
fixedonly
latent_options
Main
ebmeans
ebmodes
se (newvar)

Integration
int_options
empirical Bayes means of latent variables; the default
empirical Bayes modes of latent variables
prediction for the fixed portion of the model only

Description
use empirical Bayes means of latent trait; the default use empirical Bayes modes of latent trait calculate standard errors
integration options
int_options
Description
intpoints(\#)
iterate(\#)
tolerance(\#)
use \# quadrature points to compute marginal predictions and empirical Bayes means
set maximum number of iterations in computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators
set convergence tolerance for computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators

## Options for predict

$\qquad$
pr , the default, calculates the predicted probability.
xb specifies that the linear predictor be calculated.
outcome (item [\#]) specifies that predictions for item be calculated. Use \# to specify which outcome level to predict. Predictions for all observed response variables are computed by default.
conditional (ctype) and marginal specify how latent variables are handled in computing statistic.
conditional() specifies that statistic will be computed conditional on specified or estimated latent variables.
conditional (ebmeans), the default, specifies that empirical Bayes means be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mean estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (ebmodes) specifies that empirical Bayes modes be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mode estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (fixedonly) specifies that all latent variables be set to zero, equivalent to using only the fixed portion of the model.
marginal specifies that the predicted statistic be computed marginally with respect to the latent variables, which means that statistic is calculated by integrating the prediction function with respect to all the latent variables over their entire support.

Although this is not the default, marginal predictions are often very useful in applied analysis. They produce what are commonly called population-averaged estimates.
latent specifies that the latent trait is predicted using an empirical Bayes estimator; see options ebmeans and ebmodes.
ebmeans specifies that empirical Bayes means are used to predict the latent variables.
ebmodes specifies that empirical Bayes modes are used to predict the latent variables.
se (newvar) calculates standard errors of the empirical Bayes estimator and stores the result in newvar. This option requires the latent option.
scores calculates the scores for each coefficient in $e(b)$. This option requires a new variable list of the length equal to the number of columns in e(b). Otherwise, use stub* to have predict generate enumerated variables with prefix stub.
$\qquad$ $\sqrt{\text { Integration }}$
intpoints(\#) specifies the number of quadrature points used to compute marginal predictions and the empirical Bayes means; the default is the value from estimation.
iterate(\#) specifies the maximum number of iterations when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.
tolerance(\#) specifies convergence tolerance when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.

## Methods and formulas

Empirical Bayes predictions of the latent trait are documented in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid postestimation.

This section builds on the notation introduced in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt rsm.
When the marginal option is specified, the predicted probability for item $i$, person $j$, and outcome $k$ is computed as

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j k}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
$$

where $\widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}_{i}$, and $\widehat{\delta}$ are the estimated parameters in the slope-intercept parameterization. The integral is approximated using standard Gauss-Hermite quadrature.

In what follows, we show formulas using the posterior means estimates of latent trait $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$, which are computed by default or when the conditional (ebmeans) option is specified. If the conditional (ebmodes) option is specified, $\widetilde{\theta}_{j}$ are simply replaced with the posterior modes $\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}}_{j}$ in these formulas.

For the response to item $i$ from person $j$, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j k}=k \widehat{\alpha} \widetilde{\theta}_{j}+k \widehat{\beta}_{i}+\widehat{\delta}_{k}
$$

If option marginal or conditional(fixedonly) is specified, the linear predictor is computed as

$$
\widehat{z}_{i j k}=k \widehat{\beta}_{i}+\widehat{\delta}_{k}
$$

The predicted probability, conditional on the predicted latent trait, is

$$
\widehat{p}_{i j k}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=k \mid \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}_{i}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\delta}}, \widetilde{\theta}_{j}\right)
$$

## Also see

[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[IRT] estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot
[IRT] irtgraph tec - Test characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

## Title

irt hybrid - Hybrid IRT models

| Description | Quick start | Menu | Syntax |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Options | Remarks and examples | Stored results | Methods and formulas |
| References | Also see |  |  |

## Description

irt hybrid fits IRT models to combinations of binary, ordinal, and nominal items.

## Quick start

1PL model for binary items b1 to b5 and 2PL model for binary items b6 to b10
irt hybrid (1pl b1-b5) (2pl b6-b10)
Plot ICCs for each item
irtgraph icc

GRM for ordinal items $\circ 1$, o2, and $o 3$, 3PL model for binary items b1 and b2, and NRM for nominal items n 1 to n 5

```
irt hybrid (grm o1 o2 o3) (3pl b1 b2) (nrm n1-n5)
```

Plot CCCs for o1
irtgraph icc o1

## Menu

Statistics $>$ IRT (item response theory)

## Syntax

irt hybrid (model varlist $1_{1}$ ) (model varlist ${ }_{2}$ ) [...] [if] [in] [weight] [, options] model Description

1 pl One-parameter logistic model
2pl Two-parameter logistic model
*3pl Three-parameter logistic model
grm Graded response model
nrm Nominal response model
pcm Partial credit model
gpcm Generalized partial credit model
rsm Rating scale model

* The full syntax for 3 pl is (3pl varlist [, sepguessing]). Option sepguessing is documented in [IRT] irt 3pl. varlist $_{1}$, varlist $_{2}, \ldots$, varlist\# may not contain duplicate items.
options Description
Model
listwise drop observations with any missing items
SE/Robust
vce(vcetype) vcetype may be oim, robust, cluster clustvar, bootstrap, or jackknife

Reporting
level(\#) set confidence level; default is level (95)
notable suppress coefficient table
noheader suppress output header
display_options control columns and column formats
Integration
intmethod (intmethod)
intpoints(\#)
Maximization
maximize_options control the maximization process; seldom used
startvalues (svmethod) method for obtaining starting values
noestimate
dnumerical
coeflegend
integration method
set the number of integration points; default is intpoints (7)
do not fit the model; show starting values instead
use numerical derivative techniques
display legend instead of statistics
intmethod
mvaghermite
mcaghermite
ghermite

Description
mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; the default
mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature
bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.
Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.
vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.
fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.
startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.
See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Options


#### Abstract

Model listwise handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.


## SE/Robust

vce (vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce_option.

Reporting
level(\#); see [R] estimation options.
notable suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.
noheader suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat (\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Integration
intmethod (intmethod) specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. mvaghermite performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; mcaghermite performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature; and ghermite performs nonadaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
The default integration method is mvaghermite.
intpoints(\#) sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is intpoints(7), which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.

Maximization
maximize_options: difficult, technique (algorithm_spec), iterate(\#), [no] log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(\#), ltolerance(\#), nrtolerance (\#), nonrtolerance, and from(init_specs); see [R] maximize. Those that require special mention for irt are listed below.
from() accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with irt but are not shown in the dialog box:
startvalues () specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in from() override the computed starting values.
startvalues (zero) specifies that all starting values be set to 0 . This option is typically useful only when specified with the from() option.
startvalues (constantonly) builds on startvalues (zero) by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.
startvalues (fixedonly) builds on startvalues (constantonly) by fitting a full fixedeffects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption iterate(\#) to limit the number of iterations irt allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.
startvalues(ivloadings) builds on startvalues (fixedonly) by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.
noestimate specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the coeflegend style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:

```
. irt ..., ... noestimate
```

. matrix $b=e(b)$
. ... (modify elements of b) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
dnumerical specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, irt uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.
coeflegend; see [R] estimation options.

## Remarks and examples

The following discussion is about how to use irt to fit hybrid IRT models. In a hybrid model, one can fit different IRT models to subsets of items and perform a single calibration for the whole instrument. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first. If you are interested in the details of a specific IRT model, we refer you to the following.

## Binary response models

| irt 1pl | One-parameter logistic model |
| :--- | :--- |
| irt 2pl | Two-parameter logistic model |
| irt 3pl | Three-parameter logistic model |

## Categorical response models

| irt grm | Graded response model |
| :--- | :--- |
| irt nrm | Nominal response model |
| irt pcm | Partial credit model |
| irt rsm | Rating scale model |

## Example 1: Combining an NRM and a PCM within a single instrument

In example 1 of [IRT] irt nrm, we applied a NRM to the physical science test data from de Ayala (2009). The last item is in fact an open-ended question scored on a scale of 1 to 4 ; thus, a PCM may be more appropriate for this item.

We fit an NRM to items q1-q3 and a PCM to item q4 as follows:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/science
(Physical science data from de Ayala (2009))
. irt hybrid (nrm q1-q3) (pcm q4)
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -9256.1514
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -9256.1514
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -9383.3438 (not concave)
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -9245.2232 (not concave)
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -9197.8737 (not concave)
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -9185.4678
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -9174.9401
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -9168.765
Iteration 6: log likelihood = -9168.2879
Iteration 7: log likelihood = -9168.2854
Iteration 8: log likelihood = -9168.2854
Hybrid IRT model Number of obs = 1,799
Log likelihood = -9168.2854
```

|  | Coef . | Std. Err | z | $\mathrm{P}>\|\mathrm{z}\|$ | [95\% Conf. | Interval] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nrm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 vs 1 | . 4646847 | . 1344317 | 3.46 | 0.001 | . 2012034 | . 728166 |
| 3 vs 1 | -. 7170683 | . 1835435 | -3.91 | 0.000 | -1.076807 | -. 3573297 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { vs } 1 \\ \text { Diff } \end{gathered}$ | -. 5973809 | . 1601829 | -3.73 | 0.000 | -. 9113337 | -. 2834281 |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 7692415 | . 3102276 | -2.48 | 0.013 | -1.377276 | -. 1612065 |
| 3 vs 1 | -1.137518 | . 2353162 | -4.83 | 0.000 | -1.59873 | -. 6763072 |
| 4 vs 1 | -. 5488612 | . 1512122 | -3.63 | 0.000 | -. 8452317 | -. 2524908 |
| q2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 1940619 | . 2058633 | -0.94 | 0.346 | -. 5975466 | . 2094228 |
| 3 vs 1 | . 555492 | . 2001948 | 2.77 | 0.006 | . 1631173 | . 9478666 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { vs } 1 \\ \text { Diff } \end{gathered}$ | -. 0926764 | . 1957147 | -0.47 | 0.636 | -. 4762703 | . 2909174 |
| 2 vs 1 | 5.986222 | 6.587642 | 0.91 | 0.364 | -6.925319 | 18.89776 |
| 3 vs 1 | -3.52938 | 1.256797 | -2.81 | 0.005 | -5.992657 | -1.066102 |
| 4 vs 1 | 17.80327 | 37.97811 | 0.47 | 0.639 | -56.63246 | 92.239 |
| q3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discrim |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 vs 1 | -. 2375187 | . 2286105 | -1.04 | 0.299 | -. 6855871 | . 2105497 |
| 3 vs 1 | . 7013507 | . 2196845 | 3.19 | 0.001 | . 2707769 | 1.131924 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \text { vs } 1 \\ \text { Diff } \end{gathered}$ | 1.274853 | . 2460029 | 5.18 | 0.000 | . 7926961 | 1.75701 |
| 2 vs 1 | 1.128288 | 1.746526 | 0.65 | 0.518 | -2. 29484 | 4.551415 |
| 3 vs 1 | -1.824877 | . 4596289 | -3.97 | 0.000 | -2.725733 | -. 9240207 |
| 4 vs 1 | -1.131442 | . 1882568 | -6.01 | 0.000 | -1.500419 | -. 7624657 |


| pcm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| q4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Discrim | .3300807 | .0526185 | 6.27 | 0.000 | .2269504 | .4332111 |
|  | Diff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 vs 1 | -2.056822 | .322035 | -6.39 | 0.000 | -2.687999 | -1.425645 |
|  | 3 vs 2 | -.2976236 | .1923535 | -1.55 | 0.122 | -.6746295 | .0793823 |
|  | 4 vs 3 | .8472732 | .2048051 | 4.14 | 0.000 | .4458626 | 1.248684 |

Note how the NRM and PCM are separated, so it is easy to tell which parameters correspond to which model. Because the PCM is nested in the NRM, we could perform a likelihood-ratio test to see whether our model is preferable to a pure NRM model; see example 1 in [IRT] irt and [R] lrtest for more information.

## Example 2: The 3PL model revisited

In example 1 of [IRT] irt 3pl, we used the mathematics and science data from De Boeck and Wilson (2004) to fit a 3PL model where the pseudoguessing parameter was constrained to be the same across items q1-q9. We mentioned that model identification problems can occur when one tries to estimate a separate guessing parameter for each item. In this example, we show how to deal with identification problems by constraining some pseudoguessing parameters to zero and fitting a 3PL model with separate guessing parameters to the remaining items.

We first fit a full 3PL model to items q1-q9, where each item has its own pseudoguessing parameter. Because the corresponding fixed-effects model is not identified, we limit the number of iterations irt spends fitting the fixed-effects model to 5 .

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck & Wilson (2004))
. irt 3pl q1-q9, sepguessing startvalues(iterate(5))
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -5322.8824
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4291.3914 (not concave)
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4270.0005 (not concave)
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4269.7927 (not concave)
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -4269.7825 (not concave)
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -4269.7825 (not concave)
convergence not achieved
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4227.4731
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4188.8074 (not concave)
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4134.829 (not concave)
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4121.9664 (not concave)
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -4120.161 (not concave)
Iteration 5: log likelihood = -4119.33
Iteration 6: log likelihood = -4118.0626
Iteration 7: log likelihood = -4117.0488
Iteration 8: log likelihood = -4115.6541
Iteration 9: log likelihood = -4115.4168
Iteration 10: log likelihood = -4114.5522
Iteration 11: log likelihood = -4114.3738
Iteration 12: log likelihood = -4114.1039
Iteration 13: log likelihood = -4113.9668
Iteration 14: log likelihood = -4113.9036
Iteration 15: log likelihood = -4113.7972 (not concave)
Iteration 16: log likelihood = -4113.7712
Iteration 17: log likelihood = -4113.7505
```

