# Title

### stata.com

Syntax	Menu	Description	Options
Remarks and examples	Stored results	Methods and formulas	Reference
Also see			

ologit depvar [indepvars] [if] [in] [weight] [, options]

options	Description
Model	
<u>off</u> set( <i>varname</i> )	include varname in model with coefficient constrained to 1
<u>const</u> raints( <i>constraints</i> )	apply specified linear constraints
<u>col</u> linear	keep collinear variables
SE/Robust	
vce(vcetype)	<i>vcetype</i> may be oim, <u>r</u> obust, <u>cl</u> uster <i>clustvar</i> , <u>boot</u> strap, or <u>jack</u> knife
Reporting	
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
or	report odds ratios
<u>nocnsr</u> eport	do not display constraints
display_options	control column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics

indepvars may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

depvar and indepvars may contain time-series operators; see [U] 11.4.4 Time-series varlists.

bootstrap, by, fp, jackknife, mfp, mi estimate, nestreg, rolling, statsby, stepwise, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

vce(bootstrap) and vce(jackknife) are not allowed with the mi estimate prefix; see [MI] mi estimate. Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.

vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.

fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

coeflegend does not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

# Menu

Statistics > Ordinal outcomes > Ordered logistic regression

## Description

ologit fits ordered logit models of ordinal variable *depvar* on the independent variables *indepvars*. The actual values taken on by the dependent variable are irrelevant, except that larger values are assumed to correspond to "higher" outcomes.

See [R] logistic for a list of related estimation commands.

# Options

\_ Model

offset(varname), constraints(constraints), collinear; see [R] estimation options.

SE/Robust

vce(vcetype) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster clustvar), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] vce\_option.

Reporting

level(#); see [R] estimation options.

or reports the estimated coefficients transformed to odds ratios, that is,  $e^b$  rather than b. Standard errors and confidence intervals are similarly transformed. This option affects how results are displayed, not how they are estimated. or may be specified at estimation or when replaying previously estimated results.

nocnsreport; see [R] estimation options.

display\_options: noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt), sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] estimation options.

Maximization

maximize\_options: difficult, technique(algorithm\_spec), iterate(#), [no]log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), ltolerance(#), nrtolerance(#), nonrtolerance, and from(init\_specs); see [R] maximize. These options are seldom used.

The following option is available with ologit but is not shown in the dialog box:

coeflegend; see [R] estimation options.

## **Remarks and examples**

#### stata.com

Ordered logit models are used to estimate relationships between an ordinal dependent variable and a set of independent variables. An *ordinal* variable is a variable that is categorical and ordered, for instance, "poor", "good", and "excellent", which might indicate a person's current health status or the repair record of a car. If there are only two outcomes, see [R] **logistic**, [R] **logit**, and [R] **probit**. This entry is concerned only with more than two outcomes. If the outcomes cannot be ordered (for example, residency in the north, east, south, or west), see [R] **mlogit**. This entry is concerned only with more development.

In ordered logit, an underlying score is estimated as a linear function of the independent variables and a set of cutpoints. The probability of observing outcome i corresponds to the probability that the estimated linear function, plus random error, is within the range of the cutpoints estimated for the outcome:

 $\Pr(\mathsf{outcome}_j = i) = \Pr(\kappa_{i-1} < \beta_1 x_{1j} + \beta_2 x_{2j} + \dots + \beta_k x_{kj} + u_j \le \kappa_i)$ 

 $u_j$  is assumed to be logistically distributed in ordered logit. In either case, we estimate the coefficients  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_k$  together with the cutpoints  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \ldots, \kappa_{k-1}$ , where k is the number of possible outcomes.  $\kappa_0$  is taken as  $-\infty$ , and  $\kappa_k$  is taken as  $+\infty$ . All of this is a direct generalization of the ordinary two-outcome logit model.

