Title

> **anova postestimation —** Postestimation tools for anova

Description

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after `anova`:

Command	Description
dfbeta	DFBETA influence statistics
estat hettest	tests for heteroskedasticity
estat imtest	information matrix test
estat ovtest	Ramsey regression specification-error test for omitted variables
estat szroeter	Szroeter's rank test for heteroskedasticity
estat vif	variance inflation factors for the independent variables
estat esize	η^2 and ω^2 effect sizes
rvfplot	residual-versus-fitted plot
avplot	added-variable plot
avplots	all added-variables plots in one image
cprplot	component-plus-residual plot
acprplot	augmented component-plus-residual plot
rvpplot	residual-versus-predictor plot
lvr2plot	leverage-versus-squared-residual plot

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:

Command	Description
contrast	contrasts and ANOVA-style joint tests of estimates
estat ic	Akaike's and Schwarz's Bayesian information criteria (AIC and BIC)
estat summarize	summary statistics for the estimation sample
estat vce	variance–covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE)
estimates	cataloging estimation results
hausman	Hausman's specification test
lincom	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear combinations of coefficients
linktest	link test for model specification
lrtest	likelihood-ratio test
margins	marginal means, predictive margins, marginal effects, and average marginal effects
marginsplot	graph the results from margins (profile plots, interaction plots, etc.)
nlcom	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear combinations of coefficients
predict	predictions, residuals, influence statistics, and other diagnostic measures
predictnl	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for generalized predictions
pwcompare	pairwise comparisons of estimates
suest	seemingly unrelated estimation
test	Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses
testnl	Wald tests of nonlinear hypotheses

Special-interest postestimation commands

In addition to the common estat commands (see [R] **estat**), estat hettest, estat imtest, estat ovtest, estat szroeter, and estat vif are also available. dfbeta is also available. The syntax for dfbeta and these estat commands is the same as after regress; see [R] **regress postestimation**.

For information on the plot commands, see [R] **regress postestimation diagnostic plots**.

In addition to the standard syntax of test (see [R] **test**), test after anova has three additionally allowed syntaxes; see below. test performs Wald tests of expressions involving the coefficients of the underlying regression model. Simple and composite linear hypotheses are possible.

Syntax for predict

predict after anova follows the same syntax as predict after regress and can provide predictions, residuals, standardized residuals, Studentized residuals, the standard error of the residuals, the standard error of the prediction, the diagonal elements of the projection (hat) matrix, and Cook's D. See [R] **regress postestimation** for details.

Syntax for test after anova

In addition to the standard syntax of test (see [R] **test**), test after anova also allows the following:

test, test(*matname*) [mtest [(*opt*)] matvlc(*matname*)]   syntax a

test, showorder   syntax b

test [*term* [*term* ...]] [/ *term* [*term* ...]] [, symbolic]   syntax c

syntax a   test expression involving the coefficients of the underlying regression model;
             you provide information as a matrix
syntax b   show underlying order of design matrix, which is useful when constructing
             matname argument of the test() option
syntax c   test effects and show symbolic forms

Menu for test after anova

Statistics > Linear models and related > ANOVA/MANOVA > Test linear hypotheses after anova

Options for test after anova

test(*matname*) is required with syntax a of test. The rows of *matname* specify linear combinations of the underlying design matrix of the ANOVA that are to be jointly tested. The columns correspond to the underlying design matrix (including the constant if it has not been suppressed). The column and row names of *matname* are ignored.

A listing of the constraints imposed by the test() option is presented before the table containing the tests. You should examine this table to verify that you have applied the linear combinations you desired. Typing test, showorder allows you to examine the ordering of the columns for the design matrix from the ANOVA.

mtest [(*opt*)] specifies that tests are performed for each condition separately. *opt* specifies the method for adjusting p-values for multiple testing. Valid values for *opt* are

bonferroni	Bonferroni's method
holm	Holm's method
sidak	Šidák's method
noadjust	no adjustment is to be made

Specifying mtest with no argument is equivalent to mtest(noadjust).

matvlc(*matname*), a programmer's option, saves the variance–covariance matrix of the linear combinations involved in the suite of tests. For the test $\mathbf{Lb} = \mathbf{c}$, what is returned in *matname* is $\mathbf{LVL}'$, where V is the estimated variance–covariance matrix of $\mathbf{b}$.

showorder causes test to list the definition of each column in the design matrix. showorder is not allowed with any other option.

symbolic requests the symbolic form of the test rather than the test statistic. When this option is specified with no terms (test, symbolic), the symbolic form of the estimable functions is displayed.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

       Testing effects
       Obtaining symbolic forms
       Testing coefficients and contrasts of margins
       Video example

See examples 4, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, and 17 in [R] **anova** for examples that use the `margins` command.

