Title

bayestest model — Hypothesis testing using model posterior probabilities

Description Quick start Options Remarks and examples Also see

Menu Stored results Syntax Methods and formulas

Description

bayestest model computes posterior probabilities of Bayesian models fit using the bayesmh command. These posterior probabilities can be used to test hypotheses about model parameters. The command reports marginal likelihoods, prior probabilities, and posterior probabilities for all tested models.

Quick start

Compute posterior probabilities of models corresponding to previously saved estimation results M1 and M2

bayestest model M1 M2

As above, but specify prior probabilities for models bayestest model M1 M2, prior(0.3 0.7)

Menu

Statistics > Bayesian analysis > Hypothesis testing using model posterior probabilities

Syntax

bayestest model [namelist] [, options]

where *namelist* is a name, a list of names, _all, or *. A name may be ., meaning the current (active) estimates. _all and * mean the same thing.

Description
specify prior probabilities for tested models; default is all models are equally likely
specify marginal-likelihood approximation method; default is to use Laplace-Metropolis approximation, lmetropolis; rarely used
Description
Laplace–Metropolis approximation; default harmonic-mean approximation

Options

Main

prior (*numlist*) specifies prior probabilities for models. By default, all models are assumed to be equally likely. You may specify probabilities for all tested models, in which case the probabilities must sum to one. Alternatively, you may specify probabilities for all but the last model, in which case the sum of the specified probabilities must be less than one, and the probability for the last model is computed as one minus this sum.

Advanced

marglmethod(method) specifies a method for approximating the marginal likelihood. method is either lmetropolis, the default, for Laplace-Metropolis approximation or hmean for harmonic-mean approximation. This option is rarely used.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Introduction Testing nested hypotheses Comparing models with different priors

Introduction

In this entry, we describe hypothesis testing by computing model posterior probabilities, probabilities of Bayesian models given observed data. For interval hypothesis testing, see [BAYES] **bayestest interval**.

The bayestest model command computes posterior probabilities for specified models. The computed probabilities can be used to compare which model is more likely among considered models given observed data. You can compare models that differ only in several covariates or models with completely different regression functions, such as linear and nonlinear models. You can compare models with different prior distributions or both. The only requirements are that the considered models have proper posterior distributions and that the same data are used to fit the models. If MCMC is used to approximate posterior distributions, convergence of MCMC should also be verified before model comparison.

The results reported by bayestest model are related to Bayes factors; see [BAYES] bayesstats ic to compute Bayes factors.

To use bayestest model, you must store estimation results after each bayesmh model of interest. You can use estimates store (see [R] estimates store) to store estimation results after bayesmh, as you can with other estimation commands, provided you also saved simulation results from bayesmh using the saving() option. See *Storing estimation results after bayesmh* in [BAYES] bayesmh postestimation for details.

Testing nested hypotheses

Consider the following Bayesian regression model for auto.dta,

$$\mathtt{mpg} = eta_0 + eta_1 \mathtt{weight1} + eta_2 \mathtt{length1} + \epsilon$$

where weight1 and length1 are the original weight and length variables rescaled to have similar scale as mpg.

We assume that errors are normally distributed: $\epsilon \sim \text{normal}(0, \sigma^2)$. We also assume a noninformative Jeffreys prior for the parameters: $(\beta, \sigma^2) \sim 1/\sigma^2$. Suppose that we are interested in testing whether there is a relationship between mileage and weight and length of cars. We will consider four models: the mean-only model, the model with weight only, the model with length only, and the full model with both covariates.

In a frequentist setting, the four models correspond to the following hypotheses: $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$, $\beta_2 = 0$, $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$, and $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$. In a Bayesian setting, we cannot formulate point hypotheses for parameters with continuous distributions; see [BAYES] **bayestest interval** for examples. However, we can compute probabilities of how likely each of the four models is given the observed data.