(not concave)

```
(not concave)
(not concave)
```

```
(not concave)
```

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Iteration 18: & log likelihood \(=-4113.7226\) \\
Iteration 19: & log likelihood \(=-4113.7178\) \\
Iteration 20: & log likelihood \(=-4113.7089\) \\
Iteration 21: & log likelihood \(=-4113.7033\) \\
Iteration 22: & log likelihood \(=-4113.6975\) \\
Iteration 23: & log likelihood \(=-4113.6964\) \\
Iteration 24: & log likelihood \(=-4113.6948\) \\
Iteration 25: & log likelihood \(=-4113.6939\) \\
Iteration 26: & log likelihood \(=-4113.6936\) \\
Iteration 27: & log likelihood \(=-4113.6934\) \\
Iteration 28: & log likelihood \(=-4113.6934\) \\
Iteration 29: & log likelihood \(=-4113.6933\)
\end{tabular}

Three-parameter logistic model Number of obs \(=800\) Log likelihood = -4113.6933
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Coef. & Std. Err . & z & \(P>|z|\) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{[95\% Conf. Interval]} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q1} \\
\hline Discrim & 2.412093 & . 8686457 & 2.78 & 0.005 & . 709579 & 4.114608 \\
\hline Diff & -. 0919186 & . 2094281 & -0.44 & 0.661 & -. 5023902 & . 318553 \\
\hline Guess & . 2054622 & . 1067005 & 1.93 & 0.054 & -. 003667 & . 4145914 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q2} \\
\hline Discrim & . 6595413 & . 1142254 & 5.77 & 0.000 & . 4356637 & . 883419 \\
\hline Diff & -. 14912 & . 1209748 & -1.23 & 0.218 & -. 3862263 & . 0879862 \\
\hline Guess & \(6.49 \mathrm{e}-06\) & . 004595 & 0.00 & 0.999 & -. 0089996 & . 0090126 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q3} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.002138 & . 1672076 & 5.99 & 0.000 & . 6744172 & 1.329859 \\
\hline Diff & -1.61108 & . 218446 & -7.38 & 0.000 & -2.039226 & -1.182934 \\
\hline Guess & \(9.89 \mathrm{e}-08\) & . 0004223 & 0.00 & 1.000 & -. 0008275 & . 0008277 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q4} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.32466 & . 5435057 & 2.44 & 0.015 & . 2594084 & 2.389911 \\
\hline Diff & . 811461 & . 2349897 & 3.45 & 0.001 & . 3508897 & 1.272032 \\
\hline Guess & . 1921895 & . 0961314 & 2.00 & 0.046 & . 0037755 & . 3806035 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q5} \\
\hline Discrim & . 8519931 & . 1450072 & 5.88 & 0.000 & . 5677843 & 1.136202 \\
\hline Diff & 1.653157 & . 244456 & 6.76 & 0.000 & 1.174032 & 2.132282 \\
\hline Guess & \(1.27 \mathrm{e}-08\) & . 0001261 & 0.00 & 1.000 & -. 0002471 & . 0002471 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q6} \\
\hline Discrim & 2.160352 & . 8886889 & 2.43 & 0.015 & . 4185542 & 3.90215 \\
\hline Diff & . 8876168 & . 1201165 & 7.39 & 0.000 & . 6521929 & 1.123041 \\
\hline Guess & . 1725436 & . 0486371 & 3.55 & 0.000 & . 0772168 & . 2678705 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q7} \\
\hline Discrim & . 9442741 & 2.196661 & 0.43 & 0.667 & -3.361102 & 5.24965 \\
\hline Diff & 2.599643 & 1.80189 & 1.44 & 0.149 & -. 9319954 & 6.131282 \\
\hline Guess & . 1862207 & . 2136893 & 0.87 & 0.384 & -. 2326026 & . 605044 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q8} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.477403 & . 2581921 & 5.72 & 0.000 & . 9713561 & 1.983451 \\
\hline Diff & -1.664011 & . 1868776 & -8.90 & 0.000 & -2.030284 & -1.297737 \\
\hline Guess & \(1.33 \mathrm{e}-09\) & . 0000219 & 0.00 & 1.000 & -. 0000429 & . 0000429 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q9} \\
\hline Discrim & . 6233966 & . 1200201 & 5.19 & 0.000 & . 3881615 & . 8586317 \\
\hline Diff & -1.536892 & . 2867222 & -5.36 & 0.000 & -2.098858 & -. 9749272 \\
\hline Guess & \(2.22 \mathrm{e}-08\) & . 0001789 & 0.00 & 1.000 & -. 0003506 & . 0003506 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

We see that the pseudoguessing parameters for items q 2 , q 3 , q 5 , q 8 , and q 9 are very close to zero. This suggests that we could fit a 2PL model to these five items and a full 3PL model with separate guessing parameters to the remaining four items.
. irt hybrid (2pl q2 q3 q5 q8 q9) (3pl q1 q4 q6 q7, sepg), startval(iter(5))
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood \(=-4846.1954\)
Iteration 1: \(\quad\) log likelihood \(=-4274.9988\) (not concave)
Iteration 2: log likelihood \(=-4269.8038\) (not concave)
Iteration 3: log likelihood \(=-4269.7889\) (not concave)
Iteration 4: log likelihood \(=-4269.7825\) (not concave)
Iteration 5: \(\log\) likelihood \(=-4269.7825\) (not concave)
convergence not achieved
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood \(=-4237.32\) (not concave)
Iteration 1: log likelihood \(=-4156.6562\)
Iteration 2: \(\quad\) log likelihood \(=-4122.4275\)
Iteration 3: log likelihood \(=-4115.0165\)
Iteration 4: log likelihood \(=-4113.7357\)
Iteration 5: log likelihood \(=-4113.7317\)
Iteration 6: log likelihood \(=-4113.7155\) (not concave)
Iteration 7: log likelihood \(=-4113.7153\)
Iteration 8: log likelihood \(=-4113.7124\)
Iteration 9: log likelihood \(=-4113.7041\)
Iteration 10: log likelihood \(=-4113.6966\)
Iteration 11: log likelihood \(=-4113.6965\)
Iteration 12: log likelihood \(=-4113.6938\)
Iteration 13: log likelihood \(=-4113.694\)
Iteration 14: log likelihood \(=-4113.6933\)
Iteration 15: log likelihood \(=-4113.6933\)
Hybrid IRT model Number of obs \(=800\)
Log likelihood = -4113.6933
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Coef. & Std. Err & z & \(P>|z|\) & [95\% Conf & Interval] \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{2 pl} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q2} \\
\hline Discrim & . 6595289 & . 1141777 & 5.78 & 0.000 & . 4357448 & . 8833131 \\
\hline Diff & -. 1491663 & . 1200093 & -1.24 & 0.214 & -. 3843802 & . 0860477 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q3} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.002143 & . 1672015 & 5.99 & 0.000 & . 6744346 & 1.329852 \\
\hline Diff & -1.611069 & . 2184352 & -7.38 & 0.000 & -2.039194 & -1.182944 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q5} \\
\hline Discrim & . 8519284 & . 1449869 & 5.88 & 0.000 & . 5677594 & 1.136097 \\
\hline Diff & 1.65315 & . 2444541 & 6.76 & 0.000 & 1.174029 & 2.132271 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q8} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.477406 & . 2581479 & 5.72 & 0.000 & . 9714453 & 1.983366 \\
\hline Diff & -1.664009 & . 1868519 & -8.91 & 0.000 & -2.030232 & -1.297786 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q9} \\
\hline Discrim & . 6233934 & . 1200188 & 5.19 & 0.000 & . 3881608 & . 858626 \\
\hline Diff & -1.536899 & . 286721 & -5.36 & 0.000 & -2.098862 & -. 9749362 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr|rrrrrr} 
3pl & & & & & & & \\
\hline q1 & & & & & & \\
& Discrim & 2.4122 & .868651 & 2.78 & 0.005 & .7096758 & 4.114725 \\
& \begin{tabular}{r} 
Diff \\
Guess
\end{tabular} & -.0918963 & .2094073 & -0.44 & 0.661 & -.502327 & .3185344 \\
& & & & & & & \\
\hline q4 & & & & & & \\
& Discrim & 1.324646 & .5435556 & 2.44 & 0.015 & .2592968 & 2.389995 \\
& Diff & .8114595 & .2349801 & 3.45 & 0.001 & .350907 & 1.272012 \\
& Guess & .1921879 & .0961354 & 2.00 & 0.046 & .0037661 & .3806098 \\
\hline q6 & & & & & & & \\
& Discrim & 2.160387 & .8880769 & 2.43 & 0.015 & .4197886 & 3.900986 \\
& Diff & .8876139 & .120097 & 7.39 & 0.000 & .652228 & 1.123 \\
& Guess & .1725445 & .0485985 & 3.55 & 0.000 & .0772932 & .2677957 \\
\hline q7 & & & & & & & \\
& Discrim & .9441699 & 2.16823 & 0.44 & 0.663 & -3.305483 & 5.193823 \\
& Diff & 2.599752 & 1.781284 & 1.46 & 0.144 & -.8915002 & 6.091004 \\
& Guess & .1862199 & .210953 & 0.88 & 0.377 & -.2272403 & .5996801 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Looking at the output, we see that the guessing parameters for q1, q4, q6, and q7 are similar. So we could further simplify this model by constraining the pseudoguessing parameter to be the same for the 3PL items. We could use a series of likelihood-ratio tests to choose among the competing models; see example 1 in [IRT] irt and [R] Irtest for more information.

\section*{Stored results}
irt stores the following in e():
Scalars
e(N)
e(k)
e(k_eq)
e(k_dv)
e(k_rc)
e(k_rs)
e(irt_k_eq)
e(k_items\#)
e(sepguess\#)
e(k_cat\#)
e(k_out\#)
e(1l)
e(N_clust)
\(e\) (n_quad)
e(rank)
e(ic)
e(rc)
e(converged)
Macros
```

e(cmd)
e(cmd2)
e(cmdline)
e(model\#)
e(items\#)
e(n_cuts\#)

```
number of observations
number of parameters
number of equations in e(b)
number of dependent variables
number of covariances
number of variances
number of IRT model groups
number of items in \#th IRT model group
1 if \#th IRT model contains a separate pseudoguessing parameter
number of categories for the \#th item, ordinal
number of outcomes for the \#th item, nominal
log likelihood
number of clusters
number of integration points
rank of \(e(V)\)
number of iterations
return code
1 if target model converged, 0 otherwise

\section*{gsem}
irt
command as typed
name of IRT model for the \#th model group
names of items in \#th IRT model group
numlist of cuts in \#th IRT model group
```

e(depvar)
e(wtype)
e(wexp)
e(title)
e(clustvar)
e(family\#)
e(link\#)
e(intmethod)
e(vce)
e(vcetype)
e(opt)
e(which)
e(method)
e(ml_method)
e(user)
e(technique)
e(datasignature)
e(datasignaturevars)
e(properties)
e(estat_cmd)
e(predict)
e(covariates)
e(footnote)

```
Matrices
e(_N)
e(b)
e(b_pclass)
e(cat\#)
e(out\#)
e(Cns)
e(ilog)
e(gradient)
e(V)
e(V_modelbased)
Functions
e(sample)
names of all item variables
weight type
weight expression
title in estimation output
name of cluster variable
family for the \#th item
link for the \#th item
integration method
vcetype specified in vce()
title used to label Std. Err.
type of optimization
max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
estimation method: ml
type of ml method
name of likelihood-evaluator program
maximization technique
the checksum
variables used in calculation of checksum
b V
program used to implement estat
program used to implement predict
list of covariates
program used to implement the footnote display
sample size for each item
parameter vector
parameter class
categories for the \#th item, ordinal
outcomes for the \#th item, nominal
constraints matrix
iteration \(\log\) (up to 20 iterations)
gradient vector
covariance matrix of the estimators
model-based variance
marks estimation sample

\section*{Methods and formulas}

Let \(y_{i j}\) be the observed outcome for item \(i\) from person \(j\). Define \(p_{i j}=\operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i j}=y_{i j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)\), where \(Y_{i j}\) represents the (yet to be observed) outcome, \(\boldsymbol{B}_{i}\) contains parameters for item \(i\), and \(\theta_{j}\) is the ability (the latent trait) of person \(j\). The functional form of \(p_{i j}\) and the parameters that go into \(\boldsymbol{B}_{i}\) depend on the choice of IRT model for item \(i\).

Conditional on \(\theta_{j}\), the item responses are assumed to be independent, so the conditional density for person \(j\) is given by
\[
f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{I} p_{i j}
\]
where \(\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(y_{1 j}, \ldots, y_{I j}\right), \boldsymbol{B}=\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{B}_{I}\right)\), and \(I\) is the number of items.
Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the listwise option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person \(j\) is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density
\[
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
\]
where \(\phi(\cdot)\) is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the \(N\) persons in the estimation sample.
\[
\log L(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \log L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})
\]

The integral in the formula for \(L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})\) is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.

\section*{Gauss-Hermite quadrature}

The integral of a function multiplied by the kernel of the standard normal distribution can be approximated using Gauss-Hermite quadrature (GHQ). For \(Q\)-point GHQ, let the abscissa and weight pairs be denoted by \(\left(x_{q}^{*}, w_{q}^{*}\right), q=1, \ldots, Q\). The GHQ approximation is then
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \exp \left(-x^{2}\right) d x \approx \sum_{q=1}^{Q} w_{q}^{*} f\left(x_{q}^{*}\right)
\]

Using the standard normal distribution yields the approximation
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi(x) d x \approx \sum_{q=1}^{Q} w_{q} f\left(x_{q}\right)
\]
where \(x_{q}=\sqrt{2} x_{q}^{*}\) and \(w_{q}=w_{q}^{*} / \sqrt{\pi}\). The GHQ approximation to the likelihood for person \(j\) is
\[
L_{j}^{\mathrm{GHQ}}(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{q=1}^{Q} w_{q} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, x_{q}\right)
\]

\section*{Adaptive quadrature}

This section sets the stage for mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature (MVAGHQ) and mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature (MCAGHQ).