Testing effects

After fitting a model using `anova`, you can test for the significance of effects in the ANOVA table, as well as for effects that are not reported in the ANOVA table, by using the `test` or `contrast` command. You follow `test` or `contrast` by the list of effects that you wish to test. By default, these commands use the residual mean squared error in the denominator of the F ratio. You can specify other error terms by using the slash notation, just as you would with `anova`. See [R] **contrast** for details on this command.

▷ Example 1: Testing effects

Recall our byssinosis example (example 8) in [R] **anova**:

```
. anova prob workplace smokes race workplace#smokes workplace#race smokes#race
> workplace#smokes#race [aweight=pop]
(sum of wgt is    5.4190e+03)
```

		Number of obs =		65	R-squared	= 0.8300
		Root MSE	= .025902		Adj R-squared =	0.7948
Source	Partial SS	df	MS		F	Prob > F
Model	.173646538	11	.015786049		23.53	0.0000
workplace	.097625175	2	.048812588		72.76	0.0000
smokes	.013030812	1	.013030812		19.42	0.0001
race	.001094723	1	.001094723		1.63	0.2070
workplace#smokes	.019690342	2	.009845171		14.67	0.0000
workplace#race	.001352516	2	.000676258		1.01	0.3718
smokes#race	.001662874	1	.001662874		2.48	0.1214
workplace#smokes#race	.000950841	2	.00047542		0.71	0.4969
Residual	.035557766	53	.000670901			
Total	.209204304	64	.003268817			

We can easily obtain a test on a particular term from the ANOVA table. Here are two examples:

```
. test smokes
```

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
smokes	.013030812	1	.013030812	19.42	0.0001
Residual	.035557766	53	.000670901		

```
. test smokes#race
```

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
smokes#race	.001662874	1	.001662874	2.48	0.1214
Residual	.035557766	53	.000670901		

Both of these tests use residual error by default and agree with the ANOVA table produced earlier.

We could have performed these same tests with `contrast`:

```
. contrast smokes
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins      : asbalanced
```

	df	F	P>F
smokes	1	19.42	0.0001
Denominator	53		

```
. contrast smokes#race
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins      : asbalanced
```

	df	F	P>F
smokes#race	1	2.48	0.1214
Denominator	53		

◁

❑ Technical note

After `anova`, you can use the '`/`' syntax in `test` or `contrast` to perform tests with a variety of non-$\sigma^2\mathbf{I}$ error structures. However, in most unbalanced models, the mean squares are not independent and do not have equal expectations under the null hypothesis. Also, be warned that you assume responsibility for the validity of the test statistic.

❑

▷ Example 2: Testing effects with different error terms

We return to the nested ANOVA example (example 11) in [R] **anova**, where five brands of machinery were compared in an assembly line. We can obtain appropriate tests for the nested terms using `test`, even if we had run the `anova` command without initially indicating the proper error terms.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/machine
(machine data)
. anova output machine / operator|machine /
```

| | Number of obs = | | 57 | R-squared | = 0.8661 |
| | Root MSE | = | 1.47089 | Adj R-squared | = 0.8077 |

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
Model	545.822288	17	32.1071934	14.84	0.0000
machine	430.980792	4	107.745198	13.82	0.0001
operator|machine	101.353804	13	7.79644648		
operator|machine	101.353804	13	7.79644648	3.60	0.0009
Residual	84.3766582	39	2.16350406		
Total	630.198947	56	11.2535526		

In this ANOVA table, `machine` is tested with residual error. With this particular nested design, the appropriate error term for testing `machine` is `operator` nested within `machine`, which is easily obtained from `test`.

