

manova postestimation — Postestimation tools for manova

Description	Syntax for predict
Menu for predict	Options for predict
Syntax for manovatest	Menu for manovatest
Options for manovatest	Syntax for test after manova
Menu for test after manova	Options for test after manova
Remarks and examples	Stored results
Methods and formulas	Also see

Description

The following postestimation commands are of special interest after `manova`:

Command	Description
<code>manovatest</code>	multivariate tests after <code>manova</code>
<code>screepplot</code>	plot eigenvalues

The following standard postestimation commands are also available:

Command	Description
<code>contrast</code>	contrasts and ANOVA-style joint tests of estimates
<code>estat summarize</code>	summary statistics for the estimation sample
<code>estat vce</code>	variance–covariance matrix of the estimators (VCE)
<code>estimates</code>	cataloging estimation results
<code>lincom</code>	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for linear combinations of coefficients
<code>margins</code>	marginal means, predictive margins, marginal effects, and average marginal effects
<code>marginsplot</code>	graph the results from margins (profile plots, interaction plots, etc.)
<code>nlcom</code>	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for nonlinear combinations of coefficients
<code>predict</code>	predictions, residuals, and standard errors
<code>predictnl</code>	point estimates, standard errors, testing, and inference for generalized predictions
<code>pwcompare</code>	pairwise comparisons of estimates
<code>test</code>	Wald tests of simple and composite linear hypotheses
<code>testnl</code>	Wald tests of nonlinear hypotheses

For all except `predict` and `test`, see the corresponding entries in the *Base Reference Manual* for details. For `predict` and `test`, see below.

Special-interest postestimation commands

`manovatest` provides multivariate tests involving *terms* or linear combinations of the underlying design matrix from the most recently fit `manova`. The four multivariate test statistics are Wilks' lambda, Pillai's trace, Lawley–Hotelling trace, and Roy's largest root. The format of the output is similar to that shown by `manova`; see [MV] [manova](#).

In addition to the standard syntax of `test` (see [R] [test](#)), `test` after `manova` has two additionally allowed syntaxes; see below. `test` performs Wald tests of expressions involving the coefficients of the underlying regression model. Simple and composite linear hypotheses are possible.

Syntax for predict

```
predict [type] newvar [if] [in] [, equation(eqno[, eqno]) statistic]
```

<i>statistic</i>	Description
------------------	-------------

Main	
<code>xb</code>	$\mathbf{x}_j\mathbf{b}$, fitted values; the default
<code>stdp</code>	standard error of the fitted value
<code>residuals</code>	residuals
<code>difference</code>	difference between the linear predictions of two equations
<code>stddp</code>	standard error of the fitted values for differences

These statistics are available both in and out of sample; type `predict ... if e(sample) ...` if wanted only for the estimation sample.

Menu for predict

Statistics > Postestimation > Predictions, residuals, etc.

Options for predict

Main

`equation(eqno[, eqno])` specifies the equation to which you are referring.

`equation()` is filled in with one *eqno* for the `xb`, `stdp`, and `residuals` options. `equation(#1)` would mean that the calculation is to be made for the first equation (that is, for the first dependent variable), `equation(#2)` would mean the second, and so on. You could also refer to the equations by their names. `equation(income)` would refer to the equation named `income` and `equation(hours)`, to the equation named `hours`.

If you do not specify `equation()`, results are the same as if you had specified `equation(#1)`.

`difference` and `stddp` refer to between-equations concepts. To use these options, you must specify two equations, for example, `equation(#1,#2)` or `equation(income,hours)`. When two equations must be specified, `equation()` is required. With `equation(#1,#2)`, `difference` computes the prediction of `equation(#1)` minus the prediction of `equation(#2)`.

`xb`, the default, calculates the fitted values—the prediction of $\mathbf{x}_j\mathbf{b}$ for the specified equation.

`stdp` calculates the standard error of the prediction for the specified equation (the standard error of the estimated expected value or mean for the observation's covariate pattern). The standard error of the prediction is also referred to as the standard error of the fitted value.

`residuals` calculates the residuals.

`difference` calculates the difference between the linear predictions of two equations in the system.