If we fix the item variables and the model parameters, we see that the posterior density for \(\theta_{j}\) is proportional to
\[
\phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right)
\]

It is reasonable to assume that this posterior density can be approximated by a normal density with mean \(\mu_{j}\) and variance \(\tau_{j}\). Instead of using the prior density of \(\theta_{j}\) as the weighting distribution in the integral, we can use our approximation for the posterior density,
\[
L_{j}(\boldsymbol{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right)}{\phi\left(\theta_{j}, \mu_{j}, \tau_{j}\right)} \phi\left(\theta_{j}, \mu_{j}, \tau_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}
\]

The likelihood is then approximated with
\[
L_{j}^{*}(\boldsymbol{B})=\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \omega_{q} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \boldsymbol{B}, \xi_{q}\right)
\]
where \(\xi_{q}\) and the \(\omega_{q}\) are functions of \(x_{q}\) and \(w_{q}\) and the adaptive parameters \(\mu_{j}\) and \(\tau_{j}\).
For MVAGHQ, \(\mu_{j}\) is the posterior mean, and \(\tau_{j}\) is the posterior variance of \(\theta_{j}\). They are computed iteratively by updating the posterior moments by using the MVAGHQ approximation, starting with a zero mean and unit variance.

For MCAGHQ, \(\mu_{j}\) is the posterior mode for \(\theta_{j}\), and \(\tau_{j}\) is the curvature at the mode. They are computed by optimizing the joint density with respect to \(\theta_{j}\).

\section*{References}
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\section*{Also see}
[IRT] irt hybrid postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt hybrid
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 1pl - One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 2pl — Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt nrm - Nominal response model
[IRT] irt pem - Partial credit model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command
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\section*{Postestimation commands}

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after irt:
Command Description
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
estat report & report estimated IRT parameters \\
irtgraph icc & plot item characteristic curve (ICC) \\
irtgraph iif & plot item information function (IIF) \\
irtgraph tcc & plot test characteristic curve (TCC) \\
irtgraph tif & plot test information function (TIF)
\end{tabular}

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:

Command
Description
estat ic
estat summarize
estat vce
estat (svy)
estimates
lincom
* Irtest
nlcom
predict
predictnl
test Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses
testnl

\footnotetext{
* lrtest is not appropriate with svy estimation results.
}

\section*{predict}

\section*{Description for predict}
predict creates a new variable containing predictions such as probabilities, linear predictions, and parameter-level scores.

\section*{Menu for predict}

Statistics \(>\) Postestimation

\section*{Syntax for predict}

Syntax for obtaining predictions of item probabilities and other statistics predict [type] newvarsspec [if][in] [, statistic item_options]

Syntax for obtaining estimated latent variables and their standard errors
\[
\text { predict }[\text { type }] \text { newvarsspec }[\text { if }][\text { in }] \text {, latent }[\text { latent_options }]
\]

Syntax for obtaining parameter-level scores
```

predict [type] newvarsspec [if] [in], scores

```
newvarsspec is stub* or newvarlist.

\section*{statistic}

Description
Main
\begin{tabular}{ll}
pr & probabilities; the default \\
xb & linear prediction
\end{tabular}
xb linear prediction
item_options
Description

Main
\(\dagger\) outcome (item [\#])
conditional (ctype)
marginal

\section*{Integration}
int_options
specify item variable; default is all variables
compute statistic conditional on estimated latent variables; default is conditional (ebmeans)
compute statistic marginally with respect to the latent variables
integration options

\footnotetext{
\(\dagger\) outcome(item \#) may also be specified as outcome(\#.item) or outcome(item \#\#).
outcome (item \#3) means the third outcome value. outcome (item \#3) would mean the same as outcome (item 4) if outcomes were 1,3 , and 4.
}
ebmeans
ebmodes
fixedonly
empirical Bayes means of latent variables; the default empirical Bayes modes of latent variables prediction for the fixed portion of the model only
latent_options

\section*{Description}

Main
ebmeans
ebmodes
se(newvar)
Integration
int_options
use empirical Bayes means of latent trait; the default use empirical Bayes modes of latent trait calculate standard errors
integration options
int_options
intpoints(\#)
iterate(\#)
tolerance(\#)

Description
use \# quadrature points to compute marginal predictions and empirical Bayes means
set maximum number of iterations in computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators
set convergence tolerance for computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators

\section*{Options for predict}
\(\qquad\)
pr , the default, calculates the predicted probability.
xb specifies that the linear predictor be calculated.
outcome (item [\#]) specifies that predictions for item be calculated. Use \# to specify which outcome level to predict. Predictions for all observed response variables are computed by default.
conditional (ctype) and marginal specify how latent variables are handled in computing statistic.
conditional() specifies that statistic will be computed conditional on specified or estimated latent variables.
conditional(ebmeans), the default, specifies that empirical Bayes means be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mean estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (ebmodes) specifies that empirical Bayes modes be used as the estimates of the latent variables. These estimates are also known as posterior mode estimates of the latent variables.
conditional (fixedonly) specifies that all latent variables be set to zero, equivalent to using only the fixed portion of the model.
marginal specifies that the predicted statistic be computed marginally with respect to the latent variables, which means that statistic is calculated by integrating the prediction function with respect to all the latent variables over their entire support.

Although this is not the default, marginal predictions are often very useful in applied analysis. They produce what are commonly called population-averaged estimates.
latent specifies that the latent trait is predicted using an empirical Bayes estimator; see options ebmeans and ebmodes.
ebmeans specifies that empirical Bayes means are used to predict the latent variables.
ebmodes specifies that empirical Bayes modes are used to predict the latent variables.
se (newvar) calculates standard errors of the empirical Bayes estimator and stores the result in newvar. This option requires the latent option.
scores calculates the scores for each coefficient in \(e(b)\). This option requires a new variable list of the length equal to the number of columns in e(b). Otherwise, use stub* to have predict generate enumerated variables with prefix stub.

Integration
intpoints(\#) specifies the number of quadrature points used to compute marginal predictions and the empirical Bayes means; the default is the value from estimation.
iterate(\#) specifies the maximum number of iterations when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.
tolerance(\#) specifies convergence tolerance when computing statistics involving empirical Bayes estimators; the default is the value from estimation.

\section*{Methods and formulas}

This section builds on the notation introduced in Methods and formulas of each of the other IRT postestimation entries.

We begin by considering the prediction of the latent trait \(\theta\) for a given person. Prediction of the latent trait in IRT models involves assigning a value to the latent trait, and there are many methods for doing so; see Skrondal and Rabe-Hesketh (2009) and Skrondal and Rabe-Hesketh (2004, chap. 7) for a comprehensive review. Stata offers two methods of predicting latent traits: empirical Bayes means (also known as posterior means) and empirical Bayes modes (also known as posterior modes).

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

> Empirical Bayes
> Other predictions

\section*{Empirical Bayes}

Let \(\widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}\) denote the estimated model parameters. Empirical Bayes (EB) predictors of the latent trait are the means or modes of the empirical posterior distribution with the parameter estimates \(\boldsymbol{B}\) replaced with their estimates \(\widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}\). The method is called "empirical" because \(\widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}\) is treated as known. EB combines the prior information about the latent trait with the likelihood to obtain the conditional posterior distribution of the latent trait. Using Bayes's theorem, we see that the empirical conditional posterior distribution of the latent trait for person \(j\) is
\[
\begin{aligned}
\omega\left(\theta_{j} \mid \mathbf{y}_{j} ; \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right) & =\frac{f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right) d \theta_{j}} \\
& =\frac{f\left(\mathbf{y}_{j} \mid \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}, \theta_{j}\right) \phi\left(\theta_{j}\right)}{L_{j}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{B}})}
\end{aligned}
\]

The denominator is just the likelihood contribution for person \(j\).
EB mean predictions of the latent trait, also known as posterior means, are calculated as
\[
\widetilde{\theta}_{j}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta_{j} \omega\left(\theta_{j} \mid \mathbf{y}_{j} ; \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right) d \theta_{j}
\]
where we use the notation \(\widetilde{\theta}_{j}\) rather than \(\widehat{\theta}_{j}\) to distinguish predicted values from estimates. This integral is approximated by MVAGHQ.

EB modal predictions can be approximated by solving for \(\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}}_{j}\) such that
\[
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \log \omega\left(\theta_{j} \mid \mathbf{y}_{j} ; \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right)\right|_{\theta_{j}=\widetilde{\theta}_{j}}=\mathbf{0}
\]

Because the denominator in \(\omega(\cdot)\) does not depend on \(\theta_{j}\), we can omit it from the calculation to obtain the EB mode. The calculation of EB modes does not require numerical integration; thus, they are often used in place of EB means. As the posterior density gets closer to the normal distribution, EB modes get closer and closer to EB means.

Just as there are many methods of assigning values to the latent trait, there are many methods of calculating standard errors of the predicted latent trait; see Skrondal and Rabe-Hesketh (2009) for a comprehensive review.

Stata uses the posterior standard deviation as the standard error of the posterior means predictor of the latent trait. The EB posterior variance of the latent trait is given by
\[
\operatorname{Var}\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{j} \mid \mathbf{y}_{j} ; \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\theta_{j}-\widetilde{\theta}_{j}\right)^{2} \omega\left(\theta_{j} \mid \mathbf{y}_{j} ; \widehat{\boldsymbol{B}}\right) d \theta_{j}
\]

The posterior variance and the integrals are approximated by MVAGHQ.
Conditional standard errors for the estimated posterior modes are derived from the standard theory of maximum likelihood, which dictates that the asymptotic variance matrix of the posterior mode is the negative inverse of the Hessian matrix.

\section*{Other predictions}

The other predictions are governed by the model selected for the specified item response variable. For binary items, see Methods and formulas of the postestimation entries for irt 1 pl , irt 2 pl , and irt 3pl. For ordered items, see Methods and formulas of the postestimation entries for irt grm, irt pcm, and irt rsm. For nominal items, see Methods and formulas of the postestimation entry for irt nrm.

\section*{References}

Skrondal, A., and S. Rabe-Hesketh. 2004. Generalized Latent Variable Modeling: Multilevel, Longitudinal, and Structural Equation Models. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman \& Hall/CRC.
2009. Prediction in multilevel generalized linear models. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A 172: 659-687.

\section*{Also see}
[IRT] irt hybrid - Hybrid IRT models
[IRT] estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot
[IRT] irtgraph tce - Test characteristic curve plot
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot
[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

\section*{Title}
estat report - Report estimated IRT parameters
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Description & Quick start & Menu & Syntax \\
Options & Remarks and examples & Stored results & Also see
\end{tabular}

\section*{Description}
estat report displays the estimated IRT parameters. Estimates can be reorganized and sorted by parameter type.

\section*{Quick start}

1PL model for binary items b1 to b10
irt 1pl b1-b10
Report results grouped by parameter type
estat report, byparm
As above, and sort items by estimated difficulty
estat report, byparm sort(b)
2PL model for binary items b1 to b20 and NRM for nominal items n1 to n10
irt (2pl b1-b20) (nrm n1-n10)
Report results only for items b15 and n5
estat report b15 n5
Report nominal item results grouped by parameter type
estat report n*, byparm

\section*{Menu}

Statistics \(>\) IRT (item response theory)

\section*{Syntax}
```

estat report [varlist] [, options]

```

Main
\(\operatorname{sort}(p[\), descending \(])\) byparm
alabel (string)
blabel (string)
clabel (string)
seqlabel
post
Reporting
level (\#)
verbose
display_options
coeflegend
sort items by the estimated \(p\) parameters; \(p\) may be \(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\), or c arrange table rows by parameter rather than by item
specify the a parameter label; the default is Discrim
specify the b parameter label; the default is Diff
specify the c parameter label; the default is Guess
label parameters in sequential order
post estimated IRT parameters and their VCE as estimation results
set confidence level; default is level (95)
display estimation output in long form
control columns and column formats
display legend instead of statistics
coeflegend does not appear in the dialog box.

\section*{Options}
\(\qquad\) Main \(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\), or c .
sort (a) specifies that items be sorted according to the estimated discrimination parameters.
sort (b) specifies that items be sorted according to the estimated difficulty parameters.
sort (c) specifies that items be sorted according to the estimated pseudoguessing parameters. It is only relevant for a 3PL model when option sepguessing is specified.
descending requests that the sorted items be reported in descending order. Sorted items are reported in ascending order by default.
byparm requests that the table rows be grouped by parameter rather than by item.
alabel (string) labels the discrimination parameters with string. The default label is Discrim.
blabel (string) labels the difficulty parameters with string. The default label is Diff.
clabel (string) labels the pseudoguessing parameters with string. The default label is Guess. This option applies only to 3PL models.
seqlabel labels the estimated difficulty parameters within each categorical item sequentially, starting from 1. In NRM, seqlabel also labels the estimated discrimination parameters within each item sequentially, starting from 1 . This option applies only to categorical models.
post causes estat report to behave like a Stata estimation (e-class) command. estat report posts the vector of estimated IRT parameters along with the corresponding variance-covariance matrix to e(), so that you can treat the estimated IRT parameters just as you would results from any other estimation command. For example, you could use test to perform simultaneous tests of hypotheses on the parameters, or you could use lincom to create linear combinations.

Reporting
level (\#); see [R] estimation options.
verbose causes a separate discrimination, difficulty, and pseudoguessing parameter to be displayed for each item, even if the parameters are constrained to be the same across items. This option is implied when option post is specified.
display_options: noci, nopvalues, cformat (\%fmt), pformat (\%fmt), sformat (\%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

The following option is available with estat report but is not shown in the dialog box:
coeflegend; see \([R]\) estimation options. This option is allowed only with the post option.