Let's load auto.dta and generate rescaled versions of weight and length.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r14/auto
(1978 Automobile Data)
. generate weight1 = weight/100
. generate length1 = length/10
```

Next, we fit the four models using bayesmh. We use the saving() option to save the simulation datasets so that we can store estimation results of each model for later use with bayestest model.

The first model we fit is the mean-only model. We store its estimation results as meanonly.

<pre>. set seed 14 . bayesmh mpg > prior({mpg: > saving(mean note: adaptat Burn-in Simulation Model summary</pre>	}, flat) prid only_simdata ion option m a	or({var}, je) burnin(350	effreys) 00)	5		
	l({mpg:_cons]	},{var})				
Priors: {mpg:_cons} {var}	~ 1 (flat) ~ jeffreys					
Bayesian normal regression				MCMC ite	rations =	13,500
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling				Burn-in	=	3,500
					ple size =	10,000
	Number o		74			
				Acceptan		12021
				Efficien	5	
		~~~~~~~			avg =	.1064
Log marginal	likelihood =	-234.64617			max =	.1078
					Equal-	tailed
	Mean	Std. Dev.	MCSE	Median	[95% Cred.	Interval]
mng						
mpg cons	21.29355	.6768607	.020887	21.28059	20.00132	22.61904
var	34.80707	5.963995	.181615	34.23247	24.9129	47.6883

file meanonly_simdata.dta saved

. estimates store meanonly

To accommodate the Jeffreys prior for the parameters, we specify suboption flat within the prior() option for coefficients to request the flat prior with the density of 1 and suboption jeffreys within prior() for the variance parameter to request a Jeffreys prior. We also specify a longer burn-in period to improve convergence of MCMC samples for all examples. (Remember to use bayesgraph to check convergence of MCMC.)

We fit the second model containing only covariate length1 and store its results as length:

```
. set seed 14
. bayesmh mpg length1, likelihood(normal({var}))
> prior({mpg:}, flat) prior({var}, jeffreys)
> saving(length_simdata) burnin(3500)
note: adaptation option maxiter() changed to 35
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summarv
Likelihood:
  mpg ~ normal(xb_mpg,{var})
Priors:
  {mpg:length1 _cons} ~ 1 (flat)
                                                                             (1)
                {var} ~ jeffreys
(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_mpg.
Bayesian normal regression
                                                  MCMC iterations =
                                                                          13,500
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
                                                                           3,500
                                                  Burn-in
                                                                    =
                                                  MCMC sample size =
                                                                          10,000
                                                  Number of obs
                                                                  =
                                                                              74
                                                  Acceptance rate =
                                                                           .2865
                                                  Efficiency:
                                                               min =
                                                                           .0771
                                                                avg =
                                                                          .07938
Log marginal likelihood = -198.7678
                                                                max =
                                                                          .08286
                                                                Equal-tailed
                                          MCSE
                            Std. Dev.
                                                   Median
                                                          [95% Cred. Interval]
                    Mean
mpg
     length1
               -2.069861
                            .1882345
                                       .006539
                                               -2.068094
                                                             -2.44718
                                                                      -1.706264
       _cons
                60.20346
                            3.562119
                                       .127411
                                                 60.20927
                                                            53.34306
                                                                        67.22423
         var
                12.88852
                            2.273808
                                       .081887
                                                 12.62042
                                                            9.169482
                                                                        18.16685
```

file length_simdata.dta saved

. estimates store length

#### 6 bayestest model — Hypothesis testing using model posterior probabilities

We fit the third model containing only covariate weight1 and store its results as weight:

```
. set seed 14
. bayesmh mpg weight1, likelihood(normal({var}))
> prior({mpg:}, flat) prior({var}, jeffreys)
> saving(weight_simdata) burnin(3500)
note: adaptation option maxiter() changed to 35
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summarv
Likelihood:
  mpg ~ normal(xb_mpg,{var})
Priors:
  {mpg:weight1 _cons} ~ 1 (flat)
                                                                             (1)
                {var} ~ jeffreys
(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_mpg.
Bayesian normal regression
                                                  MCMC iterations =
                                                                          13,500
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
                                                                           3,500
                                                  Burn-in
                                                                   =
                                                  MCMC sample size =
                                                                          10,000
                                                  Number of obs
                                                                  =
                                                                              74
                                                  Acceptance rate =
                                                                           .1735
                                                  Efficiency:
                                                               min =
                                                                           .0463
                                                                avg =
                                                                          .06694
Log marginal likelihood = -198.20751
                                                                max =
                                                                          .07989
                                                                Equal-tailed
                                          MCSE
                            Std. Dev.
                    Mean
                                                   Median
                                                           [95% Cred. Interval]
mpg
     weight1
               -.6014409
                            .0506121
                                       .001791
                                                -.6013071
                                                           -.6996976
                                                                         -.50121
       _cons
                39.45934
                            1.574673
                                       .057646
                                                 39.49735
                                                             36.31386
                                                                        42.33547
         var
                12.13997
                            2.141741
                                       .099534
                                                 11.87332
                                                             8.883221
                                                                        17.14041
```

