mlogit — Multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression

Description

mlogit fits a multinomial logit (MNL) model for a categorical dependent variable with outcomes that have no natural ordering. The actual values taken by the dependent variable are irrelevant. The MNL model is also known as the polytomous logistic regression model. Some people refer to conditional logistic regression as multinomial logistic regression. If you are one of them, see [R] **clogit**.

Quick start

MNL model of y on x1, x2, and categorical variable a

    mlogit y x1 x2 i.a

Same as above, but use y = 1 as the base outcome even if 1 is not the most frequent

    mlogit y x1 x2 i.a, baseoutcome(1)

Report results as relative-risk ratios

    mlogit y x1 x2 i.a, rrr

Constrain coefficient of x1 to be equal for second and third outcomes

    constraint 1 [#2=#3]:x1
    mlogit y x1 x2 i.a, constraints(1)

Menu

Statistics > Categorical outcomes > Multinomial logistic regression

Syntax

> mlogit *depvar* [*indepvars*] [*if*] [*in*] [*weight*] [, *options*]

options	Description
Model	
<u>noc</u>onstant	suppress constant term
baseoutcome(*#*)	value of *depvar* that will be the base outcome
<u>constr</u>aints(*constraints*)	apply specified linear constraints
SE/Robust	
vce(*vcetype*)	*vcetype* may be oim, opg, <u>r</u>obust, <u>cl</u>uster *clustvar*, <u>boot</u>strap, or jackknife
Reporting	
<u>l</u>evel(*#*)	set confidence level; default is level(95)
rrr	report relative-risk ratios
nocnsreport	do not display constraints
display_options	control columns and column formats, row spacing, line width, display of omitted variables and base and empty cells, and factor-variable labeling
Maximization	
maximize_options	control the maximization process; seldom used
<u>collin</u>ear	keep collinear variables
<u>coefl</u>egend	display legend instead of statistics

indepvars may contain factor variables; see [U] **11.4.3 Factor variables**.

indepvars may contain time-series operators; see [U] **11.4.4 Time-series varlists**.

bayes, bayesboot, bootstrap, by, collect, fmm, fp, jackknife, mfp, mi estimate, rolling, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] **11.1.10 Prefix commands**. For more details, see [BAYES] **bayes: mlogit** and [FMM] **fmm: mlogit**.

vce(bootstrap) and vce(jackknife) are not allowed with the mi estimate prefix; see [MI] **mi estimate**.

Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] **bootstrap**.

vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] **svy**.

fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] **11.1.6 weight**.

collinear and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] **20 Estimation and postestimation commands** for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

> **Model**

noconstant; see [R] **Estimation options**.

baseoutcome(*#*) specifies the value of *depvar* to be treated as the base outcome. The default is to choose the most frequent outcome.

constraints(*constraints*); see [R] **Estimation options**.

vce(*vcetype*) specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (oim, opg), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (robust), that allow for intragroup correlation (cluster *clustvar*), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (bootstrap, jackknife); see [R] *vce_option*.

If specifying vce(bootstrap) or vce(jackknife), you must also specify baseoutcome().

Reporting

level(*#*); see [R] **Estimation options**.

rrr reports the estimated coefficients transformed to relative-risk ratios, that is, e^b rather than b; see *Description of the model* below for an explanation of this concept. Standard errors and confidence intervals are similarly transformed. This option affects how results are displayed, not how they are estimated. rrr may be specified at estimation or when replaying previously estimated results.

nocnsreport; see [R] **Estimation options**.

display_options: noci, nopvalues, noomitted, vsquish, noemptycells, baselevels, allbaselevels, nofvlabel, fvwrap(*#*), fvwrapon(*style*), cformat(*%fmt*), pformat(*%fmt*), sformat(*%fmt*), and nolstretch; see [R] **Estimation options**.

Maximization

maximize_options: difficult, technique(*algorithm_spec*), iterate(*#*), [no]log, trace, gradient, showstep, hessian, showtolerance, tolerance(*#*), ltolerance(*#*), nrtolerance(*#*), nonrtolerance, and from(*init_specs*); see [R] **Maximize**. These options are seldom used.