\section*{Remarks and examples}

The following discussion is about how to use estat report with irt estimation results. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.
> Example 1: Sorting binary items
We illustrate the features of estat report on the 2PL model we fit in example 1 of [IRT] irt \(2 \mathbf{p l}\). First, we refit the model.
```

. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck \& Wilson (2004))
. irt 2pl q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4275.6606
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4269.7861
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4269.7825
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4269.7825
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4146.9386
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4119.3568
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4118.4716
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4118.4697
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -4118.4697
Two-parameter logistic model Number of obs = 800
Log likelihood = -4118.4697

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Coef. & Std. Err. & z & \(\mathrm{P}>|\mathrm{z}|\) & [95\% Conf. & Interval] \\
\hline q1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & 1.615292 & . 2436467 & 6.63 & 0.000 & 1.137754 & 2.092831 \\
\hline Diff & -. 4745635 & . 074638 & -6.36 & 0.000 & -. 6208513 & -. 3282757 \\
\hline q2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 6576171 & . 1161756 & 5.66 & 0.000 & . 4299171 & . 885317 \\
\hline Diff & -. 1513023 & . 1202807 & -1.26 & 0.208 & -. 3870481 & . 0844435 \\
\hline q3 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 9245051 & . 1569806 & 5.89 & 0.000 & . 6168289 & 1.232181 \\
\hline Diff & -1.70918 & . 242266 & -7.05 & 0.000 & -2.184012 & -1.234347 \\
\hline q4 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 8186403 & . 1284832 & 6.37 & 0.000 & . 5668179 & 1.070463 \\
\hline Diff & . 3296791 & . 1076105 & 3.06 & 0.002 & . 1187663 & . 5405919 \\
\hline q5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 8956621 & . 1535128 & 5.83 & 0.000 & . 5947825 & 1.196542 \\
\hline Diff & 1.591164 & . 2325918 & 6.84 & 0.000 & 1.135293 & 2.047036 \\
\hline q6 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 9828441 & . 147888 & 6.65 & 0.000 & . 6929889 & 1.272699 \\
\hline Diff & . 622954 & . 1114902 & 5.59 & 0.000 & . 4044373 & . 8414708 \\
\hline q7 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 3556064 & . 1113146 & 3.19 & 0.001 & . 1374337 & . 5737791 \\
\hline Diff & 2.840278 & . 8717471 & 3.26 & 0.001 & 1.131685 & 4.548871 \\
\hline q8 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & 1.399926 & . 233963 & 5.98 & 0.000 & . 9413668 & 1.858485 \\
\hline Diff & -1.714416 & . 1925531 & -8.90 & 0.000 & -2.091814 & -1.337019 \\
\hline q9 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 6378452 & . 1223972 & 5.21 & 0.000 & . 3979512 & . 8777392 \\
\hline Diff & -1.508254 & . 2787386 & -5.41 & 0.000 & -2.054571 & -. 9619361 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For models with many items, it is often convenient to arrange the output according to highest or lowest difficulty (b) or discrimination (a). estat report makes sorting in a desired order easy. Below we specify option sort (b) to cause estat report to display the items in ascending order of the estimated difficulty parameter.
. estat report, sort(b)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Two-parameter logistic model } & \text { Number of obs } & = \\ \text { Log likelihood }=-4118.4697 & & 800\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Coef. & Std. Err. & z & \(P>|z|\) & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{[95\% Conf. Interval]} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q8} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.399926 & . 233963 & 5.98 & 0.000 & . 9413668 & 1.858485 \\
\hline Diff & -1.714416 & . 1925531 & -8.90 & 0.000 & -2.091814 & -1.337019 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q3} \\
\hline Discrim & . 9245051 & . 1569806 & 5.89 & 0.000 & . 6168289 & 1.232181 \\
\hline Diff & -1.70918 & . 242266 & -7.05 & 0.000 & -2.184012 & -1.234347 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q9} \\
\hline Discrim & . 6378452 & . 1223972 & 5.21 & 0.000 & . 3979512 & . 8777392 \\
\hline Diff & -1.508254 & . 2787386 & -5.41 & 0.000 & -2.054571 & -. 9619361 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q1} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.615292 & . 2436467 & 6.63 & 0.000 & 1.137754 & 2.092831 \\
\hline Diff & -. 4745635 & . 074638 & -6.36 & 0.000 & -. 6208513 & -. 3282757 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q2} \\
\hline Discrim & . 6576171 & . 1161756 & 5.66 & 0.000 & . 4299171 & . 885317 \\
\hline Diff & -. 1513023 & . 1202807 & -1.26 & 0.208 & -. 3870481 & . 0844435 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q4} \\
\hline Discrim & . 8186403 & . 1284832 & 6.37 & 0.000 & . 5668179 & 1.070463 \\
\hline Diff & . 3296791 & . 1076105 & 3.06 & 0.002 & . 1187663 & . 5405919 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q6} \\
\hline Discrim & . 9828441 & . 147888 & 6.65 & 0.000 & . 6929889 & 1.272699 \\
\hline Diff & . 622954 & . 1114902 & 5.59 & 0.000 & . 4044373 & . 8414708 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q5} \\
\hline Discrim & . 8956621 & . 1535128 & 5.83 & 0.000 & . 5947825 & 1.196542 \\
\hline Diff & 1.591164 & . 2325918 & 6.84 & 0.000 & 1.135293 & 2.047036 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{q7} \\
\hline Discrim & . 3556064 & . 1113146 & 3.19 & 0.001 & . 1374337 & . 5737791 \\
\hline Diff & 2.840278 & . 8717471 & 3.26 & 0.001 & 1.131685 & 4.548871 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Here we add the byparm option to cause estat report to arrange the table rows by parameter type then by item difficulty.
. estat report, sort(b) byparm
Two-parameter logistic model Number of obs \(=800\) Log likelihood = -4118.4697
\begin{tabular}{lr|rrrrrr}
\hline & & Coef. & Std. Err. & \(z\) & P>|z| & [95\% Conf. Interval] \\
\hline Discrim & & & & & & & \\
& q8 & 1.399926 & .233963 & 5.98 & 0.000 & .9413668 & 1.858485 \\
& q3 & .9245051 & .1569806 & 5.89 & 0.000 & .6168289 & 1.232181 \\
& q9 & .6378452 & .1223972 & 5.21 & 0.000 & .3979512 & .8777392 \\
& q1 & 1.615292 & .2436467 & 6.63 & 0.000 & 1.137754 & 2.092831 \\
& q2 & .6576171 & .1161756 & 5.66 & 0.000 & .4299171 & .885317 \\
& q4 & .8186403 & .1284832 & 6.37 & 0.000 & .5668179 & 1.070463 \\
& q6 & .9828441 & .147888 & 6.65 & 0.000 & .6929889 & 1.272699 \\
& q5 & .8956621 & .1535128 & 5.83 & 0.000 & .5947825 & 1.196542 \\
& q7 & .3556064 & .1113146 & 3.19 & 0.001 & .1374337 & .5737791 \\
\hline Diff & & & & & & & \\
& q8 & -1.714416 & .1925531 & -8.90 & 0.000 & -2.091814 & -1.337019 \\
& q3 & -1.70918 & .242266 & -7.05 & 0.000 & -2.184012 & -1.234347 \\
& q9 & -1.508254 & .2787386 & -5.41 & 0.000 & -2.054571 & -.9619361 \\
& q1 & -.4745635 & .074638 & -6.36 & 0.000 & -.6208513 & -.3282757 \\
& q2 & -.1513023 & .1202807 & -1.26 & 0.208 & -.3870481 & .0844435 \\
& q4 & .3296791 & .1076105 & 3.06 & 0.002 & .1187663 & .5405919 \\
& q6 & .622954 & .1114902 & 5.59 & 0.000 & .4044373 & .8414708 \\
& q5 & 1.591164 & .2325918 & 6.84 & 0.000 & 1.135293 & 2.047036 \\
& q7 & 2.840278 & .8717471 & 3.26 & 0.001 & 1.131685 & 4.548871 \\
& & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Finally, we can tell estat report that we want to see parameter estimates for selected items only. Below we choose items q3, q5, and q8 and use the blabel() option to change the default label of the difficulty parameter from Diff to Location.
. estat report q3 q5 q8, sort(b) byparm blabel(Location)
\(\begin{array}{lll}\text { Two-parameter logistic model } & \text { Number of obs } & =800 \\ \text { Log likelihood }=-4118.4697 & & \end{array}\)
Log likelihood \(=-4118.4697\)
\begin{tabular}{lr|rrrrrr}
\hline & & Coef. & Std. Err. & \(z\) & P>|z| & [95\% Conf. Interval] \\
\hline Discrim & & & & & & & \\
& q8 & 1.399926 & .233963 & 5.98 & 0.000 & .9413668 & 1.858485 \\
& q3 & .9245051 & .1569806 & 5.89 & 0.000 & .6168289 & 1.232181 \\
& q5 & .8956621 & .1535128 & 5.83 & 0.000 & .5947825 & 1.196542 \\
\hline Location & & & & & & & \\
& q8 & -1.714416 & .1925531 & -8.90 & 0.000 & -2.091814 & -1.337019 \\
& q3 & -1.70918 & .242266 & -7.05 & 0.000 & -2.184012 & -1.234347 \\
& q5 & 1.591164 & .2325918 & 6.84 & 0.000 & 1.135293 & 2.047036 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Stored results}
estat report stores the following in r() :
Scalars \(r\) (level) confidence level
Matrices
\(r\) (table) matrix containing the parameter estimates with their standard errors, test statistics, \(p\)-values, and confidence intervals
\(r(b) \quad\) vector of estimated IRT parameters
\(r(V) \quad\) variance-covariance matrix of the estimated IRT parameters
estat report with the post option also stores the following in e():
Macros e(properties) b V
Matrices
e(b) vector of estimated IRT parameters
\(e(V) \quad\) variance-covariance matrix of the estimated IRT parameters

\section*{Also see}
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 1pl - One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 2pl — Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl - Three-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt hybrid - Hybrid IRT models
[IRT] irt nrm - Nominal response model
[IRT] irt pcm - Partial credit model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model

\section*{Title}
irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Description & Quick start & Menu & Syntax \\
Options & Remarks and examples & Stored results & Also see
\end{tabular}

\section*{Description}
irtgraph icc plots item characteristic curves (ICCs) for binary items and category characteristic curves (CCCs) for categorical items for the currently fitted IRT model.

\section*{Quick start}

1PL model for binary items b1 to b10
irt 1pl b1-b10
Plot ICCs for all items
irtgraph icc
Plot ICCs and item difficulties for items b1, b5, and b9
irtgraph icc b1 b5 b9, blocation
GRM for ordinal items o1 to o5, items coded 1, 2, 3
irt grm o1-o5
Plot CCCs for selected item categories
irtgraph icc 1.013 .052 .01
Plot CCCs for the first category of all items
irtgraph icc 1.o*

\section*{Menu}

Statistics \(>\) IRT (item response theory)

\section*{Syntax}

Basic syntax
irtgraph icc [varlist] [, options]

Full syntax
irtgraph icc (varlist [, plot_options]) (varlist [, plot_options]) [...] [, options]
varlist is a list of items from the currently fitted IRT model.
options Description
Plots
blocation[(line_options)] add vertical lines for estimated item difficulties plocation[(line_options)] add horizontal lines for midpoint probabilities
bcc
ccc plot category characteristic curves
range (\# \#) plot over \(\theta=\) \# to \#
Line
line_options
affect rendition of the plotted curves
Add plots
addplot (plot) add other plots to the ICC plot
Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall
twoway_options
any options other than by () documented in [G-3] twoway_options
Data
n (\#) evaluate curves at \# points; default is \(\mathrm{n}(300)\)
data(filename[, replace]) save plot data to a file
plot_options
blocation[(line_options)]
plocation[(line_options)] add horizontal lines for midpoint probabilities
ccc
line_options
bcc plot boundary characteristic curves for categorical items
Description
add vertical lines for estimated item difficulties
plot category characteristic curves
affect rendition of the plotted curves
varlist may use factor-variable notation; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.
line_options in plot_options override the same options specified in options.

\section*{Options}
Plocation [(line_options)] specifies that for each ICC, a vertical line be drawn from the estimated
difficulty parameter on the \(x\) axis to the curve. The optional line_options specify how the vertical
lines are rendered; see [G-3] line_options. This option implies option bcc.
plocation [(line_options)] specifies that for each ICC, a horizontal line be drawn from the midpoint probability on the \(y\) axis to the curve. The optional line_options specify how the horizontal lines are rendered; see [G-3] line_options. This option implies option bcc.
bcc specifies that boundary characteristic curves (BCCs) be plotted for categorical items. The ICCs for the individual item categories are plotted by default. This option has no effect on binary items.
ccc specifies that category characteristic curves (CCCs) be plotted for all items. This is the default behavior for categorical items. For binary items, this option will plot ICCs for both outcomes.
range (\# \#) specifies the range of values for \(\theta\). This option requires a pair of numbers identifying the minimum and maximum. The default is range ( -44 ) unless the estimated difficulty parameters exceed these values, in which case the range is extended.
```

Line

```
line_options affect the rendition of the plotted ICCs; see [G-3] line_options.

Add plots
addplot (plot) allows adding more graph twoway plots to the graph; see [G-3] addplot_option.
\(\qquad\) Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall
twoway_options are any of the options documented in [G-3] twoway_options, excluding by (). These include options for titling the graph (see [G-3] title_options) and for saving the graph to disk (see [G-3] saving_option).
```

n (\#) specifies the number of points at which the ICCs, CCCs, and BCCs are to be evaluated. The default is $\mathrm{n}(300)$.
data(filename [, replace]) saves the plot data to a Stata data file.

```

\section*{Remarks and examples}
irtgraph icc plots ICCs for binary items and CCCs for categorical items after estimating the parameters of an IRT model using irt.

ICCs are also known as item response functions and item response curves.
CCCs are also known as category response functions, option response functions, operating characteristic curves, and category response curves.

For categorical items, irtgraph icc also plots BCCs, which are probability curves for crossing a boundary. BCCs are also known as "category boundary curves".
irtgraph icc is very flexible, and the best way to learn its capabilities is through examples.

\section*{Example 1: ICCs for binary outcomes}

We continue with the model from example 1 of [IRT] irt 1pl. Recall that we fit a 1PL model to the nine binary items. Here we use estat report to rearrange the estimated IRT parameters sorted by item difficulty.
```

. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck \& Wilson (2004))
. irt 1pl q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4275.6606
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4269.7861
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4269.7825
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4269.7825
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4153.3609
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4142.374
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4142.3516
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4142.3516
One-parameter logistic model Number of obs = 800
Log likelihood = -4142.3516

```
\begin{tabular}{lr|rrrrrr}
\hline & & Coef. & Std. Err. & \(z\) & P>|z| & [95\% Conf. Interval] \\
\hline q1 & Discrim & .852123 & .0458445 & 18.59 & 0.000 & .7622695 & .9419765 \\
\hline q2 & Diff & -.7071339 & .1034574 & -6.84 & 0.000 & -.9099066 & -.5043612 \\
\hline q3 & Diff & -.1222008 & .0963349 & -1.27 & 0.205 & -.3110138 & .0666122 \\
\hline q4 & Diff & -1.817693 & .1399523 & -12.99 & 0.000 & -2.091994 & -1.543391 \\
\hline q5 & Diff & .3209596 & .0976599 & 3.29 & 0.001 & .1295498 & .5123695 \\
\hline q6 & Diff & 1.652719 & .1329494 & 12.43 & 0.000 & 1.392144 & 1.913295 \\
\hline q7 & Diff & .6930617 & .1031842 & 6.72 & 0.000 & .4908243 & .8952991 \\
\hline & Diff & 1.325001 & .1205805 & 10.99 & 0.000 & 1.088668 & 1.561335 \\
\hline q8 & Diff & -2.413443 & .1691832 & -14.27 & 0.000 & -2.745036 & -2.08185 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
. estat report, sort(b) byparm
One-parameter logistic model Number of obs \(=800\)
Log likelihood = -4142.3516
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Coef. & Std. Err. & z & \(P>|z|\) & [95\% Con & Interval] \\
\hline Discrim & . 852123 & . 0458445 & 18.59 & 0.000 & . 7622695 & . 9419765 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Diff} \\
\hline q8 & -2.413443 & . 1691832 & -14.27 & 0.000 & -2.745036 & -2.08185 \\
\hline q3 & -1.817693 & . 1399523 & -12.99 & 0.000 & -2.091994 & -1.543391 \\
\hline q9 & -1.193206 & . 1162054 & -10.27 & 0.000 & -1.420965 & -. 965448 \\
\hline q1 & -. 7071339 & . 1034574 & -6.84 & 0.000 & -. 9099066 & -. 5043612 \\
\hline q2 & -. 1222008 & . 0963349 & -1.27 & 0.205 & -. 3110138 & . 0666122 \\
\hline q4 & . 3209596 & . 0976599 & 3.29 & 0.001 & . 1295498 & . 5123695 \\
\hline q6 & . 6930617 & . 1031842 & 6.72 & 0.000 & . 4908243 & . 8952991 \\
\hline q7 & 1.325001 & . 1205805 & 10.99 & 0.000 & 1.088668 & 1.561335 \\
\hline q5 & 1.652719 & . 1329494 & 12.43 & 0.000 & 1.392144 & 1.913295 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

To plot ICCs for all items in the model, we simply type


With many items, the default graph is not pretty. The legend takes up too much space. One way to make the graph more readable is to shrink the legend and move it inside the plot region. We also specify a list of items explicitly so that the legend lists the ICCs in the order they appear in the graph. At the end of our interactive session, we came up with the following.
```

. irtgraph icc q8 q3 q9 q1 q2 q4 q6 q7 q5,
> legend(pos(4) col(1) ring(0) size(small))

```


Another way to present the ICCs is to turn off the legend and highlight the items with the lowest and highest estimated difficulty parameter. From the output of estat report, we see that item q8 has the lowest estimated difficulty parameter and item q5 has the highest estimated difficulty parameter. Here we put those items in a separate plotting group, request their estimated difficulty locations be plotted, and put the remaining items in another plotting group.
```

. irtgraph icc (q5 q8, blocation) (q1-q4 q6 q7 q9), legend(off)

```


This plot shows the information we wanted, but we can tinker further to make items q 5 and q 8 stand out and make the whole plot more dramatic. Here is the final result.
```

. irtgraph icc
> (q8 q5, lcolor(black) lwidth(thick) bloc(lcolor(black)))
> (q1-q4 q6 q7 q9, lpattern(dash)),
> range(-5 5) xlabel(-5 -2.41 0 1.65 5) legend(off) lcolor(red)

```


We admit the above works nicely for a 1PL model because the ICCs do not cross; 2PL and 3PL models may require a different approach, but the general idea remains the same-we rarely obtain the desired ICC plot on the first try and need to work incrementally to arrive at the graph that best suits the estimated model parameters.

\section*{- Technical note}

For a binary item, it is standard practice to plot only the ICC for the probability of the positive outcome. Thus the following commands are equivalent.
```

. irtgraph icc q1
. irtgraph icc 1.q1

```

However, there are in fact two ICCs we could plot: one for the probability of the positive outcome and one for the probability of the negative outcome. To plot both ICCs, we can use any of the following:
```

. irtgraph icc 0.q1 1.q1

```
. irtgraph icc i.q1
. irtgraph icc q1, ccc
Because the two probabilities sum to 1 , the ICC for the negative outcome is a mirror image of the ICC for the positive outcome reflected about the \(y\) axis at 0.5 .

\section*{> Example 2: CCCs for categorical outcomes}

We continue with the model introduced in example 1 of [IRT] irt grm. To easily present some graphical features, we collapse the last two categories into one for all items and refit the GRM.
```

. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/charity
(Data from Zheng \& Rabe-Hesketh (2007))
. recode ta1-ta5 (3=2)
(ta1: 58 changes made)
(ta2: 102 changes made)
(ta3: 55 changes made)
(ta4: 36 changes made)
(ta5: 86 changes made)
. irt grm ta1-ta5
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4713.2513
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4713.2513
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -4519.7174
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -4434.2749
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -4430.3549
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -4430.343
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -4430.343
Graded response model Number of obs = 945
Log likelihood = -4430.343

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Coef . & Std. Err & z & \(\mathrm{P}>|\mathrm{z}|\) & [95\% Conf. & Interval] \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{ta1} \\
\hline Discrim & . 8184045 & . 0951662 & 8.60 & 0.000 & . 6318823 & 1.004927 \\
\hline Diff & & & & & & \\
\hline >=1 & -1.668228 & . 1931402 & -8.64 & 0.000 & -2.046775 & -1.28968 \\
\hline =2 & 1.423835 & . 1696051 & 8.39 & 0.000 & 1.091415 & 1.756255 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{ta2} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.031415 & . 1119233 & 9.22 & 0.000 & . 8120498 & 1.250781 \\
\hline Diff & & & & & & \\
\hline >=1 & -1.563834 & . 1578408 & -9.91 & 0.000 & -1.873196 & -1.254472 \\
\hline =2 & -. 007408 & . 077598 & -0.10 & 0.924 & -. 1594973 & . 1446814 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{ta3} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.595058 & . 1519538 & 10.50 & 0.000 & 1.297234 & 1.892882 \\
\hline Diff & & & & & & \\
\hline >=1 & -1.128531 & . 09129 & -12.36 & 0.000 & -1.307457 & -. 9496062 \\
\hline \(=2\) & 1.082083 & . 0889538 & 12.16 & 0.000 & . 9077368 & 1.256429 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{ta4} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.814543 & . 1837944 & 9.87 & 0.000 & 1.454313 & 2.174773 \\
\hline Diff & & & & & & \\
\hline >=1 & -. 3493225 & . 0601007 & -5.81 & 0.000 & -. 4671178 & -. 2315273 \\
\hline \(=2\) & 1.527393 & . 1080719 & 14.13 & 0.000 & 1.315576 & 1.73921 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{ta5} \\
\hline Discrim & 1.528601 & . 1497992 & 10.20 & 0.000 & 1.235 & 1.822202 \\
\hline Diff & & & & & & \\
\hline >=1 & -. 825658 & . 0811847 & -10.17 & 0.000 & -. 9847772 & -. 6665389 \\
\hline \(=2\) & . 6543883 & . 0739536 & 8.85 & 0.000 & . 509442 & . 7993347 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

For a model with many categorical items, we do not recommend using irtgraph icc without varlist, because the resulting graph will contain far too many plotted curves. With 5 items, each with 3 categories, the total number of CCCs in the default plot is 15 . Here we focus on item ta1.


In a GRM, the adjacent probability curves do not cross at the estimated difficulty parameters. Each crossing point represents the level of the latent trait at which an examinee "transitions" from responding in one category versus the next. Thus, in the graph above, respondents whose trait level is below approximately -1.45 are most likely to answer 0 (strongly agree); respondents whose trait level is between approximately -1.45 and 1.20 are most likely to answer 1 (somewhat agree); and respondents whose trait level is above approximately 1.20 are most likely to answer 2 (somewhat or strongly disagree).

Because the GRM is defined in terms of cumulative probabilities, the estimated difficulties represent a point at which a person with \(\theta=b_{i k}\) has a \(50 \%\) chance of responding in category \(k\) or higher. We can use irtgraph to plot these probabilities with the corresponding estimated category difficulties. These probability curves are known as BCCs. We specify option blocation, which plots the category difficulties and also implies option bcc.


\section*{- Technical note}

In the example above, we typed
. irtgraph icc ta1
to plot the CCCs for item ta1. Because item ta1 is coded 0 , 1 , or 2 , we could have typed
```

. irtgraph icc 0.ta1 1.ta1 2.ta1

```
or
. irtgraph icc i.ta1
However, the first notation is most convenient to type. The factor notation comes in handy when we want to plot a particular category or change its appearance in the graph.
\(>\) Example 3: Combining graphs
Sometimes, it is useful to focus on one category and plot its CCCs for all items. Below we show one way of presenting this information. We do not label the curves, because we want to see only the overall shape and location of the CCCs. We could always play with the legend to identify the individual curves, as we did above in example 1.
```

. irtgraph icc 0.ta*, legend(off) title("") lcolor(black) nodraw
> name(out0,replace)
. irtgraph icc 1.ta*, legend(off) title("") lcolor(red) nodraw
> name(out1,replace)
. irtgraph icc 2.ta*, legend(off) title("") lcolor(blue) nodraw
> name(out2,replace)
. graph combine out0 out1 out2, col(1) xsize(3) ysize(6)
> title("CCCs for items ta1-ta5")

```


\section*{Stored results}
irtgraph icc stores the following in \(r()\) :
Macros
\[
r \text { (xvals) }
\]
\(r\) (yvals)
values used to label the \(x\) axis
values used to label the \(y\) axis

\section*{Also see}
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 1pl — One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 2pl — Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt hybrid - Hybrid IRT models
[IRT] irt nrm - Nominal response model
[IRT] irt pcm - Partial credit model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[IRT] irtgraph tec - Test characteristic curve plot

\section*{Title}
irtgraph tcc - Test characteristic curve plot
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Description & Quick start & Menu & Syntax \\
Options & Remarks and examples & Also see &
\end{tabular}

\section*{Description}
irtgraph tcc plots the test characteristic curve (TCC) for the currently fitted IRT model.

\section*{Quick start}

2PL model for binary items b1 to b10
irt 2pl b1-b10
Plot the TCC for the fitted model
irtgraph tcc
Plot the TCC and show the expected score for the latent trait level of -1 and 1
irtgraph tcc, thetalines (-1 1)
Plot the TCC and show the latent trait level for the expected scores of 5 and 8
irtgraph tcc, scorelines(5 8)

\section*{Menu}

Statistics \(>\) IRT (item response theory)

\section*{Syntax}
irtgraph tcc [, options]
options
Description

Plots
scorelines(numlist [, refopts])
thetalines(numlist [, refopts]) range(\# \#)

Add plots
addplot (plot)
Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall
twoway_options

Data
n(\#)
data (filename [, replace])
add \(x\) and \(y\) reference lines at each score value in numlist add \(x\) and \(y\) reference lines at each \(\theta\) value in numlist plot over \(\theta=\#\) to \#
add other plots to the TCC plot
any options other than by () documented in [G-3] twoway_options
evaluate curves at \# points; default is \(\mathrm{n}(300)\)
save plot data to a file
scorelines() and thetalines() can be specified multiple times.
refopts
line_options
noxlines
noylines

Description
affect rendition of the plotted expected score and \(\theta\) lines suppress the corresponding reference lines for \(\theta\) values suppress the corresponding reference lines for score values

\section*{Options}
\(\qquad\) Plots
scorelines (numlist \([\), refopts \(]\) ) adds \(x\) and \(y\) reference lines at each score value in numlist. thetalines (numlist \([\), refopts \(]\) ) adds \(x\) and \(y\) reference lines at each \(\theta\) value in numlist. refopts affect the rendering of expected score and \(\theta\) lines:
line_options specify how the expected score and \(\theta\) lines are rendered; see [G-3] line_options. noxlines suppresses the corresponding reference line for \(\theta\).
noylines suppresses the corresponding reference line for scores.
range (\# \#) specifies the range of values for \(\theta\). This option requires a pair of numbers identifying the minimum and maximum. The default is range ( -44 ).

Add plots
addplot (plot) allows adding more graph twoway plots to the graph; see [G-3] addplot_option.

Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall
twoway_options are any of the options documented in [G-3] twoway_options, excluding by (). These include options for titling the graph (see [G-3] title_options) and for saving the graph to disk (see [G-3] saving_option).
\(\qquad\) Data
n (\#) specifies the number of points at which the TCCs are to be evaluated. The default is \(\mathrm{n}(300)\). data(filename [, replace]) saves the plot data to a Stata data file.

\section*{Remarks and examples}
irtgraph tcc plots the TCC after estimating the parameters of an IRT model using irt. The curve is also known as the "total characteristic curve". The TCC is the sum of ICCs for the entire instrument and thus plots the expected score on the test along the latent trait continuum.
> Example 1: TCC for binary items
We continue with the 2PL model from example 1 of [IRT] irt \(2 \mathbf{p l}\). Recall that we fit a 2PL model to the nine binary items.
```

. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck \& Wilson (2004))
. irt 2pl q1-q9
(output omitted)

```

Because our instrument contains nine binary items, each coded as a 0 or a 1 , the lowest possible score is 0 , and the highest possible score is 9 . Here we plot the TCC for the fitted model to see the expected score for a given range of the latent trait \(\theta\).
. irtgraph tcc


We can provide irtgraph tcc with a list of \(\theta\) values, and irtgraph tcc will plot the corresponding expected scores. Here we ask for the expected scores corresponding to the latent trait level -3 to 3 in steps of 1 .
. irtgraph tcc, thetalines (-3/3)


We see that for an examinee with the latent trait level equal to 0 , the expected score on the test is 4.92 .