#### Example 1

We wish to analyze the 1977 repair records of 66 foreign and domestic cars. The data are a variation of the automobile dataset described in [U] **1.2.2 Example datasets**. The 1977 repair records, like those in 1978, take on values "Poor", "Fair", "Average", "Good", and "Excellent". Here is a cross-tabulation of the data:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/fullauto (Automobile Models)
```

. tabulate rep77 foreign, chi2

Repair Record	Foreig	gn	
1977		Foreign	Total
Poor	2	1	3
Fair	10	1	11
Average	20	7	27
Good	13	7	20
Excellent	0	5	5
Total	45	21	66
Pe	earson chi2(4)	= 13.861	.9 Pr = 0.008

Although it appears that foreign takes on the values Domestic and Foreign, it is actually a numeric variable taking on the values 0 and 1. Similarly, rep77 takes on the values 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, corresponding to Poor, Fair, and so on. The more meaningful words appear because we have attached value labels to the data; see [U] **12.6.3 Value labels**.

Because the chi-squared value is significant, we could claim that there is a relationship between foreign and rep77. Literally, however, we can only claim that the distributions are different; the chi-squared test is not directional. One way to model these data is to model the categorization that took place when the data were created. Cars have a true frequency of repair, which we will assume is given by  $S_j = \beta$  foreign<sub>j</sub> +  $u_j$ , and a car is categorized as "poor" if  $S_j \leq \kappa_0$ , as "fair" if  $\kappa_0 < S_j \leq \kappa_1$ , and so on:

. ologit rep77	7 foreign						
Iteration 0:	log likeliho	bod = -89.895	098				
Iteration 1:	log likeliho	ood = -85.951	765				
Iteration 2:	log likeliho	pod = -85.908	227				
Iteration 3:	log likeliho	pod = -85.908	161				
Iteration 4:	log likeliho	pod = -85.908	161				
Ordered logist	tic regression	ı		Numbe	r of obs	=	66
	Ū.			LR ch	i2(1)	=	7.97
				Prob	> chi2	=	0.0047
Log likelihood	d = -85.90816	1		Pseud	o R2	=	0.0444
rep77	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
foreign	1.455878	.5308951	2.74	0.006	.4153	425	2.496413
/cut1	-2.765562	.5988208			-3.939	229	-1.591895
/cut2	9963603	.3217706			-1.627	019	3657016
/cut3	.9426153	.3136398			.3278	925	1.557338
/cut4	3.123351	.5423257			2.060	412	4.18629

Our model is  $S_j = 1.46 \operatorname{foreign}_j + u_j$ ; the expected value for foreign cars is 1.46 and, for domestic cars, 0; foreign cars have better repair records.

The estimated cutpoints tell us how to interpret the score. For a foreign car, the probability of a poor record is the probability that  $1.46 + u_j \leq -2.77$ , or equivalently,  $u_j \leq -4.23$ . Making this calculation requires familiarity with the logistic distribution: the probability is  $1/(1+e^{4.23}) = 0.014$ . On the other hand, for domestic cars, the probability of a poor record is the probability  $u_j \leq -2.77$ , which is 0.059.

This, it seems to us, is a far more reasonable prediction than we would have made based on the table alone. The table showed that 2 of 45 domestic cars had poor records, whereas 1 of 21 foreign cars had poor records—corresponding to probabilities 2/45 = 0.044 and 1/21 = 0.048. The predictions from our model imposed a smoothness assumption—foreign cars should not, overall, have better repair records without the difference revealing itself in each category. In our data, the fractions of foreign and domestic cars in the poor category are virtually identical only because of the randomness associated with small samples.

Thus if we were asked to predict the true fractions of foreign and domestic cars that would be classified in the various categories, we would choose the numbers implied by the ordered logit model:

	tabul	ate	lo	logit	
	Domestic	Foreign	Domestic	Foreign	
Poor	0.044	0.048	0.059	0.014	
Fair	0.222	0.048	0.210	0.065	
Average	0.444	0.333	0.450	0.295	
Good	0.289	0.333	0.238	0.467	
Excellent	0.000	0.238	0.043	0.159	

See [R] **ologit postestimation** for a more complete explanation of how to generate predictions from an ordered logit model.

#### Technical note

Here ordered logit provides an alternative to ordinary two-outcome logistic models with an arbitrary dichotomization, which might otherwise have been tempting. We could, for instance, have summarized these data by converting the five-outcome rep77 variable to a two-outcome variable, combining cars in the average, fair, and poor categories to make one outcome and combining cars in the good and excellent categories to make the second.

Another even less appealing alternative would have been to use ordinary regression, arbitrarily labeling "excellent" as 5, "good" as 4, and so on. The problem is that with different but equally valid labelings (say, 10 for "excellent"), we would obtain different estimates. We would have no way of choosing one metric over another. That assertion is not, however, true of ologit. The actual values used to label the categories make no difference other than through the order they imply.

In fact, our labeling was 5 for "excellent", 4 for "good", and so on. The words "excellent" and "good" appear in our output because we attached a value label to the variables; see [U] **12.6.3 Value labels**. If we were to now go back and type replace rep77=10 if rep77==5, changing all the 5s to 10s, we would still obtain the same results when we refit our model.

## Example 2

In the example above, we used ordered logit as a way to model a table. We are not, however, limited to including only one explanatory variable or to including only categorical variables. We can explore the relationship of rep77 with any of the variables in our data. We might, for instance, model rep77 not only in terms of the origin of manufacture, but also including length (a proxy for size) and mpg:

```
. ologit rep77 foreign length mpg
```

Iteration 0: Iteration 1: Iteration 2: Iteration 3: Iteration 4:	log likeliho log likeliho log likeliho	pod = -89.89 pod = -78.77 pod = -78.25 pod = -78.25 pod = -78.25 pod = -78.25	5147 4294 0719			
Ordered logist	tic regression	n		Numbe	r of obs =	66
				LR ch	i2(3) =	23.29
				Prob 3	> chi2 =	0.0000
Log likelihood	i = −78.250719	9		Pseud	o R2 =	0.1295
rep77	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
foreign	2.896807	.7906411	3.66	0.000	1.347179	4.446435
length	.0828275	.02272	3.65	0.000	.0382972	.1273579
mpg	.2307677	.0704548	3.28	0.001	.0926788	.3688566
/cut1	17.92748	5.551191			7.047344	28.80761
/cut2	19.86506	5.59648			8.896161	30.83396
/cut3	22.10331	5.708936			10.914	33.29262
/cut4	24.69213	5.890754			13.14647	36.2378

foreign still plays a role—and an even larger role than previously. We find that larger cars tend to have better repair records, as do cars with better mileage ratings.

# **Stored results**

ologit stores the following in e():

Scalars	
e(N)	number of observations
e(N_cd)	number of completely determined observations
e(k_cat)	number of categories
e(k)	number of parameters
e(k_aux)	number of auxiliary parameters
e(k_eq)	number of equations in e(b)
e(k_eq_model)	number of equations in overall model test
e(k_dv)	number of dependent variables
e(df_m)	model degrees of freedom
e(r2_p)	pseudo-R-squared
e(11)	log likelihood
e(11_0)	log likelihood, constant-only model
e(N_clust)	number of clusters
e(chi2)	$\chi^2$
e(p)	significance of model test
e(rank)	rank of e(V)
e(ic)	number of iterations
e(rc)	return code
e(converged)	1 if converged, 0 otherwise
Macros	
e(cmd)	ologit
e(cmdline)	command as typed
e(depvar)	name of dependent variable
e(wtype)	weight type
e(wexp)	weight expression
e(title)	title in estimation output
e(clustvar)	name of cluster variable
e(offset)	linear offset variable
e(chi2type)	Wald or LR; type of model $\chi^2$ test
e(vce)	vcetype specified in vce()
e(vcetype)	title used to label Std. Err.
e(opt)	type of optimization
e(which)	max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
e(ml_method)	type of ml method
e(user)	name of likelihood-evaluator program
e(technique)	maximization technique
e(properties)	b V
e(predict)	program used to implement predict
e(asbalanced)	factor variables fvset as asbalanced
e(asobserved)	factor variables fvset as asobserved
Matrices	
e(b)	coefficient vector
e(Cns)	constraints matrix
e(ilog)	iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
e(gradient)	gradient vector
e(cat)	category values
e(V)	variance-covariance matrix of the estimators
e(V_modelbased)	model-based variance
Functions	
e(sample)	marks estimation sample
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································

## Methods and formulas

See Long and Freese (2014, chap. 7) for a discussion of models for ordinal outcomes and examples that use Stata. Cameron and Trivedi (2005, chap. 15) describe multinomial models, including the model fit by ologit. When you have a qualitative dependent variable, several estimation procedures are available. A popular choice is multinomial logistic regression (see [R] mlogit), but if you use this procedure when the response variable is ordinal, you are discarding information because multinomial logit ignores the ordered aspect of the outcome. Ordered logit and probit models provide a means to exploit the ordering information.

There is more than one "ordered logit" model. The model fit by ologit, which we will call the ordered logit model, is also known as the proportional odds model. Another popular choice, not fit by ologit, is known as the stereotype model; see [R] **slogit**. All ordered logit models have been derived by starting with a binary logit/probit model and generalizing it to allow for more than two outcomes.