file weight_simdata.dta saved

. estimates store weight

Finally, we fit the last model containing both covariates and store its results as full:

```
. set seed 14
. bayesmh mpg weight1 length1, likelihood(normal({var}))
> prior({mpg:}, flat) prior({var}, jeffreys)
> saving(full_simdata) burnin(3500)
note: adaptation option maxiter() changed to 35
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary
Likelihood:
  mpg ~ normal(xb_mpg,{var})
Priors:
  {mpg:weight1 length1 _cons} ~ 1 (flat)
                                                                              (1)
                         {var} ~ jeffreys
(1) Parameters are elements of the linear form xb_mpg.
Bayesian normal regression
                                                   MCMC iterations =
                                                                           13,500
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
                                                   Burn-in
                                                                            3,500
                                                   MCMC sample size =
                                                                           10,000
                                                   Number of obs
                                                                    =
                                                                               74
                                                   Acceptance rate =
                                                                            .2323
                                                   Efficiency:
                                                                min =
                                                                           .05455
                                                                avg =
                                                                           .06647
Log marginal likelihood = -196.86195
                                                                max =
                                                                           .08085
                                                                Equal-tailed
                     Mean
                            Std. Dev.
                                          MCSE
                                                    Median
                                                            [95% Cred. Interval]
mpg
     weight1
               -.3977027
                            .1580411
                                        .005558
                                                  -.401646
                                                            -.6965175
                                                                        -.0721332
     length1
                -.7599159
                            .5546754
                                        .021944
                                                 -.7502182
                                                            -1.907818
                                                                         .3106868
                  47.5913
                            6.132597
                                        .262563
                                                   47.5656
                                                             35.89593
                                                                         60.18002
       _cons
                11.81753
                             1.96315
                                         .07608
                                                  11.59273
                                                             8.729182
         var
                                                                         16.14065
```

file full_simdata.dta saved . estimates store full

Example 1: Computing posterior probabilities of models

We now use bayestest model to compute posterior probabilities of the four models.

. bayestest model meanonly length weight full Bayesian model tests

	log(ML)	P(M)	P(M y)
meanonly length weight	-234.6462 -198.7678 -198.2075	0.2500 0.2500 0.2500	0.0000 0.1055 0.1848
full	-196.8619	0.2500	0.709

Note: Marginal likelihood (ML) is computed using Laplace-Metropolis approximation.

The mean-only model is very unlikely compared with other models. The length and weight models are somewhat likely with the respective posterior probabilities of 0.11 and 0.18, and the full model has the highest posterior probability of 0.71.

#### Example 2: Specifying prior probabilities of models

If we have some prior knowledge about each of the models, we can use the prior() option to specify prior probabilities for each model. For example, suppose that we have prior knowledge that the weight model is much more likely than the full model so that the prior probabilities are 0.1 for the mean-only model and the length model, 0.6 for the weight model, and only 0.2 for the full model.

. bayestest model meanonly length weight full, prior(0.1 0.1 0.6 0.2) Bayesian model tests

	log(ML)	P(M)	P(M y)
meanonly	-234.6462	0.1000	0.0000
length	-198.7678	0.1000	0.0401
weight	-198.2075	0.6000	0.4210
full	-196.8619	0.2000	0.5389

Note: Marginal likelihood (ML) is computed using Laplace-Metropolis approximation.

Under the specified prior, posterior probabilities of the weight and full models are now more similar: 0.42 and 0.54, respectively, but the full model is still preferable.