```
. test machine / operator|machine
```

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
machine	430.980792	4	107.745198	13.82	0.0001
operator|machine	101.353804	13	7.79644648		

This result from `test` matches what we obtained from our `anova` command.

◁

▷ Example 3: Pooling terms when testing effects

The other nested ANOVA example (example 12) in [R] **anova** was based on the sewage data. The ANOVA table is presented here again. As before, we will use abbreviations of variable names in typing the commands.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/sewage
(Sewage treatment)
. anova particulate s / m|s / f|m|s / w|f|m|s /, dropemptycells
```

			Number of obs = 64	R-squared = 0.6338	
			Root MSE = 12.7445	Adj R-squared = 0.5194	

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
Model	13493.6094	15	899.573958	5.54	0.0000
solution	7203.76563	1	7203.76563	17.19	0.0536
manager|solution	838.28125	2	419.140625		
manager|solution	838.28125	2	419.140625	0.55	0.6166
facility|manager| solution	3064.9375	4	766.234375		
facility|manager| solution	3064.9375	4	766.234375	2.57	0.1193
worker|facility| manager|solution	2386.625	8	298.328125		
worker|facility| manager|solution	2386.625	8	298.328125	1.84	0.0931
Residual	7796.25	48	162.421875		
Total	21289.8594	63	337.934276		

In practice, it is often beneficial to pool nonsignificant nested terms to increase the power of tests on remaining terms. One rule of thumb is to allow the pooling of a term whose p-value is larger than 0.25. In this sewage example, the p-value for the test of `manager` is 0.6166. This value indicates that the manager effect is negligible and might be ignored. Currently, `solution` is tested by `manager|solution`, which has only 2 degrees of freedom. If we pool the `manager` and `facility` terms and use this pooled estimate as the error term for `solution`, we would have a term with 6 degrees of freedom.

Below are two tests: a test of `solution` with the pooled `manager` and `facility` terms and a test of this pooled term by `worker`.

```
. test s / m|s f|m|s
```

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
solution	7203.76563	1	7203.76563	11.07	0.0159
manager|solution facility|manager| solution	3903.21875	6	650.536458		

```
. test m|s f|m|s / w|f|m|s
```

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
manager|solution facility|manager| solution	3903.21875	6	650.536458	2.18	0.1520
worker|facility|manager| solution	2386.625	8	298.328125		

In the first test, we included two terms after the forward slash (m|s and f|m|s). test after anova allows multiple terms both before and after the slash. The terms before the slash are combined and are then tested by the combined terms that follow the slash (or residual error if no slash is present).

The p-value for solution using the pooled term is 0.0159. Originally, it was 0.0536. The increase in the power of the test is due to the increase in degrees of freedom for the pooled error term.

We can get identical results if we drop manager from the anova model. (This dataset has unique numbers for each facility so that there is no confusion of facilities when manager is dropped.)

```
. anova particulate s / f|s / w|f|s /, dropemptycells
```

```
                        Number of obs =      64      R-squared     =  0.6338
                        Root MSE      = 12.7445      Adj R-squared =  0.5194
```

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
Model	13493.6094	15	899.573958	5.54	0.0000
solution	7203.76563	1	7203.76563	11.07	0.0159
facility|solution	3903.21875	6	650.536458		
facility|solution	3903.21875	6	650.536458	2.18	0.1520
worker|facility| solution	2386.625	8	298.328125		
worker|facility| solution	2386.625	8	298.328125	1.84	0.0931
Residual	7796.25	48	162.421875		
Total	21289.8594	63	337.934276		

This output agrees with our earlier test results.

◁

In the following example, two terms from the anova are jointly tested (pooled).

▷ Example 4: Obtaining overall significance of a term using contrast

In example 10 of [R] **anova**, we fit the model anova drate region c.mage region#c.mage. Now we use the contrast command to test for the overall significance of region.

```
. contrast region region#c.mage, overall
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins     : asbalanced
```

	df	F	P>F
region	3	7.40	0.0004
region#c.mage	3	0.86	0.4689
Overall	6	5.65	0.0002
Denominator	42		

The overall F statistic associated with the region and region#c.mage terms is 5.65, and it is significant at the 0.02% level.