`stddp` calculates the standard error of the difference in linear predictions ($\mathbf{x}_{1j}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{x}_{2j}\mathbf{b}$) between equations 1 and 2.

For more information on using `predict` after multiple-equation estimation commands, see [R] [predict](#).

Syntax for manovatest

```
manovatest term [term ...] [/ term [term ...]] [, ytransform(matname)]
```

```
manovatest, test(matname) [ytransform(matname)]
```

```
manovatest, showorder
```

where *term* is a term from the *termlist* in the previously run `manova`.

Menu for manovatest

Statistics > Multivariate analysis > MANOVA, multivariate regression, and related > Multivariate tests after MANOVA

Options for manovatest

`ytransform(matname)` specifies a matrix for transforming the *y* variables (the *depvarlist* from `manova`) as part of the test. The multivariate tests are based on $(\mathbf{AEA}')^{-1}(\mathbf{AHA}')$. By default, \mathbf{A} is the identity matrix. `ytransform()` is how you specify an \mathbf{A} matrix to be used in the multivariate tests. Specifying `ytransform()` provides the same results as first transforming the *y* variables with \mathbf{YA}' , where \mathbf{Y} is the matrix formed by binding the *y* variables by column and \mathbf{A} is the matrix stored in *matname*; then performing `manova` on the transformed *y*'s; and finally running `manovatest` without `ytransform()`.

The number of columns of *matname* must equal the number of variables in the *depvarlist* from `manova`. The number of rows must be less than or equal to the number of variables in the *depvarlist* from `manova`. *matname* should have columns in the same order as the *depvarlist* from `manova`. The column and row names of *matname* are ignored.

When `ytransform()` is specified, a listing of the transformations is presented before the table containing the multivariate tests. You should examine this table to verify that you have applied the transformation you desired.

`test(matname)` is required with the second syntax of `manovatest`. The rows of *matname* specify linear combinations of the underlying design matrix of the MANOVA that are to be jointly tested. The columns correspond to the underlying design matrix (including the constant if it has not been suppressed). The column and row names of *matname* are ignored.

A listing of the constraints imposed by the `test()` option is presented before the table containing the multivariate tests. You should examine this table to verify that you have applied the linear combinations you desired. Typing `manovatest, showorder` allows you to examine the ordering of the columns for the design matrix from the MANOVA.

`showorder` causes `manovatest` to list the definition of each column in the design matrix. `showorder` is not allowed with any other option or when *terms* are specified.

The following option is available with `test` after `manova` but is not shown in the dialog box:

`matv1c(matname)`, a programmer's option, saves the variance–covariance matrix of the linear combinations involved in the suite of tests. For the test of $H_0: \mathbf{Lb} = \mathbf{c}$, what is returned in `matname` is \mathbf{LVL}' , where \mathbf{V} is the estimated variance–covariance matrix of \mathbf{b} .

Remarks and examples

[stata.com](http://www.stata.com)

Several postestimation tools are available after `manova`. We demonstrate these tools by extending examples 1, 2, 4, and 8 of [MV] `manova`.

► Example 1: test and lincom

Example 1 of [MV] `manova` presented a balanced one-way MANOVA on the rootstock data.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/rootstock
(Table 6.2 Rootstock Data, Rencher and Christensen (2012))
. manova y1 y2 y3 y4 = rootstock
(output omitted)
```

`test` provides Wald tests on expressions involving the underlying coefficients of the model, and `lincom` provides linear combinations along with standard errors and confidence intervals.

```
. test [y3]3.rootstock = ([y3]1.rootstock + [y3]2.rootstock)/2
( 1) - .5*[y3]1b.rootstock - .5*[y3]2.rootstock + [y3]3.rootstock = 0
      F( 1, 42) = 5.62
      Prob > F = 0.0224
. lincom [y3]4.rootstock - [y1]4.rootstock
( 1) - [y1]4.rootstock + [y3]4.rootstock = 0
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
(1)	.2075001	.1443917	1.44	0.158	-.0838941	.4988943

If the equation portion of the expression is omitted, the first equation (first dependent variable) is assumed.

◀

The `manovatest` postestimation command provides multivariate tests of *terms* or linear combinations of the underlying design matrix from the most recent MANOVA model.