We can also provide irtgraph tcc with a list of expected scores, and irtgraph tcc will plot the corresponding latent trait values.
. irtgraph tcc, scorelines(2 46 7.5)


We see that examinees with a latent trait level of 0.78 and above are expected to obtain a score of 6 or more on the test.

\section*{- Technical note}

For nominal items, a score has no meaning other than to designate the response category, and a total score cannot be obtained. irtgraph tcc will plot the TCC in the presence of nominal outcomes, treating them as ordinal, and print a warning note alerting the user to the situation.

\section*{Also see}
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 1pl — One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 2pl - Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl - Three-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt hybrid - Hybrid IRT models
[IRT] irt nrm - Nominal response model
[IRT] irt pcm - Partial credit model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[IRT] irtgraph icc - Item characteristic curve plot

\section*{Title}
irtgraph iif — Item information function plot
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Description & Quick start & Menu & Syntax \\
Options & Remarks and examples & Methods and formulas & References
\end{tabular}

Also see

\section*{Description}
irtgraph iif plots item information functions (IIFs) for items in the currently fitted IRT model.

\section*{Quick start}

2PL model for binary items b1 to b10
irt 2pl b1-b10
Plot IIFs for all items in the model
irtgraph iif
Plot IIFs for items b1 and b5
irtgraph iif b1 b5

\section*{Menu}

Statistics \(>\) IRT (item response theory)

\section*{Syntax}

Basic syntax
irtgraph iif [varlist] [, options]

Full syntax
irtgraph iif (varlist [, line_options]) (varlist [, line_options]) [...] [, options]
varlist is a list of items from the currently fitted IRT model.
options
Description
Plots
range (\# \#) plot over \(\theta=\) \# to \#
Line
line_options affect rendition of the plotted IIFs
Add plots
addplot (plot) add other plots to the IIF plot
Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall
twoway_options
any options other than by () documented in [G-3] twoway_options
Data
n (\#) evaluate IIFs at \# points; default is \(\mathrm{n}(300)\)
data(filename[, replace]) save plot data to a file
line_options in (varlist, line_options) override the same options specified in options.

\section*{Options}
\(\qquad\) Plots
range (\# \#) specifies the range of values for \(\theta\). This option requires a pair of numbers identifying the minimum and maximum. The default is range ( -44 ).
\(\qquad\) Line
line_options affect the rendition of the plotted IIFs; see [G-3] line_options.

Add plots
addplot (plot) allows adding more graph twoway plots to the graph; see [G-3] addplot_option.
\(\qquad\) Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall
twoway_options are any of the options documented in [G-3] twoway_options, excluding by (). These include options for titling the graph (see [G-3] title_options) and for saving the graph to disk (see [G-3] saving_option).
n (\#) specifies the number of points at which the IIFs are to be evaluated. The default is \(\mathrm{n}(300)\). data(filename [, replace]) saves the plot data to a Stata data file.

\section*{Remarks and examples}
irtgraph iif plots IIFs after estimating the parameters of an IRT model using irt.
In IRT, the term "information" is used to describe reliability or precision of an item or a whole instrument. More reliable items measure the latent trait around the estimated difficulty parameter with greater precision.

IIFs are useful in test development and item evaluation. Depending on the specific needs of the test, items can be chosen to cover the whole spectrum or to focus on a particular range of the ability scale.
> Example 1: IIF for binary items
We continue with the 2PL model from example 1 of [IRT] irt 2 pl. Recall that we fit a 2PL model to the nine binary items.
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck \& Wilson (2004))
. irt 2pl q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood \(=-4275.6606\)
Iteration 1: \(\quad\) log likelihood \(=-4269.7861\)
Iteration 2: log likelihood \(=-4269.7825\)
Iteration 3: log likelihood \(=-4269.7825\)
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood \(=-4146.9386\)
Iteration 1: log likelihood \(=-4119.3568\)
Iteration 2: log likelihood \(=-4118.4716\)
Iteration 3: log likelihood \(=-4118.4697\)
Iteration 4: log likelihood \(=-4118.4697\)
Two-parameter logistic model Number of obs \(=800\)
Log likelihood \(=-4118.4697\)


Now we plot the IIF for each item in the fitted model.
. irtgraph iif


For binary items, the amount of information is proportional to the discrimination parameter. Items q1 and q8 have the two highest discrimination estimates and provide more information than the remaining items. For a 2 PL model, the maximum information is provided at \(\theta=b_{i}\).
irtgraph iif's full syntax allows us to apply line styles to each item as we see fit. Let's say we expect more discrimination and therefore more information from a relatively difficult item q5 and thus want q 5 to stand out in the IIF plot. To accomplish this, we specify thick and black line styles for \(q 5\) to distinguish it from the other items, which we specify with red and dashed line styles.
```

. irtgraph iif (q1-q4 q6-q9, lcolor(red) lpattern(dash))
> (q5, lcolor(black) lwidth(thick)), legend(off)

```


Looking at either IIF graph, we seem to have more item information in the negative region of the latent trait than in the positive region. This suggests that the whole test provides more information about students located at the lower end of the latent trait spectrum, which we show graphically in example 1 of [IRT] irtgraph tif.

\section*{Methods and formulas}

For a given item \(i\) with categories \(k=1, \ldots, K\), let \(p_{i k}(\theta)\) be the probability of a respondent with latent trait value \(\theta\) selecting response category \(k\). The functional form of \(p_{i k}(\theta)\) depends on the IRT model used to fit item \(i\) to the data. The category information function, for category \(k\) of item \(i\), is defined as
\[
I_{i k}(\theta)=-\frac{\partial^{2} \log p_{i k}(\theta)}{\partial \theta^{2}}
\]

The IIF for item \(i\) is the sum of its category information functions, weighted by the category probabilities.
\[
I_{i}(\theta)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} I_{i k}(\theta) p_{i k}(\theta)
\]

See Birnbaum (1968) and Samejima (1969, 1972, 1977) for a more detailed discussion of item information functions.

\section*{References}

Birnbaum, A. 1968. Some latent trait models and their use in inferring an examinee's ability. In Statistical Theories of Mental Test Scores, ed. F. M. Lord and M. R. Novick, 395-479. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
Samejima, F. 1969. Estimation of latent ability using a response pattern of graded scores. Psychometrika Monograph Supplement, no. 17.
——. 1972. A general model for free-response data. Psychometrika Monograph Supplement, no. 18.
__. 1977. Weekly parallel tests in latent trait theory with some criticisms of classical test theory. Psychometrika 42: 193-198.

\section*{Also see}
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 1pl — One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 2pl — Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt hybrid - Hybrid IRT models
[IRT] irt nrm - Nominal response model
[IRT] irt pem - Partial credit model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[IRT] irtgraph tif - Test information function plot

\section*{Title}
irtgraph tif — Test information function plot
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Description & Quick start & Menu \\
Options & Remarks and examples & Methods and formulas
\end{tabular} Also see

\section*{Description}
irtgraph tif plots the test information function (TIF) for the currently fitted IRT model.

\section*{Quick start}

2PL model for binary items b1 to b10
irt 2pl b1-b10
Plot the TIF for the fitted model
irtgraph tif
Plot the TIF and its standard error
irtgraph tif, se

\section*{Menu}

Statistics \(>\) IRT (item response theory)

\section*{Syntax}
irtgraph tif [, options]
options
Description
Plots
se[(line_options) \(]\)
plot the standard error of the TIF
range(\# \#)
plot over \(\theta=\#\) to \#
Line
line_options affect rendition of the plotted TIF
Add plots
addplot (plot) add other plots to the TIF plot
Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall twoway_options

Data
n (\#) evaluate TIF at \# points; default is n (300)
data(filename [, replace]) save plot data to a file

\section*{Options}
\(\qquad\) Plots
se[(line_options)] requests the standard error of the TIF be plotted. The optional line_options specify how the lines are rendered; see [G-3] line_options.
range (\# \#) specifies the range of values for \(\theta\). This option requires a pair of numbers identifying the minimum and maximum. The default is range ( -44 ).
\(\qquad\) Line
line_options affect the rendition of the plotted TIF; see [G-3] line_options.
\(\qquad\) Add plots
addplot (plot) allows adding more graph twoway plots to the graph; see [G-3] addplot_option.
\(\qquad\) Y axis, X axis, Titles, Legend, Overall
twoway_options are any of the options documented in [G-3] twoway_options, excluding by (). These include options for titling the graph (see [G-3] title_options) and for saving the graph to disk (see [G-3] saving_option).
\(\qquad\) Data
n (\#) specifies the number of points at which the plotted lines are to be evaluated. The default is n(300).
data(filename [, replace]) saves the plot data to a Stata data file.

\section*{Remarks and examples}
irtgraph tif plots the TIF after estimating the parameters of an IRT model using irt.
In IRT, the term "information" is used to describe reliability or precision of an item or a whole instrument. More reliable instruments measure the latent trait around the estimated difficulty parameter with greater precision.

The TIF is useful in test development where, depending on the specific needs, the test can be chosen to cover the whole spectrum or to focus on a particular range of the ability scale. For tests with alternate formats, TIFs are used to ensure the formats carry the same information across the targeted latent trait range.

\section*{> Example 1: TIF for binary items}

We continue with the 2PL model from example 1 of [IRT] irt 2 pl. Recall that we fit a 2PL model to the nine binary items.
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/masc1
(Data from De Boeck \& Wilson (2004))
. irt 2pl q1-q9
Fitting fixed-effects model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood \(=-4275.6606\)
Iteration 1: \(\quad\) log likelihood \(=-4269.7861\)
Iteration 2: log likelihood \(=-4269.7825\)
Iteration 3: log likelihood \(=-4269.7825\)
Fitting full model:
Iteration 0: log likelihood \(=-4146.9386\)
Iteration 1: \(\quad\) log likelihood \(=-4119.3568\)
Iteration 2: log likelihood \(=-4118.4716\)
Iteration 3: log likelihood \(=-4118.4697\)
Iteration 4: log likelihood \(=-4118.4697\)
Two-parameter logistic model Number of obs \(=800\)
Log likelihood \(=-4118.4697\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Coef. & Std. Err. & z & \(\mathrm{P}>|\mathrm{z}|\) & [95\% Conf & Interval] \\
\hline q1 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & 1.615292 & . 2436467 & 6.63 & 0.000 & 1.137754 & 2.092831 \\
\hline Diff & -. 4745635 & . 074638 & -6.36 & 0.000 & -. 6208513 & -. 3282757 \\
\hline q2 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 6576171 & . 1161756 & 5.66 & 0.000 & . 4299171 & . 885317 \\
\hline Diff & -. 1513023 & . 1202807 & -1.26 & 0.208 & -. 3870481 & . 0844435 \\
\hline q3 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 9245051 & . 1569806 & 5.89 & 0.000 & . 6168289 & 1.232181 \\
\hline Diff & -1.70918 & . 242266 & -7.05 & 0.000 & -2.184012 & -1.234347 \\
\hline q4 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 8186403 & . 1284832 & 6.37 & 0.000 & . 5668179 & 1.070463 \\
\hline Diff & . 3296791 & . 1076105 & 3.06 & 0.002 & . 1187663 & . 5405919 \\
\hline q5 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 8956621 & . 1535128 & 5.83 & 0.000 & . 5947825 & 1.196542 \\
\hline Diff & 1.591164 & . 2325918 & 6.84 & 0.000 & 1.135293 & 2.047036 \\
\hline q6 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 9828441 & . 147888 & 6.65 & 0.000 & . 6929889 & 1.272699 \\
\hline Diff & . 622954 & . 1114902 & 5.59 & 0.000 & . 4044373 & . 8414708 \\
\hline q7 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 3556064 & . 1113146 & 3.19 & 0.001 & . 1374337 & . 5737791 \\
\hline Diff & 2.840278 & . 8717471 & 3.26 & 0.001 & 1.131685 & 4.548871 \\
\hline q8 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & 1.399926 & . 233963 & 5.98 & 0.000 & . 9413668 & 1.858485 \\
\hline Diff & -1.714416 & . 1925531 & -8.90 & 0.000 & -2.091814 & -1.337019 \\
\hline q9 & & & & & & \\
\hline Discrim & . 6378452 & . 1223972 & 5.21 & 0.000 & . 3979512 & . 8777392 \\
\hline Diff & -1.508254 & . 2787386 & -5.41 & 0.000 & -2.054571 & -. 9619361 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In example 1 of [IRT] irtgraph iif, we plotted IIFs and noted that items provided more information over the negative range of the latent trait than over the positive range. This asymmetry is also present in the TIF, which we plot below.


The test provides the most information around the latent trait between approximately -1.5 to 0 . If we wished for the test to provide more information around a particular latent trait range, we could include additional items that contribute more information within the desired range. Looking at the standard error curve, we observe that the amount of information provided by the test at \(\theta\) is inversely related to the precision with which ability is estimated at that point.

\section*{Methods and formulas}

Test information is the negative of the expectation of the second derivative with respect to \(\theta\) of the log likelihood defined in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irt hybrid.
\[
I(\theta)=-E\left\{\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \log L(\boldsymbol{B})\right\}
\]

Given an instrument consisting of \(I\) items, the formula above reduces to
\[
I(\theta)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\theta}^{2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{I} I_{i}(\theta)
\]
where \(I_{i}(\theta)\) is as defined in Methods and formulas of [IRT] irtgraph iif. Thus, the TIF is the sum of the individual IIFs and the prior variance of the latent trait, \(\sigma_{\theta}^{2}\). irt fits IRT models with \(\sigma_{\theta}^{2}\) constrained to 1 .
The standard error of the TIF is given by
\[
\operatorname{se}(\widehat{\theta})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{I(\theta)}}
\]

\section*{Also see}
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
[IRT] irt 1pl — One-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 2pl — Two-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt 3pl — Three-parameter logistic model
[IRT] irt grm - Graded response model
[IRT] irt hybrid — Hybrid IRT models
[IRT] irt nrm - Nominal response model
[IRT] irt pem - Partial credit model
[IRT] irt rsm - Rating scale model
[IRT] irtgraph iif - Item information function plot

\section*{Title}
dif — Introduction to differential item functioning
Description Remarks and examples References Also see

\section*{Description}

Differential item functioning (DIF) occurs when items that are intended to measure a latent trait are unfair, favoring one group of individuals over another. This entry provides an overview of DIF. See the following manual entries for details about the individual DIF tests, including syntax and worked examples.
```

diflogistic Logistic regression DIF test
difmh Mantel-Haenszel DIF test

```

\section*{Remarks and examples}

DIF is often investigated in conjunction with fitting item response theory (IRT) models. For an introduction to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

Investigating DIF involves evaluating whether a test item behaves differently across respondents with the same value of the latent trait. An item "functions differently" across individuals with the same latent trait level if these individuals have different probabilities of selecting a given response. A good overview of DIF procedures can be found in Penfield and Camilli (2007) and Osterlind and Everson (2009). Holland and Wainer (1993) provide a thorough treatment of statistical methodologies and practical issues surrounding DIF analysis.

