

**xtrc** — Random-coefficients model

<a href="#">Syntax</a>	<a href="#">Menu</a>	<a href="#">Description</a>	<a href="#">Options</a>
<a href="#">Remarks and examples</a>	<a href="#">Stored results</a>	<a href="#">Methods and formulas</a>	<a href="#">References</a>
<a href="#">Also see</a>			

## Syntax

`xtrc` *depvar indepvars* [*if*] [*in*] [, *options*]

<i>options</i>	Description
<hr/>	
Main	
<code>noconstant</code>	suppress constant term
<code>offset(<i>varname</i>)</code>	include <i>varname</i> in model with coefficient constrained to 1
SE	
<code>vce(<i>vcetype</i>)</code>	<i>vcetype</i> may be conventional, <code>bootstrap</code> , or <code>jackknife</code>
Reporting	
<code>level(#)</code>	set confidence level; default is level(95)
<code>betas</code>	display group-specific best linear predictors
<code>display_options</code>	control column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
<code>coeflegend</code>	display legend instead of statistics

A panel variable must be specified; use `xtset`; see [XT] `xtset`.

*indepvars* may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 **Factor variables**.

`by`, `mi estimate`, and `statsby` are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 **Prefix commands**.

`vce(bootstrap)` and `vce(jackknife)` are not allowed with the `mi estimate` prefix; see [MI] `mi estimate`.

`coeflegend` does not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 **Estimation and postestimation commands** for more capabilities of estimation commands.

## Menu

Statistics > Longitudinal/panel data > Random-coefficients regression by GLS

## Description

`xtrc` fits the [Swamy \(1970\)](#) random-coefficients linear regression model.

## Options

Main

`noconstant`, `offset(varname)`; see [R] **estimation options**

## SE

`vce(vcetype)` specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (`conventional`) and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (`bootstrap`, `jackknife`); see [XT] [vce\\_options](#).

`vce(conventional)`, the default, uses the conventionally derived variance estimator for generalized least-squares regression.

## Reporting

`level(#)`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

`betas` requests that the group-specific best linear predictors also be displayed.

`display_options`: `noomitted`, `vsquish`, `noemptycells`, `baselevels`, `allbaselevels`, `nofvlabel`, `fvwrap(#)`, `fvwrapon(style)`, `cformat(%fmt)`, `pformat(%fmt)`, `sformat(%fmt)`, and `no!stretch`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

The following option is available with `xtrc` but is not shown in the dialog box:

`coeflegend`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

## Remarks and examples

[stata.com](http://www.stata.com)

In random-coefficients models, we wish to treat the parameter vector as a realization (in each panel) of a stochastic process. `xtrc` fits the Swamy (1970) random-coefficients model, which is suitable for linear regression of panel data. See Greene (2012, chap. 11) and Poi (2003) for more information about this and other panel-data models.

### ► Example 1

Greene (2012, 1112) reprints data from a classic study of investment demand by Grunfeld and Griliches (1960). In [XT] [xtgls](#), we use this dataset to illustrate many of the possible models that may be fit with the `xtgls` command. Although the models included in the `xtgls` command offer considerable flexibility, they all assume that there is no parameter variation across firms (the cross-sectional units).

To take a first look at the assumption of parameter constancy, we should `reshape` our data so that we may fit a simultaneous-equation model with `sureg`; see [R] [sureg](#). Because there are only five panels here, this is not too difficult.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/invest2
. reshape wide invest market stock, i(time) j(company)
(note: j = 1 2 3 4 5)
Data                long    ->    wide
-----
Number of obs.      100    ->    20
Number of variables  5      ->    16
j variable (5 values)  company -> (dropped)
xij variables:
                    invest    ->  invest1 invest2 ... invest5
                    market    ->  market1 market2 ... market5
                    stock      ->  stock1 stock2 ... stock5
```