In the ANOVA output, the region term, by itself, had a sum of squares of 1166.15, which, based on 3 degrees of freedom, yielded an F statistic of 7.40 and a significance level of 0.0004. This is the same test that is reported by contrast in the row labeled region. Likewise, the test from the ANOVA output for the region#c.mage term is reproduced in the second row of the contrast output.

◁

Obtaining symbolic forms

test can produce the symbolic form of the estimable functions and symbolic forms for particular tests.

▷ Example 5: Symbolic form of the estimable functions

After fitting an ANOVA model, we type test, symbolic to obtain the symbolic form of the estimable functions. For instance, returning to our blood pressure data introduced in example 4 of [R] **anova**, let's begin by reestimating systolic on drug, disease, and drug#disease:

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/systolic, clear
(Systolic Blood Pressure Data)
. anova systolic drug disease drug#disease
```

| | | Number of obs = | 58 | R-squared | = 0.4560 |
| | | Root MSE | = 10.5096 | Adj R-squared = | 0.3259 |

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
Model	4259.33851	11	387.212591	3.51	0.0013
drug	2997.47186	3	999.157287	9.05	0.0001
disease	415.873046	2	207.936523	1.88	0.1637
drug#disease	707.266259	6	117.87771	1.07	0.3958
Residual	5080.81667	46	110.452536		
Total	9340.15517	57	163.862371		

To obtain the symbolic form of the estimable functions, type

```
. test, symbolic
drug
            1   -(r2+r3+r4-r0)
            2    r2
            3    r3
            4    r4
disease
            1   -(r6+r7-r0)
            2    r6
            3    r7
drug#disease
        1   1   -(r2+r3+r4+r6+r7-r12-r13-r15-r16-r18-r19-r0)
        1   2    r6 - (r12+r15+r18)
        1   3    r7 - (r13+r16+r19)
        2   1    r2 - (r12+r13)
        2   2    r12
        2   3    r13
        3   1    r3 - (r15+r16)
        3   2    r15
        3   3    r16
        4   1    r4 - (r18+r19)
        4   2    r18
        4   3    r19
_cons           r0
```

◁

▷ Example 6: Symbolic form for a particular test

To obtain the symbolic form for a particular test, we type test *term* [*term* ...], symbolic. For instance, the symbolic form for the test of the main effect of drug is

```
. test drug, symbolic
drug
            1   -(r2+r3+r4)
            2    r2
            3    r3
            4    r4
disease
            1    0
            2    0
            3    0
drug#disease
        1   1   -1/3 (r2+r3+r4)
        1   2   -1/3 (r2+r3+r4)
        1   3   -1/3 (r2+r3+r4)
        2   1    1/3 r2
        2   2    1/3 r2
        2   3    1/3 r2
        3   1    1/3 r3
        3   2    1/3 r3
        3   3    1/3 r3
        4   1    1/3 r4
        4   2    1/3 r4
        4   3    1/3 r4
_cons            0
```

If we omit the symbolic option, we instead see the result of the test:

```
. test drug
```

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
drug	2997.47186	3	999.157287	9.05	0.0001
Residual	5080.81667	46	110.452536		

◁

Testing coefficients and contrasts of margins

The test command allows you to perform tests directly on the coefficients of the underlying regression model. For instance, the coefficient on the third drug and the second disease is referred to as 3.drug#2.disease. This could also be written as i3.drug#i2.disease, or _b[3.drug#2.disease], or even _coef[i3.drug#i2.disease]; see [U] **13.5 Accessing coefficients and standard errors**.

▷ Example 7: Testing linear combinations of coefficients

Let's begin by testing whether the coefficient on the third drug is equal to the coefficient on the fourth in our blood pressure data. We have already fit the model anova systolic drug##disease (equivalent to anova systolic drug disease drug#disease), and you can see the results of that estimation in example 5. Even though we have performed many tasks since we fit the model, Stata still remembers, and we can perform tests at any time.

```
. test 3.drug = 4.drug
 ( 1)  3.drug - 4.drug = 0
       F(  1,    46) =     0.13
            Prob > F =    0.7234
```

We find that the two coefficients are not significantly different, at least at any significance level smaller than 73%.

For more complex tests, the contrast command often provides a more concise way to specify the test we are interested in and prevents us from having to write the tests in terms of the regression coefficients. With contrast, we instead specify our tests in terms of differences in the marginal means for the levels of a particular factor. For example, if we want to compare the third and fourth drugs, we can test the difference in the mean impact on systolic blood pressure separately for each disease using the @ operator. We also use the reverse adjacent operator, ar., to compare the fourth level of drug with the previous level.

