#### spxtregress — Spatial autoregressive models for panel data

Description Syntax Remarks and examples References Quick start Options for spxtregress, fe Stored results Also see Menu Options for spxtregress, re Methods and formulas

# Description

spxtregress fits spatial autoregressive (SAR) models, also known as simultaneous autoregressive models, for panel data. The commands spxtregress, fe and spxtregress, re are extensions of xtreg, fe and xtreg, re for spatial data; see [XT] **xtreg**.

If you have not read [SP] Intro 1–[SP] Intro 8, you should do so before using spxtregress.

To use spxtregress, your data must be Sp data and xtset. See [SP] Intro 3 for instructions on how to prepare your data.

To specify spatial lags, you will need to have one or more spatial weighting matrices. See [SP] Intro 2 and [SP] spmatrix for an explanation of the types of weighting matrices and how to create them.

## **Quick start**

SAR fixed-effects model of y on x1 and x2 with a spatial lag of y specified by the spatial weighting matrix W

spxtregress y x1 x2, fe dvarlag(W)

Add a spatially lagged error term also specified by W

spxtregress y x1 x2, fe dvarlag(W) errorlag(W)

Add spatial lags of covariates x1 and x2

spxtregress y x1 x2, fe dvarlag(W) errorlag(W) ivarlag(W: x1 x2)

Add an additional spatial lag of the covariates specified by the matrix M

```
spxtregress y x1 x2, fe dvarlag(W) errorlag(W) ivarlag(W: x1 x2) ///
ivarlag(M: x1 x2)
```

SAR random-effects model

```
spxtregress y x1 x2, re dvarlag(W) errorlag(W) ivarlag(W: x1 x2) ///
ivarlag(M: x1 x2)
```

An re model with panel effects that follow the same spatial process as the errors using sarpanel

spxtregress y x1 x2, re sarpanel dvarlag(W) errorlag(W) ///
ivarlag(W: x1 x2) ivarlag(M: x1 x2)

# Menu

Statistics > Spatial autoregressive models

# Syntax

```
Fixed-effects maximum likelihood
spxtregress depvar [indepvars] [if] [in], fe [fe_options]
```

### Random-effects maximum likelihood

```
spxtregress depvar [indepvars] [if] [in], re [re_options]
```

fe_options	Description
Model	
*fe	use fixed-effects estimator
<pre>dvarlag(spmatname)</pre>	spatially lagged dependent variable
<pre>errorlag(spmatname)</pre>	spatially lagged errors
<pre>ivarlag(spmatname : varlist)</pre>	spatially lagged independent variables; repeatable
force	allow estimation when estimation sample is a subset of the sample used to create the spatial weighting matrix
<pre>gridsearch(#)</pre>	resolution of the initial-value search grid; seldom used
Reporting	
<u>l</u> evel(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics

re_options	Description
Model	
* re	use random-effects estimator
<pre>dvarlag(spmatname)</pre>	spatially lagged dependent variable
<u>err</u> orlag( <i>spmatname</i> )	spatially lagged errors
<pre>ivarlag(spmatname : varlist)</pre>	spatially lagged independent variables; repeatable
sarpanel	alternative formulation of the estimator in which the panel effects follow the same spatial process as the errors
<u>nocons</u> tant	suppress constant term
force	allow estimation when estimation sample is a subset of the sample used to create the spatial weighting matrix
Reporting	
level(#)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>coefl</u> egend	display legend instead of statistics

\* You must specify either fe or re.

indepvars and varlist specified in ivarlag() may contain factor variables; see [U] 11.4.3 Factor variables.

collect is allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

coeflegend does not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

# Options for spxtregress, fe

Model
-------

fe requests the fixed-effects regression estimator.

- dvarlag(*spmatname*) specifies a spatial weighting matrix that defines a spatial lag of the dependent variable. Only one dvarlag() option may be specified. By default, no spatial lags of the dependent variable are included.
- errorlag(*spmatname*) specifies a spatial weighting matrix that defines a spatially lagged error. Only one errorlag() option may be specified. By default, no spatially lagged errors are included.
- ivarlag(spmatname : varlist) specifies a spatial weighting matrix and a list of independent variables that define spatial lags of the variables. This option is repeatable to allow spatial lags created from different matrices. By default, no spatial lags of the independent variables are included.
- force requests that estimation be done when the estimation sample is a proper subset of the sample used to create the spatial weighting matrices. The default is to refuse to fit the model. Weighting matrices potentially connect all the spatial units. When the estimation sample is a subset of this space, the spatial connections differ and spillover effects can be altered. In addition, the normalization of the weighting matrix differs from what it would have been had the matrix been normalized over the

estimation sample. The better alternative to force is first to understand the spatial space of the estimation sample and, if it is sensible, then create new weighting matrices for it. See [SP] **spmatrix** and *Missing values, dropped observations, and the W matrix* in [SP] **Intro 2**.

gridsearch(#) specifies the resolution of the initial-value search grid. The default is gridsearch(0.1). You may specify any number between 0.001 and 0.1 inclusive.

Reporting

level(#); see [R] Estimation options.

```
display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels,
allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt),
sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.
```

Maximization

```
maximize_options: difficult, technique(algorithm_spec), iterate(#), [no]log, trace,
gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), ltolerance(#),
nrtolerance(#), and nonrtolerance; see [R] Maximize.
```

The following option is available with spxtregress, fe but is not shown in the dialog box:

coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

## Options for spxtregress, re

Model

re requests the generalized least-squares random-effects estimator.

- dvarlag(*spmatname*) specifies a spatial weighting matrix that defines a spatial lag of the dependent variable. Only one dvarlag() option may be specified. By default, no spatial lags of the dependent variable are included.
- errorlag(*spmatname*) specifies a spatial weighting matrix that defines a spatially lagged error. Only one errorlag() option may be specified. By default, no spatially lagged errors are included.
- ivarlag(spmatname : varlist) specifies a spatial weighting matrix and a list of independent variables that define spatial lags of the variables. This option is repeatable to allow spatial lags created from different matrices. By default, no spatial lags of the independent variables are included.
- sarpanel requests an alternative formulation of the estimator in which the panel effects follow the same spatial process as the errors. By default, the panel effects are included in the estimation equation as an additive term, just as they are in the standard nonspatial random-effects model. When sarpanel and errorlag(spmatname) are specified, the panel effects also have a spatial autoregressive form based on spmatname. If errorlag() is not specified with sarpanel, the estimator is identical to the estimator when sarpanel is not specified. The sarpanel estimator was originally developed by Kapoor, Kelejian, and Prucha (2007); see Methods and formulas.
- noconstant; see [R] Estimation options.
- force requests that estimation be done when the estimation sample is a proper subset of the sample used to create the spatial weighting matrices. The default is to refuse to fit the model. This is the same force option described for use with spxtregress, fe.

Reporting

level(#); see [R] Estimation options.

display\_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(#), fvwrapon(style), cformat(%fmt), pformat(%fmt), sformat(%fmt), and nolstretch; see [R] Estimation options.

Maximization

maximize\_options: difficult, technique(algorithm\_spec), iterate(#), [no]log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(#), ltolerance(#), nrtolerance(#), and nonrtolerance; see [R] Maximize.

The following option is available with spxtregress, re but is not shown in the dialog box: coeflegend; see [R] Estimation options.

## **Remarks and examples**

See [SP] Intro for an overview of SAR models.

Datasets for Sp panel models contain observations on geographical areas or other units with multiple observations on each unit. See [SP] **Intro 3** for an explanation of how to work with Sp panel data. The data must be xtset and must be strongly balanced. There must be a within-panel identifier, a variable indicating time or the equivalent, and the values of this identifier must be the same for every panel. The command spbalance will strongly balance datasets that are not strongly balanced. See [SP] **Intro 3**, [SP] **Intro 7**, and [SP] **spbalance**.

Remarks and examples are presented under the following headings:

Sp panel models The fixed-effects model The random-effects model The random-effects model with autoregressive panel effects Differences among models Examples

### Sp panel models

Both the fixed-effects and the random-effects models for spatial panel data can be written as

$$\mathbf{y}_{nt} = \lambda \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{X}_{nt} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{u}_{nt}$$
  
$$\mathbf{u}_{nt} = \rho \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{v}_{nt} \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{y}_{nt} = (y_{1t}, y_{2t}, \dots, y_{nt})'$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of observations for the dependent variable for time period t with n number of panels;  $\mathbf{X}_{nt}$  is a matrix of time-varying regressors;  $\mathbf{c}_n$  is a vector of panel-level effects;  $\mathbf{u}_{nt}$  is the spatially lagged error;  $\mathbf{v}_{nt}$  is a vector of disturbances and is independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) across panels and time with variance  $\sigma^2$ ; and  $\mathbf{W}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are spatial weighting matrices.

### The fixed-effects model

For fixed effects, spxtregress, fe implements the quasimaximum likelihood (QML) estimator in Lee and Yu (2010a) to fit the model. A transformation is used to eliminate the fixed effects from the equations, yielding

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{nt} &= \lambda \mathbf{W} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{nt} + \mathbf{X}_{nt} \beta + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} &= \rho \mathbf{M} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt} \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T-1 \end{split}$$

Panel effects, which are effects that are constant within panels, are conditioned out of the likelihood. Only covariates that vary within panels can be fit with this estimator.

#### The random-effects model

For random effects, spxtregress, re assumes that  $\mathbf{c}_n$  in (1) is normal i.i.d. across panels with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}^2$ . The output of spxtregress, re displays estimates of  $\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}$ , labeled as /sigma\_u, and  $\sigma$ , labeled as /sigma\_e, which is consistent with how xtreg, re labels the output.

#### The random-effects model with autoregressive panel effects

The sarpanel option for random-effects models fits a slightly different set of equations from (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{nt} &= \lambda \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{X}_{nt} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{u}_{nt} \\ \mathbf{u}_{nt} &= \boldsymbol{\rho} \, \mathbf{M} \, \mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{v}_{nt}, \end{aligned} \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T \end{aligned}$$

In this variant due to Kapoor, Kelejian, and Prucha (2007), the panel-level effects  $\mathbf{c}_n$  are considered a disturbance in the error equation. Because  $\mathbf{c}_n$  enters the equation as an additive term next to  $\mathbf{v}_{nt}$ , the panel-level effects  $\mathbf{c}_n$  have the same autoregressive form as the time-level errors  $\mathbf{v}_{nt}$ .

#### **Differences among models**

All three of the models—fe, re, and re sarpanel—are fit using maximum likelihood (ML) estimation. The differences are 1) fe removes the panel-level effects from the estimation and no distributional assumptions are made about them; 2) re models the panel-level effects as normal i.i.d.; and 3) re sarpanel assumes a normal distribution for panel-level effects but with the same autoregressive form as the time-level errors. The fe model allows the panel-level effects to be correlated with the observed covariates, whereas the re models require that the panel-level effects are independent of the observed covariates. See Methods and formulas for details. Also see Choosing weighting matrices and their normalization in [SP] spregress; the discussion there applies to these three estimation models.

### **Examples**

#### Example 1: spxtregress, re

We have data on the homicide rate in counties in southern states of the US for the years 1960, 1970, 1980, and 1990. homicide\_1960\_1990.dta contains hrate, the county-level homicide rate per year per 100,000 persons for each of the four years. It also contains ln\_population, the logarithm of the county population; ln\_pdensity, the logarithm of the population density; and gini, the Gini coefficient for the county, a measure of income inequality where larger values represent more inequality (Gini 1909). The data are an extract of the data originally used by Messner et al. (2000); see Britt (1994) for a literature review of the topic. The 1990 data are used in the examples in [SP] spregress.

We used spshape2dta to convert shapefiles into Stata . dta files, and then we merged the data file by county ID with our homicide-rate data. See [SP] Intro 4, [SP] Intro 7, [SP] spshape2dta, and [SP] spset.

Because the analysis dataset and the Stata-formatted shapefile must be in our working directory to spset the data, we first save both homicide\_1960\_1990.dta and homicide\_1960\_1990\_shp.dta to our working directory by using the copy command. We then load the data and type spset to see the Sp settings.

```
. copy https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/homicide_1960_1990.dta .
. copy https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/homicide_1960_1990_shp.dta .
. use homicide_1960_1990
(S.Messner et al.(2000), U.S southern county homicide rate in 1960-1990)
. spset
        Sp dataset: homicide_1960_1990.dta
Linked shapefile: homicide_1960_1990_shp.dta
        Data: Cross sectional
Spatial-unit ID: _ID
        Coordinates: _CX, _CY (planar)
variable _ID does not uniquely identify the observations in the master data
r(459);
```

We get an error! The data have not been xtset, and spxtregress requires it. Our data consist of 1,412 counties, and for each county we have data for four years. Our data look like this:

. list \_ID year in 1/8, sepby(\_ID)

	_ID	year
1. 2. 3.	876 876 876	1960 1970 1980
4. E	876	1990
5. 6. 7	921 921	1960 1970
7. 8.	921 921	1980

We type

```
. xtset _ID year
Panel variable: _ID (strongly balanced)
Time variable: year, 1960 to 1990, but with gaps
Delta: 1 unit
```

xtset reports that our data are strongly balanced. Each county has data for the same four years. spxtregress requires the data to be strongly balanced. Missing values in our variables could cause the estimation sample to be unbalanced. The Sp panel estimators will complain, and we will have to make the data strongly balanced for the nonmissing values of the variables in our model. If you get a message that your data are not strongly balanced, see [SP] spbalance.

After having xtset our data, we type spset to check our Sp settings.

. xtreg hrate ln population ln pdensity gini i.year, re

```
. spset
Sp dataset: homicide_1960_1990.dta
Linked shapefile: homicide_1960_1990_shp.dta
Data: Panel
Spatial-unit ID: _ID
Time ID: year (see xtset)
Coordinates: _CX, _CY (planar)
```

We first run a nonspatial random-effects model by using xtreg, re and include dummies for the years by using the i.year factor-variable notation.

0			. 0				
Random-effects	andom-effects GLS regression roup variable: ID				of obs	=	5,648
Group variable	e: _ID			Number of groups = 1,41			
R-squared:				Obs per	group:		
Within =	= 0.0478				m	in =	4
Between =	= 0.1666				a	vg =	4.0
Overall =	= 0.0905				m	ax =	4
				Wald ch	i2(6)	=	414.32
<pre>corr(u_i, X) =</pre>	= 0 (assumed)			Prob >	chi2	=	0.0000
hrate	Coefficient	Std. err.	Z	P> z	[95%	conf.	interval]
ln_populat~n	.4394103	.1830599	2.40	0.016	.0806	194	.7982012
ln_pdensity	.3220698	.1591778	2.02	0.043	.0100	872	.6340525
gini	34.43792	2.905163	11.85	0.000	28.7	439	40.13193
year							
1970	1.411074	.2579218	5.47	0.000	.9055	562	1.916591
1980	1.347822	.2499977	5.39	0.000	.8578	352	1.837808
1990	.3668468	.2648395	1.39	0.166	1522	291	.8859228
_cons	-10.07267	1.800932	-5.59	0.000	-13.60	243	-6.542908
sigma u	3.5995346						
sigma e	5.646151						
rho	.28898083	(fraction	of varia	nce due t	o u_i)		

We emphasize that you can ignore the spatial aspect of the data and use any of Stata's estimation commands even though the data are spatial. Doing that is often a good idea. It provides a baseline against which you can compare subsequent spatial results.

We are now going to fit a spatial random-effects model. To do that, we need a spatial weighting matrix. We will create one that puts the same positive weight on contiguous counties and a 0 weight on all other counties—a matrix known as a contiguity matrix. We will use the default spectral normalization for this example. See [SP] **spmatrix create**. When we create the matrix, we must restrict spmatrix create to one observation per panel. That is easy to do using an if statement:

```
. spmatrix create contiguity W if year == 1990
```

Do not misinterpret the purpose of if year == 1990. The matrix created will be appropriate for creating spatial lags for any year, because our map does not change. If two counties share a border in 1990, they share it in the other years too.

We can now fit our model. We include a spatial lag of the dependent variable and a spatially autoregressive error term.

```
. spxtregress hrate ln_population ln_pdensity gini i.year, re dvarlag(W)
> errorlag(W)
  (5648 observations)
  (5648 observations used)
  (data contain 1412 panels (places) )
  (weighting matrix defines 1412 places)
Fitting starting values:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -13299.332
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -13298.431
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -13298.43
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -13298.43
Optimizing concentrated log likelihood:
Initial:
              Log likelihood = -18820.927
Improvement: Log likelihood = -18820.927
Rescale:
              Log likelihood = -18820.927
Rescale eq:
              Log likelihood = -18483.005
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -18483.005
                                           (not concave)
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -18451.345
                                           (not concave)
Iteration 2: Log likelihood = -18447.132 (not concave)
Iteration 3: Log likelihood = -18446.759 (not concave)
Iteration 4: Log likelihood = -18446.726 (not concave)
Iteration 5: Log likelihood = -18446.684 (not concave)
Iteration 6: Log likelihood = -18446.624 (not concave)
Iteration 7: Log likelihood = -18446.548 (not concave)
Iteration 8: Log likelihood = -18446.424 (not concave)
Iteration 9: Log likelihood = -18446.222
                                           (not concave)
Iteration 10: Log likelihood = -18445.915
                                           (not concave)
Iteration 11: Log likelihood = -18445.441
                                           (not concave)
Iteration 12: Log likelihood = -18444.638
                                           (not concave)
Iteration 13: Log likelihood = -18442.571
                                           (not concave)
Iteration 14: Log likelihood = -18436.616
                                           (not concave)
Iteration 15: Log likelihood = -18421.843
                                            (not concave)
Iteration 16: Log likelihood = -18391.585
                                            (not concave)
Iteration 17: Log likelihood = -18352.36
Iteration 18: Log likelihood = -18340.381
Iteration 19: Log likelihood = -18339.924
Iteration 20: Log likelihood = -18339.923
Optimizing unconcentrated log likelihood:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -18339.923
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -18339.923
                                            (backed up)
```

Random-effect: Group variable	ression		Number Number Obs per	of obs = of groups = group =	5,648 1,412 4	
				Wald ch	= =	1729.66
				Prob >	chi2 =	0.0000
Log likelihood	d = -1.834e+04			Pseudo	R2 =	0.0882
hrate	Coefficient	Std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
hrate						
ln_populat~n	3098854	.1535099	-2.02	0.044	6107594	0090115
<pre>ln_pdensity</pre>	.787614	.1302375	6.05	0.000	.5323532	1.042875
gini	20.85894	2.460158	8.48	0.000	16.03711	25.68076
year						
1970	.3204617	.1775977	1.80	0.071	0276234	.6685467
1980	.3258412	.1698929	1.92	0.055	0071428	.6588251
1990	154071	.1812575	-0.85	0.395	5093291	.2011871
_cons	-3.788639	1.519158	-2.49	0.013	-6.766133	8111444
W						
hrate	.6323004	.0238432	26.52	0.000	.5855685	.6790323
e.hrate	5857579	.0510887	-11.47	0.000	68589	4856258
/sigma_u	2.953134	.1061361			2.752269	3.168659
/sigma_e	5.342575	.066009			5.214754	5.47353
Wald test of a	spatial terms:		chi2(2) =	916.62	Prob > chi	12 = 0.0000

spxtregress, re first fits an spxtregress, fe model to get starting values. Then, it optimizes the concentrated log likelihood and then optimizes the unconcentrated log likelihood. The final log likelihood of the concentrated will always be equal to the optimized log likelihood of the unconcentrated. The unconcentrated starts at the right point, takes a step to check that it is the right point, backs up to this point, and declares convergence as it should.

We can compare estimates of /sigma\_u, the standard deviation of the panel effects, and /sigma\_e, the standard deviation of the errors, with those fit by xtreg, re. They are similar. We cannot, however, directly compare the coefficient estimates with those of xtreg, re. When a spatial lag of the dependent variable is included in the model, covariates have both direct and indirect effects, as explained in example 1 of [SP] spregress. To obtain the direct, indirect, and total effects of the covariates, we must use estat impact.

Here are the averages of the effects of gini:

. estat	; impact	t gini						
progres	s :10	00%						
Average impacts					Number of	obs	=	5,648
			Delta-Method					
		dy/dx	std. err.	Z	P> z	[95%	conf.	interval]
direct								
	gini	22.44194	2.603161	8.62	0.000	17.33	3984	27.54405
indired	t							
	gini	25.91472	3.250081	7.97	0.000	19.54	1468	32.28476
total								
	gini	48.35667	5.49626	8.80	0.000	37.5	5842	59.12914

The percentages at the top of the output indicate progress in the estimation process. For large datasets, calculating standard errors of the effects can be time consuming, so estat impact reports its progress as it does the computations.

gini has significant average direct and average indirect effects on hrate, with both being positive. An increase in inequality is associated with an increase in the homicide rate.

We used a contiguity weighting matrix W for the spatial lags. Alternatively, we can use a weighting matrix based on the inverse distance between counties. We create this matrix, using again the default spectral normalization:

-			
Weighting matrix name	N x N	Туре	Normalization
M	1412 x 1412 1412 x 1412	idistance contiguity	spectral spectral

. spmatrix create idistance M if year == 1990

. spmatrix dir

We would like to know if the effects of gini differ over time, so we include an interaction of gini and year in our model, and we use the weighting matrix M that we just created.

```
. spxtregress hrate ln_population ln_pdensity c.gini##i.year, re
> dvarlag(M) errorlag(M)
  (5648 observations)
  (5648 observations used)
  (data contain 1412 panels (places) )
  (weighting matrix defines 1412 places)
 (output omitted)
Random-effects spatial regression
                                                 Number of obs
                                                                           5,648
                                                                   =
Group variable: _ID
                                                 Number of groups
                                                                           1,412
                                                                   =
                                                 Obs per group
                                                                   =
                                                                               4
                                                 Wald chi2(10)
                                                                   =
                                                                         1171.75
                                                 Prob > chi2
                                                                   =
                                                                          0.0000
                                                 Pseudo R2
Log likelihood = -1.825e+04
                                                                   =
                                                                          0.1203
       hrate
               Coefficient Std. err.
                                                 P>|z|
                                                           [95% conf. interval]
                                            7.
hrate
ln_populat~n
                  .609902
                              .17523
                                          3.48
                                                 0.001
                                                           .2664576
                                                                        .9533464
 ln pdensity
                 .0393011
                             .165359
                                          0.24
                                                 0.812
                                                          -.2847965
                                                                        .3633987
                 18.70902
                            4.410229
                                          4.24
                                                 0.000
                                                           10.06513
                                                                        27.3529
        gini
        year
                                        -0.67
       1970
                -1.590303
                            2.368908
                                                 0.502
                                                          -6.233278
                                                                       3.052671
       1980
                -8.931994
                            2.559385
                                         -3.49
                                                 0.000
                                                           -13.9483
                                                                      -3.915691
       1990
                -23.03985
                            2.614015
                                         -8.81
                                                 0.000
                                                          -28.16323
                                                                      -17.91648
 year#c.gini
       1970
                 6.403465
                            6.289578
                                          1.02
                                                 0.309
                                                          -5.923882
                                                                       18.73081
       1980
                 25.16987
                            6.860551
                                          3.67
                                                 0.000
                                                           11.72344
                                                                        38.6163
       1990
                                          8.58
                 59.24389
                            6.905721
                                                 0.000
                                                           45.70893
                                                                       72.77886
       _cons
                 -8.58862
                            2.201284
                                         -3.90
                                                 0.000
                                                          -12.90306
                                                                      -4.274182
М
                  .4617741
                             .0856766
                                          5.39
                                                 0.000
                                                            .2938512
                                                                        .6296971
       hrate
     e.hrate
                 2.873506
                              .052301
                                         54.94
                                                 0.000
                                                           2.770998
                                                                        2.976014
    /sigma u
                 2.590024
                               .11563
                                                           2.373026
                                                                        2.826866
    /sigma e
                 5.648609
                             .0619266
                                                           5.528529
                                                                        5.771296
Wald test of spatial terms:
                                      chi2(2) = 3100.19
                                                           Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
```

Using the contrast command, we test the significance of the gini and year interaction:

. contrasts c.gini#year Contrasts of marginal linear predictions Margins: asbalanced

	df	chi2	P>chi2
hrate year#c.gini	3	82.07	0.0000

The interaction is significant. We can explore the effect of gini by year using estat impact with an if statement.

. estat	impact	gini if ye	ar == 1960				
progress	s :100	0%					
Average	impacts	3			Number of	obs =	1,412
		dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
direct	gini	18.72012	4.412516	4.24	0.000	10.07175	27.36849
indirec	t gini	14.82361	5.961747	2.49	0.013	3.138797	26.50842
total	gini	33.54373	9.108892	3.68	0.000	15.69063	51.39683
. estat	impact s :100	gini if ye 0%	ar == 1970				
Average	impacts	3			Number of	obs =	1,412
		dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
direct	gini	25.12739	5.103053	4.92	0.000	15.12558	35.12919
indirect	t gini	19.89723	7.829945	2.54	0.011	4.550818	35.24364
total	gini	45.02461	11.27478	3.99	0.000	22.92644	67.12278
. estat	impact	gini if ye	ar == 1980				
progress Average	s :100 impacts	0% 5			Number of	obs =	1,412
		dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
direct	gini	43.90493	5.740717	7.65	0.000	32.65333	55.15653
indirec	t gini	34.76631	12.69235	2.74	0.006	9.889756	59.64286
total	gini	78.67124	15.6742	5.02	0.000	47.95036	109.3921

. estat	t impact	z gini if yea:	r == 1990				
progres	ss :10	00%					
Average	Average impacts				Number	of obs =	1,412
		]	Delta-Method				
		dy/dx	std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
direct							
	gini	77.99918	5.761114	13.54	0.000	66.7076	89.29075
indired	ct						
	gini	61.76398	21.61579	2.86	0.004	19.39781	104.1302
total							
	gini	139.7632	23.56429	5.93	0.000	93.578	185.9483

The if year == · · · statement used with estat impact allows us to estimate the average effects for each year. The direct, indirect, and total effects of gini trend upward.

Until now, we used the default form of the random-effects estimator. Let's run the command again, specifying the sarpanel option to use the alternative form of the estimator, where the panel-level effects have the same autoregressive form as the time-level errors.

<pre>. spxtregress &gt; dvarlag(M) ( (5648 obser (5648 obser (data conta: (weighting n)</pre>	hrate ln_popu errorlag(M) vations) vations used) in 1412 panels matrix defines	lation ln_p (places) ) 1412 place	odensity ( es)	c.gini##i	.year, re sa	rpanel
(output omitted	1)					
Random-effect:	s spatial regr	ession		Number	of obs =	5,648
Group variable		Number	1,412			
				Obs per	group =	4
				Wald ch	i2(10) =	1136.45
				Prob >	chi2 =	0.0000
Log likelihoo	d = -1.824e+04			Pseudo	R2 =	0.1177
hrate	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf	. interval]
hrate						
ln_populat~n	.4366798	.1752512	2.49	0.013	.0931937	.7801659
ln_pdensity	.1895948	.1641341	1.16	0.248	1321021	.5112917
gini	18.92325	4.426252	4.28	0.000	10.24796	27.59855
year						
1970	9590604	2.36202	-0.41	0.685	-5.588534	3.670414
1980	-8.197786	2.554533	-3.21	0.001	-13.20458	-3.190994
1990	-22.41892	2.610182	-8.59	0.000	-27.53479	-17.30306
year#c.gini						
1970	5.865816	6.25531	0.94	0.348	-6.394367	18.126
1980	24.20332	6.834274	3.54	0.000	10.80839	37.59825
1990	58.38274	6.88197	8.48	0.000	44.89433	71.87116
_cons	-6.535994	2.257859	-2.89	0.004	-10.96132	-2.110671
M						
hrate	.3317503	.096714	3.43	0.001	.1421944	.5213063
e.hrate	2.860537	.055836	51.23	0.000	2.7511	2.969973
/sigma_u	2.686158	.1123355			2.474766	2.915607
/sigma_e	5.609946	.0612095			5.491251	5.731207
Wald test of a	spatial terms:	c	hi2(2) =	2685.24	Prob > ch	i2 = 0.0000

The re and re sarpanel estimators give appreciably different estimates for the coefficient of the spatial lag of hrate and for the autoregressive error term. Estimates of other terms are similar. It appears that some of the spatial-lag effect of hrate is being accounted for by the autoregressive form of the panel effects in the sarpanel model.

Prob > F = 0.0000

### Example 2: spxtregress, fe

The random-effects estimator assumes that the panel-level effects are uncorrelated with the covariates in the model. We can relax that assumption using the fixed-effects estimator.

We will fit fixed-effects models for the same data we used in example 1. Here's a nonspatial model fit with xtreg, fe.

. xtreg hrate lr	n_population	ln_pdensity	gini,	fe					
Fixed-effects (w	within) regr	ession		Number o	of obs	= 5,648			
Group variable:	_ID			Number o	of groups	= 1,412			
R-squared:				Obs per group:					
Within = 0.0356					min	= 4			
Between = $0.0084$					avg	= 4.0			
Overall = 0.0131					max	= 4			
				F(3, 423	F(3, 4233) =				
<pre>corr(u_i, Xb) =</pre>	-0.2819			Prob > F	7	= 0.0000			
hrate (	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t	[95% con	f. interval]			
ln_populat~n	-2.16467	1.702073	-1.27	0.204	-5.501627	1.172286			
ln_pdensity	1.007573	1.659751	0.61	0.544	-2.246409	4.261555			
gini	35.12694	2.816652	12.47	0.000	29.60483	40.64906			
_cons	13.90421	10.91007	1.27	0.203	-7.485242	35.29366			
sigma u	5.2469262								
sigma_e	5.7428609								
rho	.45496484	(fraction c	f varia	nce due to	o u_i)				

We now use spxtregress, fe and include a spatial lag of the dependent variable hrate.

. spxtregress hrate ln\_population ln\_pdensity gini, fe dvarlag(M)
 (5648 observations)
 (5648 observations used)
 (data contain 1412 panels (places) )
 (weighting matrix defines 1412 places)
Performing grid search ... finished
Optimizing concentrated log likelihood:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -13321.27
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -13321.269
Optimizing unconcentrated log likelihood:
Iteration 0: Log likelihood = -13321.269
Iteration 1: Log likelihood = -13321.269

F test that all  $u_i=0$ : F(1411, 4233) = 2.61

Fixed-effects Group variable	spatial regre e: _ID		Number Number	of obs of group	= s =	5,648 1,412	
				Obs per	group	=	4
				Wald ch	ni2(4)	=	548.39
				Prob >	chi2	=	0.0000
Log likelihood = -1.332e+04				Pseudo R2		=	0.014
hrate	Coefficient	Std. err	. Z	P> z	[95%	conf.	interval]
hrate							
ln_populat~n	-1.852636	1.662249	-1.11	0.265	-5.110	)585	1.405312
ln_pdensity	0352675	1.621715	-0.02	0.983	-3.21	.377	3.143235
gini	11.58058	3.001197	3.86	0.000	5.698	348	17.46282
М							
hrate	.8982519	.0457977	19.61	0.000	.80	849	.9880138
/sigma_e	5.608237	.0609629			5.490	016	5.729004
Wald test of a	spatial terms:		chi2(1) =	384.69	Prob	> chi	2 = 0.0000

spxtregress, fe does not give an estimate of /sigma\_u because the spatial fixed-effects estimator does not give consistent estimates for the levels of the panel fixed effects nor for their standard deviation. See *Methods and formulas*.

We cannot fit a fixed-effects model with all the terms we included in example 1. The i.year dummies are not allowed because spxtregress, fe assumes individual fixed effects only, as specified in section 2 of Lee and Yu (2010a).

In example 1, we found that gini was an important regressor and that the effect of gini differed across time. We will use Stata's factor-variable notation and add to the model c.gini#i.year, which is gini interacted by year without main effects.

<pre>. spxtregress &gt; dvarlag(M) ( (5648 obser (5648 obser (data conta: (weighting r (output omitted)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))</pre>	hrate ln_popu errorlag(M) vations) vations used) in 1412 panels matrix defines ()	lation ln_ (places) 1412 plac	pdensity c ) es)	.gini#i.	year, fe			
Fived-effects	snatial regre	ssion		Number	of obs	=	5 64	18
Group variable: ID					of groups	=	1 41	12
dioup variabi	10			Obs per	group	=	1,11	4
				Wald ch	i2(7)	=	128 1	16
				Prob >	chi2	=	0.000	00
Log likelihoo	d = -1.330e+04			Pseudo	R2	=	0.000	)1
hrate	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P> z	[95% c	onf.	interval	1]
hrate								
ln_populat~n	-2.169113	1.70931	-1.27	0.204	-5.5192	98	1.18107	73
ln_pdensity	7395584	1.638919	-0.45	0.652	-3.951	78	2.47266	33
year#c.gini								
1960	4.637191	4.648659	1.00	0.319	-4.4740	14	13.748	34
1970	11.15786	4.234694	2.63	0.008	2.8580	15	19.4577	71
1980	11.92355	4.158855	2.87	0.004	3.7723	49	20.0747	76
1990	11.13694	3.975613	2.80	0.005	3.3448	84	18.92	29
М								
hrate	.1251125	.2552472	0.49	0.624	37516	28	.625387	79
e.hrate	1.604259	.1898228	8.45	0.000	1.2322	13	1.97630	)5
/sigma_e	5.582721	.0606909			5.4650	27	5.70294	19
Wald test of a	spatial terms:		chi2(2) =	116.83	Prob >	chi	2 = 0.000	00

We look at the effects:

. estat impact progress : 33% 67% 100% Average impacts Number of obs 5,648 Delta-Method dy/dx std. err. P>|z| [95% conf. interval] 7. direct ln populat~n 1.709375 -1.270.204 -5.51951.181127 -2.169186ln pdensity -.7395835 1.638973 -0.45 0.652 -3.951911 2.472744 9.714218 4.112072 2.36 0.018 1.654705 17.77373 gini indirect -0.40 0.686 ln populat~n -.2894662 .7155597 -1.691938 1.113005 -0.31 ln pdensity -.0986934 .3143279 0.754 -.7147649 .517378 1.29631 3.022576 0.43 0.668 -4.62783 7.22045 gini total ln\_populat~n -2.458653 2.065714 -1.19 0.234 -6.507378 1.590072 ln\_pdensity -.838277 1.867989 -0.45 0.654 -4.499469 2.822915 gini 11.01053 5.357527 2.06 0.040 .5099681 21.51109

The output shows the effects of gini across all the years. estat impact is smart enough to know that there are not year effects in the fixed-effects model. When it looks at the term c.gini#i.year, it only gives the effects for gini. If year were replaced by a variable that varied within time, estat impact would show the effects for that variable, too.

If we want to see how the effects of gini change across the years, we can use if with estat impact as we did in example 1.

Number of obs

1,412

=

. estat impact gini if year == 1960
progress :100%
Average impacts

0	-						-
		dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
direct	gini	4.637349	4.648982	1.00	0.319	-4.474488	13.74919
indirec	t gini	.6188291	1.70156	0.36	0.716	-2.716167	3.953826
total	gini	5.256178	5.794722	0.91	0.364	-6.101268	16.61362

Number of obs = 1,412

. estat impact gini if year == 1970
progress :100%
Average impacts

Average impacts					Number	of obs	=	1,412
		dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	Z	P> z	[95%	conf.	interval]
direct								
	gini	11.15824	4.234356	2.64	0.008	2.85	9058	19.45743
indired	t							
	gini	1.489007	3.335444	0.45	0.655	-5.04	8343	8.026358
total								
	gini	12.64725	5.001731	2.53	0.011	2.84	4037	22.45046

. estat impact gini if year == 1980

progress :100%

Average impacts

		dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	Z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
direct							
	gini	11.92396	4.158655	2.87	0.004	3.773147	20.07477
indired	t						
	gini	1.591188	3.62961	0.44	0.661	-5.522717	8.705093
total							
	gini	13.51515	5.380727	2.51	0.012	2.969118	24.06118

```
. estat impact gini if year == 1990
```

progress :100%

Average	ts	Number	of ob	os =	1,412			
		I dy/dx	Delta-Method std. err.	z	P> z	[9	95% conf.	interval]
direct	gini	11.13732	3.975637	2.80	0.005	3.	345216	18.92943
indirec	t gini	1.486215	3.459169	0.43	0.667	-5.	293631	8.266062
total	gini	12.62354	5.485124	2.30	0.021	1.	872892	23.37418

There is no evidence of a trend in the average total effect of gini from the fe model.

## Stored results

spxtregress, fe and spxtregress, re store the following in e(): Scalars number of observations e(N) e(N\_g) number of groups (panels) group size e(g) e(k) number of parameters model degrees of freedom e(df\_m) degrees of freedom for test of spatial terms  $e(df_c)$ e(11) log likelihood e(iterations) number of maximum log-likelihood estimation iterations e(rank) rank of e(V)pseudo- $R^2$ e(r2\_p)  $\chi^2$ e(chi2)  $\chi^2$  for test of spatial terms e(chi2\_c) e(p) p-value for model test p-value for test of spatial terms  $e(p_c)$ e(converged) 1 if converged, 0 otherwise Macros e(cmd) spxtregress e(cmdline) command as typed e(depvar) name of dependent variable e(indeps) names of independent variables name of ID variable e(idvar) e(model) fe, re, or re sarpanel e(title) title in estimation output e(constant) hasconstant or noconstant (re only) name of spatial weighting matrix applied to depvar e(dlmat) e(elmat) name of spatial weighting matrix applied to errors Wald; type of model  $\chi^2$  test e(chi2type) e(vce) oim e(ml\_method) type of ml method e(technique) maximization technique e(properties) bΨ e(estat\_cmd) program used to implement estat e(predict) program used to implement predict e(marginsok) predictions allowed by margins e(asbalanced) factor variables fvset as asbalanced e(asobserved) factor variables fyset as asobserved Matrices e(b) coefficient vector e(ilog) iteration log (up to 20 iterations) e(gradient) gradient vector e(Hessian) Hessian matrix e(V) variance-covariance matrix of the estimators Functions e(sample) marks estimation sample

In addition to the above, the following is stored in r():

Matrices

r(table)

matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, p-values, and confidence intervals

Note that results stored in r() are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

## Methods and formulas

spxtregress, fe estimates the parameters of the SAR model with spatially autoregressive errors and fixed effects using the QML estimator derived by Lee and Yu (2010a).

spxtregress, re estimates the parameters of two different SAR models with spatially autoregressive errors and random effects. In the default model, the random effects enter the equation for the dependent variable linearly. This model and the ML estimator for its parameters were derived by Lee and Yu (2010b). When the sarpanel option is specified, the random effects are subject to the same spatial autoregressive process as the idiosyncratic errors. This model and the ML estimator of its parameters were derived by Lee and Yu (2010b), which builds on the original formulation by Kapoor, Kelejian, and Prucha (2007). All of these papers build on theoretical work in Kelejian and Prucha (2001) and Lee (2004). We use the estimator derived by Baltagi and Liu (2011) to get initial values.

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

Fixed-effects estimators Random-effects estimators

### **Fixed-effects estimators**

The Lee and Yu (2010a) SAR model for panel data with fixed effects is

$$\mathbf{y}_{nt} = \lambda \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{X}_{nt} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{u}_{nt}$$
$$\mathbf{u}_{nt} = \rho \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{v}_{nt} \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$
(2)

where

 $\mathbf{y}_{nt} = (y_{1t}, y_{2t}, \dots, y_{nt})'$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of observations on the dependent variable for time period t;

 $\mathbf{X}_{nt}$  is an  $n \times k$  matrix of nonstochastic time-varying regressors for time period t.  $\mathbf{X}_{nt}$  may also contain spatial lag of exogenous covariates;

 $\mathbf{c}_n$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of individual effects;

 $\mathbf{u}_{nt}$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of spatially lagged error;

 $\mathbf{v}_{nt} = (v_{1t}, v_{2t}, \dots, v_{nt})'$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of innovations, and  $v_{it}$  is i.i.d. across i and t with variance  $\sigma^2$ ; and

W and M are  $n \times n$  spatial weighting matrices.

spxtregress, fe estimates the parameters in this model by using the QML estimator derived by Lee and Yu (2010a). Lee and Yu (2010a) uses an orthogonal transformation to remove the fixed effects  $\mathbf{c}_n$  without inducing dependence in the transformed errors. The transform  $\mathbf{F}_{T,T-1}$  is part of  $[\mathbf{F}_{T,T-1}, 1/\sqrt{T}\mathbf{l}_T]$ , which is the orthonormal eigenvector matrix of  $(\mathbf{I}_T - 1/T\mathbf{l}_T\mathbf{l}_T)$ , where  $\mathbf{I}_T$  is the  $T \times T$  identity matrix and  $\mathbf{l}_T$  is a  $T \times 1$  vector of 1s. Kuersteiner and Prucha (2020) discuss this class of transforms.

For any  $n \times T$  matrix  $[\mathbf{z}_{n1}, \mathbf{z}_{n2}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{nT}]$ , the transformed  $n \times (T-1)$  matrix is defined as

$$[\mathbf{\tilde{z}}_{n1}, \mathbf{\tilde{z}}_{n2}, \dots, \mathbf{\tilde{z}}_{n,T-1}] = [\mathbf{z}_{n1}, \mathbf{z}_{n2}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{nT}]\mathbf{F}_{T,T-1}$$

Thus, the transformed model for (2) is

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{nt} &= \lambda \mathbf{W} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_{nt} + \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{nt} \beta + \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} \\ \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} &= \rho \mathbf{M} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{nt} + \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt} \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T-1 \end{split}$$

The transformed innovations  $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt}$  are uncorrelated for all i and t.

The log-likelihood function for the transformed model is

$$\ln L_{n,T}(\theta) = -\frac{n(T-1)}{2}\ln(2\pi\sigma^2) + (T-1)[\ln|\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda)| + \ln|\mathbf{R}_n(\rho)|] - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{t=1}^{T-1}\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt}'(\theta)\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{nt}(\theta)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_n - \lambda \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{R}_n(\rho) = \mathbf{I}_n - \rho \, \mathbf{M},$  and  $\theta = (\beta', \lambda, \rho, \sigma^2)'.$ 

#### **Random-effects estimators**

spxtregress, refits two different random-effects SAR models for panel data. In the default model, the random effects enter the equation for  $y_{nt}$  linearly.

$$\mathbf{y}_{nt} = \lambda \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{Z}_{nt} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{u}_{nt}$$
  
$$\mathbf{u}_{nt} = \rho \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{v}_{nt} \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$
(3)

where

 $\mathbf{Z}_{nt}$  may contain time-variant and -invariant regressors;

 $\mathbf{c}_n$  is random effects with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma_{\mathbf{c}}^2$ ; and

all the other terms are defined as in (2).

When the sarpanel option is specified, spxtregress, re fits a model in which the random effects  $\mathbf{c}_n$  are subject to the same spatial autoregressive process as the errors.

$$\mathbf{y}_{nt} = \lambda \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}_{nt} + \mathbf{Z}_{nt} \beta + \mathbf{u}_{nt}$$
  
$$\mathbf{u}_{nt} = \rho \mathbf{M} \mathbf{u}_{nt} + \mathbf{c}_n + \mathbf{v}_{nt} \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T$$
(4)

When the  $\mathbf{c}_n$  are treated as fixed effects and transformed out of the model, the default model in (3) is equivalent to the sarpanel model in (4). When treating the  $\mathbf{c}_n$  as random effects, these two models are different.

For (3) or (4), we can stack all the time periods and write the equations as an  $nT \times 1$  vector form

$$\mathbf{y}_{nT} = \lambda (\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{W}) \mathbf{y}_{nT} + \mathbf{Z}_{nT} \beta + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{nT}$$
(5)

where

 $\mathbf{y}_{nT} = (\mathbf{y}'_{n1}, \mathbf{y}'_{n2}, \dots, \mathbf{y}'_{nt})'$  is an  $nT \times 1$  vector of observations of the dependent variable for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $t = 1, \dots, T$ ;

 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{nT} &= (\mathbf{v}'_{n1}, \mathbf{v}'_{n2}, \dots, \mathbf{v}'_{nt})' \text{ is an } nT \times 1 \text{ vector of innovations;} \\ \mathbf{Z}_{nT} &= \{\mathbf{Z}'_{n1}, \mathbf{Z}'_{n2}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}_{*}(\rho)'\}' \text{ is an } nT \times k \text{ matrix of } k \text{ regressors for } i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } t = 1, \dots, T; \\ \text{ and } \end{aligned}$ 

 $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{nT}$  is the overall disturbance  $nT \times 1$  vector.

For (3), the overall disturbance vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{nT}$  is

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{nT} = \mathbf{l}_T \otimes \mathbf{c}_n + \{\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1}\} \mathbf{v}_{nT}$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_n(\rho) = \mathbf{I}_n - \rho \mathbf{M}$ . Its variance matrix is

$$\Omega_{nT}(\theta) = \sigma_{\mathbf{c}}^2 \left( \mathbf{I}_T \mathbf{I}_T' \otimes \mathbf{I}_T \right) + \sigma^2 \{ \mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1} \mathbf{R}_n'(\rho)^{-1} \}$$

For (4), the overall disturbance vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{nT}$  is

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}_{nT} = \mathbf{l}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1} \mathbf{c}_n + \{\mathbf{I}_T \otimes \mathbf{R}_n(\rho)^{-1}\} \mathbf{v}_{nT}$$

Its variance matrix is

$$\Omega_{nT}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sigma_{\mathbf{c}}^{2} \{ \mathbf{I}_{T} \mathbf{I}_{T}^{\prime} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{n}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1} \} + \sigma^{2} \{ \mathbf{I}_{T} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{n}(\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1} \mathbf{R}_{n}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\rho})^{-1} \}$$

The log-likelihood function for (5) is

$$\ln L_{nT}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{nT}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\ln|\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{nT}(\boldsymbol{\theta})| + T\ln|\mathbf{S}_n(\boldsymbol{\lambda})| - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\xi}_{nT}'(\boldsymbol{\theta})\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{nT}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}\mathbf{\xi}_{nT}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_n(\lambda) = \mathbf{I}_n - \lambda \mathbf{W}$ , and  $\theta = (\beta', \lambda, \rho, \sigma_{\mathbf{c}}^2, \sigma^2)'$ .

## References

- Baltagi, B. H., and L. Liu. 2011. Instrumental variable estimation of a spatial autoregressive panel model with random effects. *Economics Letters* 111: 135–137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2011.01.016.
- Britt, C. L. 1994. Crime and unemployment among youths in the United States, 1958–1990: A time series analysis. American Journal of Economics and Sociology 53: 99–109. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1536-7150.1994.tb02680.x.
- Gini, C. 1909. Concentration and dependency ratios (in Italian). English translation in *Rivista di Politica Economica 1997* 87: 769–789.
- Kapoor, M., H. H. Kelejian, and I. R. Prucha. 2007. Panel data models with spatially correlated error components. Journal of Econometrics 140: 97–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2006.09.004.
- Kelejian, H. H., and I. R. Prucha. 2001. On the asymptotic distribution of the Moran I test statistic with applications. Journal of Econometrics 104: 219–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4076(01)00064-1.
- Kuersteiner, G. M., and I. R. Prucha. 2020. Dynamic spatial panel models: Networks, common shocks, and sequential exogeneity. *Econometrica* 88: 2109–2149. https://doi.org/10.3982/ECTA13660.
- Lee, L.-F. 2004. Asymptotic distributions of quasi-maximum likelihood estimators for spatial autoregressive models. Econometrica 72: 1899–1925. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0262.2004.00558.x.
- Lee, L.-F., and J. Yu. 2010a. Estimation of spatial autoregressive panel data models with fixed effects. Journal of Econometrics 154: 165–185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2009.08.001.

——. 2010b. Some recent developments in spatial panel data models. Regional Science and Urban Economics 40: 255–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2009.09.002.

- Li, J., Z. Liao, and W. Zhou. 2023. Uniform nonparametric inference for spatially dependent panel data: The xtnpsreg command. Stata Journal 23: 243–264.
- Messner, S. F., L. Anselin, D. F. Hawkins, G. Deane, S. E. Tolnay, and R. D. Baller. 2000. An Atlas of the Spatial Patterning of County-Level Homicide, 1960–1990. Pittsburgh: National Consortium on Violence Research.

## Also see

- [SP] spxtregress postestimation Postestimation tools for spxtregress
- [SP] estat moran Moran's test of residual correlation with nearby residuals
- [SP] Intro Introduction to spatial data and SAR models
- [SP] spbalance Make panel data strongly balanced
- [SP] spivregress Spatial autoregressive models with endogenous covariates
- [SP] spmatrix Categorical guide to the spmatrix command
- [SP] spregress Spatial autoregressive models
- [XT] **xtreg** Linear models for panel data
- [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.