The above is equivalent to the following prior specification:

```
. bayestest model meanonly length weight full, prior(0.1 0.1 0.6) (output omitted)
```

4

Using our results, we conclude that mpg is related to both weight and length and would proceed with the full model.

After your analysis, remember to erase the saved simulation datasets you no longer need. For example, we erase all of them by typing

- . erase meanonly_simdata.dta
- . erase weight_simdata.dta
- . erase length_simdata.dta
- . erase full_simdata.dta

#### Comparing models with different priors

In the previous section, we used bayestest model to compare nested hypotheses about which covariates to include in the regression function. We can use bayestest model to compare models with not only different covariates but also different outcome distributions and priors for parameters.

We continue our analysis of auto.dta, but for simplicity, we now consider the mean-only model for mpg. Let's compare models with two slightly different informative priors. We use an informative normal-inverse-gamma prior for both models,

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_0 | \sigma^2) &\sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2/n_0) \\ \sigma^2 &\sim \text{InvGamma}(\nu_0/2, \nu_0 \sigma_0^2/2) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mu_0 = 25$ ,  $n_0 = 10$ , and  $\sigma_0^2 = 30$ , but we consider two different values for the degrees of freedom:  $\nu_0 = 5$  and  $\nu_0 = 1$ .

We use bayesmh to fit our models. Following the formulas, we specify a normal() prior for the constant {mpg:_cons} (mean parameter) and an inverse-gamma prior igamma() for the variance parameter {var}. We specify an expression for the variance of the normal prior distribution in parentheses.

We fit the first model with  $\nu_0 = 5$  and store its estimation results as informative1.

```
. set seed 14
. bayesmh mpg, likelihood(normal({var}))
> prior({mpg:}, normal(25,{var}/10))
> prior({var}, igamma(2.5,75)) saving(inf1_simdata)
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary
```

Likelihood: mpg ~ normal({mpg:_cons},{var}) Priors: {mpg:_cons} ~ normal(25,{var}/10) {var} ~ igamma(2.5,75)

	Bayesian normal regression Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling			Burn-in MCMC sam Number o	ce rate = cy: min =	12,500 2,500 10,000 74 .2548 .09065	
Log marginal likelihood = -238.55856					avg = max =	.1049 .1192	
						Equal-	tailed
		Mean	Std. Dev.	MCSE	Median	[95% Cred.	Interval]
mpg							
	_cons	21.71853	.6592655	.019091	21.69554	20.44644	23.04896
	var	35.47405	5.823372	.193417	34.72454	25.84419	48.228

file inf1_simdata.dta saved

. estimates store informative1

#### 10 bayestest model — Hypothesis testing using model posterior probabilities

We fit the second model with  $\nu_0 = 1$  and store its estimation results as informative2.

```
. set seed 14
. bayesmh mpg, likelihood(normal({var}))
> prior({mpg:}, normal(25,{var}/10))
> prior({var}, igamma(0.5,15)) saving(inf2_simdata)
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary
Likelihood:
  mpg ~ normal({mpg:_cons},{var})
Priors:
  {mpg:_cons} ~ normal(25,{var}/10)
        {var} ~ igamma(0.5,15)
Bayesian normal regression
                                                  MCMC iterations =
                                                                          12,500
Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling
                                                  Burn-in
                                                                           2,500
                                                  MCMC sample size =
                                                                          10,000
                                                  Number of obs
                                                                 =
                                                                              74
                                                  Acceptance rate =
                                                                           .2261
                                                  Efficiency:
                                                               min =
                                                                           .0941
                                                               avg =
                                                                            .109
Log marginal likelihood =
                          -239.4049
                                                               max =
                                                                           .1239
                                                                Equal-tailed
                            Std. Dev.
                                          MCSE
                    Mean
                                                   Median [95% Cred. Interval]
mpg
       cons
                 21.7175
                            .6539814
                                       .021319
                                                  21.7295
                                                            20.47311
                                                                        23.02638
                35.89504
                            6.288571
                                       .178665
                                                 35.17056
                                                            25.86084
                                                                        50.21624
         var
```

file inf2_simdata.dta saved

. estimates store informative2

### Example 3: Comparing models with informative priors

We now use bayestest model to compare our models with two different informative priors.

	log(ML)	P(M)	P(M y)				
informative1 informative2	-238.5586 -239.4049	0.5000 0.5000	0.6998 0.3002				

. bayestest model informative1 informative2 Bayesian model tests

Note: Marginal likelihood (ML) is computed using Laplace-Metropolis approximation.