► Example 2: manovatest

In example 2 of [MV] `manova`, a one-way MANOVA on the metabolic dataset was shown.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/metabolic
(Table 4.5 Metabolic Comparisons of Rabbits -- Rencher (1998))
. manova y1 y2 = group
(output omitted)
```

`manovatest` can test *terms* from the preceding `manova`. Here we test the `group` term from our one-way MANOVA:

```
. manovatest group
```

		W = Wilks' lambda		L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace		R = Roy's largest root			
Source		Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) =	F	Prob>F
group	W	0.1596	3	6.0	32.0	8.02	0.0000 e
	P	1.2004		6.0	34.0	8.51	0.0000 a
	L	3.0096		6.0	30.0	7.52	0.0001 a
	R	1.5986		3.0	17.0	9.06	0.0008 u
Residual			17				

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

Using `manovatest` to test model *terms* is not interesting here. It merely repeats information already presented by `manova`. Later we will see useful applications of *term* testing via `manovatest`.

`manovatest` can also be used to test linear combinations of the underlying design matrix of the MANOVA model. Whereas the MANOVA indicates that there are differences in the groups, it does not indicate the nature of those differences. Rencher discusses three linear contrasts of interest for this example: group one (the control) versus the rest, group four versus groups two and three, and group two versus group three. The `test()` option of `manovatest` allows us to test these hypotheses.

Because we did not use the `noconstant` option with our `manova`, the underlying parameterization of the design matrix has the last column corresponding to the constant in the model, whereas the first four columns correspond to the four groups of rabbits. The `showorder` option of `manovatest` illustrates this point. The tests on the three contrasts of interest follow.

```
. manovatest, showorder
Order of columns in the design matrix
1: (group==1)
2: (group==2)
3: (group==3)
4: (group==4)
5: _cons
. matrix c1 = (3,-1,-1,-1,0)
. manovatest, test(c1)
Test constraint
(1) 3*1.group - 2.group - 3.group - 4.group = 0
```

		W = Wilks' lambda		L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace		R = Roy's largest root			
Source		Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) =	F	Prob>F
manovatest	W	0.4063	1	2.0	16.0	11.69	0.0007 e
	P	0.5937		2.0	16.0	11.69	0.0007 e
	L	1.4615		2.0	16.0	11.69	0.0007 e
	R	1.4615		2.0	16.0	11.69	0.0007 e
Residual			17				

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

```
. matrix c2 = (0,-1,-1,2,0)
```

```
. manovatest, test(c2)
```

```
Test constraint
```

```
(1) - 2.group - 3.group + 2*4.group = 0
```

		W = Wilks' lambda		L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace		R = Roy's largest root			
Source	Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) = F	Prob>F		
manovatest	W	0.9567	1	2.0	16.0	0.36	0.7018 e
	P	0.0433		2.0	16.0	0.36	0.7018 e
	L	0.0453		2.0	16.0	0.36	0.7018 e
	R	0.0453		2.0	16.0	0.36	0.7018 e
Residual		17					

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

```
. matrix c3 = (0,1,-1,0,0)
```

```
. manovatest, test(c3)
```

```
Test constraint
```

```
(1) 2.group - 3.group = 0
```

		W = Wilks' lambda		L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace		R = Roy's largest root			
Source	Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) = F	Prob>F		
manovatest	W	0.4161	1	2.0	16.0	11.23	0.0009 e
	P	0.5839		2.0	16.0	11.23	0.0009 e
	L	1.4033		2.0	16.0	11.23	0.0009 e
	R	1.4033		2.0	16.0	11.23	0.0009 e
Residual		17					

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

Because there is only 1 degree of freedom for each of the hypotheses, the F tests are exact (and identical for the four multivariate methods). The first test indicates that the mean vector for the control group is significantly different from the mean vectors for the other three groups. The second test, with a p -value of 0.7018, fails to reject the null hypothesis that group four equals groups two and three. The third test, with a p -value of 0.0009, indicates differences between the mean vectors of groups two and three.

Rencher also tests using weighted orthogonal contrasts. `manovatest` can do these tests as well.