```
. sureg (invest1 market1 stock1) (invest2 market2 stock2)
> (invest3 market3 stock3) (invest4 market4 stock4) (invest5 market5 stock5)
Seemingly unrelated regression
```

Equation	Obs	Parms	RMSE	"R-sq"	chi2	P
invest1	20	2	84.94729	0.9207	261.32	0.0000
invest2	20	2	12.36322	0.9119	207.21	0.0000
invest3	20	2	26.46612	0.6876	46.88	0.0000
invest4	20	2	9.742303	0.7264	59.15	0.0000
invest5	20	2	95.85484	0.4220	14.97	0.0006

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
invest1						
market1	.120493	.0216291	5.57	0.000	.0781007	.1628853
stock1	.3827462	.032768	11.68	0.000	.318522	.4469703
_cons	-162.3641	89.45922	-1.81	0.070	-337.7009	12.97279
invest2						
market2	.0695456	.0168975	4.12	0.000	.0364271	.1026641
stock2	.3085445	.0258635	11.93	0.000	.2578529	.3592362
_cons	.5043112	11.51283	0.04	0.965	-22.06042	23.06904
invest3						
market3	.0372914	.0122631	3.04	0.002	.0132561	.0613268
stock3	.130783	.0220497	5.93	0.000	.0875663	.1739997
_cons	-22.43892	25.51859	-0.88	0.379	-72.45443	27.57659
invest4						
market4	.0570091	.0113623	5.02	0.000	.0347395	.0792788
stock4	.0415065	.0412016	1.01	0.314	-.0392472	.1222602
_cons	1.088878	6.258805	0.17	0.862	-11.17815	13.35591
invest5						
market5	.1014782	.0547837	1.85	0.064	-.0058958	.2088523
stock5	.3999914	.1277946	3.13	0.002	.1495186	.6504642
_cons	85.42324	111.8774	0.76	0.445	-133.8525	304.6989

Here we instead fit a random-coefficients model:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/invest2
. xtrc invest market stock
```

```
Random-coefficients regression          Number of obs   =       100
Group variable: company                 Number of groups =         5
                                         Obs per group: min =         20
                                         avg =             20.0
                                         max =             20
                                         Wald chi2(2)     =       17.55
                                         Prob > chi2      =       0.0002
```

invest	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
market	.0807646	.0250829	3.22	0.001	.0316031	.1299261
stock	.2839885	.0677899	4.19	0.000	.1511229	.4168542
_cons	-23.58361	34.55547	-0.68	0.495	-91.31108	44.14386

Test of parameter constancy:   chi2(12) =   603.99           Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

Just as the results of our simultaneous-equation model do not support the assumption of parameter constancy, the test included with the random-coefficients model also indicates that the assumption is not valid for these data. With large panel datasets, we would not want to take the time to look at a simultaneous-equations model (aside from the fact that our doing so was subjective).



## Stored results

`xtrc` stores the following in `e()`:

### Scalars

<code>e(N)</code>	number of observations
<code>e(N_g)</code>	number of groups
<code>e(df_m)</code>	model degrees of freedom
<code>e(chi2)</code>	$\chi^2$
<code>e(chi2_c)</code>	$\chi^2$ for comparison test
<code>e(df_chi2c)</code>	degrees of freedom for comparison $\chi^2$ test
<code>e(g_min)</code>	smallest group size
<code>e(g_avg)</code>	average group size
<code>e(g_max)</code>	largest group size
<code>e(rank)</code>	rank of <code>e(V)</code>

### Macros

<code>e(cmd)</code>	<code>xtrc</code>
<code>e(cmdline)</code>	command as typed
<code>e(depvar)</code>	name of dependent variable
<code>e(ivar)</code>	variable denoting groups
<code>e(tvar)</code>	variable denoting time within groups
<code>e(title)</code>	title in estimation output
<code>e(offset)</code>	linear offset variable
<code>e(chi2type)</code>	Wald; type of model $\chi^2$ test
<code>e(vce)</code>	<code>vcetype</code> specified in <code>vce()</code>
<code>e(vcetype)</code>	title used to label Std. Err.
<code>e(properties)</code>	<code>b V</code>
<code>e(predict)</code>	program used to implement <code>predict</code>
<code>e(marginsnotok)</code>	predictions disallowed by <code>margins</code>
<code>e(asbalanced)</code>	factor variables <code>fvset</code> as <code>asbalanced</code>
<code>e(asobserved)</code>	factor variables <code>fvset</code> as <code>asobserved</code>