The following options are available with mlogit but are not shown in the dialog box:

collinear, coeflegend; see [R] **Estimation options**.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

> *Description of the model*
> *Fitting unconstrained models*
> *Fitting constrained models*

mlogit fits maximum likelihood models with discrete dependent (left-hand-side) variables when the dependent variable takes on more than two outcomes and the outcomes have no natural ordering. If the dependent variable takes on only two outcomes, estimates are identical to those produced by logistic or logit; see [R] **logistic** or [R] **logit**. If the outcomes are ordered, see [R] **ologit**. See [R] **logistic** for a list of related estimation commands.

Description of the model

For an introduction to MNL models, see Greene (2018, 829–833), Hosmer, Lemeshow, and Sturdivant (2013, 269–289), Long (1997, chap. 6), Long and Freese (2014, chap. 8), and Treiman (2009, 336–341). For a description emphasizing the difference in assumptions and data requirements for conditional and multinomial logit, see Davidson and MacKinnon (1993).

Consider the outcomes 1, 2, 3, …, m recorded in y, and the explanatory variables X. Assume that there are $m = 3$ outcomes: "buy an American car", "buy a Japanese car", and "buy a European car". The values of y are then said to be "unordered". Even though the outcomes are coded 1, 2, and 3, the numerical values are arbitrary because $1 < 2 < 3$ does not imply that outcome 1 (buy American) is less than outcome 2 (buy Japanese) is less than outcome 3 (buy European). This unordered categorical property of y distinguishes the use of `mlogit` from `regress` (which is appropriate for a continuous dependent variable), from `ologit` (which is appropriate for ordered categorical data), and from `logit` (which is appropriate for two outcomes, which can be thought of as ordered).

In the MNL model, you estimate a set of coefficients, $\beta^{(1)}$, $\beta^{(2)}$, and $\beta^{(3)}$, corresponding to each outcome:

$$\Pr(y = 1) = \frac{e^{X\beta^{(1)}}}{e^{X\beta^{(1)}} + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}$$

$$\Pr(y = 2) = \frac{e^{X\beta^{(2)}}}{e^{X\beta^{(1)}} + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}$$

$$\Pr(y = 3) = \frac{e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}{e^{X\beta^{(1)}} + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}$$

The model, however, is unidentified in the sense that there is more than one solution to $\beta^{(1)}$, $\beta^{(2)}$, and $\beta^{(3)}$ that leads to the same probabilities for $y = 1$, $y = 2$, and $y = 3$. To identify the model, you arbitrarily set one of $\beta^{(1)}$, $\beta^{(2)}$, or $\beta^{(3)}$ to 0—it does not matter which. That is, if you arbitrarily set $\beta^{(1)} = 0$, the remaining coefficients $\beta^{(2)}$ and $\beta^{(3)}$ will measure the change relative to the $y = 1$ group. If you instead set $\beta^{(2)} = 0$, the remaining coefficients $\beta^{(1)}$ and $\beta^{(3)}$ will measure the change relative to the $y = 2$ group. The coefficients will differ because they have different interpretations, but the predicted probabilities for $y = 1$, 2, and 3 will still be the same. Thus, either parameterization will be a solution to the same underlying model.

Setting $\beta^{(1)} = 0$, the equations become

$$\Pr(y = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}$$

$$\Pr(y = 2) = \frac{e^{X\beta^{(2)}}}{1 + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}$$

$$\Pr(y = 3) = \frac{e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}{1 + e^{X\beta^{(2)}} + e^{X\beta^{(3)}}}$$

The relative probability of $y = 2$ to the base outcome is

$$\frac{\Pr(y = 2)}{\Pr(y = 1)} = e^{X\beta^{(2)}}$$

Let's call this ratio the relative risk, and let's further assume that X and $\beta_k^{(2)}$ are vectors equal to $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ and $(\beta_1^{(2)}, \beta_2^{(2)}, \ldots, \beta_k^{(2)})'$, respectively. The ratio of the relative risk for a one-unit change in x_i is then

$$\frac{e^{\beta_1^{(2)} x_1 + \cdots + \beta_i^{(2)} (x_i+1) + \cdots + \beta_k^{(2)} x_k}}{e^{\beta_1^{(2)} x_1 + \cdots + \beta_i^{(2)} x_i + \cdots + \beta_k^{(2)} x_k}} = e^{\beta_i^{(2)}}$$

Thus, the exponentiated value of a coefficient is the relative-risk ratio for a one-unit change in the corresponding variable (risk is measured as the risk of the outcome relative to the base outcome).

Fitting unconstrained models

▷ Example 1: A first example

We have data on the type of health insurance available to 616 psychologically depressed subjects in the United States (Tarlov et al. 1989; Wells et al. 1989). The insurance is categorized as either an indemnity plan (that is, regular fee-for-service insurance, which may have a deductible or coinsurance rate) or a prepaid plan (a fixed up-front payment allowing subsequent unlimited use as provided, for instance, by an HMO). The third possibility is that the subject has no insurance whatsoever. We wish to explore the demographic factors associated with each subject's insurance choice. One of the demographic factors in our data is the race of the participant, coded as white or nonwhite:

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/sysdsn1
(Health insurance data)
. tabulate insure nonwhite, chi2 col
```

Key
frequency
column percentage

Type of insurance	Nonwhite 0	1	Total
Indemnity	251	43	294
	50.71	35.54	47.73
Prepaid	208	69	277
	42.02	57.02	44.97
Uninsure	36	9	45
	7.27	7.44	7.31
Total	495	121	616
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Pearson chi2(2) = 9.5599   Pr = 0.008

Although insure appears to take on the values Indemnity, Prepaid, and Uninsure, it actually takes on the values 1, 2, and 3. The words appear because we have associated a value label with the numeric variable insure; see [U] 12.6.3 Value labels.

When we fit an MNL model, we can tell `mlogit` which outcome to use as the base outcome, or we can let `mlogit` choose. To fit a model of `insure` on `nonwhite`, letting `mlogit` choose the base outcome, we type

```
. mlogit insure nonwhite

Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -556.59502
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -551.78935
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -551.78348
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -551.78348
```

Multinomial logistic regression

Number of obs = 616
LR chi2(2) = 9.62
Prob > chi2 = 0.0081
Log likelihood = -551.78348
Pseudo R2 = 0.0086

insure	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf. interval]	
Indemnity	(base outcome)					
Prepaid						
nonwhite	.6608212	.2157321	3.06	0.002	.2379942	1.083648
_cons	-.1879149	.0937644	-2.00	0.045	-.3716896	-.0041401
Uninsure						
nonwhite	.3779586	.407589	0.93	0.354	-.4209011	1.176818
_cons	-1.941934	.1782185	-10.90	0.000	-2.291236	-1.592632

`mlogit` chose the indemnity outcome as the base outcome and presented coefficients for the outcomes prepaid and uninsured. According to the model, the probability of prepaid for whites (`nonwhite = 0`) is

$$\Pr(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Prepaid}) = \frac{e^{-.188}}{1 + e^{-.188} + e^{-1.942}} = 0.420$$

Similarly, for nonwhites, the probability of prepaid is

$$\Pr(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Prepaid}) = \frac{e^{-.188+.661}}{1 + e^{-.188+.661} + e^{-1.942+.378}} = 0.570$$

These results agree with the column percentages presented by `tabulate` because the `mlogit` model is fully saturated. That is, there are enough terms in the model to fully explain the column percentage in each cell. The model χ^2 and the `tabulate` χ^2 are in almost perfect agreement; both test that the column percentages of `insure` are the same for both values of `nonwhite`.

◁

▷ Example 2: Specifying the base outcome

By specifying the baseoutcome() option, we can control which outcome of the dependent variable is treated as the base. Left to its own, mlogit chose to make outcome 1, indemnity, the base outcome. To make outcome 2, prepaid, the base, we would type

```
. mlogit insure nonwhite, base(2)

Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -556.59502
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -551.78935
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -551.78348
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -551.78348
```

Multinomial logistic regression

Log likelihood = -551.78348

		Number of obs =	616
		LR chi2(2) =	9.62
		Prob > chi2 =	0.0081
		Pseudo R2 =	0.0086

insure	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf. interval]	
Indemnity						
nonwhite	-.6608212	.2157321	-3.06	0.002	-1.083648	-.2379942
_cons	.1879149	.0937644	2.00	0.045	.0041401	.3716896
Prepaid	(base outcome)					
Uninsure						
nonwhite	-.2828627	.3977302	-0.71	0.477	-1.0624	.4966742
_cons	-1.754019	.1805145	-9.72	0.000	-2.107821	-1.400217

The baseoutcome() option requires that we specify the numeric value of the outcome, so we could not type base(Prepaid).

Although the coefficients now appear to be different, the summary statistics reported at the top are identical. With this parameterization, the probability of prepaid insurance for whites is

$$\Pr(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Prepaid}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{.188} + e^{-1.754}} = 0.420$$

This is the same answer we obtained previously.

◁

▷ Example 3: Displaying relative-risk ratios

By specifying `rrr`, which we can do at estimation time or when we redisplay results, we see the model in terms of relative-risk ratios:

```
. mlogit, rrr
Multinomial logistic regression                    Number of obs =      616
                                                   LR chi2(2)    =     9.62
                                                   Prob > chi2   =   0.0081
Log likelihood = -551.78348                        Pseudo R2     =   0.0086
```

insure	RRR	Std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf. interval]	
Indemnity						
nonwhite	.516427	.1114099	-3.06	0.002	.3383588	.7882073
_cons	1.206731	.1131483	2.00	0.045	1.004149	1.450183
Prepaid	(base outcome)					
Uninsure						
nonwhite	.7536233	.2997387	-0.71	0.477	.3456255	1.643247
_cons	.1730769	.0312429	-9.72	0.000	.1215024	.2465434

Note: `_cons` estimates baseline relative risk for each outcome.

Looked at this way, the relative risk of choosing an indemnity over a prepaid plan is 0.516 for nonwhites relative to whites.

To illustrate, from the output and discussions of examples 1 and 2 we find that

$$\Pr\left(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Indemnity} \mid \texttt{white}\right) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-.188} + e^{-1.942}} = 0.507$$

and thus the relative risk of choosing indemnity over prepaid (for whites) is

$$\frac{\Pr\left(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Indemnity} \mid \texttt{white}\right)}{\Pr\left(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Prepaid} \mid \texttt{white}\right)} = \frac{0.507}{0.420} = 1.207$$

For nonwhites,

$$\Pr\left(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Indemnity} \mid \texttt{not white}\right) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-.188+.661} + e^{-1.942+.378}} = 0.355$$

and thus the relative risk of choosing indemnity over prepaid (for nonwhites) is

$$\frac{\Pr\left(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Indemnity} \mid \texttt{not white}\right)}{\Pr\left(\texttt{insure} = \texttt{Prepaid} \mid \texttt{not white}\right)} = \frac{0.355}{0.570} = 0.623$$

The ratio of these two relative risks, hence the name "relative-risk ratio", is $0.623/1.207 = 0.516$, as given in the output under the heading "RRR".

◁

❑ Technical note

In models where only two categories are considered, the `mlogit` model reduces to standard `logit`. Consequently, the exponentiated regression coefficients, labeled as RRR within `mlogit`, are equal to the odds ratios as given when the or option is specified under `logit`; see [R] **logit**.

As such, always referring to `mlogit`'s exponentiated coefficients as odds ratios may be tempting. However, the discussion in example 3 demonstrates that doing so would be incorrect. In general `mlogit` models, the exponentiated coefficients are ratios of relative risks, not ratios of odds.

❑

▷ Example 4: Model with continuous and multiple categorical variables

One of the advantages of `mlogit` over `tabulate` is that we can include continuous variables and multiple categorical variables in the model. In examining the data on insurance choice, we decide that we want to control for age, gender, and site of study (the study was conducted in three sites):

```
. mlogit insure age male nonwhite i.site

Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -555.85446
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -534.67443
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -534.36284
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -534.36165
Iteration 4:  Log likelihood = -534.36165
```

Multinomial logistic regression

Log likelihood = -534.36165

Number of obs   =   615
LR chi2(10)   =   42.99
Prob > chi2   = 0.0000
Pseudo R2   = 0.0387

insure	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf. interval]	
Indemnity	(base outcome)					
Prepaid						
age	-.011745	.0061946	-1.90	0.058	-.0238862	.0003962
male	.5616934	.2027465	2.77	0.006	.1643175	.9590693
nonwhite	.9747768	.2363213	4.12	0.000	.5115955	1.437958
site						
2	.1130359	.2101903	0.54	0.591	-.2989296	.5250013
3	-.5879879	.2279351	-2.58	0.010	-1.034733	-.1412433
_cons	.2697127	.3284422	0.82	0.412	-.3740222	.9134476
Uninsure						
age	-.0077961	.0114418	-0.68	0.496	-.0302217	.0146294
male	.4518496	.3674867	1.23	0.219	-.268411	1.17211
nonwhite	.2170589	.4256361	0.51	0.610	-.6171725	1.05129
site						
2	-1.211563	.4705127	-2.57	0.010	-2.133751	-.2893747
3	-.2078123	.3662926	-0.57	0.570	-.9257327	.510108
_cons	-1.286943	.5923219	-2.17	0.030	-2.447872	-.1260134

These results suggest that the inclination of nonwhites to choose prepaid care is even stronger than it was without controlling. We also see that subjects in site 2 are less likely to be uninsured.

◁

Fitting constrained models

mlogit can fit models with subsets of coefficients constrained to be zero, with subsets of coefficients constrained to be equal both within and across equations, and with subsets of coefficients arbitrarily constrained to equal linear combinations of other estimated coefficients.

Before fitting a constrained model, you define the constraints with the constraint command; see [R] **constraint**. Once the constraints are defined, you estimate using mlogit, specifying the constraint() option. Typing constraint(4) would use the constraint you previously saved as 4. Typing constraint(1,4,6) would use the previously stored constraints 1, 4, and 6. Typing constraint(1-4,6) would use the previously stored constraints 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6.

Sometimes, you will not be able to specify the constraints without knowing the omitted outcome. In such cases, assume that the omitted outcome is whatever outcome is convenient for you, and include the baseoutcome() option when you specify the mlogit command.

▷ Example 5: Specifying constraints to test hypotheses

We can use constraints to test hypotheses, among other things. In our insurance-choice model, let's test the hypothesis that there is no distinction between having indemnity insurance and being uninsured. Indemnity-style insurance was the omitted outcome, so we type

```
. test [Uninsure]
 ( 1)   [Uninsure]age = 0
 ( 2)   [Uninsure]male = 0
 ( 3)   [Uninsure]nonwhite = 0
 ( 4)   [Uninsure]1b.site = 0
 ( 5)   [Uninsure]2.site = 0
 ( 6)   [Uninsure]3.site = 0
        Constraint 4 dropped

             chi2(  5) =      9.31
           Prob > chi2 =    0.0973
```

If indemnity had not been the omitted outcome, we would have typed test [Uninsure=Indemnity].

The results produced by test are an approximation based on the estimated covariance matrix of the coefficients. Because the probability of being uninsured is low, the log likelihood may be nonlinear for the uninsured. Conventional statistical wisdom is not to trust the asymptotic answer under these circumstances but to perform a likelihood-ratio test instead.

To use Stata's lrtest (likelihood-ratio test) command, we must fit both the unconstrained and constrained models. The unconstrained model is the one we have previously fit. Following the instruction in [R] **lrtest**, we first store the unconstrained model results:

```
. estimates store unconstrained
```

To fit the constrained model, we must refit our model with all the coefficients except the constant set to 0 in the Uninsure equation. We define the constraint and then refit:

```
. constraint 1 [Uninsure]
. mlogit insure age male nonwhite i.site, constraints(1)

Iteration 0:  Log likelihood = -555.85446
Iteration 1:  Log likelihood = -539.80523
Iteration 2:  Log likelihood = -539.75644
Iteration 3:  Log likelihood = -539.75643
```

```
Multinomial logistic regression                    Number of obs =      615
                                                    Wald chi2(5)  =    29.70
Log likelihood = -539.75643                         Prob > chi2   =   0.0000
```

```
 ( 1)   [Uninsure]o.age = 0
 ( 2)   [Uninsure]o.male = 0
 ( 3)   [Uninsure]o.nonwhite = 0
 ( 4)   [Uninsure]2o.site = 0
 ( 5)   [Uninsure]3o.site = 0
```

insure	Coefficient	Std. err.	z	P>|z|	[95% conf. interval]	
Indemnity	(base outcome)					
Prepaid						
age	-.0107025	.0060039	-1.78	0.075	-.0224699	.0010649
male	.4963616	.1939683	2.56	0.010	.1161907	.8765324
nonwhite	.9421369	.2252094	4.18	0.000	.5007346	1.383539
site						
2	.2530912	.2029465	1.25	0.212	-.1446767	.6508591
3	-.5521773	.2187237	-2.52	0.012	-.9808678	-.1234869
_cons	.1792752	.3171372	0.57	0.572	-.4423023	.8008527
Uninsure						
age	0	(omitted)				
male	0	(omitted)				
nonwhite	0	(omitted)				
site						
2	0	(omitted)				
3	0	(omitted)				
_cons	-1.87351	.1601099	-11.70	0.000	-2.18732	-1.5597

We can now perform the likelihood-ratio test:

```
. lrtest unconstrained .

Likelihood-ratio test
Assumption: . nested within unconstrained

 LR chi2(5) =   10.79
Prob > chi2 = 0.0557
```

The likelihood-ratio χ^2 is 10.79 with 5 degrees of freedom—just slightly greater than the magic $p = 0.05$ level—so we should not call this difference significant.

◁

❑ Technical note

In certain circumstances, you should fit an MNL model with conditional logit; see [R] **clogit**. With substantial data manipulation, clogit can handle the same class of models with some interesting additions. For example, if we had available the price and deductible of the most competitive insurance plan of each type, mlogit could not use this information, but clogit could.

❑

Stored results

mlogit stores the following in e():

Scalars

e(N)	number of observations
e(N_cd)	number of completely determined observations
e(k_out)	number of outcomes
e(k)	number of parameters
e(k_eq)	number of equations in e(b)
e(k_eq_model)	number of equations in overall model test
e(k_dv)	number of dependent variables
e(df_m)	model degrees of freedom
e(r2_p)	pseudo-R^2
e(ll)	log likelihood
e(ll_0)	log likelihood, constant-only model
e(N_clust)	number of clusters
e(chi2)	χ^2
e(p)	p-value for model test
e(k_eq_base)	equation number of the base outcome
e(baseout)	the value of *depvar* to be treated as the base outcome
e(ibaseout)	index of the base outcome
e(rank)	rank of e(V)
e(ic)	number of iterations
e(rc)	return code
e(converged)	1 if converged, 0 otherwise

Macros

e(cmd)	mlogit
e(cmdline)	command as typed
e(depvar)	name of dependent variable
e(wtype)	weight type
e(wexp)	weight expression
e(title)	title in estimation output
e(clustvar)	name of cluster variable
e(chi2type)	Wald or LR; type of model χ^2 test
e(vce)	*vcetype* specified in vce()
e(vcetype)	title used to label Std. err.
e(eqnames)	names of equations
e(baselab)	value label corresponding to base outcome
e(opt)	type of optimization
e(which)	max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization
e(ml_method)	type of ml method
e(user)	name of likelihood-evaluator program
e(technique)	maximization technique
e(properties)	b V
e(predict)	program used to implement predict
e(marginsnotok)	predictions disallowed by margins
e(marginsdefault)	default predict() specification for margins
e(asbalanced)	factor variables fvset as asbalanced
e(asobserved)	factor variables fvset as asobserved

Matrices
    e(b)   coefficient vector
    e(out)   outcome values
    e(Cns)   constraints matrix
    e(ilog)   iteration log (up to 20 iterations)
    e(gradient)   gradient vector
    e(V)   variance–covariance matrix of the estimators
    e(V_modelbased)   model-based variance
Functions
    e(sample)   marks estimation sample

In addition to the above, the following is stored in r():

Matrices
    r(table)   matrix containing the coefficients with their standard errors, test statistics, p-values, and
                                  confidence intervals

Note that results stored in r() are updated when the command is replayed and will be replaced when any r-class command is run after the estimation command.

Methods and formulas

The MNL model is described in Greene (2018, 829–833).

Suppose that there are k categorical outcomes and—without loss of generality—let the base outcome be 1. The probability that the response for the jth observation is equal to the ith outcome is

$$
p_{ij} = \Pr(y_j = i) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{1 + \sum\limits_{m=2}^{k} \exp(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_m)}, & \text{if } i = 1 \\[2ex] \dfrac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_i)}{1 + \sum\limits_{m=2}^{k} \exp(\mathbf{x}_j \boldsymbol{\beta}_m)}, & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_j$ is the row vector of observed values of the independent variables for the jth observation and $\boldsymbol{\beta}_m$ is the coefficient vector for outcome m. The log pseudolikelihood is

$$
\ln L = \sum_j w_j \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_i(y_j) \ln p_{ik}
$$

where w_j is an optional weight and

$$
I_i(y_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_j = i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Newton–Raphson maximum likelihood is used; see [R] Maximize.

For constrained equations, the set of constraints is orthogonalized, and a subset of maximizable parameters is selected. For example, a parameter that is constrained to zero is not a maximizable parameter. If two parameters are constrained to be equal to each other, only one is a maximizable parameter.

Let $\mathbf{r}$ be the vector of maximizable parameters. $\mathbf{r}$ is physically a subset of the solution parameters, $\mathbf{b}$. A matrix, $\mathbf{T}$, and a vector, $\mathbf{m}$, are defined as

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{Tr} + \mathbf{m}$$

so that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{b}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{r}}\mathbf{T}'$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{b}^2} = \mathbf{T}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2}\mathbf{T}'$$

$\mathbf{T}$ consists of a block form in which one part is a permutation of the identity matrix and the other part describes how to calculate the constrained parameters from the maximizable parameters.

This command supports the Huber/White/sandwich estimator of the variance and its clustered version using vce(robust) and vce(cluster *clustvar*), respectively. See [P] **_robust**, particularly *Maximum likelihood estimators* and *Methods and formulas*.

mlogit also supports estimation with survey data. For details on VCEs with survey data, see [SVY] **Variance estimation**.

References

Davidson, R., and J. G. MacKinnon. 1993. *Estimation and Inference in Econometrics*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Greene, W. H. 2018. *Econometric Analysis*. 8th ed. New York: Pearson.

Haan, P., and A. Uhlendorff. 2006. Estimation of multinomial logit models with unobserved heterogeneity using maximum simulated likelihood. *Stata Journal* 6: 229–245.

Hole, A. R. 2007. Fitting mixed logit models by using maximum simulated likelihood. *Stata Journal* 7: 388–401.

Hosmer, D. W., Jr., S. A. Lemeshow, and R. X. Sturdivant. 2013. *Applied Logistic Regression*. 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

Long, J. S. 1997. *Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Long, J. S., and J. Freese. 2014. *Regression Models for Categorical Dependent Variables Using Stata*. 3rd ed. College Station, TX: Stata Press.

Tarlov, A. R., J. E. Ware, Jr., S. Greenfield, E. C. Nelson, E. Perrin, and M. Zubkoff. 1989. The medical outcomes study. An application of methods for monitoring the results of medical care. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 262: 925–930. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1989.03430070073033.

Treiman, D. J. 2009. *Quantitative Data Analysis: Doing Social Research to Test Ideas*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Vach, W., C. Alder, and S. Pichler. 2022. Analyzing coarsened categorical data with or without probabilistic information. *Stata Journal* 22: 158–194.

Wells, K. B., R. D. Hays, M. A. Burnam, W. H. Rogers, S. Greenfield, and J. E. Ware, Jr. 1989. Detection of depressive disorder for patients receiving prepaid or fee-for-service care. Results from the Medical Outcomes Survey. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 262: 3298–3302. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1989.03430230083030.

Xu, J., and J. S. Long. 2005. Confidence intervals for predicted outcomes in regression models for categorical outcomes. *Stata Journal* 5: 537–559.

Also see

[R] **mlogit postestimation** — Postestimation tools for mlogit

[R] **clogit** — Conditional (fixed-effects) logistic regression

[R] **logistic** — Logistic regression, reporting odds ratios

[R] **logit** — Logistic regression, reporting coefficients

[R] **mprobit** — Multinomial probit regression

[R] **ologit** — Ordered logistic regression

[R] **slogit** — Stereotype logistic regression

[BAYES] **bayes: mlogit** — Bayesian multinomial logistic regression

[CM] **cmrologit** — Rank-ordered logit choice model

[CM] **nlogit** — Nested logit regression

[FMM] **fmm: mlogit** — Finite mixtures of multinomial (polytomous) logistic regression models

[MI] **Estimation** — Estimation commands for use with mi estimate

[SVY] **svy estimation** — Estimation commands for survey data

[XT] **xtmlogit** — Fixed-effects and random-effects multinomial logit models

[U] **20 Estimation and postestimation commands**