Assuming that both models are equally likely a priori, the posterior probability of the informative1 stored results, 0.70, is much higher than the probability of the informative2 stored results, 0.3.

4

#### Example 4: Comparing a model with noninformative prior

A note of caution regarding comparing models with informative and noninformative priors-models with noninformative priors will often win because they are typically in most agreement with the observed data. For models with noninformative priors, most of the information about parameters is contained in a likelihood. As such, any model with an informative prior that is not in perfect agreement with the data will not fit data as well as a model with a noninformative prior.

For example, let's fit our constant-only model using a noninformative Jeffreys prior for the parameters.

```
. set seed 14
. bayesmh mpg, likelihood(normal({var}))
> prior({mpg:}, flat) prior({var}, jeffreys)
> saving(jeffreys_simdata)
Burn-in ...
Simulation ...
Model summary
```

```
Likelihood:
  mpg ~ normal({mpg:_cons},{var})
Priors:
  {mpg:_cons} ~ 1 (flat)
        {var} ~ jeffreys
```

Bayesian normal regression				MCMC ite	rations =	12,500	
	Random-walk Metropolis-Hastings sampling				Burn-in	=	2,500
		1	0 1	0	MCMC sam	ple size =	10,000
					Number o	fobs =	74
					Acceptan	ce rate  =	.2668
					Efficien	cy: min =	.09718
						avg =	.1021
Log marginal likelihood = -234.645 max =				max =	.1071		
						Equal-	tailed
		Mean	Std. Dev.	MCSE	Median	[95% Cred.	Interval]
mpg							
10	_cons	21.29222	.6828864	.021906	21.27898	19.99152	22.61904
	var	34.76572	5.91534	.180754	34.18391	24.9129	47.61286

file jeffreys_simdata.dta saved

. estimates store jeffreys

Let's now compare this model with our two informative models.

. bayestest model informative1 informative2 jeffreys Bayesian model tests

	log(ML)	P(M)	P(M y)
informative1	-238.5586	0.3333	0.0194
informative2	-239.4049	0.3333	0.0083
jeffreys	-234.6450	0.3333	0.9723

Note: Marginal likelihood (ML) is computed using Laplace-Metropolis approximation.

The posterior probability of the Jeffreys model is 0.97.

Finally, at the end of our analysis, we erase all the simulation datasets we no longer need. We erase all of them by typing

- . erase inf1_simdata.dta
- . erase inf2_simdata.dta
- . erase jeffreys_simdata.dta

## Stored results

bayestest model stores the following in r():

 Macros
 names of estimation results used

 r(marglmethod)
 method for approximating marginal likelihood: lmetropolis or hmean

 Matrices
 r(test)

 test results for parameters in r(names)

## Methods and formulas

Suppose we have r models  $M_j$  for j = 1, ..., r with prior probabilities  $P(M_j)$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^r p(M_j) = 1$ . Then, posterior probability for model J is

$$P(M_j|\mathbf{y}) = \frac{P(\mathbf{y}|M_j)P(M_j)}{P(\mathbf{y})}$$

where  $P(\mathbf{y}|M_j) = m_j(y)$  is the marginal likelihood of  $M_j$  with respect to  $\mathbf{y}$ , and  $P(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} P(\mathbf{y}|M_j)P(M_j)$ . See *Methods and formulas* in [BAYES] **bayesmh** for details about computing marginal likelihood.

### Also see

[BAYES] bayesmh — Bayesian regression using Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

[BAYES] **bayesmh postestimation** — Postestimation tools for bayesmh

[BAYES] bayesstats ic — Bayesian information criteria and Bayes factors

[BAYES] bayesstats summary — Bayesian summary statistics

[BAYES] bayestest interval — Interval hypothesis testing