### Matrices

<code>e(b)</code>	coefficient vector
<code>e(Sigma)</code>	$\widehat{\Sigma}$ matrix
<code>e(beta_ps)</code>	matrix of best linear predictors
<code>e(V)</code>	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
<code>e(V_ps)</code>	matrix of variances for the best linear predictors; row $i$ contains vec of variance matrix for group $i$ predictor

### Functions

<code>e(sample)</code>	marks estimation sample
------------------------	-------------------------

## Methods and formulas

In a random-coefficients model, the parameter heterogeneity is treated as stochastic variation. Assume that we write

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}_i + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_i$  is the coefficient vector ( $k \times 1$ ) for the  $i$ th cross-sectional unit, such that

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_i = \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\nu}_i \quad E(\boldsymbol{\nu}_i) = \mathbf{0} \quad E(\boldsymbol{\nu}_i \boldsymbol{\nu}_i') = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

Our goal is to find  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  and  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ .

The derivation of the estimator assumes that the cross-sectional specific coefficient vector  $\beta_i$  is the outcome of a random process with mean vector  $\beta$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i\beta_i + \epsilon_i = \mathbf{X}_i(\beta + \nu_i) + \epsilon_i = \mathbf{X}_i\beta + (\mathbf{X}_i\nu_i + \epsilon_i) = \mathbf{X}_i\beta + \omega_i$$

where  $E(\omega_i) = \mathbf{0}$  and

$$E(\omega_i\omega_i') = E\left\{(\mathbf{X}_i\nu_i + \epsilon_i)(\mathbf{X}_i\nu_i + \epsilon_i)'\right\} = E(\epsilon_i\epsilon_i') + \mathbf{X}_iE(\nu_i\nu_i')\mathbf{X}_i' = \sigma_i^2\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}_i\Sigma\mathbf{X}_i' = \mathbf{\Pi}_i$$

Stacking the  $m$  equations, we have

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \omega$$

where  $\mathbf{\Pi} \equiv E(\omega\omega')$  is a block diagonal matrix with  $\mathbf{\Pi}_i$ ,  $i = 1\dots m$ , along the main diagonal and zeros elsewhere. The GLS estimator of  $\hat{\beta}$  is then

$$\hat{\beta} = \left(\sum_i \mathbf{X}_i'\mathbf{\Pi}_i^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i\right)^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{X}_i'\mathbf{\Pi}_i^{-1}\mathbf{y}_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{W}_i\mathbf{b}_i$$

where

$$\mathbf{W}_i = \left\{\sum_{i=1}^m (\Sigma + \mathbf{V}_i)^{-1}\right\}^{-1} (\Sigma + \mathbf{V}_i)^{-1}$$

$\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{X}_i'\mathbf{X}_i)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_i'\mathbf{y}_i$  and  $\mathbf{V}_i = \sigma_i^2(\mathbf{X}_i'\mathbf{X}_i)^{-1}$ , showing that the resulting GLS estimator is a matrix-weighted average of the panel-specific OLS estimators. The variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\Sigma + \mathbf{V}_i)^{-1}$$

To calculate the above estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  for the unknown  $\Sigma$  and  $\mathbf{V}_i$  parameters, we use the two-step approach suggested by Swamy (1970):

$\mathbf{b}_i$  = OLS panel-specific estimator

$$\hat{\sigma}_i^2 = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_i'\hat{\epsilon}_i}{n_i - k}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{V}}_i = \hat{\sigma}_i^2 (\mathbf{X}_i'\mathbf{X}_i)^{-1}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{b}_i$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{m-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{b}_i\mathbf{b}_i' - m\bar{\mathbf{b}}\bar{\mathbf{b}}' \right) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{\mathbf{V}}_i$$

The two-step procedure begins with the usual OLS estimates of  $\beta_i$ . With those estimates, we may proceed by obtaining estimates of  $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_i$  and  $\hat{\Sigma}$  (and thus  $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_i$ ) and then obtain an estimate of  $\beta$ .

Swamy (1970) further points out that the matrix  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  may not be positive definite and that because the second term is of order  $1/(mT)$ , it is negligible in large samples. A simple and asymptotically expedient solution is simply to drop this second term and instead use

$$\widehat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{m-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_i' - m \bar{\mathbf{b}} \bar{\mathbf{b}}' \right)$$

As discussed by Judge et al. (1985, 541), the feasible best linear predictor of  $\beta_i$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\beta}_i &= \widehat{\beta} + \widehat{\Sigma} \mathbf{X}_i' \left( \mathbf{X}_i \widehat{\Sigma} \mathbf{X}_i' + \widehat{\sigma}_i^2 \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \left( \mathbf{y}_i - \mathbf{X}_i \widehat{\beta} \right) \\ &= \left( \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1} + \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_i^{-1} \right)^{-1} \left( \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1} \widehat{\beta} + \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_i^{-1} \mathbf{b}_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

The conventional variance of  $\widehat{\beta}_i$  is given by

$$\text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}_i) = \text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}) + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}_i) \left\{ \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_i - \text{Var}(\widehat{\beta}) \right\} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}_i)'$$

where

$$\mathbf{A}_i = \left( \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1} + \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_i^{-1} \right)^{-1} \widehat{\Sigma}^{-1}$$

To test the model, we may look at the difference between the OLS estimate of  $\beta$ , ignoring the panel structure of the data and the matrix-weighted average of the panel-specific OLS estimators. The test statistic suggested by Swamy (1970) is given by

$$\chi_{k(m-1)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{b}_i - \bar{\beta}^*)' \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{b}_i - \bar{\beta}^*) \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{\beta}^* = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_i^{-1} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_i^{-1} \mathbf{b}_i$$

Johnston and DiNardo (1997) have shown that the test is algebraically equivalent to testing

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots = \beta_m$$

in the generalized (groupwise heteroskedastic) `xtrc` model, where  $\mathbf{V}$  is block diagonal with  $i$ th diagonal element  $\mathbf{\Pi}_i$ .

## References

- Eberhardt, M. 2012. [Estimating panel time-series models with heterogeneous slopes](#). *Stata Journal* 12: 61–71.
- Greene, W. H. 2012. *Econometric Analysis*. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Grunfeld, Y., and Z. Griliches. 1960. Is aggregation necessarily bad? *Review of Economics and Statistics* 42: 1–13.
- Johnston, J., and J. DiNardo. 1997. *Econometric Methods*. 4th ed. New York: McGraw–Hill.
- Judge, G. G., W. E. Griffiths, R. C. Hill, H. Lütkepohl, and T.-C. Lee. 1985. *The Theory and Practice of Econometrics*. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley.
- Nichols, A. 2007. [Causal inference with observational data](#). *Stata Journal* 7: 507–541.
- Poi, B. P. 2003. [From the help desk: Swamy’s random-coefficients model](#). *Stata Journal* 3: 302–308.
- Swamy, P. A. V. B. 1970. Efficient inference in a random coefficient regression model. *Econometrica* 38: 311–323.
- . 1971. *Statistical Inference in Random Coefficient Regression Models*. New York: Springer.

## Also see

- [XT] [xtrc postestimation](#) — Postestimation tools for xtrc
- [XT] [xtreg](#) — Fixed-, between-, and random-effects and population-averaged linear models
- [XT] [xtset](#) — Declare data to be panel data
- [ME] [mixed](#) — Multilevel mixed-effects linear regression
- [MI] [estimation](#) — Estimation commands for use with mi estimate
- [U] [20 Estimation and postestimation commands](#)