```
. contrast ar4.drug@disease
```

Contrasts of marginal linear predictions

Margins   : asbalanced

	df	F	P>F
drug@disease			
(4 vs 3) 1	1	0.13	0.7234
(4 vs 3) 2	1	1.76	0.1917
(4 vs 3) 3	1	0.65	0.4230
Joint	3	0.85	0.4761
Denominator	46		

	Contrast	Std. Err.	[95% Conf. Interval]	
drug@disease				
(4 vs 3) 1	-2.733333	7.675156	-18.18262	12.71595
(4 vs 3) 2	8.433333	6.363903	-4.376539	21.24321
(4 vs 3) 3	5.7	7.050081	-8.491077	19.89108

None of the individual contrasts shows significant differences between the third drug and the fourth drug. Likewise, the overall F statistic is 0.85, which is hardly significant. We cannot reject the hypothesis that the third drug has the same effect as the fourth drug.

◁

❑ Technical note

Alternatively, we could have specified these tests based on the coefficients of the underlying regression model using the test command. We would have needed to perform tests on the coefficients for drug and for the coefficients on drug interacted with disease in order to test for differences in the means mentioned above. To do this, we start with our previous test command:

```
. test 3.drug = 4.drug
```

Notice that the F statistic for this test is equivalent to the test labeled (4 vs 3) 1 in the contrast output. Let's now add the constraint that the coefficient on the third drug interacted with the third disease is equal to the coefficient on the fourth drug, again interacted with the third disease. We do that by typing the new constraint and adding the accumulate option:

```
. test 3.drug#3.disease = 4.drug#3.disease, accumulate
 ( 1)  3.drug - 4.drug = 0
 ( 2)  3.drug#3.disease - 4.drug#3.disease = 0
       F(  2,    46) =    0.39
             Prob > F =    0.6791
```

So far, our test includes the equality of the two drug coefficients, along with the equality of the two drug coefficients when interacted with the third disease. Now we add two more equations, one for each of the remaining two diseases:

```
. test 3.drug#2.disease = 4.drug#2.disease, accumulate

 ( 1)  3.drug - 4.drug = 0
 ( 2)  3.drug#3.disease - 4.drug#3.disease = 0
 ( 3)  3.drug#2.disease - 4.drug#2.disease = 0

       F(  3,    46) =    0.85
           Prob > F =    0.4761

. test 3.drug#1.disease = 4.drug#1.disease, accumulate

 ( 1)  3.drug - 4.drug = 0
 ( 2)  3.drug#3.disease - 4.drug#3.disease = 0
 ( 3)  3.drug#2.disease - 4.drug#2.disease = 0
 ( 4)  3o.drug#1b.disease - 4o.drug#1b.disease = 0
       Constraint 4 dropped

       F(  3,    46) =    0.85
           Prob > F =    0.4761
```

The overall F statistic reproduces the one from the joint test in the `contrast` output.

You may notice that we also got the message "Constraint 4 dropped". For the technically inclined, this constraint was unnecessary, given the normalization of the model. If we specify all the constraints involved in our test or use `contrast`, we need not worry about the normalization because Stata handles this automatically.

❏

The `test()` option of `test` provides another alternative for testing coefficients. Instead of spelling out each coefficient involved in the test, a matrix representing the test provides the needed information. `test, showorder` shows the order of the terms in the ANOVA corresponding to the order of the columns for the matrix argument of `test()`.

▷ Example 8: Another way to test linear combinations of coefficients

We repeat the last test of example 7 above with the `test()` option. First, we view the definition and order of the columns underlying the ANOVA performed on the systolic data.