Many DIF diagnostics are not based on fitting an IRT model. These include the Mantel-Haenszel test available in difmh and the logistic regression test available in diflogistic. It is, nevertheless, convenient to illustrate DIF using item characteristic curves.

By convention, the hypothesized disadvantaged individuals are categorized as the focal group, and the advantaged ones are categorized as the reference group. The graph below shows an example of uniform DIF. The item favors the reference group over the entire range of the latent trait (ability). For example, given ability \(=0\), the probability of getting the item right is 0.73 for an individual from the reference group but only 0.50 for an individual from the focal group.


When the two item characteristic curves cross, we have a case of nonuniform DIF; that is to say, different groups are favored in different ranges of the latent trait. In the graph below, the item favors the focal group over the negative range of ability, and the item favors the reference group over the positive range of ability.


The MH test is formally a test of uniform DIF but can, in some cases, detect nonuniform DIF; see [IRT] difmh for details.

The logistic regression procedure can detect both uniform and nonuniform DIF; see [IRT] diflogistic for details.
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\section*{Also see}
[IRT] diflogistic - Logistic regression DIF
[IRT] difmh - Mantel-Haenszel DIF
[IRT] irt — Introduction to IRT models

\section*{Title}
diflogistic - Logistic regression DIF
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Description & Quick start & Menu & Syntax \\
Options & Remarks and examples & Stored results & Methods and formulas \\
References & Also see & &
\end{tabular}

\section*{Description}
diflogistic uses logistic regression to test whether an item exhibits differential item functioning (DIF) between two observed groups. Logistic regression is used to test for both uniform and nonuniform DIF, that is, whether an item favors one group over the other for all values of the latent trait or for only some values of the latent trait.

\section*{Quick start}

Logistic regression test for binary items b1 to b100 using variable group to identify the focal and reference groups
```

diflogistic b1-b100, group(group)

```

As above, but request the test for items b5, b10, and b15 only
diflogistic b1-b100, group(group) items(b5 b10 b15)
Replay the results, but show only items with \(p\)-values \(\leq 0.05\)
diflogistic, maxp(.05)

\section*{Menu}

Statistics \(>\) IRT (item response theory)

\section*{Syntax}
```

diflogistic varlist [if] [in] [weight], group(varname) [options]

```
options
Description
Main
* group (varname) specify variable that identifies groups
total (varname) specify total score variable
items varlist \(_{i}\) ) perform logistic regression test for items in varlist \({ }_{i}\) only
Reporting
\(\operatorname{maxp}(\#) \quad\) display only items with \(p\)-value \(\leq \#\)
sformat (\%fmt) display format for \(\chi^{2}\) values; default is sformat (\%9.2f)
pformat ( \(\%\) fmt ) display format for \(p\)-values; default is pformat ( \(\% 9.4 \mathrm{f}\) )
* group() is required.
fweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

\section*{Options}
\(\qquad\) Main
group (varname) specifies the numeric variable that identifies the focal group and the reference group. The groups should be coded 1 and 0 , respectively. group() is required.
total (varname) specifies the variable to be used as a total score. By default, the total score is calculated as the row sum of the item variables.
items (varlist \({ }_{i}\) ) requests that a logistic regression test be calculated only for the specified items. varlist \(_{i}\) must be a subset of varlist. By default, the statistics are calculated for all the items in varlist.

Reporting
\(\operatorname{maxp}(\#)\) requests that only items with \(p\)-value \(\leq \#\) be displayed.
sformat (\% \(\%\) fmt ) specifies the display format used for the \(\chi^{2}\) values of the output table. The default is sformat (\%9.2f).
pformat ( \(\% f m t\) ) specifies the display format used for the \(p\)-values of the output table. The default is pformat(\%9.4f).

\section*{Remarks and examples}

The following discussion is about how to use diflogistic to test for uniform and nonuniform DIF in binary items. If you are new to DIF, we encourage you to read [IRT] dif first. If you are new to item response theory or to the item response theory features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

\section*{Example 1: Logistic regression test for uniform and nonuniform DIF}

To illustrate logistic regression DIF analysis, we use an abridged version of the mathematics and science data from De Boeck and Wilson (2004). Student responses to nine test items q1-q9 are coded 1 for correct and 0 for incorrect. We want to test for DIF based on sex. Here we tabulate the variable female.


We have 761 male and 739 female students in our dataset. The females are coded 1 and represent the focal group.

We perform the logistic regression test on items q1-q9 by typing
. diflogistic q1-q9, group(female)
Logistic Regression DIF Analysis
\begin{tabular}{c|cc|cc} 
Item & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Nonuniform \\
Chi2
\end{tabular} & Prob. & Uniform & \\
& & & & \\
\hline q1 & 1.03 & 0.3092 & 13.20 & 0.0003 \\
q2 & 1.39 & 0.2388 & 1.80 & 0.1793 \\
q3 & 0.39 & 0.5316 & 6.90 & 0.0086 \\
q4 & 7.25 & 0.0071 & 4.89 & 0.0270 \\
q5 & 2.29 & 0.1300 & 5.91 & 0.0150 \\
q6 & 1.18 & 0.2780 & 0.43 & 0.5117 \\
q7 & 0.04 & 0.8352 & 2.61 & 0.1064 \\
q8 & 0.96 & 0.3270 & 2.24 & 0.1347 \\
q9 & 0.23 & 0.6285 & 2.23 & 0.1352 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Columns 2 and 3 report the results of logistic regression tests for the presence of nonuniform DIF. Using a 5\% significance level, we conclude that only item q4 exhibits nonuniform DIF.

Columns 4 and 5 report the results of logistic regression tests for the presence of uniform DIF. These tests indicate that items q1, q3, and q5 exhibit uniform DIF, again using a \(5 \%\) significance level. We use this test only for items that do not exhibit nonuniform DIF; thus, in our example, we ignore the uniform DIF test reported for item q4.

A visual examination of the output table becomes cumbersome even for a moderate number of items. We can use diflogistic to display only the items whose \(p\)-value falls below a certain significance level. Below we redisplay the results with the \(\operatorname{maxp}(.05)\) option.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Logistic Regression DIF Analysis} \\
\hline Item & \begin{tabular}{l}
Nonu \\
Chi2
\end{tabular} & Prob. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Unif } \\
& \text { Chi2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & Prob. \\
\hline q1 & . & . & 13.20 & 0.0003 \\
\hline q3 & & . & 6.90 & 0.0086 \\
\hline q4 & 7.25 & 0.0071 & . & \\
\hline q5 & & & 5.91 & 0.0150 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Now it is much easier to see that item q4 exhibits a nonuniform DIF and items q1, q3, and q5 exhibit uniform DIF.

Note that neither test reports anything about the amount or direction of DIF exhibited by an item. For items that exhibit uniform DIF, you can use a common odds ratio reported by difmh to assess the amount and direction of DIF.

\section*{Stored results}
diflogistic stores the following in \(r()\) :
Macros
```

r(cmd) diflogistic
r(cmdline) command as typed
r(items) names of items
r(wtype) weight type
r(wexp) weight expression
r(group) group variable
r(total) name of alternative total score variable, if specified
r(dif) results table
r(_N) number of observations per item

```
Matrices

\section*{Methods and formulas}

Let \(L_{1}, L_{2}\), and \(L_{3}\) be the log-likelihood values associated with the following models, respectively,
\[
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{logit}\{\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{y})\}=\tau_{0}+\tau_{1} \mathbf{t}+\tau_{2} \mathbf{g}+\tau_{3}(\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{g})  \tag{1}\\
& \operatorname{logit}\{\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{y})\}=\tau_{0}+\tau_{1} \mathbf{t}+\tau_{2} \mathbf{g}  \tag{2}\\
& \operatorname{logit}\{\operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{y})\}=\tau_{0}+\tau_{1} \mathbf{t} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
\]
where \(\mathbf{y}\) is a vector of responses for a given item; \(\mathbf{t}\) is the latent trait, most commonly represented by the observed total score; and \(\mathbf{g}\) is a dichotomous variable representing the focal group.

Likelihood-ratio tests are used to compare the nested models. The test for nonuniform DIF compares models (1) and (2) and is given by \(\mathrm{LR}_{1}=-2\left(L_{1}-L_{2}\right) . \mathrm{LR}_{1}\) is distributed as \(\chi_{1}^{2}\). If the null hypothesis of no nonuniform DIF is rejected, we do not proceed to the test for uniform DIF.

The test for uniform DIF compares models (2) and (3) and is given by \(\mathrm{LR}_{2}=-2\left(L_{2}-L_{3}\right)\). \(\mathrm{LR}_{2}\) is distributed as \(\chi_{1}^{2}\).
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\section*{Also see}
[IRT] dif - Introduction to differential item functioning
[IRT] difmh - Mantel-Haenszel DIF
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models

\section*{Title}
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Description & Quick start & Menu & Syntax \\
Options & Remarks and examples & Stored results & Methods and formulas \\
References & Also see & &
\end{tabular}

\section*{Description}
difmh calculates the Mantel-Haenszel (MH) \(\chi^{2}\) and common odds ratio for dichotomously scored items. The MH statistics are used to determine whether an item exhibits uniform differential item functioning (DIF) between two observed groups, that is, whether an item favors one group relative to the other for all values of the latent trait.

\section*{Quick start}

MH \(\chi^{2}\) and common odds ratio for binary items b1 to b100 using variable group to identify the focal and reference groups
difmh b1-b100, group(group)
As above, but request MH statistics for items b5, b10, and b15 only
difmh b1-b100, group (group) items (b5 b10 b15)
Replay the results, but show only items with \(p\)-values \(\leq 0.05\)
difmh, maxp(.05)

\section*{Menu}

Statistics \(>\) IRT (item response theory)

\section*{Syntax}
difmh varlist [if] [in] [weight], group(varname) [options]
options Description

Main
* group (varname)
total (varname)
items \(\left(\right.\) varlist \(\left._{i}\right)\)
noyates
specify variable that identifies groups
specify total score variable
calculate MH statistics for items in varlist \(_{i}\) only
do not apply Yates's correction for continuity; default is to apply the continuity correction

Reporting
level (\#) set confidence level; default is level (95)
\(\operatorname{maxp}(\#) \quad\) display only items with \(p\)-value \(\leq \#\)
sformat ( \(\%\) frmt ) display format for \(\chi^{2}\) values; default is sformat (\% \(\% .2 \mathrm{f}\) )
pformat ( \(\% \mathrm{fmt}\) ) display format for \(p\)-values; default is pformat ( \(\% 9.4 \mathrm{f}\) )
oformat ( \(\% \mathrm{fmt}\) ) display format for odds-ratio statistics; default is oformat (\%9.4f)
* group() is required.
fweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

\section*{Options}

Main
group (varname) specifies the numeric variable that identifies the focal group and the reference group. The groups should be coded 1 and 0 , respectively. group() is required.
total (varname) specifies the variable to be used as a total score. By default, the total score is calculated as the row sum of the item variables.
items ( varlist \(_{i}\) ) requests that MH statistics be calculated only for the specified items. varlist \({ }_{i}\) must be a subset of varlist. By default, the statistics are calculated for all the items in varlist.
noyates specifies that Yates's correction for continuity not be applied when calculating the MH \(\chi^{2}\) statistic. By default, the continuity correction is applied.

\section*{Reporting}
level(\#); see [R] estimation options.
\(\operatorname{maxp}(\#)\) requests that only items with \(p\)-value \(\leq \#\) be displayed.
sformat (\% fint) specifies the display format used for the \(\chi^{2}\) values of the output table. The default is sformat (\%9.2f).
pformat (\% firt) specifies the display format used for the \(p\)-values of the output table. The default is pformat(\%9.4f).
of ormat ( \(\%\) fint) specifies the display format used for the odds-ratio statistics of the output table. The default is oformat (\%9.4f).

\section*{Remarks and examples}

The following discussion is about how to use difmh to test for uniform DIF in binary items. If you are new to DIF, we encourage you to read [IRT] dif first. If you are new to item response theory or to the item response theory features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] irt first.

\section*{> Example 1: MH test of uniform DIF}

To illustrate the MH DIF analysis, we use an abridged version of the mathematics and science data from De Boeck and Wilson (2004). Student responses to nine test items q1-q9 are coded 1 for correct and 0 for incorrect. We want to test for DIF based on sex. Here we tabulate the variable female.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(1=\mathrm{female}\),
\(0=\) male & Freq. & Percent & Cum. \\
\hline 0 & 761 & 50.73 & 50.73 \\
\hline 1 & 739 & 49.27 & 100.00 \\
\hline Total & 1,500 & 100.00 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

We have 761 male and 739 female students in our dataset. The females are coded 1 and represent the focal group.

We perform the MH procedure on items q1-q9 by typing
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Mantel-Haenszel DIF Analysis} \\
\hline Item & Chi2 & Prob. & Odds Ratio & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{[95\% Conf. Interval]} \\
\hline q1 & 12.47 & 0.0004 & 1.6053 & 1.2395 & 2.0790 \\
\hline q2 & 1.79 & 0.1813 & 1.1809 & 0.9354 & 1.4907 \\
\hline q3 & 6.58 & 0.0103 & 1.4543 & 1.0993 & 1.9238 \\
\hline q4 & 3.86 & 0.0496 & 0.7879 & 0.6241 & 0.9947 \\
\hline q5 & 5.00 & 0.0253 & 0.7011 & 0.5189 & 0.9472 \\
\hline q6 & 0.49 & 0.4835 & 1.1046 & 0.8567 & 1.4243 \\
\hline q7 & 1.77 & 0.1836 & 0.8359 & 0.6500 & 1.0750 \\
\hline q8 & 2.09 & 0.1478 & 0.7761 & 0.5615 & 1.0727 \\
\hline q9 & 2.03 & 0.1546 & 0.8294 & 0.6479 & 1.0618 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Chi2 and Prob. columns contain the mH \(\chi^{2}\) statistic with the associated significance level. Items q1, q3, q4, and q5 exhibit DIF based on a \(5 \%\) significance level. However, significant statistics do not tell us anything about the amount or direction of DIF exhibited by an item.

