Title

inbase() — Base conversion

Syntax	Description	Remarks and examples	Conformability
Diagnostics	Reference	Also see	

Syntax

string matrix inbase(real scalar base, real matrix $x \mid$, real scalar fdigits \mid , err $\mid \mid$) real matrix frombase(real scalar base, string matrix s)

Description

inbase(base, x) returns a string matrix containing the values of x in base base.

inbase(base, x, fdigits) does the same; fdigits specifies the maximum number of digits to the right of the base point to appear in the returned result when x has a fractional part. inbase(base, x) is equivalent to inbase(base, x, 8).

inbase(base, x, fdigits, err) is the same as inbase(base, x, fdigits), except that it returns in err the difference between x and the converted result.

x = frombase(base, s) is the inverse of s = inbase(base, x). It returns base base number s as a number. We are tempted to say, "as a number in base 10", but that is not exactly true. It returns the result as a *real*, that is, as an IEEE base-2 double-precision float that, when you display it, is displayed in base 10.

Remarks and examples

stata.com

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Positive integers Negative integers Numbers with nonzero fractional parts Use of the functions

Positive integers

inbase(2, 1691) is 11010011011; that is, 1691 base 10 equals 11010011011 base 2. frombase(2, "11010011011") is 1691.

inbase(3, 1691) is 2022122; that is, 1691 base 10 equals 2022122 base 3. frombase(3, "2022122") is 1691.

inbase(16, 1691) is 69b; that is, 1691 base 10 equals 1691 base 16. frombase(16, "69b") is 1691. (The base-16 digits are 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, a, b, c, d, e, f.)

inbase(62, 1691) is rh; that is, 1691 base 10 equals rh base 62. frombase(62, "rh") is 1691. (The base-62 digits are 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, a, b, ..., z, A, B, ..., Z.)

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the integers in different bases. The error of the conversion is always zero.

Negative integers

Negative integers are no different from positive integers. For instance, inbase(2, -1691) is -11010011011; that is, -1691 base 10 equals -11010011011 base 2. frombase(2, "-11010011011") is -1691.

The error of the conversion is always zero.

Numbers with nonzero fractional parts

inbase(2, 3.5) is 11.1; that is, 3.5 base 10 equals 11.1 base 2. frombase(2, "11.1") is 3.5.

inbase(3, 3.5) is 10.11111111.

Therefore, 3.5 base 10 equals 1.1111... in base 3. There is no exact representation of one-half in base 3. The errors of the above three conversions are .0000762079, 1.433399e-10, and 2.45650e-15. Those are the values that would be returned in *err* if inbase(3, 3.5, *fdigits*, *err*) were coded.

frombase(3, "10.11111111") is 3.499923792.

frombase(3, "10.1111111111111111111) is 3.4999999998566.

frombase(3, "10.11111111111111111111111111111111)) is 3.49999999999999734.

inbase(16, 3.5) is 3.8; that is, 3.5 base 10 equals 3.8 base 16. The error is zero. frombase(16, "3.8") is 3.5.

inbase(62, 3.5) is 3.v; that is, 3.5 base 10 equals 3.v base 62. frombase(62, "3.v") is 3.5. The error is zero.

In inbase(*base*, x, *fdigits*), *fdigits* specifies the maximum number of digits to appear to the right of the base point. *fdigits* is required to be greater than or equal to 1. inbase(16, 3.5, *fdigits*) will be 3.8 regardless of the value of *fdigits* because more digits are unnecessary.

The error that is returned in inbase (*base*, x, *fdigits*, *err*) can be an understatement. For instance, inbase (16, .1, 14, *err*) is 0.1999999999999 and returned in *err* is 0 even though there is no finite-digit representation of 0.1 base 10 in base 16. That is because the .1 you specified in the call was not actually 0.1 base 10. The computer that you are using is binary, and it converted the .1 you typed to

before inbase() was ever called. 0.1999999999999 base 16 is an exact representation of that number.

Use of the functions

These functions are used mainly for teaching, especially on the sources and avoidance of roundoff error; see Gould (2006).

The functions can have a use in data processing, however, when used with integer arguments. You have a dataset with 10-digit identification numbers. You wish to record the 10-digit number, but more densely. You could convert the number to base 62. The largest 10-digit ID number possible is 9999999999, or aUKYOz base 62. You can record the ID numbers in a six-character string by using inbase(). If you needed the original numbers back, you could use frombase().

In a similar way, Stata internally uses base 36 for naming temporary files, and that was important when filenames were limited to eight characters. Base 36 allows Stata to generate up to 2,821,109,907,455 filenames before wrapping of filenames occurs.

Conformability

<pre>inbase(base, x, fdigit</pre>	ts, err):	
input:		
base:	1×1	
<i>x</i> :	$r \times c$	
fdigits:	1×1	(optional)
output:		
err:	$r \times c$	(optional)
result:	$r \times c$	
<pre>frombase(base, s):</pre>		
base:	1×1	
s:	$r \times c$	
result:	$r \times c$	

Diagnostics

The digits used by inbase()/frombase() to encode/decode results are

00	10 a	20 k	<i>30</i> u	<i>40</i> E	<i>50</i> O	<i>60</i> Y
11	<i>11</i> b	<i>21</i> 1	<i>31</i> v	41 F	51 P	<i>61</i> Z
22	<i>12</i> c	22 m	32 w	<i>42</i> G	52 Q	
33	<i>13</i> d	23 n	<i>33</i> x	<i>43</i> H	53 R	
44	<i>14</i> e	24 o	<i>34</i> y	44 I	54 S	
55	<i>15</i> f	25 p	35 z	<i>45</i> J	55 T	
66	<i>16</i> g	26 q	<i>36</i> A	<i>46</i> K	56 U	
77	17 h	27 r	<i>37</i> B	47 L	57 V	
88	<i>18</i> i	28 s	38 C	48 M	58 W	
99	19 ј	29 t	<i>39</i> D	49 N	59 X	

When $base \leq 36$, frombase() treats A, B, C, ..., as if they were a, b, c,

inbase (base, x, fdigits, err) returns . (missing) if base < 2, base > 62, base is not an integer, or x is missing. If fdigits is less than 1 or fdigits is missing, results are as if fdigits = 8 were specified.

from base (base, s) returns . (missing) if base < 2, base > 62, base is not an integer, or s is missing; if s is not a valid base base number; or if the converted value of s is greater than 8.988e+307 in absolute value.

Reference

Gould, W. W. 2006. Mata Matters: Precision. Stata Journal 6: 550-560.

Also see

[M-4] mathematical — Important mathematical functions