```
. matrix c1w = (14,-7,-5,-2,0)
```

```
. manovatest, test(c1w)
```

```
Test constraint
```

```
(1) 14*1.group - 7*2.group - 5*3.group - 2*4.group = 0
```

		W = Wilks' lambda		L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace		R = Roy's largest root			
Source	Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) = F	Prob>F		
manovatest	W	0.3866	1	2.0	16.0	12.70	0.0005 e
	P	0.6134		2.0	16.0	12.70	0.0005 e
	L	1.5869		2.0	16.0	12.70	0.0005 e
	R	1.5869		2.0	16.0	12.70	0.0005 e
Residual		17					

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

```
. matrix c2w = (0,-7,-5,12,0)
```

```
. manovatest, test(c2w)
Test constraint
(1) -7*2.group - 5*3.group + 12*4.group = 0
```

	W = Wilks' lambda	L = Lawley-Hotelling trace				
	P = Pillai's trace	R = Roy's largest root				
Source	Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) =	F	Prob>F
manovatest	W	0.9810	1	2.0	16.0	0.15 0.8580 e
	P	0.0190		2.0	16.0	0.15 0.8580 e
	L	0.0193		2.0	16.0	0.15 0.8580 e
	R	0.0193		2.0	16.0	0.15 0.8580 e
Residual		17				

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

These two weighted contrasts do not lead to different conclusions compared with their unweighted counterparts.

◀

□ Technical note

`manovatest, test(matname)` displays the linear combination (labeled “Test constraint”) indicated by `matname`. You should examine this listing to make sure that the matrix you specify in `test()` provides the test you want.

□

The `margins` postestimation command provides, among other things, tables of predicted means and confidence intervals that are based on the most recently fit model.

▷ Example 3: Margins, contrasts, and predictions

Example 4 of [MV] [manova](#) presented a two-way MANOVA model on the jaw data.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/jaw
(Table 4.6 Two-Way Unbalanced Data for Fractures of the Jaw -- Rencher (1998))
. manova y1 y2 y3 = gender fracture gender#fracture
(output omitted)
```

The interaction term, `gender#fracture`, was significant. `margins` may be used to examine the interaction; see [R] [margins](#).


```
. margins gender#fracture, predict(equation(y3))
```

```
Adjusted predictions          Number of obs   =          27
```

```
Expression   : Linear prediction, predict(equation(y3))
```

	Delta-method					[95% Conf. Interval]
	Margin	Std. Err.	t	P> t		
gender# fracture male # one compo..	61.16667	2.038648	30.00	0.000	56.92707	65.40627
male # two compo..	62.25	1.765521	35.26	0.000	58.5784	65.9216
male # one simpl..	58.16667	2.038648	28.53	0.000	53.92707	62.40627
female # one compo..	43	4.993647	8.61	0.000	32.61514	53.38486
female # two compo..	64	2.496823	25.63	0.000	58.80757	69.19243
female # one simpl..	63.5	3.531041	17.98	0.000	56.1568	70.8432

The first `margins` table shows the predicted mean (marginal mean), standard error, z statistic, p -value, and confidence interval of `y1` (age of patient) for each combination of `fracture` and `gender`. The second and third `margins` tables provide this information for `y2` (blood lymphocytes) and `y3` (blood polymorphonuclears). These three tables of predictions are the same as those you would obtain from `margins` after running `anova` for each of the three dependent variables separately.

The predicted `y2` value is larger than the predicted `y3` value for females with one compound fracture. For the other five combinations of `gender` and `fracture`, the relationship is reversed. There is only 1 observation for the combination of female and one compound fracture.

There are nine possible contrasts if we contrast women with men for every fracture type and every dependent variable. We will use `contrast` to estimate all nine contrasts and apply Scheffé's adjustment for multiple comparisons.

```
. contrast gender@fracture#_eqns, mcompare(scheffe)
```

Contrasts of marginal linear predictions

Margins : asbalanced

	df	F	P>F	Scheffe P>F
gender@fracture#_eqns				
one compound fracture 1	1	2.51	0.1278	0.9733
one compound fracture 2	1	12.98	0.0017	0.2333
one compound fracture 3	1	11.34	0.0029	0.3137
two compound fractures 1	1	0.38	0.5424	1.0000
two compound fractures 2	1	0.07	0.7889	1.0000
two compound fractures 3	1	0.33	0.5732	1.0000
one simple fracture 1	1	1.08	0.3107	0.9987
one simple fracture 2	1	0.54	0.4698	0.9999
one simple fracture 3	1	1.71	0.2050	0.9929
Joint	9	2.57	0.0361	
Denominator	21			

Note: Scheffe-adjusted p-values are reported for tests on individual contrasts only.