The last three columns present the MH common odds ratio with the associated confidence interval. A common odds ratio greater than 1 indicates DIF in favor of the focal group. The results suggest that items q1 and q3 favor females, and items q4 and q5 favor males.

A visual examination of the output table becomes cumbersome even for a moderate number of items. We can ask difmh to display only items whose \(p\)-value falls below a certain significance level. Below we redisplay the results with the \(\operatorname{maxp}(.05)\) option.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Mantel-Haenszel DIF Analysis} \\
\hline Item & Chi2 & Prob. & Odds Ratio & [95\% Conf & Interval] \\
\hline q1 & 12.47 & 0.0004 & 1.6053 & 1.2395 & 2.0790 \\
\hline q3 & 6.58 & 0.0103 & 1.4543 & 1.0993 & 1.9238 \\
\hline q4 & 3.86 & 0.0496 & 0.7879 & 0.6241 & 0.9947 \\
\hline q5 & 5.00 & 0.0253 & 0.7011 & 0.5189 & 0.9472 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Stored results}
difmh stores the following in \(r()\) :
Scalars
\(r(N) \quad\) number of observations
\(r\) (level) significance level
\(r\) (yates) \(\quad 1\) if Yates's continuity correction is used, 0 otherwise
Macros
\(r\) (cmd)
r(cmdline) command as typed
\(r\) (items) names of items
\(r\) (wtype) weight type
\(r\) (wexp) weight expression
\(r\) (group) group variable
\(r\) (total) name of alternative total score variable, if specified
Matrices
\(r\) (dif) results table
\(r\) (sigma2) estimated variance of the common odds ratio
\(r\left(\_N\right) \quad\) number of observations per item

\section*{Methods and formulas}

The mH test, also known as the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test, is used to determine whether two dichotomous variables are independent of one another after conditioning on a third variable; see Mantel and Haenszel (1959) and Holland and Thayer (1988) for details.

In item response theory, one dichotomous variable represents the reference and the focal group, and the other represents a response to an item scored as correct and incorrect. The conditioning variable is the latent trait, most commonly represented by the observed total score. For a dichotomously scored instrument of length \(K\), the total score ranges from 0 to \(K\).

The MH \(\chi^{2}\) statistic is based on the sum of the \(2 \times 2\) contingency tables calculated for each value (stratum) of the total score. A single \(2 \times 2\) table for the \(k\) th score is
\begin{tabular}{lccc} 
& Correct & Incorrect & Total \\
\hline Reference & \(n_{11 k}\) & \(n_{12 k}\) & \(n_{1 . k}\) \\
Focal & \(n_{21 k}\) & \(n_{22 k}\) & \(n_{2 . k}\) \\
\hline Total & \(n_{.1 k}\) & \(n_{.2 k}\) & \(n_{k}\)
\end{tabular}

Incomplete contingency tables do not contribute to the MH statistic; this includes perfect scores and zero scores. For items with fewer than two complete contingency tables, difmh reports missing values.

The MH \(\chi^{2}\) statistic is given as
\[
\mathrm{MH}_{\chi^{2}}=\frac{\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^{K-1}\left\{n_{11 k}-E\left(n_{11 k}\right)\right\}\right|-c\right]^{2}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} \operatorname{var}\left(n_{11 k}\right)}
\]
where
\[
\begin{gathered}
E\left(n_{11 k}\right)=\frac{n_{1 . k} n_{.1 k}}{n_{k}} \\
\operatorname{var}\left(n_{11 k}\right)=\frac{n_{1 . k} n_{2 . k} n_{.1 k} n_{.2 k}}{n_{k}^{2}\left(n_{k}-1\right)}
\end{gathered}
\]
and \(c=0\) when option noyates is specified; \(c=1 / 2\) otherwise.
The statistic is evaluated against a \(\chi^{2}\) distribution with one degree of freedom. A significant MH \(\chi^{2}\) statistic suggests the presence of DIF in an item, however, the statistic does not indicate the amount of DIF.

To assess the amount of DIF in an item, we can use the MH common odds-ratio (OR) statistic. The statistic is given as
\[
\mathrm{OR}_{\mathrm{MH}}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} n_{11 k} n_{22 k} / n_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} n_{12 k} n_{21 k} / n_{k}}
\]

Under the null hypothesis of no DIF, \(\mathrm{OR}_{\mathrm{MH}}=1\).
The confidence interval for \(\mathrm{OR}_{\mathrm{MH}}\) is based on the variance estimate of \(\log \left(\mathrm{OR}_{\mathrm{MH}}\right)\) proposed by Robins, Breslow, and Greenland (1986) and Phillips and Holland (1987):
\[
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\sigma}^{2} & =\widehat{\operatorname{var}}\left\{\log \left(\mathrm{OR}_{\mathrm{MH}}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K-1}\left(n_{11 k}+n_{22 k}\right)\left(n_{11 k} n_{22 k}\right) / n_{k}^{2}}{2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} n_{11 k} n_{22 k} / n_{k}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K-1}\left\{\left(n_{11 k}+n_{22 k}\right)\left(n_{12 k} n_{21 k}\right)+\left(n_{12 k}+n_{21 k}\right)\left(n_{11 k} n_{22 k}\right)\right\} / n_{k}^{2}}{2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} n_{11 k} n_{22 k} / n_{k}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} n_{12 k} n_{21 k} / n_{k}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{K-1}\left(n_{12 k}+n_{21 k}\right)\left(n_{12 k} n_{21 k}\right) / n_{k}^{2}}{2\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K-1} n_{12 k} n_{21 k} / n_{k}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
\]

The \(100(1-\alpha / 2) \%\) confidence interval for \(\mathrm{OR}_{\mathrm{MH}}\) is then given by
\[
\left[\mathrm{OR}_{\mathrm{MH}} \times \exp (-z \widehat{\sigma}), \mathrm{OR}_{\mathrm{MH}} \times \exp (z \widehat{\sigma})\right]
\]
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\section*{Also see}
[IRT] dif - Introduction to differential item functioning
[IRT] diflogistic - Logistic regression DIF
[IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models

\section*{Glossary}

1PL. See one-parameter logistic model.
2PL. See two-parameter logistic model.
3PL. See three-parameter logistic model.
ability. See latent trait.
BCC. See boundary characteristic curve.
binary item. A binary item is an item that is scored as either 0 or 1 .
boundary characteristic curve. A boundary characteristic curve (BCC) expresses the probability of transitioning across a given boundary threshold that separates the ordered item categories into two groups as a function of the latent trait.
calibration. The procedure of estimating parameters of an IRT model.
categorical item. A categorical item is an item that is either ordinal or nominal.
category boundary curve. See boundary characteristic curve.
category boundary location. See difficulty.
category characteristic curve. A category characteristic curve (CCC) expresses the probability of a response in a given item category as a function of the latent trait.
category response function. See category characteristic curve.
CCC. See category characteristic curve.
conditional independence. The assumption that responses are not correlated after controlling for the latent trait.
dichotomous item. See binary item.
DIF. See differential item functioning.
differential item functioning. Differential item functioning involves evaluating whether a test item behaves differently between groups, after the groups have been matched on the latent trait.
difficulty. A level of the latent trait needed to pass an item or an item category.
discrimination. A measure of how well an item can distinguish between contiguous latent trait levels near the inflection point of an item characteristic curve.
empirical Bayes. In IRT models, empirical Bayes refers to the method of prediction of the latent trait after the model parameters have been estimated. The empirical Bayes method uses Bayesian principles to obtain the posterior distribution of the latent trait. However, instead of assuming a prior distribution for the model parameters, one treats the parameters as given.

Gauss-Hermite quadrature. In the context of IRT models, Gauss-Hermite quadrature (GHQ) is a method of approximating the integral used in the calculation of the log likelihood. The quadrature locations and weights for individuals are fixed during the optimization process.
generalized partial credit model. The generalized partial credit model (GPCM) is an IRT model for ordinal responses. The categories within each item vary in their difficulty and share the same discrimination parameter.
GHQ. See Gauss-Hermite quadrature.

GPCM. See generalized partial credit model.
graded response model. The graded response model (GRM) is an extension of the two-parameter logistic model to ordinal responses. The categories within each item vary in their difficulty and share the same discrimination parameter.

GRM. See graded response model.
guessing. The guessing parameter incorporates the impact of chance on an observed response. The parameter lifts the lower asymptote of the item characteristic curve above zero.
hybrid model. A hybrid IRT model is a model that performs a single calibration of an instrument consisting of different response formats.
ICC. See item characteristic curve.
IIF. See item information function.
information. Precision with which an item or an instrument measures the latent trait; also see item information function and test information function.
instrument. A collection of items, usually called a test, a survey, or a questionnaire.
invariance. When an IRT model fits the data exactly in the population, then the estimated item parameters should be the same, within sampling error, regardless of what sample the data were derived from, and the estimated person latent traits should be the same regardless of what items they are based on.

IRT. See item response theory.
item. An item is a single question or task on a test or an instrument.
item characteristic curve. An item characteristic curve (ICC) expresses the probability for a given response to a binary item as a function of the latent trait.
item information function. An item information function (IIF) indicates the precision of an item along the latent trait continuum.
item location. Location of an item on the difficulty scale.
item response function. See item characteristic curve.
item response theory. Item response theory (IRT) is a theoretical framework organized around the concept of the latent trait. IRT encompasses a set of models and associated statistical procedures that relate observed responses on an instrument to a person's level of the latent trait.
latent space. Number of latent traits that are measured by an instrument. All IRT models described in this manual assume a unidimensional latent space or, in other words, that a single latent trait explains the response pattern.
latent trait. A variable or construct that cannot be directly observed.
local independence. See conditional independence.
lower asymptote. See guessing.
MCAGHQ. See mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.
mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature. In the context of IRT models, mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature (MVAGHQ) is a method of approximating the integral used in the calculation of the log likelihood. The quadrature locations and weights for individuals are updated during the optimization process by using the posterior mean and the posterior standard deviation.
mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature. In the context of IRT models, mode-curvature adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature (MCAGHQ) is a method of approximating the integral used in the calculation of the log likelihood. The quadrature locations and weights for individuals are updated during the optimization process by using the posterior mode and the standard deviation of the normal density that approximates the log posterior at the mode.

\section*{MVAGHQ. See mean-variance adaptive Gauss-Hermite quadrature.}
nominal item. A nominal item is an item scored in categories that have no natural ordering.
nominal response model. The nominal response model (NRM) is an IRT model for nominal responses. The categories within each item vary in their difficulty and discrimination.

NRM. See nominal response model.
one-parameter logistic model. The one-parameter logistic (1PL) model is an IRT model for binary responses where items vary in their difficulty but share the same discrimination parameter.
operating characteristic curve. See category characteristic curve.
ordinal item. An ordinal item is an item scored on a scale where a higher score indicates a "higher" outcome.
partial credit model. The partial credit model (PCM) is an IRT model for ordinal responses. The categories across all items vary in their difficulty and share the same discrimination parameter.
PCM. See partial credit model.
person location. Location of a person on the latent trait scale.
polytomous item. See categorical item.
posterior mean. In IRT models, posterior mean refers to the predictions of the latent trait based on the mean of the posterior distribution.
posterior mode. In IRT models, posterior mode refers to the predictions of the latent trait based on the mode of the posterior distribution.
quadrature. Quadrature is a set of numerical methods to evaluate a definite integral.
rating scale model. The rating scale model (RSM) is an IRT model for ordinal responses. The categories within each item vary in their difficulty; however, the distances between adjacent difficulty parameters are constrained to be the same across the items. The categories across all items share the same discrimination parameter.
RSM. See rating scale model.
slope. See discrimination.
TCC. See test characteristic curve.
test characteristic curve. A test characteristic curve (TCC) is the sum of item characteristic curves and represents the expected score on the instrument.
test information function A test information function (TIF) is the sum of item information functions and indicates the precision of the entire instrument along the latent trait continuum.
three-parameter logistic model. The three-parameter logistic (3PL) model is an IRT model for binary responses where items vary in their difficulty and discrimination and can share or have their own guessing parameter.
TIF. See test information function.
total characteristic curve. See test characteristic curve.
total information function. See test information function.
two-parameter logistic model. The two-parameter logistic (2PL) model is an IRT model for binary responses where items vary in their difficulty and discrimination.
unidimensionality. See latent space.

\section*{Subject and author index}

See the combined subject index and the combined author index in the Glossary and Index.```
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[^3]:    * lrtest is not appropriate with svy estimation results.

[^4]:    $\dagger$ outcome(item \#) may also be specified as outcome(\#.item) or outcome(item \#\#).
    outcome (item \#3) means the third outcome value. outcome(item \#3) would mean the same as outcome (item 4) if outcomes were 1,3 , and 4.
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[^6]:    $\dagger$ outcome(item \#) may also be specified as outcome(\#.item) or outcome(item \#\#).
    outcome (item \#3) means the third outcome value. outcome(item \#3) would mean the same as outcome (item 4) if outcomes were 1,3 , and 4.
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[^8]:    $\dagger$ outcome(item \#) may also be specified as outcome(\#.item) or outcome(item \#\#).
    outcome (item \#3) means the third outcome value. outcome(item \#3) would mean the same as outcome (item 4) if outcomes were 1,3 , and 4.

[^9]:    Also see
    [IRT] irt rsm postestimation - Postestimation tools for irt rsm
    [IRT] irt - Introduction to IRT models
    [IRT] irt pcm - Partial credit model
    [SEM] gsem - Generalized structural equation model estimation command
    [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands
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