The proportional-odds ordered logit model is so called because, if we consider the odds  $odds(k) = P(Y \le k)/P(Y > k)$ , then  $odds(k_1)$  and  $odds(k_2)$  have the same ratio for all independent variable combinations. The model is based on the principle that the only effect of combining adjoining categories in ordered categorical regression problems should be a loss of efficiency in estimating the regression parameters (McCullagh 1980). This model was also described by McKelvey and Zavoina (1975) and, previously, by Aitchison and Silvey (1957) in a different algebraic form. Brant (1990) offers a set of diagnostics for the model.

Peterson and Harrell (1990) suggest a model that allows nonproportional odds for a subset of the explanatory variables. ologit does not allow this, but a model similar to this was implemented by Fu (1998).

The stereotype model rejects the principle on which the ordered logit model is based. Anderson (1984) argues that there are two distinct types of ordered categorical variables: "grouped continuous", such as income, where the "type a" model applies; and "assessed", such as extent of pain relief, where the stereotype model applies. Greenland (1985) independently developed the same model. The stereotype model starts with a multinomial logistic regression model and imposes constraints on this model.

Goodness of fit for ologit can be evaluated by comparing the likelihood value with that obtained by fitting the model with mlogit. Let  $\ln L_1$  be the log-likelihood value reported by ologit, and let  $\ln L_0$  be the log-likelihood value reported by mlogit. If there are p independent variables (excluding the constant) and k categories, mlogit will estimate p(k-1) additional parameters. We can then perform a "likelihood-ratio test", that is, calculate  $-2(\ln L_1 - \ln L_0)$ , and compare it with  $\chi^2 \{p(k-2)\}$ . This test is suggestive only because the ordered logit model is not nested within the multinomial logit model. A large value of  $-2(\ln L_1 - \ln L_0)$  should, however, be taken as evidence of poorness of fit. Marginally large values, on the other hand, should not be taken too seriously.

The coefficients and cutpoints are estimated using maximum likelihood as described in [R] maximize. In our parameterization, no constant appears, because the effect is absorbed into the cutpoints.

ologit and oprobit begin by tabulating the dependent variable. Category i = 1 is defined as the minimum value of the variable, i = 2 as the next ordered value, and so on, for the empirically determined k categories.

The probability of a given observation for ordered logit is

$$p_{ij} = \Pr(y_j = i) = \Pr\left(\kappa_{i-1} < \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + u \le \kappa_i\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\kappa_i + \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta})} - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\kappa_{i-1} + \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta})}$$

 $\kappa_0$  is defined as  $-\infty$  and  $\kappa_k$  as  $+\infty$ .

For ordered probit, the probability of a given observation is

$$p_{ij} = \Pr(y_j = i) = \Pr\left(\kappa_{i-1} < \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta} + u \le \kappa_i\right)$$
$$= \Phi\left(\kappa_i - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) - \Phi\left(\kappa_{i-1} - \mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the standard normal cumulative distribution function.

The log likelihood is

$$\ln L = \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_i(y_j) \ln p_{ij}$$

where  $w_j$  is an optional weight and

$$I_i(y_j) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } y_j = i \\ 0, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

ologit and oprobit support the Huber/White/sandwich estimator of the variance and its clustered version using vce(robust) and vce(cluster *clustvar*), respectively. See [P] **\_robust**, particularly *Maximum likelihood estimators* and *Methods and formulas*.

These commands also support estimation with survey data. For details on VCEs with survey data, see [SVY] variance estimation.

## References

- Aitchison, J., and S. D. Silvey. 1957. The generalization of probit analysis to the case of multiple responses. *Biometrika* 44: 131–140.