	Number of Comparisons
gender@fracture#_eqns	9

	Contrast	Std. Err.	Scheffe [95% Conf. Interval]	
gender@fracture#_eqns (female vs base)				
one compound fracture 1 (female vs base)	-17.5	11.03645	-68.42869	33.42869
one compound fracture 2 (female vs base)	20.5	5.69092	-5.76126	46.76126
one compound fracture 3 (female vs base)	-18.16667	5.393755	-43.05663	6.723297
two compound fractures 1 (female vs base)	3.875	6.257079	-24.99885	32.74885
two compound fractures 2 (female vs base)	.875	3.226449	-14.01373	15.76373
two compound fractures 3 (female vs base)	1.75	3.057972	-12.36128	15.86128
one simple fracture 1 (female vs base)	-8.666667	8.342772	-47.16513	29.8318
one simple fracture 2 (female vs base)	-3.166667	4.301931	-23.01831	16.68498
one simple fracture 3	5.333333	4.077296	-13.48171	24.14838

Women do not differ significantly from men in any of the nine comparisons.

Let's examine the residuals with the predict command:

```
. predict y1res, residual equation(y1)
. predict y2res, residual equation(y2)
. predict y3res, residual equation(y3)
. list gender fracture y1res y2res y3res
```

	gender	fracture	y1res	y2res	y3res
1.	male	one compound fracture	2.5	-.5	-.166667
2.	male	one compound fracture	2.5	7.5	-6.166667
3.	male	one compound fracture	8.5	-.5	2.833333
4.	male	one compound fracture	-4.5	-2.5	3.833333
5.	male	one compound fracture	-14.5	-4.5	2.833333
6.	male	one compound fracture	5.5	.5	-3.166667
7.	male	two compound fractures	-3.875	-5.375	1.75
8.	male	two compound fractures	-4.875	-.375	1.75
9.	male	two compound fractures	-1.875	-2.375	1.75
10.	male	two compound fractures	1.125	6.625	-6.25
11.	male	two compound fractures	-2.875	-1.375	6.75
12.	male	two compound fractures	25.125	-4.375	-2.25
13.	male	two compound fractures	-9.875	-2.375	1.75
14.	male	two compound fractures	-2.875	9.625	-5.25
15.	male	one simple fracture	-13.16667	.8333333	-4.166667
16.	male	one simple fracture	6.833333	-2.166667	3.833333
17.	male	one simple fracture	7.833333	8.833333	-7.166667
18.	male	one simple fracture	3.833333	-1.166667	1.833333
19.	male	one simple fracture	9.833333	-4.166667	1.833333
20.	male	one simple fracture	-15.16667	-2.166667	3.833333
21.	female	one compound fracture	0	0	0
22.	female	two compound fractures	-8.75	-4.25	4
23.	female	two compound fractures	7.25	-8.25	9
24.	female	two compound fractures	-9.75	3.75	-5
25.	female	two compound fractures	11.25	8.75	-8
26.	female	one simple fracture	6.5	-3	3.5
27.	female	one simple fracture	-6.5	3	-3.5

The single observation for a female with one compound fracture has residuals that are within roundoff of zero. With only 1 observation for that cell of the design, this MANOVA model is forced to fit to that point. The largest residual (in absolute value) appears for observation 12, which has an age 25.125 higher than the model prediction for a male with two compound fractures.

◀

► Example 4: manovatest

Example 8 of [MV] **manova** presents a nested MANOVA on the videotrainer data.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r13/videotrainer, clear
(video training)
. manova primary extra = video / store|video / associate|store|video /,
> dropemptycells
(output omitted)
```

The MANOVA indicated that `store` was not significant.

You decide to follow the rule of thumb that says to pool terms whose p -values are larger than 0.25. Wilks' lambda reports a p -value of 0.5775 for the test of `store|video` (see [example 8](#) of [\[MV\] manova](#)). You decide to pool the `store` and `associate` terms in the MANOVA to gain power for the test of `video`. The forward-slash notation of `manova` is also allowed with `manovatest` to indicate nonresidual error terms. Here is the multivariate test of `video` using the pooled `store` and `associate` terms and then the multivariate test of the pooled term:

```
. manovatest video / store|video associate|store|video
```

		W = Wilks' lambda	L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace	R = Roy's largest root			
Source	Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) =	F	Prob>F
video	W	0.4079	1	2.0	9.0	6.53 0.0177 e
	P	0.5921		2.0	9.0	6.53 0.0177 e
	L	1.4516		2.0	9.0	6.53 0.0177 e
	R	1.4516		2.0	9.0	6.53 0.0177 e
store video associate store video			10			

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

```
. manovatest store|video associate|store|video
```

		W = Wilks' lambda	L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace	R = Roy's largest root			
Source	Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) =	F	Prob>F
store video associate store video	W	0.3925	10	20.0	58.0	1.73 0.0546 e
	P	0.7160		20.0	60.0	1.67 0.0647 a
	L	1.2711		20.0	56.0	1.78 0.0469 a
	R	0.9924		10.0	30.0	2.98 0.0100 u
Residual			30			

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

Pooling `store` with `associate` helps increase the power for the test of `video`.

You can show the univariate analysis for one of your dependent variables by using the `ytransform()` option of `manovatest`:

```
. mat primonly = (1,0)
. manovatest video / store|video associate|store|video, ytransform(primonly)
```

Transformation of the dependent variables

```
(1) primary
```

		W = Wilks' lambda	L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace	R = Roy's largest root			
Source	Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) =	F	Prob>F
video	W	0.8449	1	1.0	10.0	1.84 0.2053 e
	P	0.1551		1.0	10.0	1.84 0.2053 e
	L	0.1835		1.0	10.0	1.84 0.2053 e
	R	0.1835		1.0	10.0	1.84 0.2053 e
store video associate store video			10			

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

```
. manovatest store|video associate|store|video, ytransform(primonly)
```

Transformation of the dependent variables

```
(1) primary
```

		W = Wilks' lambda	L = Lawley-Hotelling trace			
		P = Pillai's trace	R = Roy's largest root			
Source	Statistic	df	F(df1,	df2) =	F	Prob>F
store video associate store video	W	0.6119	10	10.0	30.0	1.90 0.0846 e
	L	0.3881		10.0	30.0	1.90 0.0846 e
	P	0.6344		10.0	30.0	1.90 0.0846 e
	R	0.6344		10.0	30.0	1.90 0.0846 e
Residual		30				

e = exact, a = approximate, u = upper bound on F

See the second manova run from [example 8](#) of [\[MV\] manova](#) for an alternate way of pooling the terms by refitting the MANOVA model.

◀

See [examples 6, 10, 11, 12, and 13](#) of [\[MV\] manova](#) for more examples of `manovatest`, including examples involving both the `test()` and the `ytransform()` options.

Stored results

`manovatest` stores the following in `r()`:

Scalars

```
r(df)          hypothesis degrees of freedom
r(df_r)        residual degrees of freedom
```

Matrices

```
r(H)          hypothesis SSCP matrix
r(E)          residual-error SSCP matrix
r(stat)       multivariate statistics
r(eigvals)    eigenvalues of E-1H
r(aux)        s, m, and n values
```

`test` after `manova` stores the following in `r()`:

Scalars

```
r(p)          two-sided p-value
r(F)          F statistic
r(df)         hypothesis degrees of freedom
r(df_r)       residual degrees of freedom
r(drop)       0 if no constraints dropped, 1 otherwise
r(dropped_#) index of #th constraint dropped
```

Macros

```
r(mtmeth)     method of adjustment for multiple testing
```

Matrices

```
r(mttest)     multiple test results
```

Methods and formulas

See [\[MV\] manova](#) for methods and formulas for the multivariate tests performed by `manovatest`.

Also see

- [MV] [manova](#) — Multivariate analysis of variance and covariance
- [MV] [screepplot](#) — Scree plot
- [U] [20 Estimation and postestimation commands](#)