```
. test, showorder

  Order of columns in the design matrix
         1: (drug==1)
         2: (drug==2)
         3: (drug==3)
         4: (drug==4)
         5: (disease==1)
         6: (disease==2)
         7: (disease==3)
         8: (drug==1)*(disease==1)
         9: (drug==1)*(disease==2)
        10: (drug==1)*(disease==3)
        11: (drug==2)*(disease==1)
        12: (drug==2)*(disease==2)
        13: (drug==2)*(disease==3)
        14: (drug==3)*(disease==1)
        15: (drug==3)*(disease==2)
        16: (drug==3)*(disease==3)
        17: (drug==4)*(disease==1)
        18: (drug==4)*(disease==2)
        19: (drug==4)*(disease==3)
        20: _cons
```

Columns 1–4 correspond to the four levels of `drug`. Columns 5–7 correspond to the three levels of `disease`. Columns 8–19 correspond to the interaction of `drug` and `disease`. The last column corresponds to `_cons`, the constant in the model.

We construct the matrix `dr3vs4` with the same four constraints as the last test shown in example 7 and then use the `test(dr3vs4)` option to perform the test.

```
. matrix dr3vs4 = (0,0,1,-1,  0,0,0,  0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, 0, 0, 0,  0 \
>                  0,0,0, 0,  0,0,0,  0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1, 0, 0,-1,  0 \
>                  0,0,0, 0,  0,0,0,  0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0, 0,-1, 0,  0 \
>                  0,0,0, 0,  0,0,0,  0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,-1, 0, 0,  0)
. test, test(dr3vs4)
 ( 1)  3.drug - 4.drug = 0
 ( 2)  3.drug#3.disease - 4.drug#3.disease = 0
 ( 3)  3.drug#2.disease - 4.drug#2.disease = 0
 ( 4)  3o.drug#1b.disease - 4o.drug#1b.disease = 0
        Constraint 4 dropped

        F(  3,    46) =    0.85
             Prob > F =    0.4761
```

Here the effort involved with spelling out the coefficients is similar to that of constructing a matrix and using it in the `test()` option. When the test involving coefficients is more complicated, the `test()` option may be more convenient than specifying the coefficients directly in `test`. However, as previously demonstrated, `contrast` may provide an even simpler method for testing the same hypothesis.

◁

After fitting an ANOVA model, various contrasts (1-degree-of-freedom tests comparing different levels of a categorical variable) are often of interest. `contrast` can perform each 1-degree-of-freedom test in addition to the combined test, even in cases in which the contrasts do not correspond to one of the contrast operators.

▷ Example 9: Testing particular contrasts of interest

Rencher and Schaalje (2008) illustrate 1-degree-of-freedom contrasts for an ANOVA comparing the net weight of cans filled by five machines (labeled A–E). The data were originally obtained from Ostle and Mensing (1975). Rencher and Schaalje use a cell-means ANOVA model approach for this problem. We could do the same by using the noconstant option of anova; see [R] **anova**. Instead, we obtain the same results by using the standard overparameterized ANOVA approach (that is, we keep the constant in the model).

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/canfill
(Can Fill Data)
. list, sepby(machine)
```

	machine	weight
1.	A	11.95
2.	A	12.00
3.	A	12.25
4.	A	12.10
5.	B	12.18
6.	B	12.11
7.	C	12.16
8.	C	12.15
9.	C	12.08
10.	D	12.25
11.	D	12.30
12.	D	12.10
13.	E	12.10
14.	E	12.04
15.	E	12.02
16.	E	12.02

```
. anova weight machine
```

| | | Number of obs = | 16 | R-squared | = 0.4123 |
| | | Root MSE | = .087758 | Adj R-squared = | 0.1986 |

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
Model	.059426993	4	.014856748	1.93	0.1757
machine	.059426993	4	.014856748	1.93	0.1757
Residual	.084716701	11	.007701518		
Total	.144143694	15	.00960958		

The four 1-degree-of-freedom tests of interest among the five machines are A and D versus B, C, and E; B and E versus C; A versus D; and B versus E. We can specify these tests as user-defined contrasts by placing the corresponding contrast coefficients into positions related to the five levels of machine as described in *User-defined contrasts* of [R] **contrast**.

```
. contrast {machine 3 -2 -2  3 -2}
>          {machine 0  1 -2  0  1}
>          {machine 1  0  0 -1  0}
>          {machine 0  1  0  0 -1}, noeffects
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins     : asbalanced
```

	df	F	P>F
machine			
(1)	1	0.75	0.4055
(2)	1	0.31	0.5916
(3)	1	4.47	0.0582
(4)	1	1.73	0.2150
Joint	4	1.93	0.1757
Denominator	11		

contrast produces a 1-degree-of-freedom test for each of the specified contrasts as well as a joint test. We included the noeffects option so that the table displaying the values of the individual contrasts with their confidence intervals was suppressed.

The significance values above are not adjusted for multiple comparisons. We could have produced the Bonferroni-adjusted significance values by using the mcompare(bonferroni) option.

```
. contrast {machine 3 -2 -2  3 -2}
>          {machine 0  1 -2  0  1}
>          {machine 1  0  0 -1  0}
>          {machine 0  1  0  0 -1}, mcompare(bonferroni) noeffects
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins     : asbalanced
```

	df	F	P>F	Bonferroni P>F
machine				
(1)	1	0.75	0.4055	1.0000
(2)	1	0.31	0.5916	1.0000
(3)	1	4.47	0.0582	0.2329
(4)	1	1.73	0.2150	0.8601
Joint	4	1.93	0.1757	
Denominator	11			

```
Note: Bonferroni-adjusted p-values are reported for tests
      on individual contrasts only.
```

◁

▷ Example 10: Linear and quadratic contrasts

Here there are two factors, A and B, each with three levels. The levels are quantitative so that linear and quadratic contrasts are of interest.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/twowaytrend
. anova Y A B A#B
```

	Number of obs =	36	R-squared	= 0.9304	
	Root MSE =	2.6736	Adj R-squared =	0.9097	

Source	Partial SS	df	MS	F	Prob > F
Model	2578.55556	8	322.319444	45.09	0.0000
A	2026.72222	2	1013.36111	141.77	0.0000
B	383.722222	2	191.861111	26.84	0.0000
A#B	168.111111	4	42.0277778	5.88	0.0015
Residual	193	27	7.14814815		
Total	2771.55556	35	79.1873016		

We can use the `p.` contrast operator to obtain the 1-degree-of-freedom tests for the linear and quadratic effects of A and B.

```
. contrast p.A p.B, noeffects
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins      : asbalanced
```

	df	F	P>F
A			
(linear)	1	212.65	0.0000
(quadratic)	1	70.88	0.0000
Joint	2	141.77	0.0000
B			
(linear)	1	26.17	0.0000
(quadratic)	1	27.51	0.0000
Joint	2	26.84	0.0000
Denominator	27		

All the above tests appear to be significant. In addition to presenting the 1-degree-of-freedom tests, the combined tests for A and B are produced and agree with the original ANOVA results.

Now we explore the interaction between A and B.

```
. contrast p.A#p1.B, noeffects
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins      : asbalanced
```

	df	F	P>F
A#B			
(linear) (linear)	1	17.71	0.0003
(quadratic) (linear)	1	0.07	0.7893
Joint	2	8.89	0.0011
Denominator	27		

The 2-degrees-of-freedom test of the interaction of A with the linear components of B is significant at the 0.0011 level. But, when we examine the two 1-degree-of-freedom tests that compose this result,

the significance is due to the linear A by linear B contrast (significance level of 0.0003). A significance value of 0.7893 for the quadratic A by linear B indicates that this factor is not significant for these data.

```
. contrast p.A#p2.B, noeffects
Contrasts of marginal linear predictions
Margins     : asbalanced
```

	df	F	P>F
A#B			
(linear) (quadratic)	1	2.80	0.1058
(quadratic) (quadratic)	1	2.94	0.0979
Joint	2	2.87	0.0741
Denominator	27		

The test of A with the quadratic components of B does not fall below the 0.05 significance level.

◁

Video example

[Introduction to contrasts in Stata: One-way ANOVA](#)

References

Mitchell, M. N. 2012. *Interpreting and Visualizing Regression Models Using Stata*. College Station, TX: Stata Press.

Ostle, B., and R. W. Mensing. 1975. *Statistics in Research*. 3rd ed. Ames, IA: Iowa State University Press.

Rencher, A. C., and G. B. Schaalje. 2008. *Linear Models in Statistics*. 2nd ed. New York: Wiley.

Also see

[R] **anova** — Analysis of variance and covariance

[R] **regress postestimation** — Postestimation tools for regress

[R] **regress postestimation diagnostic plots** — Postestimation plots for regress

[U] **20 Estimation and postestimation commands**