- Anderson, J. A. 1984. Regression and ordered categorical variables (with discussion). Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B 46: 1–30.
- Brant, R. 1990. Assessing proportionality in the proportional odds model for ordinal logistic regression. *Biometrics* 46: 1171–1178.
- Cameron, A. C., and P. K. Trivedi. 2005. *Microeconometrics: Methods and Applications*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Fu, V. K. 1998. sg88: Estimating generalized ordered logit models. Stata Technical Bulletin 44: 27–30. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 8, pp. 160–164. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Goldstein, R. 1997. sg59: Index of ordinal variation and Neyman–Barton GOF. Stata Technical Bulletin 33: 10–12. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 6, pp. 145–147. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Greenland, S. 1985. An application of logistic models to the analysis of ordinal responses. *Biometrical Journal* 27: 189–197.

Kleinbaum, D. G., and M. Klein. 2010. Logistic Regression: A Self-Learning Text. 3rd ed. New York: Springer.

- Long, J. S. 1997. Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Long, J. S., and J. Freese. 2014. Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using Stata. 3rd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Lunt, M. 2001. sg163: Stereotype ordinal regression. Stata Technical Bulletin 61: 12–18. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 10, pp. 298–307. College Station, TX: Stata Press.

McCullagh, P. 1977. A logistic model for paired comparisons with ordered categorical data. Biometrika 64: 449-453.

- -----. 1980. Regression models for ordinal data (with discussion). Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B 42: 109–142.
- McCullagh, P., and J. A. Nelder. 1989. Generalized Linear Models. 2nd ed. London: Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- McKelvey, R. D., and W. Zavoina. 1975. A statistical model for the analysis of ordinal level dependent variables. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology* 4: 103–120.
- Miranda, A., and S. Rabe-Hesketh. 2006. Maximum likelihood estimation of endogenous switching and sample selection models for binary, ordinal, and count variables. *Stata Journal* 6: 285–308.
- Peterson, B., and F. E. Harrell, Jr. 1990. Partial proportional odds models for ordinal response variables. Applied Statistics 39: 205–217.
- Williams, R. 2006. Generalized ordered logit/partial proportional odds models for ordinal dependent variables. Stata Journal 6: 58–82.
- -----. 2010. Fitting heterogeneous choice models with oglm. Stata Journal 10: 540–567.
- Wolfe, R. 1998. sg86: Continuation-ratio models for ordinal response data. *Stata Technical Bulletin* 44: 18–21. Reprinted in *Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints*, vol. 8, pp. 149–153. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Wolfe, R., and W. W. Gould. 1998. sg76: An approximate likelihood-ratio test for ordinal response models. Stata Technical Bulletin 42: 24–27. Reprinted in Stata Technical Bulletin Reprints, vol. 7, pp. 199–204. College Station, TX: Stata Press.
- Xu, J., and J. S. Long. 2005. Confidence intervals for predicted outcomes in regression models for categorical outcomes. *Stata Journal* 5: 537–559.

## Also see

- [R] ologit postestimation Postestimation tools for ologit
- [R] clogit Conditional (fixed-effects) logistic regression
- [R] logistic Logistic regression, reporting odds ratios
- [R] logit Logistic regression, reporting coefficients
- [R] mlogit Multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression
- [R] **oprobit** Ordered probit regression
- [R] rologit Rank-ordered logistic regression
- [R] **slogit** Stereotype logistic regression
- [ME] meologit Multilevel mixed-effects ordered logistic regression
- [MI] estimation Estimation commands for use with mi estimate
- [SVY] svy estimation Estimation commands for survey data
- [XT] **xtologit** Random-effects ordered logistic models
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands